Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications
Vol. 22, No. 4 (2017), pp. 825-839
ISSN: 1229-1595(print), 2466-0973(online)

http://nfaa.kyungnam.ac.kr/journal-nfaa
Copyright © 2017 Kyungnam University Press

AN IMPLICIT ITERATION PROCESS FOR MIXED TYPE NONLINEAR MAPPINGS IN CONVEX METRIC SPACES

G. S. Saluja[1] and H. G. Hyun[2]

[1]Department of Mathematics
Govt. Kaktiya P. G. College, Jagdalpur - 494001, Chhattisgarh, India,
e-mail: saluja1963@gmail.com

[2]Department of Mathematics Education, Kyungnam University
Changwon, Gyeongnam, 51767, Korea,
e-mail: hyunhg8285@kyungnam.ac.kr

Abstract. In this paper, we proposed an *implicit iteration process* for a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings and a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense and establish some strong convergence theorems in the setting of convex metric spaces. Also, we give some applications of our result. Our results extend and generalize several results from the current existing literature.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of numbers and $J = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, the set of first N natural numbers. Denote by $F(T)$ the set of fixed points of T and by

$$F := \Big(\cap_{j=1}^{N} F(T_j) \Big) \cap \Big(\cap_{j=1}^{N} F(S_j) \Big)$$

the set of common fixed points of two finite families of mappings $\{T_j : j \in J\}$ and $\{S_j : j \in J\}$.

Let us recall some definitions.

[0]Received March 13, 2017. Revised June 13, 2017.
[0]2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H09, 47H10.
[0]Keywords: Mixed type implicit iteration process, asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mapping, asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mapping in the intermediate sense, common fixed point, convex metric space, strong convergence.

Definition 1.1. [18] Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping $W\colon X \times X \times [0,1] \to X$ is said to be a convex structure on X if for each $(x, y, \lambda) \in X \times X \times [0,1]$ and $u \in X$,

$$d(u, W(x, y, \lambda)) \leq \lambda d(u, x) + (1-\lambda) d(u, y).$$

A metric space X together with the convex structure W is called a *convex metric space*.

Definition 1.2. Let X be a convex metric space. A nonempty subset F of X is said to be convex if $W(x, y, \lambda) \in F$ whenever $(x, y, \lambda) \in F \times F \times [0,1]$.

In 1982, Kirk [11] used the term "hyperbolic type spaces" for convex metric spaces, and studied iteration processes for nonexpansive mappings in this abstract setting. Later on, many authors discussed the existence of the fixed point and the convergence of the iterative process for various mappings in convex metric spaces (see, for example, [1, 2, 3, 7, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, 18]).

Recently, Yildirim and Khan [21] extend Definition 1.1 as follows:

Definition 1.3. A mapping $W\colon X^3 \times [0,1]^3 \to X$ is said to be a convex structure on X, if it satisfies the following condition: For any $(x, y, z; a, b, c) \in X^3 \times [0,1]^3$ with $a+b+c=1$, and $u \in X$:

$$d(W(x, y, z; a, b, c), u) \leq a d(x, u) + b d(y, u) + c d(z, u).$$

If (X, d) is a metric space with a convex structure W, then (X, d) is called a convex metric space.

Let (X, d) be a convex metric space. A nonempty subset E of X is said to be convex if $W(x, y, z; a, b, c) \in E$, $\forall\, (x, y, z) \in E^3$, $(a, b, c) \in [0,1]^3$ with $a+b+c=1$.

Takahashi [18] has shown that open sphere $B(x, r) = \{y \in X : d(y, x) < r\}$ and closed sphere $B[x, r] = \{y \in X : d(y, x) \leq r\}$ are convex. All normed spaces and their convex subsets are convex metric spaces. But there are many examples of convex metric spaces which are not embedded in any normed space (see [18]).

