

## A NEW ITERATIVE ALGORITHM FOR GENERALIZED SPLIT EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEM IN HILBERT SPACES

Shenghua Wang<sup>1</sup>, Xiaomin Liu<sup>1</sup> and Young Seon An<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Physics  
North China Electric Power University, Baoding 071003, China  
e-mail: sheng-huawang@hotmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics Education  
Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 660-701, Korea  
e-mail: poohsun22@naver.com

**Abstract.** In this paper, we introduce a new non-combination iterative algorithm to find a solution of a generalized split equilibrium problem in Hilbert spaces. In our algorithm, the parameter  $\gamma$  is chosen from  $(0, \frac{2}{M^2})$ , where  $M$  is an arbitrary boundedness above of the norm  $\|A\|$  of the operator  $A$  which is such that the parameter  $\gamma$  is easier to chose.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space and  $C$  be a nonempty closed and convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $F : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bifunction. The *equilibrium problem* for  $F$  is to find  $z \in C$  such that

$$F(z, y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \quad (1.1)$$

The set of all solutions of (1.1) is denoted by  $EP(F)$ , i.e.,

$$EP(F) = \{z \in C : F(z, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C\}.$$

Let  $A : C \rightarrow H$  be a nonlinear operator. The *generalized equilibrium problem* for  $F$  and  $A$  is to find  $v \in C$  such that

$$F(v, y) + \langle Av, y - v \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \quad (1.2)$$

---

<sup>0</sup>Received October 18, 2017. Revised November 3, 2017.

<sup>0</sup>2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54E70, 47H25.

<sup>0</sup>Keywords: Split equilibrium problem, equilibrium problem, split feasible solution.

The set of all solutions of (1.2) is denoted by  $EP$ , i.e.,

$$EP = \{z \in C : F(z, y) + \langle Av, y - v \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\}.$$

In (1.2), if  $F = 0$ , then (1.2) is deduced to the following *variational inequality problem*: to find  $v \in C$  such that

$$\langle Av, y - v \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \quad (1.3)$$

The set of solutions of (1.3) is denoted by  $VI(C, A)$ .

Many problems in physics, optimization and economics can be reduced to find the solution of equilibrium problems (see [3, 11, 16, 18]). Also, many iterative algorithms are considered to find the solutions of variational inequality problems, equilibrium problems and generalized equilibrium problems (see [5, 16, 18, 21, 22, 23, 30, 31, 32]).

In 2012, He [8] introduced a new equilibrium problem called a split equilibrium problem which is also mentioned in [15].

Let  $H_1, H_2$  be two real Hilbert spaces and  $C, Q$  be the nonempty closed and convex subsets of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively. Let  $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  be a bounded linear operator and  $F_1 : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, F_2 : Q \times Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two bifunctions. The *split equilibrium problem* is to find  $x^* \in C$  such that

$$F_1(x^*, x) \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in C, \quad (1.4)$$

and such that

$$y^* = Ax^* \in Q \text{ solves } F_2(y^*, y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in Q. \quad (1.5)$$

Let  $\Omega$  denote the set of solutions of (1.4) and (1.5), that is,

$$\Omega = \{z \in C : z \in EP(F_1), Az \in EP(F_2)\}.$$

In [8], the author introduced some strong and weak iterative algorithms to solve the split equilibrium problem and some examples to illustrate the iterative algorithms.

In 2013, Kazmi and Rizvi [12] gave the following iterative algorithm to solve the split equilibrium problem and fixed point problem for nonexpansive mapping in Hilbert spaces:

$$\begin{cases} u_n = T_{r_n}^{F_1}(x_n + \gamma A^*(T_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n), \\ y_n = P_C(u_n - \lambda_n Du_n), \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n v + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n S y_n \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

for each  $n \geq 1$ , where  $D : C \rightarrow H_1$  is a  $\tau$ -inverse strongly monotone mapping and  $S : C \rightarrow C$  is a nonexpansive mapping. Under some certain assumptions on the sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \{\lambda_n\}$  and  $\{r_n\}$ , the authors proved that

the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by (1.6) strongly converges to a point  $z = P_\Theta v$ , where  $\Theta = \text{Fix}(S) \cap \Omega \cap VI(C, D)$ .

