

EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF SUZUKI TYPE RESULT IN S_b -METRIC SPACES WITH APPLICATION TO INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

K. P. R. Rao¹, W. Shatanawi², G. N. V. Kishore³,
Kamaleldin Abodayeh⁴ and D. Ram Prasad⁵

¹Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University,
Nagarjuna Nagar, Guntur - 522 510, Andhra Pradesh, India
e-mail: kprrao2004@yahoo.com

²Department of General Sciences, Prince Sultan University,
Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
Department of Mathematics, The Hashemite University,
P.O. Box 330127, Zarqa 13133, Jordan
e-mail: wshatanawi@psu.edu.sa, swasfi@hu.edu.jo

³Department of Mathematics, K L University,
Vaddeswaram, Guntur - 522 502, Andhra Pradesh, India
e-mail: kishore.apr2@gmail.com, gnvkishore@kluniversity.in

⁴Department of Mathematics and General Courses,
Prince Sultan University Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
e-mail: kamal@psu.edu.sa

⁵Department of Mathematics, K L University,
Vaddeswaram, Guntur - 522 502, Andhra Pradesh, India
e-mail: ramprasadmphil09@gmail.com

Abstract. In this paper we prove a Suzuki type unique common coupled fixed point theorem for two pairs of w -compatible mappings along with $(\psi - \phi)$ - and Rational contraction conditions in S_b -metric spaces. We also furnish an example as well as application to integral equation.

⁰Received April 28, 2017. Revised October 18, 2017.

⁰2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54H25, 47H10. 54H50.

⁰Keywords: S_b -metric space, w -compatible pairs, S_b -completeness.

⁰Corresponding author: W. Shatanawi(wshatanawi@psu.edu.sa).

1. INTRODUCTION

In 2008, Suzuki [12] generalized the Banach contraction principle [2].

Theorem 1.1. ([12]) *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let T be a mapping on X . Define a non-increasing function $\theta : [0, 1] \rightarrow (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ by*

$$\theta(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq \frac{(\sqrt{5}-1)}{2}, \\ (1-r)r^{-2} & \text{if } \frac{(\sqrt{5}-1)}{2} \leq r \leq 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \\ (1+r)^{-1} & \text{if } 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq r < 1. \end{cases}$$

Assume that there exists $r \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\theta(r)d(x, Tx) \leq d(x, y) \Rightarrow d(Tx, Ty) \leq rd(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique fixed point z of T . Moreover $\lim_n T^n x = z$ for all $x \in X$.

Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [4] introduced the notion of coupled fixed point and they provide some coupled fixed point results also.

Recently Sedghi *et al.* [9] defined S_b -metric spaces using the concept of S -metric spaces [10].

The aim of this paper is to prove Suzuki type unique common coupled fixed point theorem for four mappings satisfying generalized contractive condition in a S_b -metric space. Throughout this paper $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}^+$ and \mathcal{N} denote the set of all real numbers, non-negative real numbers and positive integers, respectively.

First we recall some definitions, lemmas and examples.

Definition 1.2. ([10]) Let X be a non-empty set. A S -metric on X is a function $S : X^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ that satisfies the following conditions for each $x, y, z, a \in X$,

$$(S1) \quad 0 < S(x, y, z) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X \text{ with } x \neq y \neq z,$$

$$(S2) \quad S(x, y, z) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y = z,$$

$$(S3) \quad S(x, y, z) \leq S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a) \text{ for all } x, y, z, a \in X.$$

Then the pair (X, S) is called a S -metric space.

Definition 1.3. ([9]) Let X be a non-empty set and $b \geq 1$ be given real number. Suppose that $S : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function satisfying the following properties:

$$(S_b1) \quad 0 < S(x, y, z) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X \text{ with } x \neq y \neq z,$$

$$(S_b2) \quad S(x, y, z) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y = z,$$

$$(S_b3) \quad S(x, y, z) \leq b(S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a)) \text{ for all } x, y, z, a \in X.$$

Then the function S is called a S_b -metric on X and the pair (X, S) is called a S_b -metric space.

Remark 1.4. ([9]) It should be noted that, the class of S_b -metric spaces is effectively larger than that of S -metric spaces. Indeed each S -metric space is a S_b -metric space with $b = 1$.

Following example shows that a S_b -metric on X need not be a S -metric on X .

Example 1.5. ([9]) Let (X, S) be a S -metric space, and $S_*(x, y, z) = S(x, y, z)^p$, where $p > 1$ is a real number. Note that S_* is a S_b -metric with $b = 2^{2(p-1)}$. Also, (X, S_*) is not necessarily a S -metric space.

Definition 1.6. ([9]) Let (X, S) be a S_b -metric space. Then, for $x \in X$, $r > 0$ we defined the open ball $B_S(x, r)$ and closed ball $B_S[x, r]$ with center x and radius r as follows, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} B_S(x, r) &= \{y \in X : S(y, y, x) < r\}, \\ B_S[x, r] &= \{y \in X : S(y, y, x) \leq r\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1.7. ([9]) *In a S_b -metric space, we have*

$$S(x, x, y) \leq bS(y, y, x)$$

and

$$S(y, y, x) \leq bS(x, x, y).$$

Lemma 1.8. ([9]) *In a S_b -metric space, we have*

$$S(x, x, z) \leq 2bS(x, x, y) + b^2S(y, y, z).$$

Definition 1.9. ([9]) If (X, S) be a S_b -metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be:

- (1) S_b -Cauchy sequence if, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $S(x_n, x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ for each $m, n \geq n_0$.
- (2) S_b -convergent to a point $x \in X$ if, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $S(x_n, x_n, x) < \epsilon$ or $S(x, x, x_n) < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$ and we denote by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

Definition 1.10. ([9]) A S_b -metric space (X, S) is called complete if every S_b -Cauchy sequence is S_b -convergent in X .

Lemma 1.11. ([9]) *Let (X, S) be a S_b -metric space with $b \geq 1$ and suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is a S_b -convergent to x . Then we have*

- (i) $\frac{1}{2b}S(y, x, x) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(y, y, x_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(y, y, x_n) \leq 2bS(y, y, x)$,
- (ii) $\frac{1}{b^2}S(x, x, y) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(x_n, x_n, y) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(x_n, x_n, y) \leq b^2S(x, x, y)$
for all $y \in X$.