Remark 1.4. Every normed space is a special convex metric space with a convex structure $W(x, y, z; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \alpha\, x + \beta\, y + \gamma\, z$, for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0,1]$ with $\alpha+\beta+\gamma=1$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, W(x, y, z; \alpha, \beta, \gamma)) &= \|u - (\alpha\, x + \beta\, y + \gamma\, z)\| \\ &\leq \alpha\|u-x\| + \beta\|u-y\| + \gamma\|u-z\| \\ &= \alpha d(u, x) + \beta d(u, y) + \gamma d(u, z),\ \forall\, u \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.5. A mapping $T\colon X \to X$ is called:

(1) Nonexpansive if $d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.
(2) Quasi-nonexpansive if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $d(Tx, p) \leq d(x, p)$ for all $x \in X$ and $p \in F(T)$.
(3) Asymptotically nonexpansive [5] if there exists a sequence $u_n \in [0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty} u_n = 0$ such that $d(T^n x, T^n y) \leq (1 + u_n)d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(4) Uniformly L-Lipschitzian if there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that $d(T^n x, T^n y) \leq L\, d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(5) Asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and there exists a sequence $u_n \in [0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty} u_n = 0$ such that $d(T^n x, p) \leq (1 + u_n)d(x, p)$ for all $x \in X$, $p \in F(T)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(6) Asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive in the intermediate sense [21] if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and the following inequality holds:

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{p\in F(T),\, y\in X} \Big(d(p, T^n y) - d(p, y)\Big) \leq 0. \tag{1.1}$$

If we define

$$\rho_n = \max\{0, \sup_{p\in F(T),\, y\in X} (d(p, T^n y) - d(p, y))\},$$

then $\rho_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. It follows that (1.1) reduced to

$$d(p, T^n y) \quad \leq \quad d(p, y) + \rho_n, \tag{1.2}$$

for all $p \in F(T)$, $y \in X$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 1.6. From Definition 1.5, if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, then the following statements are obvious:

(1) Every quasi-nonexpansive mapping is asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive.
(2) Every asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mapping is asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive in the intermediate sense.
(3) The converse of these statements may not be true in general.

In 2001, Xu and Ori [20] introduced the following *implicit iteration process* for common fixed points of a finite family of nonexpansive mappings $\{T_i : i \in I\}$ in Hilbert spaces:

$$x_n \quad = \quad \alpha_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha_n)T_n x_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $T_n = T_{n(mod\, N)}$ and $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a real sequence in $(0, 1)$. They proved a weak convergence theorem using this process.

In 2003, Sun [17] extended the process (1.3) to the following process for common fixed points of a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings $\{T_i : i \in I\}$ in uniformly convex Banach spaces:

$$x_n \quad = \quad \alpha_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha_n)T_i^k x_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $n = (k-1)N + i$, $i \in I$ and $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a real sequence in $(0,1)$.

Sun [17] studied the strong convergence of the process (1.4) for common fixed points of the mappings $\{T_i : i \in I\}$, requiring only one member of the family to be semicompact. The results of Sun [17] generalized and extended the corresponding results of Xu and Ori [20].

In 2008, Khan et al. [6] studied the following n-step iterative processes for a finite family of mappings $\{T_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Let $x_1 \in K$ and the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = (1-\alpha_{kn})x_n + \alpha_{kn} T_k^n y_{(k-1)n}, \\ y_{(k-1)n} = (1-\alpha_{(k-1)n})x_n + \alpha_{(k-1)n} T_{k-1}^n y_{(k-2)n}, \\ \vdots \\ y_{2n} = (1-\alpha_{2n})x_n + \alpha_{2n} T_2^n y_{1n}, \\ y_{1n} = (1-\alpha_{1n})x_n + \alpha_{1n} T_1^n y_{0n},\ n \geq 1, \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

where $y_{0n} = x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\alpha_{in} \in [0,1]$, $n \geq 1$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