Recently, Wang et al. [25] introduced a strong convergence algorithm to solve the split equilibrium problem and fixed point problem for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces as follows:

$$\begin{cases} u_n = T_{r_n}^{F_1}(I - \gamma A^*(I - T_{s_n}^{F_2})A)x_n, \\ y_n = P_C(u_n - \lambda_n B u_n), \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n S^n y_n \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

for each  $n \geq 1$ , where  $B : C \rightarrow H_1$  is a  $\beta$ -inverse strongly monotone mapping and  $S : C \rightarrow C$  is an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping. They proved that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (1.7) strongly converges to the point  $z = P_\Theta z$ , where  $\Theta = \text{Fix}(S) \cap \Omega \cap VI(C, B)$ .

Very recently, Xu et al. [29] considered a cloud hybrid method to solve the split equilibrium problems and fixed point problems for a family of quasi-Lipschitz mappings in Hilbert spaces. For more details on the split equilibrium problem, refer to [1, 26].

In this paper, we consider the generalized split equilibrium problem in Hilbert spaces. For each  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $H_i$  be a real Hilbert space and  $C_i$  be a nonempty closed and convex subset of  $H_i$ , let  $F_i : C_i \times C_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bifunction and  $A_i : C_i \rightarrow H_i$  be a nonlinear operator. Let  $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  be a bounded linear operator. The *generalized split equilibrium problem* is to find a point  $z \in C_1$  such that

$$F_1(z, y) + \langle A_1 z, y - z \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C_1 \tag{1.8}$$

and  $v = Az$  such that

$$F_2(v, y) + \langle A_2 v, y - v \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C_2. \tag{1.9}$$

In the main results of this paper, the operators  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are two monotone operators which are more general than inverse strongly monotone operators or strongly monotone operators. On the other hand, the bifunctions  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  in [1, 8, 12, 25, 26, 29] are required to be satisfy the following four conditions:

- (A1)  $F(x, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in C$ ;
- (A2)  $F$  is monotone, i.e.,  $F(x, y) + F(y, x) \leq 0$  for all  $x, y \in C$ ;
- (A3) for each  $x, y, z \in C$ ,  $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} F(tz + (1-t)x, y) \leq F(x, y)$ ;
- (A4) for each  $x \in C$ ,  $y \mapsto F(x, y)$  is convex and lower semi-continuous.

However,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  in our result are required to satisfy the different conditions with (A1)-(A4).

In this paper, we introduce a new non-convex combination iterative algorithm which is neither viscosity approximation algorithm nor CQ hybrid algorithm to solve the generalized split equilibrium problem (1.8) and (1.9).

In our results, the conditions (A3) and (A4) on the bifunctions  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are relaxed. On the other hand, the parameter  $\gamma$  can be obtained by an arbitrary boundedness above of  $\|A\|$ . In the similar results to others (see, for example, [1, 12, 25, 26, 29]), the parameter  $\gamma$  is from  $(0, \frac{1}{L^2})$ , where  $L$  the spectral radius of the operator  $A^*A$ . In our algorithm, the parameter  $\gamma$  is chosen by the boundedness above of  $\|A\|$  not the spectral radius of the operator  $A^*A$ . Obviously, the parameter  $\gamma$  in our algorithms is easier to chose. The strong convergence of the proposed algorithm is proved.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $C$  be a nonempty closed subset of  $H$ . For each point  $x \in H$ , there exists a unique nearest point of  $C$ , denoted by  $P_Cx$ , such that

$$\|x - P_Cx\| \leq \|x - y\|$$

for all  $y \in C$ . Such a  $P_C$  is called the *metric projection* from  $H$  onto  $C$ . It is well known that  $P_C$  is a firmly nonexpansive mapping from  $H$  onto  $C$ , i.e.,

$$\|P_Cx - P_Cy\|^2 \leq \langle P_Cx - P_Cy, x - y \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in H.$$

Further, for any  $x \in H$  and  $z \in C$ ,  $z = P_Cx$  if and only if

$$\langle x - z, z - y \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

**Definition 2.1.** A mapping  $A : C \rightarrow H$  is said to be:

(1) *monotone* if

$$\langle x - y, Ax - Ay \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

(2) *strongly monotone* if there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\langle x - y, Ax - Ay \rangle \geq \delta \|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

(3) *inverse strongly monotone* if there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$\langle x - y, Ax - Ay \rangle \geq \lambda \|Ax - Ay\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** ([24, Lemmas 2.5, 2.6]) Let  $C$  be a bounded nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert  $H$ . Let  $A : C \rightarrow H$  be a continuous and monotone operator and  $F : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bifunction satisfying the following conditions:

(B1)  $F(x, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in C$ ;

(B2)  $F$  is monotone, i.e.,  $F(x, y) + F(y, x) \leq 0$  for all  $x, y \in C$ ;

(B3) for each  $x \in C$ ,  $y \mapsto F(x, y)$  is convex.

