Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications
Vol. 31, No. 2 (2026), pp. 453-465
ISSN: 1229-1595(print), 2466-0973(online)

https://doi.org/10.22771/nfaa.2026.31.02.09
http://nfaa.kyungnam.ac.kr/journal-nfaa

SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS AND INCLUSION PROPERTIES FOR ANALYTIC SUBCLASSES INVOLVING THE GENERALIZED IMAGINARY ERROR FUNCTION

Feras Yousef[1] **and Tariq Al-Hawary**[2]

[1]Department of Mathematics, The University of Jordan,
Amman 11942, Jordan
e-mail: `fyousef@ju.edu.jo`

[2]Department of Applied Science, Ajloun College, Al-Balqa Applied University,
Ajloun 26816, Jordan
e-mail: `tariq_amh@bau.edu.jo`

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate some characterizations of the generalized normalized imaginary error function to be in subclasses of analytic functions.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let $\digamma$ be the class of analytic functions of the form:

$$B(\Im) = \Im + \sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty} \gamma_{\wp} \Im^{\wp}\ , \quad \Im \in \Theta = \{\Im \in \mathbb{C} : |\Im| < 1\}. \tag{1.1}$$

A function B of the form (1.1) is in the subclass $S^*(\gimel_1, \gimel_2)$ if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\Re\left\{\frac{B'(\Im) + \gimel_1 \Im^2 B''(\Im)}{B(\Im)}\right\} > \gimel_2 \qquad (\Im \in \Theta;\ \gimel_1, \gimel_2 \in [0, 1))$$

and a function B is in the subclass $C(\gimel_1, \gimel_2)$ if

$$\Re\left\{\frac{\left[\Im B'(\Im) + \gimel_1 \Im^2 B''(\Im)\right]'}{B'(\Im)}\right\} > \gimel_2 \qquad (\Im \in \Theta;\ \gimel_1, \gimel_2 \in [0, 1)).$$

---

[0] Received October 13, 2025. Revised December 22, 2025. Accepted January 3, 2026.
[0] 2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.
[0] Keywords: Analytic, univalent, starlike, convex, error function.
[0] Corresponding author: T. Al-Hawary(`tariq_amh@bau.edu.jo`).

Remark 1.1. For $B \in \digamma$, observe that $B \in C(\daleth_1, \daleth_2)$ if and only if $\Im B'(\Im) \in S^*(\daleth_1, \daleth_2)$.

Remark 1.2. For $\daleth_1 = 0$, we get $S^*(\daleth_1, \daleth_2) = S^*(\daleth_2)$ and $C(\daleth_1, \daleth_2) = C(\daleth_2)$, where $S^*(\daleth_2)$ and $C(\daleth_2)$ are the will-known classes of starlike and convex of order $\daleth_2 (0 \leq \daleth_2 < 1)$, respectively (see, Robertson [20]).

Definition 1.3. ([8]) A function $B \in \digamma$ be in the class $H^\tau(O_1, O_2)$, $\tau \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$, $-1 \leq O_2 < O_1 \leq 1$, if it fulfills the condition

$$\left| \frac{B'(\Im) - 1}{(O_1 - O_2)\tau - O_2[B'(\Im) - 1]} \right| < 1, \quad \Im \in \Theta.$$

If we put $\tau = 1$, $O_1 = \xi$, and $O_2 = -\xi$ $(0 < \xi \leq 1)$, we get the class of functions $B \in \digamma$ satisfying the condition

$$\left| \frac{B'(\Im) - 1}{B'(\Im) + 1} \right| < \xi, \quad (\Im \in \Theta, 0 < \xi \leq 1).$$

Geometric function theory is known to heavily rely on special functions. It's also common knowledge that special functions are not just used in the theory of geometric functions. These functions have several uses in various issues as well as in other areas of applied sciences and mathematics, see [3, 5, 11, 16, 17, 18].

