



## ON EXISTENCE OF FIXED POINT FOR PATA TYPE 2-CONVEX CONTRACTION MAPPINGS

M. S. Khan<sup>1</sup>, D. Chellapillai<sup>2</sup>, Geno Kadwin Jacob<sup>3</sup>  
and M. Marudai<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Science, Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
Sultan Qaboos University,  
PoBox 36, PCode 123, Muscat, Sultanate of Oman  
e-mail: mohammad@squ.edu.om

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Bharathidasan University  
Tiruchirappalli-620 024, Tamil Nadu, India  
e-mail: chellapillai2@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Bharathidasan University  
Tiruchirappalli-620 024, Tamil Nadu, India  
e-mail: genomaths@gmail.com

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics, Bharathidasan University  
Tiruchirappalli-620 024, Tamil Nadu, India  
e-mail: mmarudai@yahoo.co.in

**Abstract.** In this paper, existence of fixed point for Pata type 2-convex contraction mapping in complete metric space has been proved. This study is a natural continuation of Istraescu [3].

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1922, Banach [2] proved the existence of fixed point in a complete metric space  $(X, d)$ . The mapping  $f$  has been considered to be a contraction and  $f$  takes points of  $X$  to itself. Later, several interpretations for the existence

---

<sup>0</sup>Received March 27, 2017. Revised September 28, 2017.

<sup>0</sup>2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54H25, 47H10.

<sup>0</sup>Keywords: 2-convex contraction, Pata mapping, fixed point.

<sup>0</sup>Corresponding author: M.S. Khan(mohammad@squ.edu.om).

of fixed point with weaker conditions to contraction were given. One such classical and interesting is the following definition given by Istratescu [3].

**Definition 1.1.** A continuous mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be convex contractive of order 2 if there exist two constants  $a, b \in [0, 1)$  such that the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $a + b < 1$ ,
- (2)  $d(f^2(x), f^2(y)) \leq ad(f(x), f(y)) + bd(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Throughout the paper,  $\Theta$  denotes the class of all increasing functions  $\Psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  which vanishes with continuity at 0. In a recent paper, Pata [4] obtained the following refinement of the classical Banach contraction principle.

Let  $\Lambda \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,  $\beta \in [0, \alpha]$  be any constants. For all,  $x, y \in X$

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq (1 - \epsilon)d(x, y) + \Lambda\epsilon^\alpha\Psi(\epsilon)\left[1 + \|x\| + \|y\|\right]^\beta, \quad (1.1)$$

for all  $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ , where  $\|x\| = d(x, x_0)$  for arbitrary  $x_0 \in X$  and  $\Psi \in \Theta$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** ([4]) *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a Pata refinement of contraction Mapping. Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .*

In this paper, we define the Pata type 2-convex contraction and prove the existence of fixed point in metric spaces which generalizes the result of [3, 4].

The following lemma is used to prove our main result.

**Lemma 1.3.** ([1]) *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  such that  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $\{x_n\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence then there exist an  $\delta > 0$  and sequences of positive integers  $\{m_k\}$  and  $\{n_k\}$  with  $m_k > n_k > k$  such that  $d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) \geq \delta$ ,  $d(x_{m_k-1}, x_{n_k}) < \delta$  and*

- (1)  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_k-1}, x_{n_k+1}) = \delta$ ;
- (2)  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) = \delta$ ;
- (3)  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_k-1}, x_{n_k}) = \delta$ .

Using above Lemma 1.3, we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_k-1}, x_{n_k-1}) = \delta$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_k-2}, x_{n_k-2}) = \delta.$$

## 2. EXISTENCE OF FIXED POINT

In this section, we prove the existence of unique fixed point for Pata type 2-convex contraction mapping. Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. In the sequel, we write  $\|x\| = d(x, x_0)$ , where  $x_0$  is arbitrary element in  $X$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space. A continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be Pata type 2-convex contraction if for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\Psi \in \Theta$  and for every  $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ ,  $f$  satisfies the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^2(x), f^2(y)) &\leq (1 - \epsilon) \max \left\{ d(f(x), f(y)), d(x, y) \right\} \\ &\quad + \Lambda \epsilon^\alpha \Psi(\epsilon) \left[ 1 + \|x\| + \|y\| + \|f(x)\| + \|f(y)\| \right]^\beta, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where,  $\Lambda \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,  $\beta \in [0, \alpha]$  and  $k \in [0, 1]$  are any constants.