Let  $r > 0$  and define the mapping  $T_r^F : H \rightarrow C$  as follows:

$$T_r^F(x) = \{z \in C : F(z, y) + \langle Az, y - z \rangle + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, z - x \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } y \in C\}$$

for all  $x \in H$ . Then the following hold:

- (1)  $T_r^F$  is single-valued.
- (2)  $T_r^F$  is a firmly nonexpansive mapping, i.e., for all  $x, y \in H$ ,
 
$$\|T_r^F x - T_r^F y\|^2 \leq \langle T_r^F x - T_r^F y, x - y \rangle.$$
- (3)  $F(T_r^F) = EP$ .
- (4)  $EP$  is closed and convex.

**Remark 2.3.** In the proof process of [24, Lemma 2.5, 2.6], the condition that  $F(x, y)$  is lower semi-continuous in the second argument is not used. Hence we omit the restriction in (B3). The continuous and monotone operator  $A$  in Lemma 2.1 is the special case of  $T$  in [24, Lemma 2.5, 2.6].

Since  $T_r^F$  is firmly nonexpansive and also is 1-inverse strongly monotone,  $I - T_r^F : H \rightarrow H$  is 1-inverse strongly monotone. Indeed, for all  $x, y \in H$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(I - T_r^F)x - (I - T_r^F)y\|^2 \\ &= \|x - y\|^2 - 2\langle x - y, T_r^F x - T_r^F y \rangle + \|T_r^F x - T_r^F y\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2 - 2\langle x - y, T_r^F x - T_r^F y \rangle + \|T_r^F x - T_r^F y\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2 - \langle x - y, T_r^F x - T_r^F y \rangle \\ &= \langle x - y, (I - T_r^F)x - (I - T_r^F)y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $I - T_r^F$  is a 1-inverse strongly monotone mapping.

**Lemma 2.4. (Demiclosed Principle)** Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$  and  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a nonexpansive mapping. Suppose that  $\{x_n\} \subset C$  weakly converges to a point  $x' \in C$  and  $\|x_n - Tx_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $x' = Tx'$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space. Then the following inequality holds:

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, x + y \rangle$$

for all  $x, y \in H$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** ([14]) Let  $\{s_n\}, \{c_n\}$  be the sequences of nonnegative real numbers and  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence in  $(0, 1)$ . Suppose that  $\{b_n\}$  is a real number sequence such that

$$s_{n+1} \leq (1 - a_n)s_n + b_n + c_n$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ . Assume that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n < \infty$ . Then the following results hold:

- (1) If  $b_n \leq \beta a_n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , where  $\beta \geq 0$ , then  $\{s_n\}$  is a bounded sequence.  
 (2) If we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = \infty, \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{a_n} \leq 0,$$

then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$ .

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, let  $H_1, H_2$  be two real Hilbert spaces and  $C_1 \subset H_1, C_2 \subset H_2$  be the bounded nonempty closed and convex subsets. Let  $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  be a linear bounded operator. Let  $F_1 : C_1 \times C_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, F_2 : C_2 \times C_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the bifunctions satisfying the conditions (B1)-(B3). Let  $A_1 : C_1 \rightarrow H_1$  and  $A_2 : C_2 \rightarrow H_2$  be the continuous and monotone operators. Let

$$EP_i = \{x \in C_i : F_i(x, y) + \langle A_i x, y - x \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C_i\}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Let  $\Omega = \{z \in C_1 : z \in EP_1, Az \in EP_2\}$  and assume that  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ .

**Algorithm A.** We consider the following algorithm.

**Step 1.** Choose the control sequences  $\{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\} \subset (0, 1)$  and set  $\gamma, r, s > 0$ . Take the initial point  $x_0 \in C_1$  arbitrarily.