Abramowitz and Stegun [1] defined the error function erB as:

$$erB\,(\Im) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{\Im} e^{-t^2} dt = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{\wp=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\wp}\, \Im^{2\wp+1}}{(2\wp + 1)\, \wp!}, \qquad (\Im \in \mathbb{C}), \qquad (1.2)$$

whereas the imaginary error function

$$erBi\,(\Im) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{\Im} e^{t^2} dt = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{\wp=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Im^{2\wp+1}}{(2\wp + 1)\, \wp!}, \qquad (\Im \in \mathbb{C}). \qquad (1.3)$$

Statistics, applied mathematics, and the physics of partial differential equations all heavily rely on the error function. An essential instrument in quantum physics for calculating the probability of observing a particle in a specific location is the error function. Numerous features and inequalities of the error function demonstrated by Alzer [2] and Coman [6], while the characteristics of the complementary error function examined by Elbert et al. [9].

A generalization of the error function (1.2) is

$$\begin{aligned} erB_t(\Im) &= \frac{t!}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{\Im} e^{-t^t} dt, \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \\ &= \frac{t!}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{\wp=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\wp} \Im^{t\wp+1}}{(t\wp+1)\,\wp!}, \qquad (\Im \in \mathbb{C}). \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

While a generalization of the imaginary error function (1.3) is

$$\begin{aligned} erBi_t(\Im) &= \frac{t!}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{\Im} e^{t^t} dt, \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ &= \frac{t!}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{\wp=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Im^{t\wp+1}}{(t\wp+1)\,\wp!}, \qquad (\Im \in \mathbb{C}). \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

From (1.4) and (1.5), we get

$$erB_0(\Im) = \frac{\Im}{e\sqrt{\pi}}, \; erB_1(\Im) = \frac{1-e^{\Im}}{\sqrt{\pi}} = -erBi_1(\Im), \; erB_2(\Im) = erB(\Im),$$

$$\text{and} \quad erBi_2(\Im) = erBi(\Im).$$

The functions $erB_t(\Im)$ and $erBi_t(\Im)$ are not in $\digamma$. So, we examine the following functions (see, Al-Hawary et al. [14]).

$$\begin{aligned} \wp_t(\Im) &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{t!} \Im^{\left(1-\frac{1}{t}\right)} erB\left(\Im^{1/t}\right) \\ &= \Im + \sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\wp-1}}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!} \Im^{\wp}, \qquad (t \in \mathbb{N}) \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \wp i_t(\Im) &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{t!} \Im^{\left(1-\frac{1}{t}\right)} erBi_t\left(\Im^{1/t}\right) \\ &= \Im + \sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!} \Im^{\wp}, \qquad (t \in \mathbb{N}). \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

From (1.6) and (1.7), we have

$$\wp_1 B(\Im) = \sqrt{\pi} erB_1(\Im) = 1 - e^{\Im}, \; \wp i_1 B(\Im) = \sqrt{\pi} erBi_1(\Im) = e^{\Im} - 1$$

and

$$\wp_2 B(\Im) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi \Im}}{2} erB_2\left(\sqrt{\Im}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \wp i_2 B(\Im) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi \Im}}{2} erBi_2\left(\sqrt{\Im}\right).$$

Let the function $\Pi i_t\left(\Im\right)$ be defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi i_t\left(\Im\right) &= 2\Im - \wp i_t\left(\Im\right)\\ &= \Im - \sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(\left(\wp-1\right)t+1\right)\left(\wp-1\right)!}\Im^{\wp}, \qquad \Im \in \Theta \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

and the linear operator $\mathcal{I}i_t : \digamma \to \digamma$ defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}i_t(\Im) &= \wp i_t\left(\Im\right) * B(\Im)\\ &= \Im + \sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(\left(\wp-1\right)t+1\right)\left(\wp-1\right)!}\gamma_{\wp}\Im^{\wp}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

Recently, several academics have employed a variety of special functions to determine certain requirements to be in subclasses of analytic functions (see [4, 7, 10, 12, 13, 15, 21, 22]). Inspired by these works, we will determine some sufficient properties of analytic subclasses functions $S^*(\gimel_1, \gimel_2)$ and $C(\gimel_1, \gimel_2)$.