Now, we show that all convex contraction of order 2 is an particular case of Pata type 2-convex contraction. Let  $d = a + b$  in Definition 1.1 and consider the Bernoulli's inequality  $(1 + rt) \leq (1 + t)^r$ , for all  $r \geq 1$  and  $t \in [-1, \infty)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^2(x), f^2(y)) &\leq ad(f(x), f(y)) + bd(x, y) \\ &\leq (a + b) \max \left\{ d(f(x), f(y)), d(x, y) \right\} \\ &= d \max \left\{ d(f(x), f(y)), d(x, y) \right\} \\ &\leq (1 - \epsilon) \max \left\{ d(f(x), f(y)), d(x, y) \right\} \\ &\quad + (d + \epsilon - 1) \left[ \|x\| + \|y\| + \|f(x)\| + \|f(y)\| \right] \\ &\leq (1 - \epsilon) \max \left\{ d(f(x), f(y)), d(x, y) \right\} \\ &\quad + d \left( 1 + \frac{\epsilon - 1}{d} \right) \left[ 1 + \|x\| + \|y\| + \|f(x)\| + \|f(y)\| \right] \\ &\leq (1 - \epsilon) \max \left\{ d(f(x), f(y)), d(x, y) \right\} \\ &\quad + d \epsilon^{\frac{1}{d}} \left[ 1 + \|x\| + \|y\| + \|f(x)\| + \|f(y)\| \right] \\ &\leq (1 - \epsilon) \max \left\{ d(f(x), f(y)), d(x, y) \right\} \\ &\quad + d \epsilon^{\frac{1-d}{d}} \left[ 1 + \|x\| + \|y\| + \|f(x)\| + \|f(y)\| \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Comparing this with Pata type 2-convex contraction, we have that convex contraction of order 2 is actually a special case of Pata type 2-convex contraction with  $\Lambda = d$ ,  $\Psi(\epsilon) = \epsilon^{\frac{1-d}{d}}$  and  $\alpha = \beta = 1$ . It is also clear that mappings

given by [3, 4] were also Pata type 2-convex contraction.

Now, we prove the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a Pata type 2-convex contraction. Suppose, there exists an element  $x_0 \in X$  such that the picard iterative sequence of  $x_0$  satisfies that  $d(f^n(x_0), f^{n-1}(x_0))$  is non-increasing. Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ . Moreover, for a fixed element  $x_0 \in X$ , the sequence generated as  $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$  converges to a point  $x \in X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be the element which satisfies our assumption. Let,  $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$  and  $c_n = d(x_n, x_0)$ .

**Claim (1):** We prove that  $\{c_n\}$  is bounded. For  $n \geq 3$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
c_n &= d(x_n, x_0) \\
&\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_2) + d(x_2, x_1) + d(x_1, x_0) \\
&\leq (1 - \epsilon) \max \left\{ d(x_n, x_1), d(x_{n-1}, x_0) \right\} + 3c_1 \\
&\quad + \Lambda \epsilon^\alpha \Psi(\epsilon) \left[ 1 + \|x_n\| + \|x_1\| + \|x_{n-1}\| \right]^\beta \\
&\leq (1 - \epsilon) \max \left\{ d(x_n, x_1), d(x_{n-1}, x_0) \right\} + 3c_1 \\
&\quad + \Lambda \epsilon^\alpha \Psi(\epsilon) \left[ 1 + \|x_n\| + \|x_1\| + d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_0) \right]^\beta \\
&\leq (1 - \epsilon)[c_n + c_1] + 3c_1 + \Lambda \epsilon^\alpha \Psi(\epsilon) \left[ 1 + 2c_n + 2c_1 \right]^\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, since  $\left[ 1 + 2c_n + 2c_1 \right]^\alpha \leq 2^\alpha c_n^\alpha (1 + 2c_1)^\alpha$ , it implies that

$$c_n \leq (1 - \epsilon)c_n + a\epsilon^\alpha \Psi(\epsilon)c_n^\alpha + b,$$

for some  $a, b > 0$  and hence,

$$\epsilon c_n \leq a\epsilon^\alpha \Psi(\epsilon)2c_n^\alpha + b.$$

If there is a subsequence  $c_{n_i} \rightarrow \infty$ , the choice  $\epsilon = \epsilon_i = (1 + b)/c_{n_i}$  leads to the contradiction that  $1 \leq a(1 + b)^\alpha \Psi(\epsilon_i) \rightarrow 0$ . Hence,  $\{c_n\}$  is bounded. Since,  $d(x_n, x_{n-1})$  is non-increasing, let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n-1}) = d$ . For  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{n+1}, x_n) &= d(f^2(x_{n-1}), f^2(x_{n-2})) \\
&\leq (1 - \epsilon) \max \left\{ d(x_n, x_{n-1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \Lambda \epsilon^\alpha \Psi(\epsilon) \left[ 1 + \|x_n\| + \|x_{n-1}\| + \|x_{n-1}\| + \|x_{n-2}\| \right]^\beta \\
& \leq (1 - \epsilon) \max \left\{ d(x_n, x_{n-1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}) \right\} + K \epsilon \Psi(\epsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $d \leq K \Psi(\epsilon)$  and hence  $d = 0$ .