**Step 2.** Find  $u_n \in C_2$  such that

$$F_2(u_n, y) + \langle A_2 u_n, y - u_n \rangle + \frac{1}{s} \langle y - u_n, u_n - Ax_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C_2.$$

Set  $v_n = x_n - \gamma A^*(Ax_n - u_n)$  and find  $w_n \in C_1$  such that

$$F_1(w_n, y) + \langle A_1 v_n, y - w_n \rangle + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - w_n, w_n - v_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C_1.$$

**Step 3.** If  $u_n = Ax_n$  and  $w_n = x_n$ , stop and  $x_n \in \Omega$ ; otherwise, go to the next step.

**Step 4.** Generate  $x_{n+1}$  by

$$x_{n+1} = \beta_n(1 - \gamma_n)x_n + (1 - \beta_n)w_n$$

for each  $n \geq 1$  and  $n = n + 1$  and then go to Step 2.

Obviously, by Lemma 2.2, it follows that

$$u_n = T_s^{F_2} Ax_n \in C_2, \quad w_n = T_r^{F_1} v_n \in C_1 \quad (3.1)$$

for each  $n \geq 0$ . Hence, if the stop criterion is satisfied for some  $n \geq 1$ , then we can get

$$Ax_n = T_s^{F_2} Ax_n, \quad x_n = T_r^{F_1} x_n.$$

Therefore,  $x_n \in \Omega$ . For showing the convergence of Algorithm A, we assume that the stop criterion can not be satisfied for all  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $M > 0$  be the arbitrary boundedness above of  $\|A\|$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $\gamma \in (0, \frac{2}{M^2})$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by Algorithm A is bounded.*

*Proof.* We first show that  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. Let  $p \in \Omega$  arbitrarily. Since  $\gamma < \frac{2}{M^2}$  and  $I - T_s^{F_2}$  is 1-inverse strongly monotone, it follows from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w_n - p\|^2 &= \|T_r^{F_1}v_n - T_r^{F_1}p\|^2 \leq \|v_n - p\|^2 \\
&= \|(I - \gamma A^*(I - T_s^{F_2})A)x_n - (I - \gamma A^*(I - T_s^{F_2})A)p\|^2 \\
&= \|x_n - p\|^2 - 2\gamma \langle x_n - p, A^*(I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_n - A^*(I - T_s^{F_2})Ap \rangle \\
&\quad + \gamma^2 \|A^*(I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_n - A^*(I - T_s^{F_2})Ap\|^2 \\
&= \|x_n - p\|^2 - 2\gamma \langle Ax_n - Ap, (I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_n - (I - T_s^{F_2})Ap \rangle \\
&\quad + \gamma^2 \|A^*(I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_n - A^*(I - T_s^{F_2})Ap\|^2 \\
&\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \gamma(\gamma\|A\|^2 - 2)\|(I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_n\|^2 \\
&\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \gamma(\gamma M^2 - 2)\|(I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_n\|^2 \\
&\leq \|x_n - p\|^2
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

for each  $n \geq 0$ . Thus it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - p\| &= \|\beta_n(1 - \gamma_n)x_n + (1 - \beta_n)w_n - p\| \\
&= \|\beta_n(1 - \gamma_n)(x_n - p) + (1 - \beta_n)(w_n - p) - \beta_n\gamma_n p\| \\
&\leq \beta_n(1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - p\| + (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - p\| + \beta_n\gamma_n\|p\| \\
&= (1 - \beta_n\gamma_n)\|x_n - p\| + \beta_n\gamma_n\|p\| \\
&\leq \max\{\|x_n - p\|, \|p\|\}
\end{aligned}$$

for each  $n \geq 0$ . Hence  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. Further  $\{u_n\}$ ,  $\{v_n\}$ ,  $\{Ax_n\}$  and  $\{w_n\}$  are also bounded.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose  $\gamma \in (0, \frac{2}{M^2})$ . If the sequences  $\{\beta_n\}$  and  $\{\gamma_n\}$  satisfy the following conditions:*

- (a)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$ ;
- (b)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \infty$ ;
- (c)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n| < \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| < \infty$ ,

then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n - x_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - Ax_n\| = 0.$$