Lemma 1.4. ([19]) (i) *A sufficient condition for a function B of the form* (1.1) *to be in the subclass $S^*(\gimel_1, \gimel_2)$ is that*

$$\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty} (\wp + \gimel_1 \wp(\wp-1) - \gimel_2)\left|\gamma_{\wp}\right| \leq 1 - \gimel_2. \tag{1.10}$$

Specifically, when $\gimel_1 = 0$, we get a sufficient condition for a function B to be in $S^*(\gimel_2)$ is that

$$\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty} (\wp - \gimel_2)\left|\gamma_{\wp}\right| \leq 1 - \gimel_2. \tag{1.11}$$

(ii) *A sufficient condition for a function B of the form* (1.1) *to be in the subclass $C(\gimel_1, \gimel_2)$ is that*

$$\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty} \wp(\wp + \gimel_1 \wp(\wp-1) - \gimel_2)\left|\gamma_{\wp}\right| \leq 1 - \gimel_2. \tag{1.12}$$

Specifically, when $\gimel_1 = 0$, we get a sufficient condition for a function B to be in the subclass $C(\gimel_2)$ is that

$$\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty} \wp(\wp - \gimel_2)\left|\gamma_{\wp}\right| \leq 1 - \gimel_2. \tag{1.13}$$

Lemma 1.5. ([8]) *If B of the form* (1.1) *and $B \in H^{\tau}(O_1, O_2)$, then*

$$\left|\gamma_{\wp}\right| \leq \frac{(O_1 - O_2)\left|\tau\right|}{\wp}, \qquad \wp \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}. \tag{1.14}$$

The last result is sharp for the function $B(\Im)$ given by

$$B(\Im)=\int\limits_{0}^{\Im}\left(1+\frac{(O_1-O_2)\tau t^{\wp-1}}{1+O_2t^{\wp-1}}\right)dt \quad (\,\Im\in\Theta,\ \wp\geq 2). \tag{1.15}$$

The following series sums are used in the sequel.

$$\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(\wp-1)\,2^{\wp}}=\frac{1}{2}\ln 2, \tag{1.16}$$

$$\sum_{\wp=3}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2^{\wp}\,(\wp-1)}=\frac{1}{2}\ln 2-\frac{1}{4} \tag{1.17}$$

and

$$\sum_{\wp=4}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2^{\wp}\,(\wp-1)}=\frac{1}{2}\ln 2-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{16}. \tag{1.18}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{\wp=d}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(\wp-1)\,2^{\wp}}=\frac{1}{2}\ln 2-\sum_{\wp=2}^{d-1}\frac{1}{(\wp-1)\,2^{\wp}},\ d=3,4,\cdots. \tag{1.19}$$

The following inequalities are also required

$$(\wp-1)t+1>(\wp-1)t \quad (\wp,t\in\mathbb{N}) \tag{1.20}$$

and

$$\wp!\geq 2^{\wp-1} \quad (\wp\in\mathbb{N}). \tag{1.21}$$

2. Sufficient conditions for the subclasses $S^*(\beth_1,\beth_2)$ and $C(\beth_1,\beth_2)$

In this section, we examine sufficient conditions for the function $\Pi i_t\,(\Im)$ to be in the subclasses $S^*(\beth_1,\beth_2)$ and $C(\beth_1,\beth_2)$.

