Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications Vol. 23, No. 1 (2018), pp. 141-155

ISSN: 1229-1595(print), 2466-0973(online)

http://nfaa.kyungnam.ac.kr/journal-nfaa Copyright © 2018 Kyungnam University Press



HALF LIGHTLIKE SUBMANIFOLDS OF AN INDEFINITE KAEHLER MANIFOLD WITH A NON-METRIC ϕ -SYMMETRIC CONNECTION

Dae Ho Jin

Department of Mathematics, Dongguk University Gyeongju 780-714, Republic of Korea e-mail: jindh@dongguk.ac.kr

Abstract. We study half lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite Kaehler manifold \bar{M} with a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ subject such that the tensor field ϕ is identical with the fundamental 2-form associated with the indefinite almost complex structure J of \bar{M} .

1. Introduction

A codimension 2 submanifold M of a semi-Riemannian manifold is called

- (1) half lightlike submanifold if $rank\{Rad(TM)\}=1$,
- (2) coisotropic submanifold if $rank\{Rad(TM)\}=2$,

where Rad(TM) is the radical distribution given by $Rad(TM) = TM \cap TM^{\perp}$, TM and TM^{\perp} are the tangent and normal bundle of M, respectively. Half lightlike submanifold was introduced by Duggal-Bejancu [2] and later, studied by Duggal-Jin [3]. Its geometry is more general than that of lightlike hypersurfaces or coisotropic submanifolds. Much of its theory will be immediately generalized in a formal way to general lightlike submanifolds. A linear connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on a semi-Riemannian manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) is called a non-metric

⁰Received May 18, 2017. Revised October 3, 2017.

⁰2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C25, 53C40, 53C50.

 $^{^{0}}$ Keywords: Non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection, recurrent, Lie recurrent, half lightlike submanifold, indefinite Kaehler manifold, indefinite complex space form.

 ϕ -symmetric connection if it and its torsion tensor \bar{T} satisfy

$$(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\bar{g})(\bar{Y},\bar{Z}) = -\theta(\bar{Y})\phi(\bar{X},\bar{Z}) - \theta(\bar{Z})\phi(\bar{X},\bar{Y}), \tag{1.1}$$

$$\bar{T}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = \theta(\bar{Y})J\bar{X} - \theta(\bar{X})J\bar{Y}, \tag{1.2}$$

where ϕ and J are tensor fields of types (0,2) and (1,1), respectively, and θ is a 1-form associated with a smooth unit spacelike vector field ζ by $\theta(\bar{X}) = \bar{g}(\bar{X},\zeta)$. In the followings, denote by \bar{X} , \bar{Y} and \bar{Z} the smooth vector fields on \bar{M} . The notion of non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection on indefinite almost complex or indefinite almost contact manifolds was defined by Jin [6, 7].

The subject of study in this paper is half lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite Kaehler manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}, J) with a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection, in which the tensor field J defined by (1.2) is identical with the indefinite almost complex structure J of \bar{M} and the tensor field ϕ given by (1.1) is identical with the fundamental 2-form associated with J, that is,

$$\phi(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = \bar{g}(J\bar{X}, \bar{Y}). \tag{1.3}$$

Remark 1.1. Denote by $\widetilde{\nabla}$ the Levi-Civita connection of an indefinite Kaehler manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{g}, J)$. It is known [7] that a linear connection $\overline{\nabla}$ is a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection if and only if $\overline{\nabla}$ satisfies

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\bar{Y} = \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\bar{Y} + \theta(\bar{Y})J\bar{X}. \tag{1.4}$$

2. Preliminaries

Let $\bar{M}=(\bar{M},\bar{g},J)$ be an indefinite Kaeler manifold, where \bar{g} is a semi-Riemannian metric and J is an almost complex structure such that

$$J^{2} = -I, \qquad \bar{g}(J\bar{X}, J\bar{Y}) = \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}), \qquad (\tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}J)\bar{Y} = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Replacing the Levi-Civita connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ by the non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection $\overline{\nabla}$ given by (1.4), the third equation of (2.1) is reduced to

$$(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}J)\bar{Y} = \theta(\bar{Y})\bar{X} + \theta(J\bar{Y})J\bar{X}. \tag{2.2}$$

Let (M, g) be a half lightlike submanifold of \overline{M} . As rank(Rad(TM)) = 1, there exist two complementary non-degenerate vector bundles S(TM) and $S(TM^{\perp})$ of Rad(TM) in TM and TM^{\perp} , respectively, which are called screen distribution and co-screen distribution of M, such that

$$TM = Rad(TM) \oplus_{orth} S(TM), \quad TM^{\perp} = Rad(TM) \oplus_{orth} S(TM^{\perp}),$$

where \oplus_{orth} denotes the orthogonal direct sum. Denote by F(M) the algebra of smooth functions on M and by $\Gamma(E)$ the F(M) module of smooth sections of a vector bundle E over M. Also denote by $(2.1)_i$ the i-th equation of (2.1). We use same notations for any others. Choose $L \in \Gamma(S(TM^{\perp}))$ as