**Claim (2):** The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy. Suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence. Then by Lemma 1.3, there exist subsequences  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  and  $\{x_{m_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  with  $n_k > m_k > k$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta & \leq d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) \\
& = d(f^2(x_{m_k-2}), f^2(x_{n_k-2})) \\
& \leq (1 - \epsilon) \max \left\{ d(x_{m_k-1}, x_{n_k-1}), d(x_{m_k-2}, x_{n_k-2}) \right\} + K \epsilon \Psi(\epsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $\delta \leq K \Psi(\epsilon)$  which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy. Since  $X$  is complete, there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . Since  $f$  is continuous, it follows that  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$  and hence  $x$  is a fixed point of  $f$ . For the uniqueness of fixed point, suppose that  $x$  and  $y$  are fixed points of  $f$ . Then

$$d(f^2(x), f^2(y)) \leq (1 - \epsilon) \max \left\{ d(f(x), f(y)), d(x, y) \right\} + K \epsilon \Psi(\epsilon).$$

Therefore, we get  $d(x, y) \leq K \Psi(\epsilon)$  and hence  $x = y$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** ([4]) *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a Pata refinement of contraction mapping. Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two elements of  $X$ . For  $\epsilon = 0$ ,  $f$  satisfies nonexpansive condition and hence, for  $x_0 \in X$ , the picard iterative sequence satisfies  $d(f^n(x_0), f^{n-1}(x_0))$  is non-increasing. Since  $f$  is a Pata type contraction mapping, for all  $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\Lambda \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,  $\beta \in [0, \alpha]$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
d(f^2(x), f^2(y)) & = d\left(f(f(x)), f(f(y))\right) \\
& \leq (1 - \epsilon) d(f(x), f(y)) + \Lambda \epsilon^\alpha \Psi(\epsilon) \left[ 1 + \|x\| + \|y\| \right]^\beta \\
& \leq (1 - \epsilon) \max \{ d(f(x), f(y)), d(x, y) \} \\
& \quad + \Lambda \epsilon^\alpha \Psi(\epsilon) \left[ 1 + \|x\| + \|y\| + \|f(x)\| + \|f(y)\| \right]^\beta.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Therefore by Theorem 2.2,  $f$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .  $\square$

In some situations a function is not a contraction but the iterate of it is a contraction. This turns out to suffice to get the conclusion of the contraction mapping theorem for the original function.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a complete metric space and  $h : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping such that some iterate  $h^N : X \rightarrow X$  is a contraction. Then  $h$  has a unique fixed point.*

Let  $f$  be a mapping from a metric space  $(X, d)$  to itself and the second iterate of  $f$ , that is,  $f^2$  be a contraction on  $X$ . Now, we generalize these kind of mappings in the sense of Pata type mapping and give sufficient condition for the existence of fixed point for the generalization.

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space. A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be Pata type 2-contraction if for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\Psi \in \Theta$  and for every  $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ ,  $f$  satisfies the inequality:

$$d(f^2(x), f^2(y)) \leq (1 - \epsilon)d(x, y) + \Lambda\epsilon^\alpha\Psi(\epsilon)\left[1 + \|x\| + \|y\|\right]^\beta, \quad (2.4)$$

where,  $\Lambda \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,  $\beta \in [0, \alpha]$  and  $k \in [0, 1]$  are any constants.

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a nonexpansive and Pata type 2-contraction. Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is a nonexpansive, it follows that for  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $d(f^n(x_0), f^{n-1}(x_0))$  is non-increasing. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^2(x), f^2(y)) &\leq (1 - \epsilon)d(x, y) + \Lambda\epsilon^\alpha\Psi(\epsilon)\left[1 + \|x\| + \|y\|\right]^\beta \\ &\leq (1 - \epsilon)\max\{d(f(x), f(y)), d(x, y)\} \\ &\quad + \Lambda\epsilon^\alpha\Psi(\epsilon)\left[1 + \|x\| + \|y\| + \|f(x)\| + \|f(y)\|\right]^\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Therefore by Theorem 2.2,  $f$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgments:** The authors are thankful to the learned referee for his/her deep observations and their suggestions which greatly helped us to improve the paper significantly.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G.V.R. Babu and P.D. Sailaja, *A Fixed Point Theorem of Generalized Weakly Contractive Maps in Orbitally Complete Metric Spaces*, Thai Journal of Mathematics, **9**(1) (2011), 1–10.

- [2] S. Banach, *Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leurs applications aux équations intégrales*, Fund., **3** (1922), 133–181.
- [3] Vasile I. Istraescu, Some fixed point theorems for convex contraction mappings and mappings with convex diminishing diameters-I, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., **130**(4) (1982), 89–104.
- [4] V. Pata, *A fixed point theorem in metric spaces*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., **10** (2011), 299–305.