Theorem 2.1. *If $t\in\mathbb{N}$, then $\Pi i_t\,(\Im)$ is in the subclass $S^*(\beth_1,\beth_2)$ if*

$$2\left[(8\beth_1-\beth_2+3)\ln 2-2\beth_1\right]\leq t\,(1-\beth_2) \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$(3\beth_1-\beth_2+2).e\leq(1-\beth_2)\,(t+2). \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. Since

$$\Pi i_t\,(\Im)=\Im-\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!}\Im^{\wp}, \tag{2.3}$$

by virtue of (1.10) it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(\gimel_1,\gimel_2) &= \sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}(\wp+\gimel_1\wp(\wp-1)-\gimel_2)\ \frac{1}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!} \\ &= \sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}(\gimel_1\wp^2+(1-\gimel_1)\wp-\gimel_2)\ \frac{1}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!} \\ &\leq 1-\gimel_2. \end{aligned}$$

Letting

$$\wp=(\wp-1)+1 \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\wp^2=(\wp-1)(\wp-2)+3(\wp-1)+1, \tag{2.5}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(\gimel_1,\gimel_2) &= \sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{\gimel_1(\wp-1)(\wp-2)}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!}+\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{(2\gimel_1+1)(\wp-1)}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!} \\ &\quad+\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{1-\gimel_2}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!} \\ &= \sum_{\wp=3}^{\infty}\frac{\gimel_1}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-3)!}+\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{2\gimel_1+1}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-2)!} \\ &\quad+\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{1-\gimel_2}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(\gimel_1,\gimel_2) \leq \frac{1}{t}\Bigg(&\sum_{\wp=3}^{\infty}\frac{\gimel_1}{(\wp-1)\,(\wp-3)!} \\ &+\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{2\gimel_1+1}{(\wp-1)\,(\wp-2)!}+\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{1-\gimel_2}{(\wp-1)\,(\wp-1)!}\Bigg). \end{aligned}$$

By (1.21), we have

$$L_1(\gimel_1,\gimel_2) \leq \frac{1}{t}\left(\sum_{\wp=3}^{\infty}\frac{16\gimel_1}{(\wp-1)\,2^{\wp}}+\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{8\,(2\gimel_1+1)}{(\wp-1)\,2^{\wp}}+\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{4\,(1-\gimel_2)}{(\wp-1)\,2^{\wp}}\right).$$

Using (1.16) and (1.17), we have

$$L_1(\gimel_1,\gimel_2) \leq \frac{\gimel_1}{t}\,(8\ln 2-4)+\frac{4\,(2\gimel_1+1)}{t}(\ln 2)+\frac{2(1-\gimel_2)}{t}\ln 2. \tag{2.6}$$

But the inequality (2.6) is bounded above by $1-\beth_2$ if (2.1) holds. □

Theorem 2.2. *If* $t\in\mathbb{N}$, *then* $\Pi i_t(\Im)$ *is in the subclass* $C(\beth_1,\beth_2)$ *if*

$$2\left[(36\beth_1-3\beth_2+11)\ln 2-(15\beth_1+2)\right]\le t(1-\beth_2) \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$(10\beth_1-2\beth_2+5).e\le(1-\beth_2)\,(t+2). \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. Since $\Pi i_t(\Im)$ is given by (2.3) and by virtue of (1.12) it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} L_2(\beth_1,\beth_2) &= \sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\wp(\wp+\beth_1\wp(\wp-1)-\beth_2)\,\frac{1}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!}\\ &= \sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}(\beth_1\wp^3+(1-\beth_1)\wp^2-\beth_2\wp)\,\frac{1}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!}\\ &\le 1-\beth_2. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.4), (2.5), and $\wp^3=(\wp-1)(\wp-2)(\wp-3)+6(\wp-1)(\wp-2)+7(\wp-1)+1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_2(\beth_1,\beth_2) &= \sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{\beth_1(\wp-1)(\wp-2)(\wp-3)}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!}+\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{(5\beth_1+1)(\wp-1)(\wp-2)}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!}\\ &\quad+\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{(4\beth_1-\beth_2+3)\,(\wp-1)}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!}+\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{1-\beth_2}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!}\\ &= \sum_{\wp=4}^{\infty}\frac{\beth_1}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-4)!}+\sum_{\wp=3}^{\infty}\frac{5\beth_1+1}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-3)!}\\ &\quad+\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{4\beth_1-\beth_2+3}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-2)!}+\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{1-\beth_2}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_2(\beth_1,\beth_2) \le \frac{1}{t}\Bigg(&\sum_{\wp=4}^{\infty}\frac{\beth_1}{(\wp-1)\,(\wp-4)!}+\sum_{\wp=3}^{\infty}\frac{5\beth_1+1}{(\wp-1)\,(\wp-3)!}\\ &+\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{4\beth_1-\beth_2+3}{(\wp-1)\,(\wp-2)!}+\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{1-\beth_2}{(\wp-1)\,(\wp-1)!}\Bigg). \end{aligned}$$