*Proof.* Since  $(I - T_s^{F_2})$  is 1-inverse strongly monotone, by (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|w_{n+1} - w_n\|^2 \\
&= \|T_r^{F_1}v_{n+1} - T_r^{F_1}v_n\|^2 \\
&\leq \|v_{n+1} - v_n\|^2 \\
&= \|(I - \gamma A^*(I - T_s^{F_2})A)x_{n+1} - (I - \gamma A^*(I - T_s^{F_2})A)x_n\|^2 \\
&= \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2 - 2\gamma \langle x_{n+1} - x_n, A^*(I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_{n+1} - A^*(I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_n \rangle \\
&\quad + \gamma^2 \|A^*(I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_{n+1} - A^*(I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_n\|^2 \\
&= \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2 - 2\gamma \langle Ax_{n+1} - Ax_n, (I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_{n+1} - (I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_n \rangle \\
&\quad + \gamma^2 \|A^*(I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_{n+1} - A^*(I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_n\|^2 \\
&\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2 - 2\gamma \langle Ax_{n+1} - Ax_n, (I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_{n+1} - (I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_n \rangle \\
&\quad + \gamma^2 \|A^*\|^2 \|(I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_{n+1} - (I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_n\|^2 \\
&\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2 + \gamma(\gamma \|A\|^2 - 2) \|(I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_{n+1} - (I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_n\|^2 \\
&\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

for each  $n \geq 0$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\
&= \|\beta_n(1 - \gamma_n)x_n + (1 - \beta_n)w_n - \beta_{n-1}(1 - \gamma_{n-1})x_{n-1} - (1 - \beta_{n-1})w_{n-1}\| \\
&= \|\beta_n(1 - \gamma_n)(x_n - x_{n-1}) + \beta_n(1 - \gamma_n)x_{n-1} + (1 - \beta_n)(w_n - w_{n-1}) \\
&\quad + (1 - \beta_n)w_{n-1} - \beta_{n-1}(1 - \gamma_{n-1})x_{n-1} - (1 - \beta_{n-1})w_{n-1}\| \\
&= \|\beta_n(1 - \gamma_n)(x_n - x_{n-1}) + (\beta_n(1 - \gamma_n) - \beta_{n-1}(1 - \gamma_{n-1}))x_{n-1} \\
&\quad + (1 - \beta_n)(w_n - w_{n-1}) + (\beta_{n-1} - \beta_n)w_{n-1}\| \\
&\leq \beta_n(1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + (|\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| + |\gamma_{n-1} - \gamma_n|)\|x_{n-1}\| \\
&\quad + |\beta_{n-1} - \beta_n|\|w_{n-1}\| + (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n\gamma_n)\|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + (2|\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| + |\gamma_{n-1} - \gamma_n|)M_0
\end{aligned}$$

for each  $n \geq 0$ , where  $M_0 = \max\{\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|w_n\|, \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\|\}$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.6 and the conditions (a)-(c), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

On the other hand, from (3.3) and (b) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 - \beta_n)\|w_n - x_n\| &= \|x_{n+1} - x_n + \beta_n\gamma_n x_n\| \\
&\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \beta_n\gamma_n \|x_n\| \\
&\rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$



as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This with (a) yields that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n - x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Let

$$z_n = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n)w_n. \tag{3.5}$$

By (3.4), we have

$$\|z_n - x_n\| = (1 - \beta_n)\|w_n - x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.6}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $T_r^{F_1}$  is firmly nonexpansive, it follows from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_n - p\|^2 &= \|T_r^{F_1} v_n - T_r^{F_1} p\|^2 \\ &\leq \langle v_n - p, w_n - p \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\|w_n - p\|^2 + \|v_n - p\|^2 - \|v_n - w_n\|^2) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\|w_n - p\|^2 \leq \|v_n - p\|^2 - \|v_n - w_n\|^2 \tag{3.7}$$