In 2010, Khan and Ahmed [7] considered the iteration process (1.5) in convex metric spaces as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = W(T_k^n y_{(k-1)n}, x_n; \alpha_{kn}), \\ y_{(k-1)n} = W(T_{k-1}^n y_{(k-2)n}, x_n; \alpha_{(k-1)n}), \\ \vdots \\ y_{2n} = W(T_2^n y_{1n}, x_n; \alpha_{2n}), \\ y_{1n} = W(T_1^n y_{0n}, x_n; \alpha_{1n}),\ n \geq 1, \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

where $y_{0n} = x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\alpha_{in} \in [0,1]$, $n \geq 1$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

In 2010, Khan et al. [8] introduced an *implicit iteration process* for two finite families of nonexpansive mappings as follows:

Let $(E, \|.\|)$ be Banach space and $S_i, T_i \colon E \to E$, $(i \in I)$ be two families of nonexpansive mappings. For any given $x_0 \in E$, define an iteration process $\{x_n\}$ as

$$x_n = \alpha_n x_{n-1} + \beta_n S_n x_n + \gamma_n T_n x_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.7}$$

where $T_n = T_{n(modN)}$, $S_n = S_{n(modN)}$ and $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$, $\{\gamma_n\}$ are three sequences in $(0,1)$ such that $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Recently, Yildirim and Khan [21] transformed iteration process (1.7) to the case of two families of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in convex metric spaces as follows:

Let (X, d, W) be a convex metric space with convex structure W and $T_i, S_i \colon X \to X$ be two finite families of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings. For any given $x_0 \in X$, we define iteration process $\{x_n\}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1 &= W(x_0, S_1x_1, T_1x_1; \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1)\\
x_2 &= W(x_1, S_2x_2, T_2x_2; \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2)\\
&\vdots\\
x_N &= W(x_{N-1}, S_Nx_N, T_Nx_N; \alpha_N, \beta_N, \gamma_N)\\
x_{N+1} &= W(x_N, S_1^2x_{N+1}, T_1^2x_{N+1}; \alpha_{N+1}, \beta_{N+1}, \gamma_{N+1})\\
&\vdots\\
x_{2N} &= W(x_{2N-1}, S_N^2x_{2N}, T_N^2x_{2N}; \alpha_{2N}, \beta_{2N}, \gamma_{2N})\\
x_{2N+1} &= W(x_{2N}, S_1^3x_{2N+1}, T_1^3x_{2N+1}; \alpha_{2N+1}, \beta_{2N+1}, \gamma_{2N+1})\\
&\vdots
\end{aligned}$$

This iteration process can be rewritten in the following form:

$$x_n = W\Big(x_{n-1}, S_i^kx_n, T_i^kx_n; \alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n\Big),\ n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.8}$$

where $n = (k-1)N + i$, $i \in I$ and $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$, $\{\gamma_n\}$ are three sequences in $(0, 1)$ such that $\alpha_n+\beta_n+\gamma_n = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and they established some strong convergence results which generalized some recent results of [7, 8, 17, 19, 20].

Notice that the iteration scheme (1.6) deals with one family and uses n-steps whereas (1.8) deals with two families and uses only one step. Hence our process is simpler than that used by [7] and is able to deal with two families at the same time.

Motivated and inspired by [21] and some others, we introduce and study the following iteration scheme:

Definition 1.7. Let (X, d, W) be a convex metric space with convex structure W, $S_j \colon X \to X$ be a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings and $T_j \colon X \to X$ be a finite families of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense. For any given $x_0 \in X$, we define iteration process $\{x_n\}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= W(x_0, S_1x_1, T_1x_1; \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1) \\ x_2 &= W(x_1, S_2x_2, T_2x_2; \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2) \\ &\vdots \\ x_N &= W(x_{N-1}, S_Nx_N, T_Nx_N; \alpha_N, \beta_N, \gamma_N) \\ x_{N+1} &= W(x_N, S_1^2x_{N+1}, T_1^2x_{N+1}; \alpha_{N+1}, \beta_{N+1}, \gamma_{N+1}) \\ &\vdots \\ x_{2N} &= W(x_{2N-1}, S_N^2x_{2N}, T_N^2x_{2N}; \alpha_{2N}, \beta_{2N}, \gamma_{2N}) \\ x_{2N+1} &= W(x_{2N}, S_1^3x_{2N+1}, T_1^3x_{2N+1}; \alpha_{2N+1}, \beta_{2N+1}, \gamma_{2N+1}) \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

This iteration process can be rewritten in the following compact form:

$$x_n = W\Big(x_{n-1}, S_i^kx_n, T_i^kx_n; \alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n\Big), \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.9}$$

where $n = (k-1)N + j$, $j \in J$ and $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$, $\{\gamma_n\}$ are three sequences in $(0,1)$ such that $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and establish some strong convergence results in the setting of convex metric spaces.