a unit spacelike vector field, without loss of generality. Consider the orthogonal complementary vector bundle $S(TM)^{\perp}$ to S(TM) in $T\bar{M}$. Certainly, Rad(TM) and $S(TM^{\perp})$ are vector subbundles of $S(TM)^{\perp}$. As the co-screen distribution $S(TM^{\perp})$ is non-degenerate, we have

$$S(TM)^{\perp} = S(TM^{\perp}) \oplus_{orth} S(TM^{\perp})^{\perp},$$

where $S(TM^{\perp})^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal complementary to $S(TM^{\perp})$ in $S(TM)^{\perp}$. For any null section ξ of Rad(TM), there exists a uniquely defined lightlike vector bundle ltr(TM) and a null vector field N of ltr(TM) satisfying

$$\bar{g}(\xi, N) = 1, \ \bar{g}(N, N) = \bar{g}(N, X) = \bar{g}(N, L) = 0, \ \forall X \in \Gamma(S(TM)).$$

We call N, ltr(TM) and $tr(TM) = S(TM^{\perp}) \oplus_{orth} ltr(TM)$ the null transversal vector field, lightlike transversal vector bundle and transversal vector bundle with respect to S(TM) respectively [3]. $T\bar{M}$ is decomposed as

$$T\bar{M} = TM \oplus tr(TM) = \{Rad(TM) \oplus tr(TM)\} \oplus_{orth} S(TM)$$

= $\{Rad(TM) \oplus ltr(TM)\} \oplus_{orth} S(TM) \oplus_{orth} S(TM^{\perp}).$

Let P be the projection morphism of TM on S(TM). Denote by X, Y and Z the smooth vector fields on M, unless otherwise specified. Then the local Gauss-Weingarten formular of M and S(TM) are given by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + B(X, Y)N + D(X, Y)L, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \tau(X) N + \rho(X) L, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X L = -A_{\tau} X + \lambda(X) N + \mu(X) L; \tag{2.5}$$

$$\nabla_X PY = \nabla_Y^* PY + C(X, PY)\xi, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\nabla_X \xi = -A_{\varepsilon}^* X - \sigma(X) \xi, \tag{2.7}$$

respectively, where the symbols ∇ and ∇^* are linear connections on M and S(TM), respectively, B and D are the local second fundamental forms of M, C is the local second fundamental form on S(TM). A_N , A_L and A_{ξ}^* are the shape operators and τ , ρ , λ , μ and σ are 1-forms on M.

For a half lightlike submanifold M of \overline{M} , it is known [4] that J(Rad(TM)), J(ltr(TM)) and $J(S(TM^{\perp}))$ are subbundles of S(TM) with mutually trivial intersections, of rank 1. Thus there exist two non-degenerate almost complex distributions H_o and H on M with respect to J such that

$$S(TM) = J(Rad(TM)) \oplus J(ltr(TM)) \oplus_{orth} J(S(TM^{\perp}) \oplus_{orth} H_o,$$

$$H = \{Rad(TM) \oplus_{orth} J(Rad(TM))\} \oplus_{orth} H_o.$$

In this case, the tangent bundle TM of M is decomposed as follow:

$$TM = H \oplus J(ltr(TM)) \oplus_{orth} J(S(TM^{\perp})).$$
 (2.8)

Let a, b and e be the smooth functions defined by

$$a = \theta(N),$$
 $b = \theta(\xi),$ $e = \theta(L).$

Consider two null vector fields $\{U, V\}$ and one spacelike vector field W on the screen distribution S(TM) and their 1-forms u, v and w such that

$$U = -JN, V = -J\xi, W = -JL, (2.9)$$

$$u(X) = g(X, V), \quad v(X) = g(X, U), \quad w(X) = g(X, W).$$
 (2.10)

Denote by S the projection morphism of TM on H and F the tensor field of type (1,1) globally defined on M by $F = J \circ S$. Then

$$JX = FX + u(X)N + w(X)L. \tag{2.11}$$

Applying J to (2.11) and using (2.1) and (2.9), we have

$$F^{2}X = -X + u(X)U + w(X)W. (2.12)$$

Substituting (2.11) into $(1.2)_2$ and using (2.11) and (2.10), we have

$$g(FX, FY) = g(X, Y) - u(X)v(Y) - u(Y)v(X) - w(X)w(Y).$$
 (2.13)

In the sequel, we say that F is the structure tensor field of M.