for each  $n \geq 1$ . By (3.5) and (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - p\|^2 &\leq \beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n)\|w_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n)(\|v_n - p\|^2 - \|w_n - v_n\|^2) \\ &\leq \beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n)(\|x_n - p\|^2 - \|w_n - v_n\|^2) \\ &= \|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \beta_n)\|w_n - v_n\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Combing (3.6) and (3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \beta_n)\|w_n - v_n\|^2 &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|z_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_n - z_n\|(\|x_n - p\| + \|z_n - p\|) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This with (a) imply that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n - v_n\| = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Now by (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \\
 &= \|\beta_n((1 - \gamma_n)x_n - p) + (1 - \beta_n)(w_n - p)\|^2 \\
 &\leq \beta_n\|(1 - \gamma_n)x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n)\|w_n - p\|^2 \\
 &\leq \beta_n\|(1 - \gamma_n)x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n)[\|x_n - p\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \gamma(\gamma\|A\|^2 - 2)\|(I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_n\|^2] \\
 &= \beta_n[\|x_n - p\|^2 - 2\gamma_n\langle x_n - p, x_n \rangle + \gamma_n^2\|x_n\|^2] \\
 &\quad + (1 - \beta_n)[\|x_n - p\|^2 + \gamma(\gamma\|A\|^2 - 2)\|(I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_n\|^2] \\
 &= \|x_n - p\|^2 - 2\beta_n\gamma_n\langle x_n - p, x_n \rangle + \beta_n\gamma_n^2\|x_n\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \gamma(1 - \beta_n)(\gamma\|A\|^2 - 2)\|(I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_n\|^2 \\
 &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - 2\beta_n\gamma_n\langle x_n - p, x_n \rangle + \beta_n\gamma_n^2\|x_n\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \gamma(1 - \beta_n)(\gamma M^2 - 2)\|(I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_n\|^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

From (3.3), (3.10) and (b), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \gamma(1 - \beta_n)(2 - \gamma M^2)\|(I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_n\|^2 \\
 & \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\|(\|x_n - p\| + \|x_{n+1} - p\|) - 2\beta_n\gamma_n\langle x_n - p, x_n \rangle + \beta_n\gamma_n^2\|x_n\|^2 \\
 & \rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $2 - \gamma M^2 > 0$  and  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(I - T_s^{F_2})Ax_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - Ax_n\| = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

This completes the proof. □

Now, we give the main results in this paper.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Suppose that the sequences  $\{\gamma_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  satisfy the conditions in Lemma 3.2. Then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by Algorithm A strongly converges to the point  $x^* = P_{\Omega}\theta$ , where  $\theta$  denotes the zero element of  $H_1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, we can choose a subsequence  $\{x_{n_j}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle -x^*, x_n - x^* \rangle = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle -x^*, x_{n_j} - x^* \rangle.$$

Since  $\{x_{n_j}\}$  is bounded, there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_{j_i}}\}$  of  $\{x_{n_j}\}$  converging weakly to a point  $v \in H_1$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $x_{n_{j_i}} \rightharpoonup v$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . From (3.3), (3.4), (3.9) and (3.11), it follows that

$$w_{n_{j_i}} \rightharpoonup v, \quad v_{n_{j_i}} \rightharpoonup v, \quad u_{n_{j_i}} \rightharpoonup Av$$

as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\{w_{n_j}\} \subset C_1$  and  $C_1$  is closed, it follows that  $v \in C_1$ . Similarly,  $Av \in C_2$  since  $\{u_{n_j}\} \subset C_2$ .

Now, we show that  $v \in EP_1$ . In fact, since  $T_r^{F_1}$  is nonexpansive, by (3.1), (3.9) and Lemma 2.4, we can conclude that  $v \in Fix(T_r^{F_1})$ . Further, from Lemma 2.2, it follows that  $v \in EP_1$ . Similarly, by (3.1), (3.11) and Lemma 2.2 we have  $Av \in EP_2$ . Therefore, we have  $v \in \Omega$ . By Lemma 2.4, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle -x^*, x_n - x^* \rangle &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle -x^*, x_{n_j} - x^* \rangle \\ &= \langle -x^*, v - x^* \rangle \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= z_n - \beta_n \gamma_n x_n \\ &= (1 - \beta_n \gamma_n) z_n + \beta_n \gamma_n (z_n - x_n) \\ &= (1 - \beta_n \gamma_n) z_n + \beta_n \gamma_n (1 - \beta_n) (w_n - x_n), \end{aligned}$$

by (3.8) and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 \\ &= \|(1 - \beta_n \gamma_n)(z_n - x^*) + \beta_n \gamma_n [(1 - \beta_n)(w_n - x_n) - x^*]\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n \gamma_n) \|z_n - x^*\|^2 + 2\beta_n \gamma_n (1 - \beta_n) \langle w_n - x_n, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &\quad + 2\beta_n \gamma_n \langle -x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n \gamma_n) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + 2\beta_n \gamma_n (1 - \beta_n) \langle w_n - x_n, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &\quad + 2\beta_n \gamma_n \langle -x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

for each  $n \geq 0$ . By (a), (b), (3.4), (3.12), (3.13) and Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x^*\| = 0.$$