In particular, if $x = y$, then we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(x_n, x_n, y) = 0$.

Definition 1.12. ([4]) An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled fixed point of a mapping $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ if $x = F(x, y)$ and $y = F(y, x)$.

Definition 1.13. ([5]) An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called

- (i) a coupled coincident point of mappings $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ if $fx = F(x, y)$ and $fy = F(y, x)$.
- (ii) a common coupled fixed point of mappings $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ if $x = fx = F(x, y)$ and $y = fy = F(y, x)$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Now, we give our main results. Let Ψ be denotes the set of all functions $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying:

- (ψ_1) ψ is continuous and monotonically increasing,
- (ψ_2) $\psi(at) = a\psi(t)$, where a is constant and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Let Φ be denotes the set of all functions $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying:

- (ϕ_1) ϕ is lower semi continuous,
- (ϕ_2) $\phi(t) < t$ for $t > 0$.

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, S) be a S_b -metric space. Suppose that $A, B : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $P, Q : X \rightarrow X$ are satisfied:

- (2.1.1) $A(X \times X) \subseteq Q(X), B(X \times X) \subseteq P(X)$,
- (2.1.2) $\{A, P\}$ and $\{B, Q\}$ are w -compatible pairs,
- (2.1.3) One of $P(X)$ or $Q(X)$ is S_b -complete subspace of X ,
- (2.1.4)
$$\frac{1}{8b^3} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(A(x, y), A(x, y), Px), S(B(u, v), B(u, v), Qu), \\ S(A(y, x), A(y, x), Py), S(B(v, u), B(v, u), Qv) \end{array} \right\} \\ \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(Px, Px, Qu), \\ S(Py, Py, Qv) \end{array} \right\}$$
 implies that

$$\psi(S(A(x, y), A(x, y), B(u, v))) \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi(M(x, y, u, v)) - \phi(M(x, y, u, v))$$

for all x, y, u, v in X , where $\psi \in \Psi, \phi \in \Phi$ and

$$M(x, y, u, v) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(Px, Px, Qu), S(Py, Py, Qv), \\ S(A(x, y), A(x, y), Px), S(A(y, x), A(y, x), Py), \\ S(B(u, v), B(u, v), Qu), S(B(v, u), B(v, u), Qv), \\ \frac{S(A(x, y), A(x, y), Qu) S(B(u, v), B(u, v), Px)}{1 + S(Px, Px, Qu)}, \\ \frac{S(A(y, x), A(y, x), Qv) S(B(v, u), B(v, u), Py)}{1 + S(Py, Py, Qv)} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then A, B, P and Q have a unique common coupled fixed point in $X \times X$.

Proof. Let $x_0, y_0 \in X$. From (2.1.1), we can construct the sequences $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$, $\{z_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_{2n}, y_{2n}) &= Qx_{2n+1} = z_{2n}, \\ A(y_{2n}, x_{2n}) &= Qy_{2n+1} = w_{2n}, \\ B(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) &= Px_{2n+2} = z_{2n+1}, \\ B(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) &= Py_{2n+2} = w_{2n+1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Case (i) Suppose $z_{2m} = z_{2m+1}$ and $w_{2m} = w_{2m+1}$ for some m . Assume that $z_{2m+1} \neq z_{2m+2}$ or $w_{2m+1} \neq w_{2m+2}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{8b^3} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(A(x_{2m+2}, y_{2m+2}), A(x_{2m+2}, y_{2m+2}), Px_{2m+2}), \\ S(B(x_{2m+1}, y_{2m+1}), B(x_{2m+1}, y_{2m+1}), Qx_{2m+1}), \\ S(A(y_{2m+2}, x_{2m+2}), A(y_{2m+2}, x_{2m+2}), Py_{2m+2}), \\ S(B(y_{2m+1}, x_{2m+1}), B(y_{2m+1}, x_{2m+1}), Qy_{2m+1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ & \leq \max \{ S(Px_{2m+2}, Px_{2m+2}, Qx_{2m+1}), S(Py_{2m+2}, Py_{2m+2}, Qy_{2m+1}) \}, \end{aligned}$$

from (2.1.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(S(A(x_{2m+2}, y_{2m+2}), A(x_{2m+2}, y_{2m+2}), B(x_{2m+1}, y_{2m+1}))) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi(M(x_{2m+2}, y_{2m+2}, x_{2m+1}, y_{2m+1})) \\ & \quad - \phi(M(x_{2m+2}, y_{2m+2}, x_{2m+1}, y_{2m+1})), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & M(x_{2m+2}, y_{2m+2}, x_{2m+1}, y_{2m+1}) \\ & = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2m+1}, z_{2m+1}, z_{2m}), S(w_{2m+1}, w_{2m+1}, w_{2m}), \\ S(z_{2m+2}, z_{2m+2}, z_{2m+1}), S(w_{2m+2}, w_{2m+2}, w_{2m+1}), \\ S(z_{2m+1}, z_{2m+1}, z_{2m}), S(w_{2m+1}, w_{2m+1}, w_{2m}), \\ \frac{S(z_{2m+2}, z_{2m+2}, z_{2m+1}) S(z_{2m+1}, z_{2m+1}, z_{2m})}{1+S(z_{2m+1}, z_{2m+1}, z_{2m})}, \\ \frac{S(w_{2m+2}, w_{2m+2}, w_{2m+1}) S(w_{2m+1}, w_{2m+1}, w_{2m})}{1+S(w_{2m+1}, w_{2m+1}, w_{2m})} \end{array} \right\} \\ & = \max \{ S(z_{2m+2}, z_{2m+2}, z_{2m+1}), S(w_{2m+2}, w_{2m+2}, w_{2m+1}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(S(z_{2m+2}, z_{2m+2}, z_{2m+1})) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2m+2}, z_{2m+2}, z_{2m+1}), \\ S(w_{2m+2}, w_{2m+2}, w_{2m+1}) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ & \quad - \phi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2m+2}, z_{2m+2}, z_{2m+1}), \\ S(w_{2m+2}, w_{2m+2}, w_{2m+1}) \end{array} \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi (S(w_{2m+2}, w_{2m+2}, w_{2m+1})) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2m+2}, z_{2m+2}, z_{2m+1}), \\ S(w_{2m+2}, w_{2m+2}, w_{2m+1}) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ & \quad - \phi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2m+2}, z_{2m+2}, z_{2m+1}), \\ S(w_{2m+2}, w_{2m+2}, w_{2m+1}) \end{array} \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2m+2}, z_{2m+2}, z_{2m+1}), \\ S(w_{2m+2}, w_{2m+2}, w_{2m+1}) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2m+2}, z_{2m+2}, z_{2m+1}), \\ S(w_{2m+2}, w_{2m+2}, w_{2m+1}) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ & \quad - \phi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2m+2}, z_{2m+2}, z_{2m+1}), \\ S(w_{2m+2}, w_{2m+2}, w_{2m+1}) \end{array} \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $z_{2m+2} = z_{2m+1}$ and $w_{2m+2} = w_{2m+1}$. Continuing in this process we can conclude that $z_{2m+k} = z_{2m}$ and $w_{2m+k} = w_{2m}$ for all $k \geq 0$. It follows that $\{z_{2m}\}$ and $\{w_{2m}\}$ are Cauchy sequences.