3. Non-metric ϕ -symmetric connections

Let T be the torsion tensor on M with respect to ∇ and η the 1-form given by $\eta(X) = \bar{g}(X, N)$. Using (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), (2.3) and (2.11), we get

$$(\nabla_X g)(Y, Z) = B(X, Y)\eta(Z) + B(X, Z)\eta(Y)$$

$$-\theta(Y)\phi(X, Z) - \theta(Z)\phi(X, Y),$$
(3.1)

$$T(X,Y) = \theta(Y)FX - \theta(X)FY, \tag{3.2}$$

$$B(X,Y) - B(Y,X) = \theta(Y)u(X) - \theta(X)u(Y), \tag{3.3}$$

$$D(X,Y) - D(Y,X) = \theta(Y)w(X) - \theta(X)w(Y), \tag{3.4}$$

$$\phi(X,Y) = g(FX,Y) + u(X)\eta(Y), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\phi(X,\xi) = u(X), \quad \phi(X,N) = v(X), \quad \phi(X,L) = w(X), \quad (3.6)$$

 $\phi(X,V) = 0, \quad \phi(X,U) = -\eta(X), \quad \phi(X,W) = 0.$

Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to $\bar{g}(\xi,\xi)=0$, $\bar{g}(\xi,L)=0$, $\bar{g}(N,N)=0$, $\bar{g}(N,L)=0$, $\bar{g}(L,L)=1$ and $\bar{g}(\xi,N)=1$ by turns and using (1.1) and (3.6), we obtain

$$B(X,\xi) = bu(X), \qquad D(X,\xi) = -\lambda(X) + eu(X) + bw(X), \quad (3.7)$$

$$\bar{g}(A_N X, N) = -av(X), \quad \bar{g}(A_L X, N) = \rho(X) - ev(X) - aw(X), \quad (3.8)$$

$$\mu(X) = ew(X), \qquad \sigma(X) = \tau(X) - au(X) - bv(X), \tag{3.9}$$

respectively. From (3.3), (3.4) and (3.7), we see that

$$B(\xi, X) = 0,$$
 $D(\xi, X) = -\lambda(X) + eu(X).$ (3.10)

The local second fundamental forms are related to their shape operators by

$$B(X,Y) = g(A_{\epsilon}^*X,Y) + bg(FX,Y) + u(X)\theta(Y),$$
 (3.11)

$$D(X,Y) = g(A_L X, Y) + eg(FX, Y) + w(X)\theta(Y) - \{\lambda(X) - eu(X)\}\eta(Y),$$
(3.12)

$$C(X, PY) = g(A_N X, PY) + ag(FX, PY) + v(X)\theta(PY).$$
 (3.13)

Replacing X by ξ to (3.11) and using (2.10) and (3.10)₁, we obtain

$$A_{\varepsilon}^* \xi = bV. \tag{3.14}$$

Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to (2.9) and (2.11) and using (2.3) \sim (2.5), (2.9), (2.11), (2.2) and (3.11) \sim (3.13), we have

$$B(X,U) = u(A_{N}X) + \theta(U)u(X)$$

$$= C(X,V) + \theta(U)u(X) - \theta(V)v(X),$$

$$D(X,U) = w(A_{N}X) + \theta(U)w(X)$$

$$= C(X,W) - \theta(W)v(X) + \theta(U)w(X),$$

$$D(X,V) = B(X,W) - \theta(W)u(X) + \theta(V)w(X),$$

$$\nabla_{X}U = F(A_{N}X) + \tau(X)U + \rho(X)W - aX + \theta(U)FX,$$

$$\nabla_{X}V = F(A_{E}X) - \sigma(X)V + bu(X)U + D(X,E)W$$
(3.16)

$$\nabla_X V = F(A_{\xi}^* X) - \sigma(X)V + bu(X)U + D(X, \xi)W$$

$$-bX + \theta(V)FX,$$
(3.17)

$$\nabla_X W = F(A_L X) + \lambda(X)U + \mu(X)W - eX + \theta(W)FX, \quad (3.18)$$

$$(\nabla_X F)Y = u(Y)A_N X + w(Y)A_L X - B(X, Y)U$$

$$-D(X, Y)W + \theta(Y)X + \theta(JY)FX.$$
(3.19)

Definition 3.1. ([9]) A half lightlike submanifold M of a semi-Riemannian manifold is called *irrotational* if $\bar{\nabla}_X \xi \in \Gamma(TM)$, i.e., $B(X, \xi) = D(X, \xi) = 0$.

Note that, from (3.7), we see that M is irrotational if and only if

$$b = 0, \qquad \lambda(X) = eu(X). \tag{3.20}$$

4. Recurrent and Lie Recurrent Submanifolds

Definition 4.1. ([5]) The structure tensor field F of M is said to be *recurrent* if there exists a smooth 1-form ϖ on M such that

$$(\nabla_X F)Y = \varpi(X)FY.$$

Theorem 4.2. Let M be a half lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kaehler manifold \bar{M} with a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection. If F is recurrent, then the following six statements are satisfied:

- (1) F is parallel with respect to the induced connection ∇ on M.
- (2) M is irrotational.
- (3) The 1-form θ vanishes, i.e., $\theta = 0$, on M.
- (4) W is parallel vector field with respect to the connection ∇ .
- (5) H, J(ltr(TM)) and $J(S(TM^{\perp}))$ are parallel distributions on M.
- (6) M is locally a product manifold $M = \mathcal{C}_U \times \mathcal{C}_W \times M^{\sharp}$, where \mathcal{C}_U is a null curve tangent to J(ltr(TM)), \mathcal{C}_W is a spacelike curve tangent to $J(S(TM^{\perp}))$, and M^{\sharp} is a leaf of the distribution H.