By (1.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_2(\beth_1,\beth_2) \ &\leq \ \frac{1}{t}\left(\sum_{\wp=4}^{\infty}\frac{32\beth_1}{(\wp-1)\,2^{\wp}}+\sum_{\wp=3}^{\infty}\frac{16(5\beth_1+1)}{(\wp-1)\,2^{\wp}}\right. \\ &\left.\quad+\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{8\,(4\beth_1-\beth_2+3)}{(\wp-1)\,2^{\wp}}+\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{4\,(1-\beth_2)}{(\wp-1)\,2^{\wp}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the series sums (1.16), (1.17) and (1.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_2(\beth_1,\beth_2) \ &\leq \ \frac{\beth_1}{t}\,(16\ln 2-10)+\frac{5\beth_1+1}{t}\,(8\ln 2-4) \\ &\quad+\frac{4\beth_1-\beth_2+3}{t}(4\ln 2)+\frac{1-\beth_2}{t}\,(2\ln 2)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

But the inequality (2.9) is bounded above by $1-\beth_2$ if (2.7) holds. □

3. Inclusion properties

In this section, we examine the action of the function $\mathcal{I}i_t(\Im)$ on the subclasses $S^*(\beth_1,\beth_2)$ and $C(\beth_1,\beth_2)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $t\in\mathbb{N}$. *If* $B\in H^{\tau}(O_1,O_2)$. *Then* $\mathcal{I}i_t(\Im)$ *is in the subclass* $S^*(\beth_1,\beth_2)$ *if*

$$(O_1-O_2)|\tau|(4\beth_1-\beth_2+2)\ln 2\leq t\,(1-\beth_2) \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$(\beth_1+\beth_2+1).e-1-2\beth_2\leq\frac{(1-\beth_2)\,(t+1)}{(O_1-O_2)|\tau|}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. In view of (1.10), it suffices to show that

$$M_1(\beth_1,\beth_2)=\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}(\wp+\beth_1\wp(\wp-1)-\beth_2)\frac{1}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!}\,|\gamma_{\wp}|\leq 1-\beth_2.$$

Since $B\in H^{\tau}(O_1,O_2)$, then by virtue of (1.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(\beth_1,\beth_2) \ &\leq \ (O_1-O_2)|\tau|\left(\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{\beth_1\wp}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!}\right. \\ &\left.\quad+\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{1-\beth_1}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!}-\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{\beth_2}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\wp!}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(\gimel_1,\gimel_2) &\leq (O_1-O_2)|\tau|\left(\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{\gimel_1(\wp-1)}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!}\right.\\ &\quad\left.+\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!}-\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{\gimel_2}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\wp!}\right)\\ &= (O_1-O_2)|\tau|\left(\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{\gimel_1}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-2)!}\right.\\ &\quad\left.+\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!}-\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{\gimel_2}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\wp!}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By (1.20) and (1.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(\gimel_1,\gimel_2) &\leq \frac{(O_1-O_2)|\tau|}{t}\Big(8\gimel_1\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(\wp-1)\,2^{\wp}}\\ &\quad+4\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(\wp-1)\,2^{\wp}}-2\gimel_2\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(\wp-1)\,2^{\wp}}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