Case (ii) Assume that $z_{2n} \neq z_{2n+1}$ and $w_{2n} \neq w_{2n+1}$ for all n . Put $S_n = \max \{S(z_{n+1}, z_{n+1}, z_n), S(w_{n+1}, w_{n+1}, w_n)\}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{8b^3} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(A(x_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}), A(x_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}), Px_{2n+2}), \\ S(B(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}), B(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}), Qx_{2n+1}), \\ S(A(y_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}), A(y_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}), Py_{2n+2}), \\ S(B(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}), B(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}), Qy_{2n+1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ & \leq \max \{ S(Px_{2n+2}, Px_{2n+2}, Qx_{2n+1}), S(Py_{2n+2}, Py_{2n+2}, Qy_{2n+1}) \}, \end{aligned}$$

from (2.1.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi (S(z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+1})) & \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi (M(x_{2m+2}, y_{2m+2}, x_{2m+1}, y_{2m+1})) \\ & \quad - \phi (M(x_{2m+2}, y_{2m+2}, x_{2m+1}, y_{2m+1})), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & M(x_{2m+2}, y_{2m+2}, x_{2m+1}, y_{2m+1}) \\ & = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), S(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}), \\ S(z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+1}), S(w_{2n+2}, w_{2n+2}, w_{2n+1}), \\ S(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), S(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}), \\ \frac{S(z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+2}, z_{2n}) S(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1})}{1+S(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n})}, \\ \frac{S(w_{2n+2}, w_{2n+2}, w_{2n}) S(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1})}{1+S(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n})} \end{array} \right\} \\ & = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), S(z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+1}), \\ S(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}), S(w_{2n+2}, w_{2n+2}, w_{2n+1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ & = \max \{ S_{2n+1}, S_{2n} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\psi(S(z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+1})) \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi(\max\{S_{2n+1}, S_{2n}\}) - \phi(\max\{S_{2n+1}, S_{2n}\}).$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$\psi(S(w_{2n+2}, w_{2n+2}, w_{2n+1})) \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi(\max\{S_{2n+1}, S_{2n}\}) - \phi(\max\{S_{2n+1}, S_{2n}\}).$$

Thus

$$\psi(S_{2n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi(\max\{S_{2n+1}, S_{2n}\}) - \phi(\max\{S_{2n+1}, S_{2n}\}).$$

If S_{2n+1} is maximum, then we get a contradiction so that S_{2n} is maximum. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(S_{2n+1}) &\leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi(S_{2n}) - \phi(S_{2n}) \\ &< \psi(S_{2n}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Similarly we can conclude that $\psi(S_{2n}) < \psi(S_{2n-1})$. Since ψ is nondecreasing and continuous, it is clear that $\{S_n\}$ is a non-increasing sequence of non-negative real numbers and must converges to a real number say $k \geq 0$. Suppose $k > 0$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, in (2.1), we have

$$\psi(k) \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi(k) - \phi(k) < \psi(k).$$

This is a contradiction. Hence $k = 0$. Thus, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(z_{n+1}, z_{n+1}, z_n) = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(w_{n+1}, w_{n+1}, w_n) = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Now we prove that $\{z_{2n}\}$ and $\{w_{2n}\}$ are Cauchy sequences in (X, S) . On contrary we suppose that $\{z_{2n}\}$ and $\{w_{2n}\}$ are not Cauchy. Then there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and monotonically increasing sequences of natural numbers $\{2m_k\}$ and $\{2n_k\}$ such that for $n_k > m_k$,

$$\max\{S(z_{2m_k}, z_{2m_k}, z_{2n_k}), S(w_{2m_k}, w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_k})\} \geq \epsilon \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\max\{S(z_{2m_k}, z_{2m_k}, z_{2n_{k-2}}), S(w_{2m_k}, w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_{k-2}})\} < \epsilon. \quad (2.5)$$

From (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \epsilon &\leq M_k = \max\{S(z_{2m_k}, z_{2m_k}, z_{2n_k}), S(w_{2m_k}, w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_k})\} \\
 &\leq 2b \max\{S(z_{2m_k}, z_{2m_k}, z_{2m_k+2}), S(w_{2m_k}, w_{2m_k}, w_{2m_k+2})\} \\
 &\quad + b^2 \max\{S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2n_k}), S(w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2n_k})\} \\
 &\leq 2b (2b \max\{S(z_{2m_k}, z_{2m_k}, z_{2m_k+1}), S(w_{2m_k}, w_{2m_k}, w_{2m_k+1})\}) \\
 &\quad + 2b (b^2 \max\{S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+2}), S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+2})\}) \\
 &\quad + b^2 (2b \max\{S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2n_k+1}), S(w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2n_k+1})\}) \\
 &\quad + b^2 (b^2 \max\{S(z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k}), S(w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k})\}) \\
 &= 4b^3 \max\{S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k}), S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k})\} \\
 &\quad + 2b^4 \max\{S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+1}), S(w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+1})\} \\
 &\quad + 2b^3 \max\{S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2n_k+1}), S(w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2n_k+1})\} \\
 &\quad + b^4 \max\{S(z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k}, z_{2n_k}), S(w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k}, w_{2n_k})\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ and apply ψ on both sides, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\psi\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2b^3}\right) \tag{2.6} \\
 &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\max\{S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2n_k+1}), S(w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2n_k+1})\}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now first we claim that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{8b^3} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(A(x_{2m_k+2}, y_{2m_k+2}), A(x_{2m_k+2}, y_{2m_k+2}), Px_{2m_k+2}), \\ S(B(x_{2n_k+1}, y_{2n_k+1}), B(x_{2n_k+1}, y_{2n_k+1}), Qx_{2n_k+1}), \\ S(A(y_{2m_k+2}, x_{2m_k+2}), A(y_{2m_k+2}, x_{2m_k+2}), Py_{2m_k+2}), \\ S(B(y_{2n_k+1}, x_{2n_k+1}), B(y_{2n_k+1}, x_{2n_k+1}), Qy_{2n_k+1}) \end{array} \right\} \\
 &\leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(Px_{2m_k+2}, Px_{2m_k+2}, Qx_{2n_k+1}), \\ S(Py_{2m_k+2}, Py_{2m_k+2}, Qy_{2n_k+1}) \end{array} \right\}. \tag{2.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