This completes the proof. □

Since each inverse strongly monotone mapping is monotone and continuous, we have the following:

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $H_i$  be a real Hilbert space and  $C_i$  be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of  $H_i$ ,  $A_i : C_i \rightarrow H_i$  be a  $\lambda_i$ -inverse strongly monotone mapping, and  $F_i : C_i \times C_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the conditions (B1)-(B3), for  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  be a bounded linear operator. If the sequences  $\{\beta_n\}$  and  $\{\gamma_n\}$  satisfy the following conditions:*

- (a)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$ ;
- (b)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \infty$ ;

$$(c) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n| < \infty \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| < \infty,$$

then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by Algorithm A strongly converges to the element  $x^* = P_{\Omega}\theta$ , where  $\theta$  denotes the zero element of  $H_1$ .

Since each strongly monotone mapping is monotone, we have the following:

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $H_i$  be a real Hilbert space and  $C_i$  be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of  $H_i$ . Let  $A_i : C_i \rightarrow H_i$  be a strongly monotone and continuous mapping with the parameter  $\lambda_i > 0$ ,  $F_i : C_i \times C_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the conditions (B1)-(B3), for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  be a bounded linear operator. If the sequences  $\{\beta_n\}$  and  $\{\gamma_n\}$  satisfy the following conditions:*

- (a)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$ ;
- (b)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \infty$ ;
- (c)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n| < \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| < \infty$ ,

then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by Algorithm A strongly converges to a point  $x^* = P_{\Omega}\theta$ , where  $\theta$  denotes the zero element of  $H_1$ .

**Remark 3.6.** In [1, 12, 25, 26, 29], the parameter is chosen from  $(0, \frac{2}{L^2})$ , where  $L$  is the spectral radius of the operator  $A^*A$ . In this paper, the parameter  $\gamma$  is chosen by the boundedness above of  $\|A\|$ . Obviously, the parameter  $\gamma$  is easier to chose. On the other hand, at each step,  $x_{n+1}$  is computed by a non-convex combination of  $x_n$  and  $w_n$ , which is very different with the similar ones of others in the literature.

**Acknowledgments** This work was supported by Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (Grant Number: A2015502021).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bnouhachem, *Strong convergence algorithm for split equilibrium problems and hierarchical fixed point problems*, The Sci. World J., 2014, Art. ID 390956, 12 pp.
- [2] L.C. Ceng, P. Cubiotti and J.C. Yao, *An implicit iterative scheme for monotone variational inequalities and fixed point problems*, Nonlinear Anal., **69** (2008), 2445–2457.
- [3] S.S. Chang, H.W.J. Lee and C.K. Chan, *A new method for solving equilibrium problem fixed point problem and variational inequality problem with application to optimization*, Nonlinear Anal., **70** (2009), 3307–3319.
- [4] Y.J. Cho, H.Y. Zhou and G.T. Guo, *Weak and strong convergence theorems for three-step iterations with errors for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, Comput. Math. Appl., **47** (2004), 707–717.
- [5] P. L. Combettes, S.A. Hirstoaga, *Equilibrium programming using proximal like algorithms*, Math. Program., **78** (1997), 29-41.
- [6] P.L. Combettes, S.A. Hirstoaga, *Equilibrium programming in Hilbert spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., **6**(2005), 117-136.
- [7] Y.P. Fang, N.J. Huang, *Variational-like inequities with generalized monotone mappings in Banach spaces*, J. Optim. Theory Appl., **118** (2003), 327-338.