Proof. (1) From the above definition and (3.19), we get

$$\varpi(X)FY = u(Y)A_{N}X + w(Y)A_{L}X - B(X,Y)U$$

$$-D(X,Y)W + \theta(Y)X + \theta(JY)FX.$$
(4.1)

Replacing Y by ξ and using (2.9), (2.10) and the fact: $F\xi = -V$, we get

$$\varpi(X)V = B(X,\xi)U + D(X,\xi)W - bX + \theta(V)FX. \tag{4.2}$$

Taking the scalar product with N to (4.2), we obtain $b\eta(X) - \theta(V)v(X) = 0$. Taking $X = \xi$ and X = V to this result by turns, we have

$$b = 0, \qquad \theta(V) = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

Taking the scalar product with U to (4.2), we get $\varpi = 0$. It follows that $\nabla_X F = 0$. Therefore, F is parallel with respect to the connection ∇ .

(2) Taking the scalar product with V and W to (4.2) by turns, we get

$$B(X,\xi) = 0,$$
 $D(X,\xi) = 0.$ (4.4)

It is equivalent to $\nabla_X \xi \in \Gamma(TM)$. Therefore, M is irrotational.

(3) Replacing Y by V to (4.1) and using (4.3), we have

$$B(X, V) = 0,$$
 $D(X, V) = 0.$ (4.5)

Taking Y = V to (3.3) and (3.4) by turns and using (4.3)₂ and (4.5), we get

$$B(V, X) = 0,$$
 $D(V, X) = 0.$ (4.6)

Taking Y = U and Y = W to (4.1) such that $\varpi = 0$ by turns, we have

$$A_N X = B(X, U)U + D(X, U)W - \theta(U)X - aFX, \tag{4.7}$$

$$A_L X = B(X, W)U + D(X, W)W - \theta(W)X - eFX. \tag{4.8}$$

Taking the scalar product with N and then, with U to (4.7) and (4.8) by turns and using (3.8), (3.12) and (3.13), we obtain

$$\theta(U) = 0,$$
 $C(X, U) = 0,$ (4.9)

$$\rho(X) - aw(X) = -\theta(W)\eta(X), \quad D(X,U) = -\theta(W)v(X).$$
 (4.10)

Replacing X by V to $(4.10)_2$ and using $(4.6)_2$, we get $\theta(W) = 0$. Thus

$$\theta(W) = 0, \qquad \rho(X) = aw(X), \qquad D(X, U) = 0.$$
 (4.11)

Taking the product with N to (4.1) and using (3.8) and $(4.11)_2$, we have

$$\theta(Y)\eta(X) + \{\theta(JY) - au(Y) - ew(Y)\}v(X) = 0.$$

Replacing X by ξ and V to this equation by turns, we obtain

$$\theta(X) = 0, \qquad \theta(JX) = au(X) + ew(X), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM).$$
 (4.12)

(4) Applying F to (4.7) and (4.8) and using (2.12), we obtain

$$F(A_N X) - aX = -au(X)U - aw(X)W,$$

$$F(A_1 X) - eX = -eu(X)U - ew(X)W.$$

Using these, (3.9), $(3.20)_2$ and $(4.11)_2$, Eqs. (3.16) and (3.18) reduce

$$\nabla_X U = \sigma(X)U, \qquad \nabla_X W = 0. \tag{4.13}$$

From $(4.13)_2$, we see that W is parallel vector field with respect to ∇ .

(5) It follows from (4.13) that J(ltr(TM)) and $J(S(TM^{\perp}))$ are parallel distributions on M with respect to ∇ , that is,

$$\nabla_X U \in \Gamma(J(ltr(TM))), \qquad \quad \nabla_X W \in \Gamma(J(S(TM^{\perp}))).$$

On the other hand, using $(4.3)_2$, $(4.5)_2$ and $(4.11)_1$, from $(3.15)_3$ we get

$$B(X, W) = 0. (4.14)$$

Taking Y = FZ to (4.1) and using (4.12) and u(FZ) = w(FZ) = 0, we get

$$B(X, FZ) = 0,$$
 $D(X, FZ) = 0.$ (4.15)

For any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(H_o)$, by using (2.2), (2.7), (2.13), (3.6)_{1,4}, (3.11), (3.12), (3.17), (4.3) \sim (4.5), (4.12), (4.14) and (4.15), we derive

$$g(\nabla_X \xi, V) = -B(X, V) + \theta(V)u(X) = 0,$$

$$g(\nabla_X \xi, W) = -B(X, W) + \theta(W)u(X) = 0,$$

$$g(\nabla_X V, V) = 0,$$

$$g(\nabla_X V, W) = D(X, \xi) - bw(X) = 0,$$

$$g(\nabla_X Z, V) = B(X, FZ) - \theta(FZ)u(X) = 0,$$

$$g(\nabla_X Z, W) = D(X, FZ) - \theta(FZ)w(X) = 0.$$

It follows that H is also a parallel distribution on M, that is,

$$\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(H), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM), \quad \forall Y \in \Gamma(H).$$

(6) As J(ltr(TM)), $J(S(TM^{\perp}))$ and H are parallel distributions and satisfied (2.8), by the decomposition theorem [1], M is locally a product manifold $C_U \times C_W \times M^{\sharp}$, where C_U is a null curve tangent to J(ltr(TM)), C_W is a spacelike curve tangent to $J(S(TM^{\perp}))$, and M^{\sharp} is a leaf of H.