On contrary, suppose that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{8b^3} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(A(x_{2m_k+2}, y_{2m_k+2}), A(x_{2m_k+2}, y_{2m_k+2}), Px_{2m_k+2}), \\ S(B(x_{2n_k+1}, y_{2n_k+1}), B(x_{2n_k+1}, y_{2n_k+1}), Qx_{2n_k+1}), \\ S(A(y_{2m_k+2}, x_{2m_k+2}), A(y_{2m_k+2}, x_{2m_k+2}), Py_{2m_k+2}), \\ S(B(y_{2n_k+1}, x_{2n_k+1}), B(y_{2n_k+1}, x_{2n_k+1}), Qy_{2n_k+1}) \end{array} \right\} \\
 &> \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(Px_{2m_k+2}, Px_{2m_k+2}, Qx_{2n_k+1}), \\ S(Py_{2m_k+2}, Py_{2m_k+2}, Qy_{2n_k+1}) \end{array} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now from (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon &\leq \max\{S(z_{2m_k}, z_{2m_k}, z_{2n_k}), S(w_{2m_k}, w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_k})\} \\
&\leq 2b^2 \max\{S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k}), S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k})\} \\
&\quad + b^2 \max\{S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k}), S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2n_k})\} \\
&< 2b^2 \max\{S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k}), S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k})\} \\
&\quad + b^2 \frac{1}{8b^3} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+1}), \\ S(w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+1}), \\ S(z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k}), \\ S(w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k}) \end{array} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\epsilon \leq 0$. It is a contradiction. Hence the claim is holds, that is, (2.7) holds.

Now from (2.1.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi(S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+1})) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k}), \\ S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2n_k}), \\ S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+1}), \\ S(w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+1}), \\ S(z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k}), \\ S(w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k}), \\ \frac{S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2n_k}) S(z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1})}{1+S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k})}, \\ \frac{S(w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2n_k}) S(w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1})}{1+S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2n_k})} \end{array} \right\} \right) \\
&\quad - \phi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k}), \\ S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2n_k}), \\ S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+1}), \\ S(w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+1}), \\ S(z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k}), \\ S(w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k}), \\ \frac{S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2n_k}) S(z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1})}{1+S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k})}, \\ \frac{S(w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2n_k}) S(w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1})}{1+S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2n_k})} \end{array} \right\} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(S(w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+1})) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k}), \\ S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2n_k}), \\ S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+1}), \\ S(w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+1}), \\ S(z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k}), \\ S(w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k}), \\ \frac{S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2n_k}) S(z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1})}{1+S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k})}, \\ \frac{S(w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2n_k}) S(w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1})}{1+S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2n_k})} \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ & - \phi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k}), S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2n_k}), \\ S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+1}), \\ S(w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+1}), \\ S(z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k}), S(w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k}), \\ \frac{S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2n_k}) S(z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1})}{1+S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k})}, \\ \frac{S(w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2n_k}) S(w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1})}{1+S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2n_k})} \end{array} \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(\max \{S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+1}), S(w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+1})\}) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k}), \\ S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2n_k}), \\ S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+1}), \\ S(w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+1}), \\ S(z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k}), S(w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k}), \\ \frac{S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2n_k}) S(z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1})}{1+S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k})}, \\ \frac{S(w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2n_k}) S(w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1})}{1+S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2n_k})} \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ & - \phi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k}), \\ S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2n_k}), \\ S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+1}), \\ S(w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+1}), \\ S(z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k}), \\ S(w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k}), \\ \frac{S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2n_k}) S(z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1})}{1+S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k})}, \\ \frac{S(w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2n_k}) S(w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1})}{1+S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2n_k})} \end{array} \right\} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max\{S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k}), S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2n_k})\} \\
& \leq 2b \max\{S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k}), S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k})\} \\
& \quad + b^2 \max\{S(z_{2m_k}, z_{2m_k}, z_{2n_k}), S(w_{2m_k}, w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_k})\} \\
& \leq 2b \max\{S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k}), S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k})\} \\
& \quad + b^2 (2b \max\{S(z_{2m_k}, z_{2m_k}, z_{2n_{k-2}}), S(w_{2m_k}, w_{2m_k}, w_{2n_{k-2}})\}) \\
& \quad + b^2 (b^2 \max\{S(z_{2n_k-2}, z_{2n_k-2}, z_{2n_k}), S(w_{2n_k-2}, w_{2n_k-2}, w_{2n_k})\}) \\
& < 2b \max\{S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k}), S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k})\} \\
& \quad + 2b^3 \epsilon + b^4 (2b \max\{S(z_{2n_k-2}, z_{2n_k-2}, z_{2n_k-1}), S(w_{2n_k-2}, w_{2n_k-2}, w_{2n_k-1})\}) \\
& \quad + b^4 (b^2 \max\{S(z_{2n_{k-1}}, z_{2n_{k-1}}, z_{2n_k}), S(w_{2n_{k-1}}, w_{2n_{k-1}}, w_{2n_k})\}) \\
& \leq 2b \max\{S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k}), S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k})\} \\
& \quad + 2b^3 \epsilon + b^7 \max\{S(z_{2n_k}, z_{2n_k}, z_{2n_k-1}), S(w_{2n_k}, w_{2n_k}, w_{2n_k-1})\} \\
& \quad + 2b^6 \max\{S(z_{2n_k-1}, z_{2n_k-1}, z_{2n_k-2}), S(w_{2n_k-1}, w_{2n_k-1}, w_{2n_k-2})\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max\{S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k}), S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2n_k})\} \leq 2b^3 \epsilon.$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2n_k}) S(z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1})}{1 + S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k})} \\
& \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left[\frac{2bS(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+1}) + b^2 S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k})}{2bS(z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k}) + b^2 S(z_{2n_k}, z_{2n_k}, z_{2m_k+1})} \right]}{1 + S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k})} \\
& \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b^5 S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k}) S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k})}{1 + S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k})} \\
& \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} b^5 S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k}) \\
& \leq 2b^8 \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2n_k}) S(w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1})}{1 + S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2n_k})} \leq 2b^8 \epsilon.$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.8). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi (\max \{S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+1}), S(w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+1})\}) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi (\max \{2b^3\epsilon, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2b^8\epsilon, 2b^8\epsilon\}) \\ & - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k}), \\ S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2n_k}), \\ S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+1}), \\ S(w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+1}), \\ S(z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k}), \\ S(w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k}), \\ \frac{S(z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2m_k+2}, z_{2n_k}) S(z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1})}{1+S(z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2m_k+1}, z_{2n_k})}, \\ \frac{S(w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2m_k+2}, w_{2n_k}) S(w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1})}{1+S(w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2m_k+1}, w_{2n_k})} \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi (2b^8\epsilon). \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Now letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.6), from (2.2), (2.3) and (2.9), we have