By (1.16), we get

$$M_1(\gimel_1,\gimel_2)\leq\frac{(O_1-O_2)|\tau|}{t}(4\gimel_1+2-\gimel_2)\ln 2. \tag{3.3}$$

But the inequality (3.3) is bounded above by $1-\gimel_2$ if (3.1) holds. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $t\in\mathbb{N}$. *If* $B\in H^{\tau}(O_1,O_2)$, *then* $\mathcal{I}i_t(\Im)$ *is in the subclass* $C(\gimel_1,\gimel_2)$ *if*

$$2(O_1-O_2)|\tau|\left[(8\gimel_1-\gimel_2+3)\ln 2-2\gimel_1\right]\leq t\,(1-\gimel_2) \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$(3\gimel_1-\gimel_2+2).e+\gimel_2-1\leq\frac{(1-\gimel_2)\,(t+1)}{(O_1-O_2)|\tau|}. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. By view of (1.12), it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} M_2(\gimel_1,\gimel_2) &= \sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty}\wp(\wp+\gimel_1\wp(\wp-1)-\gimel_2)\frac{1}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!}\,|\gamma_{\wp}|\\ &\leq 1-\gimel_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $B \in H^{\tau}(O_1, O_2)$, then by virtue (1.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_2(\daleth_1, \daleth_2) &\leq (O_1 - O_2)|\tau| \sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty} (\wp + \daleth_1 \wp(\wp-1) - \daleth_2) \frac{1}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!} \\ &= (O_1 - O_2)|\tau| \sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty} (\daleth_1 \wp^2 + (1-\daleth_1)\wp - \daleth_2) \frac{1}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.4) and (2.5), then by a similar proof of Theorem 2.1, we have that $\mathcal{I}i_t(\Im) \in C(\daleth_1, \daleth_2)$ if (3.4) holds. □

4. SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS OF THE INTEGRAL OPERATOR $Ui_t(\Im)$

In this section, we examine the action of the operator

$$Ui_t(\Im) := \int_0^{\Im} \frac{\Pi i_t\,(\Im)}{t} dt, \ \Im \in \Theta \tag{4.1}$$

on the subclasses $S^*(\daleth_1, \daleth_2)$ and $C(\daleth_1, \daleth_2)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$. The integral operator $Ui_t(\Im)$ is in the subclass $S^*(\daleth_1, \daleth_2)$ if the following inequalities are holds*

$$|(4\daleth_1 - \daleth_2 + 2) \ln 2 \leq t\,(1 - \daleth_2) \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$(\daleth_1 + \daleth_2 + 1).e - 2\daleth_2 - 1 \leq (1 - \daleth_2)\,(t+1). \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. According to (1.8) it follows that

$$Ui_t(\Im) = \Im - \sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!} \frac{\Im^{\wp}}{\wp}, \ \ \Im \in \Theta. \tag{4.4}$$

From (1.10), the function $Ui_t(\Im)$ belongs to $S^*(\daleth_1, \daleth_2)$ if

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty} (\wp + \daleth_1 \wp(\wp-1) - \daleth_2)\, \frac{1}{\wp((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!} \\ &\quad = \sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty} \frac{\daleth_1 \wp}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!} + \sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty} \frac{1-\daleth_1}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!} \\ &\qquad - \sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty} \frac{\daleth_2}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\wp!} \leq 1 - \daleth_2. \end{aligned}$$

By a similar proof of Theorem 3.1, we get $Ui_t(\Im) \in S^*(\daleth_1, \daleth_2)$ if (4.2) holds. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$. The integral operator $Ui_t(\Im)$ is in the subclass $C(\daleth_1, \daleth_2)$ if the inequalities (2.1) and (2.2) are holds.*

Proof. Since $Ui_t(\Im)$ is given by (4.4) and by (1.12), the function $Ui_t(\Im)$ belongs to $C(\gimel_1, \gimel_2)$ if