Lemma 1.8. ([12]) *Let $\{p_n\}$, $\{q_n\}$, $\{r_n\}$ be three sequences of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the following conditions:*

$$p_{n+1} \le (1+q_n)p_n + r_n, \ n \ge 0, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n < \infty, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n < \infty.$$

Then

(1) $\lim_{n\to\infty} p_n$ *exists.*
(2) *In addition, if* $\liminf_{n\to\infty} p_n = 0$*, then* $\lim_{n\to\infty} p_n = 0$.

Remark 1.9. It is easy to verify that (2) in Lemma 1.8 holds under the hypothesis $\limsup_{n\to\infty} p_n = 0$ as well. Therefore, the condition (2) in Lemma 1.8 can be reformulated as follows:

(2') If either $\liminf_{n\to\infty} p_n = 0$ or $\limsup_{n\to\infty} p_n = 0$, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} p_n = 0$.

2. Main results

In this section, we prove some strong convergence theorems using iteration scheme (1.9) in the framework of convex metric spaces. First, we shall need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let (X, d, W) be a convex metric space with convex structure W and $\{S_j\colon X \to X\}\,(j \in J)$ be a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings with a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset [0, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n < \infty$ and $\{T_j\colon X \to X\}\,(j \in J)$ be a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense. Suppose that $F \neq \emptyset$ and that $x_0 \in X$, $\{\beta_n\} \subset (s, 1-s)$ for some $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty$. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is as in (1.9). Put*

$$\mathcal{A}_n \quad = \quad \max\Big\{ \max_{1\le j\le N} \sup_{p\in F,\, y\in X} \Big(d(p, T_j^n y) - d(p, y)\Big) \vee 0 \Big\} \tag{2.1}$$

where $n = (k-1)N + j$ and $j \in J$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n < \infty$. Then we have:

(i) $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, p)$ *exists for all $p \in F$.*
(ii) $\lim_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F)$ *exists, where $D(x, F) = \inf\{d(x, y) : y \in F\}$.*
(iii) *If $\lim_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) = 0$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.*

Proof. (i) Let $p \in F$ and $n = (k-1)N + j$, $j \in J$. Then from (1.9) and (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, p) &= d(W(x_{n-1}, S_j^n x_n, T_j^n x_n; \alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n, p) \\ &\le \alpha_n\, d(x_{n-1}, p) + \beta_n\, d(S_j^n x_n, p) + \gamma_n\, d(T_j^n x_n, p) \\ &\le \alpha_n\, d(x_{n-1}, p) + \beta_n (1 + u_n) d(x_n, p) \\ &\quad + \gamma_n [d(x_n, p) + \mathcal{A}_n] \\ &\le \alpha_n\, d(x_{n-1}, p) + [\beta_n (1 + u_n) + \gamma_n] d(x_n, p) + \gamma_n \mathcal{A}_n \\ &= \alpha_n\, d(x_{n-1}, p) + (\beta_n + \gamma_n) d(x_n, p) + \beta_n u_n d(x_n, p) \\ &\quad + \gamma_n \mathcal{A}_n. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma_n = 0$, there exists a natural number N_1 such that $n > N_1$, $\gamma_n \le \frac{s}{2}$. Therefore