$$\psi \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2b^3} \right) \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi (2b^8\epsilon).$$

This is a contradiction. Hence $\{z_{2n}\}$ and $\{w_{2n}\}$ are S_b -Cauchy sequences in (X, S) . In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \{S(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2m+1}), S(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2m+1})\} \\ & \leq 2b \max \{S(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), S(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n})\} \\ & \quad + b \max \{S(z_{2m+1}, z_{2m+1}, z_{2n}), S(w_{2m+1}, w_{2m+1}, w_{2n})\} \\ & \leq 2b \max \{S(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), S(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n})\} \\ & \quad + 2b^2 \max \{S(z_{2m+1}, z_{2m+1}, z_{2m}), S(w_{2m+1}, w_{2m+1}, w_{2m})\} \\ & \quad + b^2 \max \{S(z_{2n}, z_{2n}, z_{2m}), S(w_{2n}, w_{2n}, w_{2m})\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$S(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2m+1}) < \epsilon$$

and

$$S(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2m+1}) < \epsilon.$$

Therefore $\{z_{2n+1}\}$ and $\{w_{2n+1}\}$ are also S_b -Cauchy sequences in (X, S) . Thus $\{z_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ are S_b -Cauchy sequences in (X, S) .

Suppose $P(X)$ is an S_b - complete subspace of (X, S) . Then the sequences $\{z_{2n+1}\}$ and $\{w_{2n+1}\}$ are convergent to α and β in $P(X)$. Thus there exists a and b in $P(X)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = \alpha = Pa \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n = \beta = Pb. \tag{2.10}$$

Before going to prove the common coupled fixed point for the mappings A, B, P and Q , first we claim that for each $n \geq 1$ at least one of the following assertion is hold.

$$\frac{1}{8b^3} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), \\ S(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}) \end{array} \right\} \leq \max \{ S(\alpha, \alpha, z_{2n}), S(\beta, \beta, w_{2n}) \}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{8b^3} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2n}, z_{2n}, z_{2n-1}), \\ S(w_{2n}, w_{2n}, w_{2n-1}) \end{array} \right\} \leq \max \{ S(\alpha, \alpha, z_{2n-2}), S(\beta, \beta, w_{2n-2}) \}.$$

On contrary suppose that

$$\frac{1}{8b^3} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), \\ S(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}) \end{array} \right\} > \max \{ S(\alpha, \alpha, z_{2n}), S(\beta, \beta, w_{2n}) \}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{8b^3} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2n}, z_{2n}, z_{2n-1}), \\ S(w_{2n}, w_{2n}, w_{2n-1}) \end{array} \right\} > \max \{ S(\alpha, \alpha, z_{2n-1}), S(\beta, \beta, w_{2n-1}) \}.$$

Now, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2n}, z_{2n}, z_{2n-1}), \\ S(w_{2n}, w_{2n}, w_{2n-1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ & \leq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2bS(z_{2n}, z_{2n}, \alpha) + b^2S(\alpha, \alpha, z_{2n-1}), \\ 2bS(w_{2n}, w_{2n}, \beta) + b^2S(\beta, \beta, z_{2n-1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ & \leq 2b^2 \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(\alpha, \alpha, z_{2n}), \\ S(\beta, \beta, w_{2n}) \end{array} \right\} + b^2 \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(\alpha, \alpha, z_{2n-1}), \\ S(\beta, \beta, z_{2n-1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ & < \frac{1}{4b} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), \\ S(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}) \end{array} \right\} + \frac{1}{8b} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2n}, z_{2n}, z_{2n-1}), \\ S(w_{2n}, w_{2n}, w_{2n-1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4b} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2n}, z_{2n}, z_{2n-1}), \\ S(w_{2n}, w_{2n}, w_{2n-1}) \end{array} \right\} + \frac{1}{8b} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2n}, z_{2n}, z_{2n-1}), \\ S(w_{2n}, w_{2n}, w_{2n-1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ & = \frac{3}{8b} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2n}, z_{2n}, z_{2n-1}), \\ S(w_{2n}, w_{2n}, w_{2n-1}) \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Hence our assertion is true.