- [8] Z.H. He, *The split equilibrium problem and its convergence algorithms*, J. Inequal. Appl., **2012**, 2012:162.
- [9] H. Iiduka, W. Takahashi, *Strong convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and inverse-strongly monotone mappings*, Nonlinear Anal., **61** (2005), 341–350.
- [10] S.M. Kang, S.Y. Cho and Z. Liu, *Convergence of iterative sequences for generalized equilibrium problems involving inverse-strongly monotone mappings*, J. Inequal. Appl., **2010**, Art. 827082, 2010.
- [11] P. Katchang, P. Kumam, *A new iterative algorithm of solution for equilibrium problems, variational inequalities and fixed point problems in a Hilbert space*, J. Appl. Math. Comput., **32** (2010), 19–38.
- [12] K.R. Kazmi, S.H. Rizvi, *Iterative approximation of a common solution of a split equilibrium problem, a variational inequality problem and a fixed point problem*, J. Egypt Math. Soc., **21** (2013), 44–51.
- [13] L.S. Liu, *Iterative processes with errors for nonlinear strongly accretive mappings in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **194** (1995), 114–125.
- [14] P.E. Maingé, *Approximation methods for common fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **325** (2007), 469–479.
- [15] A. Moudafi, *Split monotone variational inclusions*, J. Optim Theory Appl., **150** (2011), 275–283.
- [16] S. Plubtieng, R. Punpaeng, *A general iterative method for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems in Hilbert spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **336** (2007), 455–469.
- [17] X. Qin, Y.J. Cho and S.M. Kang, *Viscosity approximation methods for generalized equilibrium problems and fixed point problems with applications*, Nonlinear Anal., **72** (2010), 99–112.
- [18] X. Qin, M. Shang and Y. Su, *A general iterative method for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems in Hilbert spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., **69** (2008), 3897–3909.
- [19] T. Suzuki, *Strong convergence of Krasnoselskii and Mann’s type sequences for one-parameter nonexpansive semigroups without Bochner integrals*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **305** (2005), 227–239.
- [20] W. Takahashi, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2000.
- [21] S. Takahashi, W. Takahashi, *Viscosity approximation methods for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems in Hilbert spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **331** (2007), 506–515.
- [22] S. Takahashi, W. Takahashi, *Strong convergence theorem for a generalized equilibrium problem and a nonexpansive mapping in a Hilbert space.*, Nonlinear Anal., **69** (2008), 1025–1033.
- [23] A. Tada, W. Takahashi, *Weak and strong convergence theorems for a nonexpansive mapping and an equilibrium problem.*, J. Optim. Theory Appl., **133** (2007), 359–370.
- [24] S.H. Wang, G. Marino and, F.H. Wang, *Strong convergence theorems for a generalized equilibrium problem with a relaxed monotone mapping and a countable family of nonexpansive mappings in a Hilbert space*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., Volume 2010, Article ID 230304, 22 pages.
- [25] S.H. Wang, X.Y. Gong and S.M. Kang, *Strong Convergence Theorem on Split Equilibrium and Fixed Point Problems in Hilbert Spaces*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., (2016), [https:// doi.org/10.1007/s40840-016-0392-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40840-016-0392-5).
- [26] S.H. Wang, X.Y. Gong, A. Abdou and Y.J. Cho, *Iterative algorithm for a family of split equilibrium problems and fixed point problems in Hilbert spaces with applications*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo Ser. Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2016** 2016:4.

- [27] S.H. Wang, G. Marino and Y.C. Liou, *Strong convergence theorems for variational inequality, equilibrium and fixed point problems with applications*, J. Glob. Optim., **54** (2012), 155–171.
- [28] D.J. Wen, Y.A. Chen, *General iterative methods for generalized equilibrium problems and fixed point problems of  $k$ -strict pseudo-contractions*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2012**, 2012: 125.
- [29] Y.H. Xu, Y.X. Tang, J.Y. Guan and Y.F. Su, *Cloud hybrid methods for solving split equilibrium and fixed point problems for a family of countable quasi-Lipschitz mappings and applications*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., **10** (2017), 752–770.
- [30] Y.M. Wang, Y.B. Xiao, X. Wang and Y.J. Cho, *Equivalence of well-posedness between systems of hemivariational inequalities and inclusion problems*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., **9** (2016), 1178–1192.
- [31] Y.B. Xiao, N.J. Huang, *Generalized quasi-variational-like hemivariational inequalities*, Nonlinear Anal., **69** (2008), 637–646.
- [32] Y.B. Xiao, N.J. Huang and Y.J. Cho, *A class of generalized evolution variational inequalities in Banach space*, Appl. Math. Lett., **25** (2012), 914–920.