$$1 - \beta_n - \gamma_n \ge 1 - (1 - s) - \frac{s}{2} = \frac{s}{2}$$

for $n > N_1$. Thus, from (2.3), we have

$$(1 - \beta_n - \gamma_n) d(x_n, p) \quad \le \quad \alpha_n\, d(x_{n-1}, p) + u_n d(x_n, p) + \gamma_n \mathcal{A}_n$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, p) &\le \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n - \gamma_n} d(x_{n-1}, p) + \frac{u_n}{1 - \beta_n - \gamma_n} d(x_n, p) \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma_n}{1 - \beta_n - \gamma_n} \mathcal{A}_n \\ &\le d(x_{n-1}, p) + \frac{2}{s} u_n d(x_n, p) + \mathcal{A}_n. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} u_n = 0$, there exists a natural number N_2 such tat $n \geq N_2$ and

$$u_n \leq \frac{s}{4}. \tag{2.4}$$

From (2.3), we have

$$\Big(1 - \frac{2}{s}u_n\Big)d(x_n, p) \quad \leq \quad d(x_{n-1}, p) + \mathcal{A}_n.$$

That is,

$$d(x_n, p) \quad \leq \quad \frac{s}{s-2u_n}d(x_{n-1}, p) + \frac{s}{s-2u_n}\mathcal{A}_n. \tag{2.5}$$

Let

$$1 + \psi_n = \frac{s}{s-2u_n} = 1 + \frac{2u_n}{s-2u_n}.$$

But from (2.4), $2u_n \leq \frac{s}{2}$, $s - 2u_n \geq s - \frac{s}{2} = \frac{s}{2}$ so that $\frac{1}{s-2u_n} \leq \frac{2}{s}$ and so $\psi_n = \frac{2u_n}{s-2u_n} \leq \frac{4}{s}u_n$. Thus, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{4}{s}u_n < \infty.$$

Now by (2.5), we have

$$d(x_n, p) \quad \leq \quad (1 + \psi_n)d(x_{n-1}, p) + 2\mathcal{A}_n. \tag{2.6}$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n < \infty$, it follows from Lemma 1.8(i) that $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, p)$ exists.

(ii) Taking infimum over all $p \in F$ in equation (2.6), we have at

$$D(x_n, F) \quad \leq \quad (1 + \psi_n)D(x_{n-1}, F) + 2\mathcal{A}_n. \tag{2.7}$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n < \infty$, it follows from Lemma 1.8(i) that $\lim_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F)$ exists.

(iii) Note that, when $x > 0$, $1 + x \leq e^x$. Thus from (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{n+m}, p) &\leq (1+\psi_{n+m})d(x_{n+m-1}, p) + 2\mathcal{A}_{n+m} \\
&\leq e^{\psi_{n+m}} d(x_{n+m-1}, p) + 2\mathcal{A}_{n+m} \\
&\leq e^{[\psi_{n+m}+\psi_{n+m-1}]} d(x_{n+m-2}, p) \\
&\quad +2e^{[\psi_{n+m}+\psi_{n+m-1}]}[\mathcal{A}_{n+m} + \mathcal{A}_{n+m-1}] \\
&\vdots \\
&\leq \Big(e^{\sum_{k=n+1}^{n+m} \psi_k}\Big) d(x_n, p) + 2\Big(e^{\sum_{k=n+1}^{n+m} \psi_k}\Big)\Big(\sum_{k=n+1}^{n+m} \mathcal{A}_k\Big) \\
&\leq \Big(e^{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \psi_k}\Big) d(x_n, p) + \Big(e^{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \psi_k}\Big)\Big(\sum_{k=n+1}^{n+m} \mathcal{A}_k\Big) \\
&= R\Big(d(x_n, p) + 2\sum_{k=n+1}^{n+m} \mathcal{A}_k\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $p \in F$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R = e^{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \psi_k}$. That is,

$$d(x_{n+m}, p) \leq R\Big(d(x_n, p) + 2\sum_{k=n+1}^{n+m} \mathcal{A}_k\Big). \tag{2.8}$$

Now we use (2.8) to prove that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. From the hypothesis $\lim_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) = 0$ and $\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_k < \infty$, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$D(x_n, F) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(R+1)}, \ \forall\, n \geq N_3 \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_k < \frac{\varepsilon}{4R}, \ \forall\, k \geq N_3. \tag{2.10}$$