First, we suppose that

$$\frac{1}{8b^3} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), \\ S(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}) \end{array} \right\} \leq \max \{ S(\alpha, \alpha, z_{2n}), S(\beta, \beta, w_{2n}) \}.$$

Now we have to prove that $A(a, b) = \alpha$ and $A(b, a) = \beta$. On contrary, suppose that $A(a, b) \neq \alpha$ or $A(b, a) \neq \beta$. Since

$$\frac{1}{8b^3} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(A(a, b), A(a, b), \alpha), \\ S(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), \\ S(A(b, a), A(b, a), \beta), \\ S(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}) \end{array} \right\} \leq \max \{ S(\alpha, \alpha, z_{2n}), S(\beta, \beta, w_{2n}) \},$$

from (2.1.4), definition of ψ and Lemma 1.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \psi \left(\frac{1}{2b} S(A(a, b), A(a, b), \alpha) \right) \\
 & \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi (S(A(a, b), A(a, b), B(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(\alpha, \alpha, z_{2n}), S(\beta, \beta, w_{2n}), \\ S(A(a, b), A(a, b), \alpha), S(A(b, a), A(b, a), \beta), \\ S(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), S(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}), \\ \left[\frac{S(A(a, b), A(a, b), Qx_{2n+1})}{\times S(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, \alpha)} \right], \\ \left[\frac{S(A(b, a), A(b, a), w_{2n})}{\times S(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, \beta)} \right] \end{array} \right\} \right) \\
 & - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(\alpha, \alpha, z_{2n}), S(\beta, \beta, w_{2n}), \\ S(A(a, b), A(a, b), \alpha), S(A(b, a), A(b, a), \beta), \\ S(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), S(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}), \\ \left[\frac{S(A(a, b), A(a, b), Qx_{2n+1})}{\times S(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, \alpha)} \right], \\ \left[\frac{S(A(b, a), A(b, a), w_{2n})}{\times S(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, \beta)} \right] \end{array} \right\} \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi \left(\max \left\{ 0, 0, S(A(a, b), A(a, b), \alpha), S(A(b, a), A(b, a), \beta), 0, 0, 0, 0 \right\} \right) \\
 & = \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi \left(\max \left\{ S(A(a, b), A(a, b), \alpha), S(A(b, a), A(b, a), \beta) \right\} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\psi \left(\frac{1}{2b} S(A(b, a), A(b, a), \beta) \right) \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(A(a, b), A(a, b), \alpha), \\ S(A(b, a), A(b, a), \beta) \end{array} \right\} \right).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \psi \left(\frac{1}{2b} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(A(a, b), A(a, b), \alpha), \\ S(A(b, a), A(b, a), \beta) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(A(a, b), A(a, b), \alpha), \\ S(A(b, a), A(b, a), \beta) \end{array} \right\} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of ψ , it follows that $A(a, b) = \alpha = Pa$ and $A(b, a) = \beta = Pb$. Since (A, P) is w -compatible pair, we have $A(\alpha, \beta) = P\alpha$ and $A(\beta, \alpha) = P\beta$.

From the definition of S_b -metric it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{8b^3} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(A(\alpha, \beta), A(\alpha, \beta), P\alpha), S(A(\beta, \alpha), A(\beta, \alpha), P\beta) \\ S(B(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}), B(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}), Qx_{2n+1}), \\ S(B(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}), B(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}), Qy_{2n+1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ & \leq \max \{ S(P\alpha, P\alpha, Qx_{2n+1}), S(P\beta, P\beta, Qy_{2n+1}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.1.4), by the definition of ψ and Lemma 1.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi \left(\frac{1}{2b} S(A(\alpha, \beta), A(\alpha, \beta), \alpha) \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(A(\alpha, \beta), A(\alpha, \beta), z_{2n}), \\ S(A(\beta, \alpha), A(\beta, \alpha), w_{2n}), \\ S(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), \\ S(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}), \\ S(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, A(\alpha, \beta)), \\ S(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, A(\beta, \alpha)), \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ & \quad - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(A(\alpha, \beta), A(\alpha, \beta), z_{2n}), \\ S(A(\beta, \alpha), A(\beta, \alpha), w_{2n}), \\ S(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), \\ S(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}), \\ S(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, A(\alpha, \beta)), \\ S(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, A(\beta, \alpha)), \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2bS(A(\alpha, \beta), A(\alpha, \beta), \alpha), 2bS(A(\beta, \alpha), A(\beta, \alpha), \beta), \\ 0, 0, b^2S(\alpha, \alpha, A(\alpha, \beta)), b^2S(\beta, \beta, A(\beta, \alpha)), \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi \left(2b^2 \max \left\{ S(A(\alpha, \beta), A(\alpha, \beta), \alpha), S(A(\beta, \alpha), A(\beta, \alpha), \beta) \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have that

$$\psi \left(\frac{1}{2b} S(A(\alpha, \beta), A(\alpha, \beta), \alpha) \right) \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi \left(2b^2 \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(A(\alpha, \beta), A(\alpha, \beta), \alpha), \\ S(A(\beta, \alpha), A(\beta, \alpha), \beta) \end{array} \right\} \right).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi \left(\frac{1}{2b} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(A(\alpha, \beta), A(\alpha, \beta), \alpha), \\ S(A(\beta, \alpha), A(\beta, \alpha), \beta) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi \left(2b^2 \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(A(\alpha, \beta), A(\alpha, \beta), \alpha), \\ S(A(\beta, \alpha), A(\beta, \alpha), \beta) \end{array} \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of ψ , it follows that $A(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha = P\alpha$ and $A(\beta, \alpha) = \beta = P\beta$. Therefore (α, β) is common coupled fixed point of A and P . Since $A(X \times X) \subseteq Q(X)$, there exist x and y in X such that $A(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha = Qx$ and

$A(\beta, \alpha) = \beta = Qy$. Since

$$\frac{1}{8b^3} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(A(\alpha, \beta), A(\alpha, \beta), P\alpha), \\ S(A(\beta, \alpha), A(\beta, \alpha), P\beta) \\ S(B(x, y), B(x, y), Qx), \\ S(B(y, x), B(y, x), Qy) \end{array} \right\} \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(P\alpha, P\alpha, Qx), \\ S(P\beta, P\beta, Qy) \end{array} \right\},$$

from (2.1.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(S(A(\alpha, \beta), A(\alpha, \beta), B(x, y))) &\leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi \left(b \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(\alpha, \alpha, B(x, y)), \\ S(\beta, \beta, B(y, x)) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ &\quad - \phi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(B(x, y), B(x, y), \alpha), \\ S(B(y, x), B(y, x), \beta) \end{array} \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(S(\beta, \beta, B(y, x))) &\leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \phi \left(b \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(\alpha, \alpha, B(x, y)), \\ S(\beta, \beta, B(y, x)) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ &\quad - \phi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(B(x, y), B(x, y), \alpha), \\ S(B(y, x), B(y, x), \beta) \end{array} \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(\alpha, \alpha, B(x, y)), \\ S(\beta, \beta, B(y, x)) \end{array} \right\} \right) &\leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \phi \left(b \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(\alpha, \alpha, B(x, y)), \\ S(\beta, \beta, B(y, x)) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ &\quad - \phi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(B(x, y), B(x, y), \alpha), \\ S(B(y, x), B(y, x), \beta) \end{array} \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $B(x, y) = \alpha = Qx$ and $B(y, x) = \beta = Qy$. Since (B, Q) is w -compatible pair, we have $B(\alpha, \beta) = Q\alpha$, and $B(\beta, \alpha) = Q\beta$.