Definition 4.3. ([5]) The structure tensor field F of M is said to be Lie recurrent if there exists a smooth 1-form ϑ on M such that

$$(\mathcal{L}_X F)Y = \vartheta(X)FY,$$

where \mathcal{L}_X denotes the Lie derivative on M with respect to X. The structure tensor field F is called $Lie\ parallel$ if $\mathcal{L}_X F = 0$.

Theorem 4.4. Let M be a half lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kaehler manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. If F is Lie recurrent, then the following three statements are satisfied:

- (1) F is Lie paralle.
- (2) τ and σ satisfy $\tau(X) = au(X)$ and $\sigma(X) = -bv(X)$.
- (3) The shape operator A_{ξ}^* satisfies $A_{\xi}^*U = A_{\xi}^*V = 0$.

Proof. (1) Using (3.2) and (3.19), we have

$$\vartheta(X)FY = -\nabla_{FY}X + F\nabla_{Y}X + u(Y)A_{N}X + w(Y)A_{L}X$$

$$-\{B(X,Y) - \theta(Y)u(X)\}U - \{D(X,Y) - \theta(Y)w(X)\}W$$

$$+\{au(Y) + ew(Y)\}FX.$$
(4.16)

Taking $Y = \xi$ to (4.16) and using (3.7)_{1,2} and the fact: $F\xi = -V$, we get

$$-\vartheta(X)V = \nabla_V X + F \nabla_{\varepsilon} X + \{\lambda(X) - eu(X)\}W. \tag{4.17}$$

Taking the scalar product with V and W to (4.17) by turns, we have

$$u(\nabla_V X) = 0, \qquad w(\nabla_V X) = -\lambda(X) + eu(X).$$
 (4.18)

Replacing Y by V to (4.16) and using the fact: $FV = \xi$, we have

$$\vartheta(X)\xi = -\nabla_{\xi}X + F\nabla_{V}X$$

$$-\{B(X,V) - \theta(V)u(X)\}U - \{D(X,V) - \theta(V)w(X)\}W.$$
(4.19)

Applying F to this equation and using (2.12) and (4.18), we obtain

$$\vartheta(X)V = \nabla_V X + F \nabla_{\varepsilon} X + \{\lambda(X) - eu(X)\}W.$$

Comparing this equation with (4.17), we get $\vartheta = 0$. Thus F is Lie parallel.

(2) Taking the scalar product with N to (4.16) and using (3.8), we get

$$-\bar{g}(\nabla_{FY}X, N) + g(\nabla_{Y}X, U) + \rho(X)w(Y) - aw(X)w(Y) = 0.$$
 (4.20)

Replacing X by ξ to (4.20) and using (2.7), (3.9)₂ and (3.11), we have

$$B(Y,U) - \rho(\xi)w(Y) - \theta(U)u(Y) = \tau(FY). \tag{4.21}$$

Taking Y = U and Y = W by turns and using (3.3) and (3.15)_{1,3}, we get

$$C(U, V) = B(U, U) - \theta(U) = 0,$$
 (4.22)
 $D(U, V) = B(U, W) - \theta(W) = \rho(\xi).$

On the other hand, taking the scalar product with V to (4.16) and then, replacing X by U and using (3.3), (3.12), (3.13) and (3.16), we have

$$-\tau(FY) - B(Y,U) + \theta(U)u(Y) + u(Y)C(U,V) + w(Y)D(U,V) = 0. (4.23)$$

From the last two equations (4.22) and (4.23), we see that

$$B(Y, U) - \rho(\xi)w(Y) - \theta(U)u(Y) = -\tau(FY).$$

Comparing this equation with (4.21), we obtain $\tau(FX) = 0$.

Replacing X by W to (4.16) and using (2.12), (3.3), (3.4), $(3.9)_1$, (3.12), $(3.15)_3$ and (3.18), we obtain

$$u(Y)A_{N}W + w(Y)A_{I}W - A_{I}Y - F(A_{I}FY) - \lambda(FY)U = 0.$$

Taking the scalar product with N and using (2.12), (3.8) and (3.12), we get

$$D(FY, U) = w(Y)\rho(W) - \rho(Y).$$

Replacing Y by U and V by turns and using $(3.10)_2$, we have $\rho(U) = 0$ and

$$-\rho(V) = D(\xi, U) = -\lambda(U) + e.$$

On the other hand, replacing X by U to $(4.18)_2$ and using (3.16), we get

$$\rho(V) = -\lambda(U) + e.$$

Comparing the last two equations, we get $\rho(V) = 0$ and $\lambda(U) = e$. Replacing X by W to $(4.18)_1$ and using (3.18), we obtain $\lambda(V) = 0$. Thus

$$\rho(U) = 0, \qquad \rho(V) = 0, \qquad \lambda(U) = e, \qquad \lambda(V) = 0.$$
 (4.24)

Replacing X by V to (4.20) and using (2.12), (3.17) and $(4.24)_2$, we have

$$g(A_{\varepsilon}^*FY, U) + \sigma(Y) = 0.$$

Using this equation, $(3.9)_2$ and (3.11), we obtain

$$B(FY, U) = -\tau(Y) + au(Y).$$

Taking Y = U and Y = W by turns and using FU = FW = 0, we obtain

$$\tau(U) = a, \qquad \tau(W) = 0. \tag{4.25}$$

Replacing X by FY to $\tau(FX) = 0$ and using (2.12) and (4.25), we see that $\tau(X) = au(X)$. From this result and (3.9)₂, we obtain $\sigma(X) = -bv(X)$.