Thus, there exists $q \in F$ such that

$$d(x_n, q) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(R+1)}, \ \forall\, n \geq N_3. \tag{2.11}$$

Using (2.10) and (2.11) in (2.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+m}, x_n) &\leq d(x_{n+m}, q) + d(x_n, q) \\ &\leq Rd(x_n, q) + 2R \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+m} \mathcal{A}_k + d(x_n, q) \\ &= (R+1)d(x_n, q) + 2R \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+m} \mathcal{A}_k \\ &< (R+1).\Big(\frac{\varepsilon}{2(R+1)}\Big) + 2R.\Big(\frac{\varepsilon}{4R}\Big) \\ &= \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for all $n, m \geq N_3$. Thus $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let (X, d, W) be a convex metric space with convex structure W and $\{S_j : X \to X\}\,(j \in J)$ be a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings with a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset [0, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n < \infty$ and $\{T_j : X \to X\}\,(j \in J)$ be a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense. Suppose that $F \neq \emptyset$ and that $x_0 \in X$, $\{\beta_n\} \subset (s, 1-s)$ for some $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty$ and $\mathcal{A}_n$ as in Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is as in (1.9). Then*

- (A_1) $\liminf_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) = 0$ *if $\{x_n\}$ converges to a unique point in F.*
- (A_2) *$\{x_n\}$ converges to a unique point in F if X is complete and either* $\liminf_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) = 0$ *or* $\limsup_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) = 0$.

Proof. (A_1) Let $\{x_n\}$ be convergent to q. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, q) = 0$. So, for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d(x_n, q) < \varepsilon, \forall\, n \geq n_0.$$

Taking the infimum over $q \in F$, we obtain

$$D(x_n, F) < \varepsilon, \forall\, n \geq n_0.$$

This means thar $\lim_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) = 0$, so we have $\liminf_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) = 0$.

(A_2) Suppose that X is complete and $\liminf_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) = 0$ or $\limsup_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) = 0$. Then, we have from condition (ii) in Lemma 1.8 and Remark 1.9 that $\lim_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) = 0$. From the completeness of X and Lemma 2.1, we get that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n$ exists and equals $u \in X$ (say). Moreover, since the set F of common fixed points of two finite families of mixed mappings is closed, $u \in F$ from $\lim_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) = 0$. This shows that u is a common fixed point of $\{T_j : j \in J\}$ and $\{S_j : j \in J\}$. Hence $\{x_n\}$ converges to a unique point in F. This completes the proof. □

3. Applications

As an application of Theorem 2.2, we establish some strong convergence results as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X, d, W) be a convex metric space with convex structure W and $\{S_j : X \to X\}\,(j \in J)$ be a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings with a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset [0, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n < \infty$ and $\{T_j : X \to X\}\,(j \in J)$ be a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense. Suppose that $F \neq \emptyset$ and $x_0 \in X$, $\{\beta_n\} \subset (s, 1-s)$ for some $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty$ and $\mathcal{A}_n$ as in Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is as in (1.9). Assume that the following two conditions hold:*

- (B_1) $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$;
- (B_2) *the sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X satisfying $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0$ implies $\liminf_{n\to\infty} D(y_n, F) = 0$ or $\limsup_{n\to\infty} D(y_n, F) = 0$.*

Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to a unique point in F.