Since

$$\frac{1}{8b^3} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(A(\alpha, \beta), A(\alpha, \beta), P\alpha), \\ S(A(\beta, \alpha), A(\beta, \alpha), P\beta) \\ S(B(\alpha, \beta), B(\alpha, \beta), Q\alpha), \\ S(B(\beta, \alpha), B(\beta, \alpha), Q\beta) \end{array} \right\} \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(P\alpha, P\alpha, Q\alpha), \\ S(P\beta, P\beta, Q\beta) \end{array} \right\},$$

from (2.1.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi(S(A(\alpha, \beta), A(\alpha, \beta), B(\alpha, \beta))) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(\alpha, \alpha, B(\alpha, \beta)), S(\beta, \beta, B(\beta, \alpha)), \\ S(B(\alpha, \beta), B(\alpha, \beta), \alpha), S(B(\beta, \alpha), B(\beta, \alpha), \beta) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ &\quad - \phi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(\alpha, \alpha, B(\alpha, \beta)), S(\beta, \beta, B(\beta, \alpha)), \\ S(B(\alpha, \beta), B(\alpha, \beta), \alpha), S(B(\beta, \alpha), B(\beta, \alpha), \beta) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi \left(b \max \left\{ S(\alpha, \alpha, B(\alpha, \beta)), S(\beta, \beta, B(\beta, \alpha)) \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\psi(S(\beta, \beta, B(\beta, \alpha))) \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi(b \max \{ S(\alpha, \alpha, B(\alpha, \beta)), S(\beta, \beta, B(\beta, \alpha)) \}).$$

Thus

$$\psi\left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(\alpha, \alpha, B(\alpha, \beta)), \\ S(\beta, \beta, B(\beta, \alpha)) \end{array} \right\}\right) \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi\left(b \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(\alpha, \alpha, B(\alpha, \beta)), \\ S(\beta, \beta, B(\beta, \alpha)) \end{array} \right\}\right).$$

It implies that $B(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha = Q\alpha$ and $B(\beta, \alpha) = \beta = Q\beta$. Therefore (α, β) is common coupled fixed point of A, B, P and Q .

To prove the uniqueness, let us take (α^1, β^1) is another common coupled fixed point of A, B, P and Q . Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{8b^3} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(A(\alpha, \beta), A(\alpha, \beta), P\alpha), S(A(\beta, \alpha), A(\beta, \alpha), P\beta), \\ S(B(\alpha^1, \beta^1), B(\alpha^1, \beta^1), Q\alpha^1), S(B(\beta^1, \alpha^1), B(\beta^1, \alpha^1), Q\beta^1) \end{array} \right\} \\ & \leq \max \{ S(P\alpha, P\alpha, Q\alpha^1), S(P\beta, P\beta, Q\beta^1) \}, \end{aligned}$$

from (2.1.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(S(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha^1)) &= \psi(S(A(\alpha, \beta), A(\alpha, \beta), B(\alpha^1, \beta^1))) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi\left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha^1), S(\beta, \beta, \beta^1), S(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha), \\ S(\beta, \beta, \beta), S(\alpha^1, \alpha^1, \alpha^1), S(\beta^1, \beta^1, \beta^1), \\ \frac{S(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha^1)S(\alpha^1, \alpha^1, \alpha)}{1+S(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha^1)}, \frac{S(\beta, \beta, \beta^1)S(\beta^1, \beta^1, \beta)}{1+S(\beta, \beta, \beta^1)} \end{array} \right\}\right) \\ &\quad - \phi\left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha^1), S(\beta, \beta, \beta^1), S(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha), \\ S(\beta, \beta, \beta), S(\alpha^1, \alpha^1, \alpha^1), S(\beta^1, \beta^1, \beta^1), \\ \frac{S(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha^1)S(\alpha^1, \alpha^1, \alpha)}{1+S(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha^1)}, \frac{S(\beta, \beta, \beta^1)S(\beta^1, \beta^1, \beta)}{1+S(\beta, \beta, \beta^1)} \end{array} \right\}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi(b \max\{S(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha^1), S(\beta, \beta, \beta^1)\}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\psi(S(\beta, \beta, \beta^1)) \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi(b \max\{S(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha^1), S(\beta, \beta, \beta^1)\}).$$

Thus

$$\psi(\max \{ S(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha^1), S(\beta, \beta, \beta^1) \}) \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi(b \max\{S(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha^1), S(\beta, \beta, \beta^1)\}).$$

It implies that $\alpha = \alpha^1$ and $\beta = \beta^1$. Hence (α, β) is the unique common coupled fixed point of A, B, P and Q .

Similarly the remaining proof also follows when the Sub case(b) holds. That is,

$$\frac{1}{8b^3} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(z_{2n}, z_{2n}, z_{2n-1}), \\ S(w_{2n}, w_{2n}, w_{2n-1}) \end{array} \right\} \leq \max \{ S(\alpha, \alpha, z_{2n-1}), S(\beta, \beta, w_{2n-1}) \}.$$

□

Theorem 2.2. Let (X, S) be a complete S_b -metric space. Suppose that $A : X \times X \rightarrow X$ is a mapping satisfying

$$\frac{1}{8b^3} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(A(x, y), A(x, y), x), \\ S(A(u, v), A(u, v), u), \end{array} \right\} \leq \max \{ S(x, x, u), S(y, y, v) \}$$

which implies that

$$\psi(S(A(x, y), A(x, y), A(u, v))) \leq \frac{1}{5b^{12}} \psi(M(x, y, u, v)) - \phi(M(x, y, u, v)),$$

for all x, y, u, v in X , where $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is linear and monotonically increasing function and $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is lower semicontinuous, $\psi(0) = \phi(0) = 0$ and $\phi(t) > 0$, for all $t > 0$ and

$$M(x, y, u, v) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(x, x, u), S(y, y, v), S(A(x, y), A(x, y), x), \\ S(A(y, x), A(y, x), y), S(A(u, v), \\ A(u, v), u), S(A(v, u), A(v, u), v), \\ \frac{S(A(x, y), A(x, y), u) S(A(u, v), A(u, v), x)}{1+S(x, x, u)}, \\ \frac{S(A(y, x), A(y, x), v) S(A(v, u), A(v, u), y)}{1+S(y, y, v)} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then A has a unique coupled fixed point in $X \times X$.