(3) Taking the scalar product with W to (4.19), we have

$$D(X, V) - \theta(V)w(X) = -g(\nabla_{\xi}X, W).$$

Replacing X by U to this and using (3.16), we obtain

$$D(U, V) = -\rho(\xi).$$

From this result and $(4.22)_2$, we obtain $\rho(\xi) = 0$.

Taking the scalar product with V to (4.19), we obtain

$$B(X, V) - \theta(V)u(X) + g(\nabla_{\xi}X, V) = 0.$$

Replacing X by W to this equation and using (3.3) and (3.18), we have

$$B(V, W) = -\lambda(\xi).$$

Replacing X by ξ to $(4.18)_2$ and using (2.7) and (3.11), we get

$$B(V, W) = \lambda(\xi).$$

Comparing the last two equations, we obtain $\lambda(\xi) = 0$. Therefore,

$$\rho(\xi) = 0, \quad \lambda(\xi) = 0, \quad D(U, V) = 0, \quad B(X, U) = \theta(U)u(X).$$
 (4.26)

Taking Y = U to (3.3) and using $(4.26)_4$, we get $B(U, X) = \theta(X)$. Taking X = U to (3.11), we have $g(A_{\xi}^*U, X) = 0$. As S(TM) is non-degenerate, this result implies $A_{\xi}^*U = 0$. Replacing X by ξ to (4.17) and using (2.7), (3.14) and the facts that $\lambda(\xi) = 0$ and $\sigma(X) = -bv(X)$, we obtain $A_{\xi}^*V = 0$.

5. Indefinite complex space forms

Definition 5.1. An indefinite complex space form $\overline{M}(c)$ is a connected indefinite Kaehler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c;

$$\widetilde{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z} = \frac{c}{4} \{ \bar{g}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})\bar{X} - \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Z})\bar{Y}
+ \bar{g}(J\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})J\bar{X} - \bar{g}(J\bar{X}, \bar{Z})J\bar{Y} + 2\bar{g}(\bar{X}, J\bar{Y})J\bar{Z} \},$$
(5.1)

where \widetilde{R} is the curvature tensor of the Levi-Civita connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ on \overline{M} .

Let \bar{R} be the curvature tensor of the non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on \bar{M} . By directed calculations from (1.2) and (1.4), we see that

$$\bar{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z} = \tilde{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z} + (\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(Z)JY - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \theta)(Z)JX. \tag{5.2}$$

Denote by R and R^* the curvature tensors of the induced connections ∇ and ∇^* on M and S(TM) respectively. Using the Gauss-Weingarten formular, we have two Gauss equations for M and S(TM) such that

$$\bar{R}(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z + B(X,Z)A_{N}Y - B(Y,Z)A_{N}X$$

$$+ D(X,Z)A_{L}Y - D(Y,Z)A_{L}X$$

$$+ \{(\nabla_{X}B)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_{Y}B)(X,Z)$$

$$+ \lambda(X)D(Y,Z) - \lambda(Y)D(X,Z)$$

$$+ \theta(Y)B(FX,Z) - \theta(X)B(FY,Z)\}N$$

$$+ \{(\nabla_{X}D)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_{Y}D)(X,Z)$$

$$+ \rho(X)B(Y,Z) - \rho(Y)B(X,Z)$$

$$+ \rho(X)B(Y,Z) - \rho(Y)B(X,Z)$$

$$+ \mu(X)D(Y,Z) - \mu(Y)D(X,Z)$$

$$+ \theta(Y)D(FX,Z) - \theta(X)D(FX,Z)\}L,$$

$$R(X,Y)PZ = R^{*}(X,Y)PZ + C(X,PZ)A_{\xi}^{*}Y - C(Y,PZ)A_{\xi}^{*}X$$
 (5.4)
$$+ \{(\nabla_{X}C)(Y,PZ) - (\nabla_{Y}C)(X,PZ)$$

$$- \sigma(X)C(Y,PZ) + \sigma(Y)C(X,PZ)$$

$$+ \theta(Y)C(FX,PZ) - \theta(X)C(FY,PZ)\}\xi.$$

Comparing the tangential components of (5.2) and (5.3), we obtain

$$R(X,Y)Z = B(Y,Z)A_{N}X - B(X,Z)A_{N}Y$$

$$+ D(Y,Z)A_{L}X - D(X,Z)A_{L}Y$$

$$+ (\bar{\nabla}_{X}\theta)(Z)FY - (\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\theta)(Z)FX$$