Proof. From conditions (B_1) and (B_2), we have

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) = 0 \text{ or } \limsup_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) = 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain from (A_2) in Theorem 2.2 that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to a unique point in F. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X, d, W) be a convex metric space with convex structure W and $\{S_j : X \to X\}\,(j \in J)$ be a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings with a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset [0, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n < \infty$ and $\{T_j : X \to X\}\,(j \in J)$ be a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense. Suppose that $F \neq \emptyset$ and that $x_0 \in X$, $\{\beta_n\} \subset (s, 1-s)$ for some $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty$ and $\mathcal{A}_n$ as in Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is as in (1.9). Assume that $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, S_j x_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, T_j x_n) = 0$ for all $j \in J$. If there exists an T_j or S_j, $j \in J$, which is semi-compact. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point in F.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that T_1 is semi-compact. From Lemma 2.1, we know that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and by hypothesis of the theorem

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, S_j x_n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, T_j x_n) = 0,$$

for all $j \in J$. Since T_1 is semi-compact and $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, T_1x_n) = 0$, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_r}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n_r} \to x^* \in X$. Thus

$$d(x^*, T_jx^*) = \lim_{r\to\infty} d(x_{n_r}, T_jx_{n_r}) = 0$$

and

$$d(x^*, S_jx^*) = \lim_{r\to\infty} d(x_{n_r}, S_jx_{n_r}) = 0$$

for all $j \in J$. Which implies that $x^* \in F$ and so

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) \leq \liminf_{r\to\infty} D(x_{n_r}, F) \leq \lim_{r\to\infty} d(x_{n_r}, x^*) = 0.$$

It follows from Theorem 2.2 that $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a point in F. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.3. *Let (X, d, W) be a convex metric space with convex structure W and $\{S_j : X \to X\}$ $(j \in J)$ be a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings with a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset [0, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n < \infty$ and $\{T_j : X \to X\}$ $(j \in J)$ be a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense satisfying $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, S_jx_n)$ $= \lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, T_jx_n) = 0$ for all $j \in J$. Suppose that $F \neq \emptyset$ and that $x_0 \in X$, $\{\beta_n\} \subset (s, 1-s)$ for some $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty$ and $\mathcal{A}_n$ as in Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is as in (1.9). If one of the following condition is true, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.9) converges to a unique point in F.*

- (C_1) *If there exists a nondecreasing function $g_1 : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ with $g_1(0) = 0$, $g_1(t) > 0$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$ such that either $d(x_n, T_jx_n) \geq g_1(D(x_n, F))$ or $d(x_n, S_jx_n) \geq g_1(D(x_n, F))$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in J$. (See Condition A' of* [4]*).*
- (C_2) *There exists a function $g_2 : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ which is right continuous at 0, $g_2(0) = 0$ and $g_2(d(x_n, T_jx_n)) \geq D(x_n, F)$ or $g_2(d(x_n, S_jx_n)) \geq D(x_n, F)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in J$.*

Proof. First suppose that (C_1) holds. Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} g_1(D(x_n, F)) \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, T_jx_n) = 0$$

or

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} g_1(D(x_n, F)) \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, S_jx_n) = 0.$$

In both the cases, we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} g_1(D(x_n, F)) = 0.$$

Since $g_1 : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a nondecreasing function satisfying $g_1(0) = 0$, $g_1(t) > 0$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$, therefore we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) = 0.$$

Thus all the conditions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied, therefore by its conclusion the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point in F.

Next, assume that (C_2) holds. Then either

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} g_2(d(x_n, T_j x_n)) = g_2(\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, T_j x_n) = g_2(0) = 0$$

or

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} g_2(d(x_n, S_j x_n)) = g_2(\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, S_j x_n) = g_2(0) = 0.$$

Again in both the cases, $\lim_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) = 0$. Thus, $\liminf_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) = 0$ or $\limsup_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) = 0$. Hence by Theorem 2.2, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point in F. This completes the proof. □

Now, we give an example in support of our result: take two mappings $T_1 = T_2 = \cdots = T_N = T$ and $S_1 = S_2 = \cdots = S_N = S$ as follows:

Example 3.4. Let $X = [0, 1]$ with the usual metric $d(x, y) = |x - y|$. For each $x \in X$, define two mappings T, $S \colon X \to X$ by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{2} \sin\frac{1}{x}, & \text{if } x \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$S(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{3}, & \text{if } x \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then S is an asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mapping with constant sequence $\{k_n\} = \{1\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and uniformly L-Lipschtzian mappings with $L = \sup_{n\geq 1}\{k_n\}$ and T is also an asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mapping with constant sequence $\{k_n\} = \{1\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence is an asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense by Remark 1.4. Also $F(S) = \{0\}$ is the unique fixed point of S and $F(T) = \{0\}$ is the unique fixed point of T, that is, $F = F(S) \cap F(T) = \{0\}$ is the unique common fixed point of S and T.

4. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we proposed and study an implicit iteration process for a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings and a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense in convex metric spaces and establish some strong convergence results. Also, we give some applications of our result in the setting of convex metric spaces. The results presented in this paper are extensions and improvements of several corresponding results from the current existing literature (see, for example, [7, 8, 14, 17, 19, 20, 21] and many others).

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by Kyungnam University Research Fund 2017.

References

[1] I. Beg, M. Abbas and J. K. Kim, *Convergence theorems of the iterative schemes in convex metric spaces*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl. **11(3)** (2006), 421–436.

[2] S. S. Chang, J. K. Kim and D. S. Jin, *Iterative sequences with errors for asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive type mappings in convex metric spaces*, Archives Ineq. Appl. **2** (2004), 365–374.

[3] S. S. Chang, L. Yang and X. R. Wang, *Strong convergence theorems for an infinite family of uniformly quasi-Lipschitzian mappings in convex metric spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput. **217** (2010), 277–282.

[4] H. Fukhar-ud-din and S. H. Khan, *Convergence of iterates with errors of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings and applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **328** (2007), 821–829.

[5] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *A fixed point theorem for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **35(1)** (1972), 171–174.

[6] A. R. Khan, A. A. Domlo and H. Fukhar-ud-din, *Common fixed points of Noor iteration for a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **341** (2008), 1–11.

[7] A. R. Khan and M. A. Ahmed, *Convergence of a general iterative scheme for a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in convex metric spaces and applications*, Comput. Math. Appl. **59** (2010), 2990–2995.

[8] S. H. Khan, I. Yildirim and M. Ozdemir, *Convergence of an implicit algorithm for two families of nonexpansive mappings*, Comput. Math. Appl. **59** (2010), 3084–3091.

[9] J. K. Kim, K. H. Kim and K. S. Kim, *Three-step iterative sequences with errors for asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in convex metric spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. Convex Anal. RIMS Vol. **1365** (2004), 156–165.

[10] J. K. Kim, K. S. Kim and S. M. Kim, *Convergence thorems of implicit iteration process for finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in convex metric spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. Convex Anal. **1484** (2006), 40–51.

[11] W. A. Kirk, *Krasnoselskii's iteration process in hyperbolic space*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **4** (1982), 371–381.

[12] Q. H. Liu, *Iterative sequences for asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings with error member*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **259** (2001), 18–24.

[13] G. S. Saluja, *Convergence of fixed point of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive type mappings in convex metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **1** (2008), 132–144.

[14] G. S. Saluja and H. K. Nashine, *Convergence of an implicit iteration process for a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in convex metric spaces*, Opuscula Math. **30(3)** (2010), 331–340.

[15] G. S. Saluja, *Approximating common fixed points for asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense in convex metric spaces*, Funct. Anal. Appro. Comput. **3(1)** (2011), 33–44.

[16] G. S. Saluja, *Convergence to common fixed points of multi-step iteration process for generalized asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in convex metric spaces*, Hacettepe J. Math. Stat. **43(2)** (2014), 205–221.

[17] Z. H. Sun, *Strong convergence of an implicit iteration process for a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **286** (2003), 351–358.

[18] W. Takahashi, *A convexity in metric space and nonexpansive mappings I*, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. **22** (1970), 142–149.

[19] R. Wittmann, *Approximation of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings*, Arch. Math. **58** (1992), 486–491.

[20] H. K. Xu and R. G. Ori, *An implicit iteration process for nonexpansive mappings*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **22** (2001), 767–773.

[21] Isa Yildirim and S. H. Khan, *Convergence theorems for common fixed points of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in convex metric spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput. **218** (2012), 4860–4866.