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty} \wp(\wp + \gimel_1 \wp(\wp-1) - \gimel_2) \ \frac{1}{\wp((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!} \\
&\quad = \sum_{\wp=2}^{\infty} (\gimel_1 \wp^2 + (1-\gimel_1)\wp - \gimel_2) \ \frac{1}{((\wp-1)\,t+1)\,(\wp-1)!} \\
&\quad \le 1 - \gimel_2 .
\end{aligned}$$

By a similar proof of Theorem 3.2 we get $Ui_t(\Im) \in C(\gimel_1, \gimel_2)$ if (2.1) holds. □

For example, if $\gimel_1 = 0$, we get the following corollaries for the subclasses $S^*(\gimel_2)$ and $C(\gimel_2)$.

Corollary 4.3. *If* $t \in \mathbb{N}$, *then* $\Pi i_t(\Im) \in S^*(\gimel_2)$ *if*

$$2\,[(3-\gimel_2)\ln 2] \le t\,(1-\gimel_2) \quad \text{and} \quad (2-\gimel_2).e \le (1-\gimel_2)\,(t+2). \tag{4.5}$$

Corollary 4.4. *If* $t \in \mathbb{N}$, *then* $\Pi i_t(\Im) \in C(\gimel_2)$ *if*

$$2\,[(11-3\gimel_2)\ln 2 - 2] \le t(1-\gimel_2) \quad \text{and} \quad (5-2\gimel_2).e \le (1-\gimel_2)\,(t+2).$$

Corollary 4.5. *Let* $t \in \mathbb{N}$. *If* $B \in H^{\tau}(O_1, O_2)$, *then* $\mathcal{I}i_t(\Im) \in S^*(\gimel_1, \gimel_2)$ *if*

$$(O_1 - O_2)|\tau|(2-\gimel_2)\ln 2 \le t\,(1-\gimel_2) \ \text{ and } (\gimel_2+1).e - 2\gimel_2 - 1 \le \frac{(1-\gimel_2)\,(t+1)}{(O_1-O_2)|\tau|}.$$

Corollary 4.6. *Let* $t \in \mathbb{N}$. *If* $B \in H^{\tau}(O_1, O_2)$, *then* $\mathcal{I}i_t(\Im) \in C(\gimel_1, \gimel_2)$ *if*

$$2(O_1 - O_2)|\tau|\,[(3-\gimel_2)\ln 2 - 2\gimel_1] \le t\,(1-\gimel_2)$$

and

$$(2-\gimel_2).e + \gimel_2 - 1 \le \frac{(1-\gimel_2)\,(t+1)}{(O_1-O_2)|\tau|}.$$

Corollary 4.7. *Let* $t \in \mathbb{N}$. *The integral operator* $Ui_t(\Im) \in S^*(\gimel_1, \gimel_2)$ *if the inequalities*

$$|(2-\gimel_2)\ln 2 \le t\,(1-\gimel_2) \quad \text{and} \quad (\gimel_2+1).e - 2\gimel_2 - 1 \le (1-\gimel_2)\,(t+1)$$

holds.

Corollary 4.8. *Let* $t \in \mathbb{N}$. *The integral operator* $Ui_t(\Im) \in C(\gimel_1, \gimel_2)$ *if the inequality* (4.5) *holds.*

5. Conclusion

The conditions for the generalized normalized imaginary error function $\Pi i_t\left(\Im\right)$ to belong to the subclasses $S^*(\gimel_1, \gimel_2)$ and $C(\gimel_1, \gimel_2)$ of the analytic functions defined on the open unit disk Θ are established in this paper. We also investigate sufficient criteria for the integral operator $Ui_t(\Im)$ to belong to these subclasses, as well as the action of the function $\mathcal{I}i_t(\Im)$.

This study might inspire researchers to create additional conditions that allow the generalized normalized imaginary error function $\Pi i_t\left(\Im\right)$ to be a member of other analytic function subclasses defined in Θ.