$$+ \frac{c}{4}\{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y + \bar{g}(JY,Z)FX$$

$$- \bar{g}(JX,Z)FY + 2\bar{g}(X,JY)FZ\}.$$

$$(5.5)$$

Taking the scalar product with N to (5.4) and then, substituting (5.5) into the resulting equation and using (3.2) and (3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{split} &(\nabla_X C)(Y,PZ) - (\nabla_Y C)(X,PZ) \\ &- \sigma(X)C(Y,PZ) + \sigma(Y)C(X,PZ) \\ &- \theta(X)C(FY,PZ) + \theta(Y)C(FX,PZ) \\ &+ a\{v(X)B(Y,PZ) - v(Y)B(X,PZ)\} \\ &- \{\rho(X) - ev(X) - aw(X)\}D(Y,PZ) \\ &+ \{\rho(Y) - ev(Y) - aw(Y)\}D(X,PZ) \\ &- (\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(PZ)v(Y) + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \theta)(PZ)v(X) \end{split}$$

$$= \frac{c}{4} \{ \eta(X)g(Y, PZ) - \eta(Y)g(X, PZ) + v(X)g(FY, PZ) - v(Y)g(FX, PZ) + 2v(PZ)\bar{g}(X, JY) \}.$$
 (5.6)

Theorem 5.2. Let M be a half lightlike submanifold of an indefinite complex space form $\bar{M}(c)$ with a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection. If one of the following four statements is satisfied;

- (1) F is recurrent,
- (2) F is Lie recurrent,
- (3) U is parallel with respect to the connection ∇ ,
- (4) V is parallel with respect to the connection ∇ ,

then $\bar{M}(c)$ is flat, i.e., c = 0. In case (1), σ satisfies $d\sigma = 0$.

Proof. (1) Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to (4.9)₁: $\theta(U) = 0$ and using (2.3), (4.11)₃, (4.12)₁ and the fact that $\theta(N) = a$, we obtain

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(U) = -aB(X, U). \tag{5.7}$$

Applying ∇_X to (4.9)₂: C(Y,U) = 0 and using (4.13)₁, we obtain

$$(\nabla_X C)(Y, U) = 0.$$

Taking Z = U to (5.6) and using (4.11)₃ and the last two equations, we get

$$\frac{c}{2}\{v(Y)\eta(X) - v(X)\eta(Y)\} = 0.$$

Taking $X = \xi$ and Y = V to this, we have c = 0. Thus $\overline{M}(c)$ is flat. By directed calculation from $(4.13)_1$: $\nabla_X U = \sigma(X)U$, we obtain

$$R(X,Y)U = 2d\sigma(X,Y)U.$$

On the other hand, by using $(4.9)_1$ and $(4.11)_3$, Eq.(4.7) reduces

$$A_N X = B(X, U)U - aFX.$$

Replacing Z by U to (5.5) and using (4.11)₃, (5.7) and the last equation, we get R(X,Y)U=0. Therefore, we obtain $d\sigma=0$.

(2) Using the Gauss-Weingarten formulae (2.6) and (2.7) for the screen distribution S(TM), we have the following Codazzi equation for S(TM):

$$R(X,Y)\xi = -\nabla_X^* (A_{\xi}^* Y) + \nabla_Y^* (A_{\xi}^* X) + A_{\xi}^* [X,Y]$$

$$-\sigma(X) A_{\xi}^* Y + \sigma(Y) A_{\xi}^* X$$

$$+ \{ C(Y, A_{\xi}^* X) - C(X, A_{\xi}^* Y) - 2d\sigma(X,Y) \} \xi.$$
(5.8)

Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to $\theta(\xi) = b$ and using (2.7), (3.7) and $\sigma = -bv$, we get

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(\xi) = Xb + \theta(A_{\xi}^* X) - b^2 v(X) - abu(X)$$

$$+ e\{\lambda(X) - eu(X) - bw(X)\}.$$

$$(5.9)$$

Taking the scaler product with N to (5.5) with $Z = \xi$ and then, comparing this result with the radical component of (5.8), we obtain

$$\begin{split} &C(Y, A_{\xi}^*X) - C(X, A_{\xi}^*Y) - 2d\sigma(X, Y) \\ &= \frac{c}{4} \{ u(Y)v(X) - u(X)v(Y) \} \\ &+ \{ \lambda(X) - eu(X) - bw(X) \} \{ \rho(Y) - aw(Y) \} \\ &- \{ \lambda(Y) - eu(Y) - bw(Y) \} \{ \rho(X) - aw(X) \} \\ &+ (Xb)v(Y) - (Yb)v(X) + \theta(A_{\xi}^*X)v(Y) - \theta(A_{\xi}^*Y)v(X), \end{split}$$

due to (3.7), (3.8) and (5.9). Taking X = U and Y = V to the last equation and using (4.24) and the item (3) in Theorem 4.2, we obtain

$$2d\sigma(U,V) = \frac{c}{4} - Ub. \tag{5.10}$$

On the other hand, in general, applying ∇_X to v(Y) = g(Y, U) and using (2.11), (3.1), (3.5), (3.6)₅, (3.8)₁, (3.15)₁ and (3.16), we have

$$(\nabla_X v)Y = v(Y)\tau(X) + w(Y)\rho(X) + \theta(Y)\eta(X) -g(A_{N}X, FY) - a\{g(X, Y) - u(Y)v(X)\}.$$