References

[1] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical functions with formulas, Graphs and Matematical Tables*, Dorer Publications Inc., New York, 1965.

[2] H. Alzer, *Error functions inequalities*, Adv. Comput. Math., **33** (2010), 349–379.

[3] A.A. Attiya, *Some applications of Mittag-Leffler function in the unit disk,* Filomat **30**(7) (2016), 2075–2081.

[4] R.M. El-Ashwah and W.Y. Kota, *Some condition on a Poisson distribution series to be in subclasses of univalent functions*, Acta Univ., Apulensis, **5** (2017), 89–103.

[5] N.E. Cho, S.Y. Woo and S. Owa, *Uniform convexity properties for hypergeometric functions,* Fract. Cal. Appl. Anal., **5**(3) (2002), 303–313.

[6] D. Coman, *The radius of starlikeness for error function*, Stud. Univ. Babes Bolyal Math., **36** (1991), 13–16.

[7] S.M. El-Deeb, T. Bulboacă and J. Dziok, *Pascal distribution series connected with certain subclasses of univalent functions*, Kyungpook Math. J., **59** (2019), 301–314.

[8] K.K. Dixit and S.K. Pal, *On a class of univalent functions related to complex order*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **26**(9) (1995), 889–896.

[9] A. Elbert and A. Laforgia, *The zeros of the complementary error function,* Numer. Algorithms., **49** (2008), 153–157.

[10] B.A. Frasin, *On certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with Poisson distribution series*, Acta Univ. Sapientiae, Mathematica, **11**(1) (2019), 78–86.

[11] B.A. Frasin, T. Al-Hawary, F. Yousef and I. Aldawish, *On subclasses of analytic functions associated with Struve functions,* Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **27**(1) (2022), 99–100.

[12] B.A. Frasin, F. Yousef, T. Al-Hawary and I. Aldawish, *Application of generalized Bessel functions to classes of analytic functions*, Afr. Math., **32** (2021), 431–439.

[13] T. Al-Hawary, I. Aldawish, B.A. Frasin, O. Alkam and F. Yousef, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for normalized Wright functions to be in certain classes of analytic functions,* Mathematics, **10**(24) (2022), Ar. 4693, 1–11.

[14] T. Al-Hawary, B.A. Frasin and J. Salah, *Comprehensive Subfamilies of Bi-Univalent Functions Defined by Error Function Subordinate to Euler Polynomials*, Symmetry, **17** (2025), 256.

[15] T. Janani and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, *Inclusion results on subclasses of starlike and convex functions associated with struve functions*, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math., **32** (2014), 467–476.

[16] E. Merkes and B.T. Scott, *Starlike hypergeometric functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **12** (1961), 885–888.

[17] S.R. Mondal and A. Swaminathan, *Geometric properties of Generalized Bessel functions*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., **35**(1) (2012), 179–194.

[18] A.O. Mostafa, *A study on starlike and convex properties for hypergeometric functions*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **10**(3) (2009), 1–16.

[19] T. Thulasiram, K. Suchithra, T.V. Sudharsan and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, *Some inclusion results associated with certain subclass of analytic functions involving Hohlov operator*, Rev. R. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser.-A Math., **108** (2014), 711–720.

[20] M.S. Robertson, *On the theory of univalent functions*, Ann. Math., **37** (1936), 374–408.

[21] F. Yousef, T. Al-Hawary, B. Frasin and A. Alameer, *Inclusive Subfamilies of Complex Order Generated by Liouville-Caputo-Type Fractional Derivatives and Horadam Polynomials,* Fractal and Fractional, **9**(11) (2025), 698.

[22] F. Yousef, T. Al-Hawary, B. Frasin, J. Salah and M. Illafe, *Comprehensive Subclasses of Bi-univalent Functions Specified by Liouville-Caputo-Type Fractional Derivatives and Euler Polynomials*, Appl. Math. Inf. Sci., **20**(2) (2026), 497–502.