By directed calculation from $\sigma(X) = -bv(X)$ and by using (3.2), we derive

$$\begin{array}{lll} 2d\sigma(X,Y) & = & -(Xb)v(Y) + (Yb)v(X) + ab\{u(X)v(Y) - u(Y)v(X)\} \\ & + b\{v(X)\tau(Y) - v(Y)\tau(X) + w(X)\rho(Y) - w(Y)\rho(X) \\ & + g(A_{\scriptscriptstyle N}X,FY) - g(A_{\scriptscriptstyle N}Y,FX)\}. \end{array}$$

Taking X = U and Y = V to this equation and using $(4.25)_1$, we have

$$2d\sigma(U,V) = -Ub.$$

Comparing this result with (5.10), we obtain c = 0.

(3) Assume that $\nabla_X U = 0$. Taking the scalar product with U to (3.16) and using (3.8)₁, we obtain $\theta(U)\eta(X) = 0$. It follows that

$$\theta(U) = 0.$$

Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to $\theta(U) = 0$ and using (2.3) and the fact $\nabla_X U = 0$, we get

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(U) = -aB(X, U) - eD(X, U). \tag{5.11}$$

Taking the scalar product with W and N to (3.16) and using (3.13), we have

$$\rho(X) = aw(X), \quad C(X, U) = 0,$$
(5.12)

respectively. Applying ∇_Y to $(5.12)_2$ and the fact $\nabla_Y U = 0$, we obtain

$$(\nabla_X C)(Y, U) = 0. \tag{5.13}$$

Taking PZ = U to (5.6) and using (5.11), (5.12)_{1,2} and (5.13), we have

$$\frac{c}{2}\{v(Y)\eta(X) - v(X)\eta(Y)\} = 0.$$

Taking $X = \xi$ and Y = V to this equation, we obtain c = 0.

(4) Assume that $\nabla_X V = 0$. Taking the scalar product with W and N to (3.17) by turns and using (3.4), (3.11) and (3.15)₁, we obtain

$$D(X,\xi) = bw(X), \quad D(\xi,X) = 0, \quad C(X,V) = 0.$$
 (5.14)

Taking X = U and Y = W to (3.3), we obtain

$$B(U, W) - \theta(W) = B(W, U).$$

Replacing X by U to $(3.15)_3$ and using the last equation, $(3.15)_1$ and $(5.14)_3$, we see that $D(U, V) = B(U, W) - \theta(W) = B(W, U) = C(W, V) = 0$. Thus

$$D(U, V) = 0. (5.15)$$

Applying ∇_X to (5.14)₃ and using the fact that $\nabla_X V = 0$, we have

$$(\nabla_X C)(Y, V) = 0.$$

Taking PZ = V to (5.6) and using (5.14)₃ and the last equation, we get

$$\begin{split} &a\{v(X)B(Y,V)-v(Y)B(X,V)\}\\ &-\{\rho(X)-ev(X)-aw(X)\}D(Y,V)\\ &+\{\rho(Y)-ev(Y)-aw(Y)\}D(X,V)\\ &-(\bar{\nabla}_X\theta)(V)v(Y)+(\bar{\nabla}_Y\theta)(V)v(X)\\ &=\frac{c}{4}\{u(Y)\eta(X)-u(X)\eta(Y)+2\bar{g}(X,JY)\}. \end{split}$$

Taking $X = \xi$ and Y = U and using (5.14)₂ and (5.15), we get c = 0.

References

- G.de Rham, Sur la réductibilité d'un espace de Riemannian, Comm. Math. Helv., 26 (1952), 328-344.
- [2] K.L. Duggal and A. Bejancu, Lightlike Submanifolds of codimension 2, Math. J. Toyama Univ., 15 (1992), 59–82.
- [3] K.L. Duggal and D.H. Jin, *Half lightlike submanifolds of codimension* 2, Math. J. Toyama Univ., **22** (1999), 121–161.
- [4] D.H. Jin, Geometry of screen conformal real half lightlike submanifolds, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 47(4) (2010), 701–714.
- [5] D.H. Jin, Special lightlike hypersurfaces of indefinite Kaehler manifolds, Filomat, 30(7) (2016), 1919–1930.
- [6] D.H. Jin, Lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold with a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **53**(6) (2016), 1771–1783.

- [7] D.H. Jin, Lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite Kaehler manifold with a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., $\mathbf{54}(2)$ (2017), 619–632.
- [8] D.H. Jin and J.W. Lee, Half lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold with a non-metric φ-symmetric connection, to appear in Inter. Jour. of Pure and Appl. Math., (2018).
- [9] D.N. Kupeli, Singular Semi-Riemannian Geometry, Mathematics and Its Applications, Kluwer Acad. Publishers, Dordrecht, 1996.