Example 2.3. Let $X = [0, 1]$ and $S : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^+$ by $S(x, y, z) = (|y + z - 2x| + |y - z|)^2$. Then S is S_b metric space with $b = 4$. Define $A, B : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $P, Q : X \rightarrow X$ by $A(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{4^8\sqrt{6}}, B = \frac{x+y}{4^9\sqrt{6}}, P(x) = \frac{x}{4}$ and $Q(x) = \frac{x}{16}$. Also define $\psi, \phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by $\psi(t) = t$ and $\phi(t) = \frac{t}{30b^{12}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(S(A(x, y), A(x, y), B(u, v))) \\ &= (|A(x, y) + B(u, v) - 2A(x, y)| + |A(x, y) - B(u, v)|)^2 \\ &= (2 |A(x, y) - B(u, v)|)^2 \\ &= 4 \left| \frac{x+y}{4^7\sqrt{3}} - \frac{u+v}{4^8\sqrt{3}} \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{2}{3} \left| \frac{4x-u}{4^9} + \frac{4y-v}{4^9} \right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6(4^6)^2} \left(\max \left\{ \left| \frac{4x-u}{16} \right|, \left| \frac{4y-v}{16} \right| \right\} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6(4^{12})} \max \left\{ \left| \frac{x}{4} - \frac{u}{16} \right|^2, \left| \frac{y}{4} - \frac{v}{16} \right|^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{6(4^{12})} \max \left\{ S(Px, Px, Qu), S(Py, Py, Qv), S(A(x, y), A(x, y), Px) \right\} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{3(b^{10})} \psi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(Px, Px, Qu), S(Py, Py, Qv), \\ S(A(x, y), A(x, y), Px), S(A(y, x), A(y, x), Py), \\ S(B(u, v), B(u, v), Qu), S(B(v, u), B(v, u), Qv), \\ \frac{S(A(x, y), A(x, y), Qu) S(B(u, v), B(u, v), Px)}{1+S(Px, Px, Qu)}, \\ \frac{S(A(y, x), A(y, x), Qv) S(B(v, u), B(v, u), Py)}{1+S(Py, Py, Qv)} \end{array} \right\} \right) \\
 &\quad - \phi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(Px, Px, Qu), S(Py, Py, Qv), \\ S(A(x, y), A(x, y), Px), S(A(y, x), A(y, x), Py), \\ S(B(u, v), B(u, v), Qu), S(B(v, u), B(v, u), Qv), \\ \frac{S(A(x, y), A(x, y), Qu) S(B(u, v), B(u, v), Px)}{1+S(Px, Px, Qu)}, \\ \frac{S(A(y, x), A(y, x), Qv) S(B(v, u), B(v, u), Py)}{1+S(Py, Py, Qv)} \end{array} \right\} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that all conditions of Theorem 2.1 satisfied and $(0, 0)$ is a unique common coupled fixed point of A, B, P and Q .

3. APPLICATION

In this section, we study the existence of a unique solution to an initial value problem, as an application to Theorem 2.2.

Consider the initial value problem:

$$x^1(t) = f(t, x(t), x(t)), \quad t \in I = [0, 1], \quad x(0) = x_0, \tag{3.1}$$

where $f : I \times [\frac{x_0}{4}, \infty) \times [\frac{x_0}{4}, \infty) \rightarrow [\frac{x_0}{4}, \infty)$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Consider the initial value problem (3.1) with $f \in C(I \times [\frac{x_0}{4}, \infty) \times [\frac{x_0}{4}, \infty))$ and*

$$\int_0^t f(s, x(s), y(s))ds = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6b^4}} \min \left\{ \int_0^t f(s, x(s), x(s))ds, \int_0^t f(s, y(s), y(s))ds \right\}.$$

Then there exists a unique solution in $C(I, [\frac{x_0}{4}, \infty))$ for initial value problem (3.1).

Proof. The integral equation corresponding to initial value problem (3.1) is

$$x(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t f(s, x(s), x(s))ds.$$

Let $X = C(I, [\frac{x_0}{4}, \infty))$ and $S(x, y, z) = (|y + z - 2x| + |y - z|)^2$ for $x, y \in X$. Define $\psi, \alpha, \beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\psi(t) = t, \phi(t) = \frac{t}{5b^{12}}$. Define $A : X \times X \rightarrow X$ by

$$A(x, y)(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t f(s, x(s), y(s))ds. \tag{3.2}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & S(A(x, y)(t), A(x, y)(t), A(u, v)(t)) \\ &= \{ | A(x, y)(t) + A(u, v)(t) - 2A(x, y)(t) | + | A(x, y)(t) - A(u, v)(t) | \}^2 \\ &= 4 | A(x, y)(t) - A(u, v)(t) |^2 \\ &= 4 \left| \int_0^t f(s, x(s), y(s))ds - \int_0^t f(s, u(s), v(s))ds \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{4}{\sqrt{6b^5}} \left| \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_0^t f(s, x(s), x(s))ds, \\ \int_0^t f(s, y(s), y(s))ds \end{array} \right\} - \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_0^t f(s, u(s), u(s))ds, \\ \int_0^t f(s, v(s), v(s))ds \end{array} \right\} \right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2}{5b^{12}} \left| \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_0^t f(s, x(s), x(s))ds - \int_0^t f(s, u(s), u(s))ds, \\ \int_0^t f(s, y(s), y(s))ds - \int_0^t f(s, v(s), v(s))ds \end{array} \right\} \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{2}{3b^{10}} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left| \int_0^t f(s, x(s), x(s))ds - \int_0^t f(s, u(s), u(s))ds, \right|^2 \\ \left| \int_0^t f(s, y(s), y(s))ds - \int_0^t f(s, v(s), v(s))ds \right|^2 \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{6b^{10}} \max \{ 2 | x(t) - u(t) |^2, 2 | y(t) - v(t) |^2 \} \\ &= \frac{1}{6b^{10}} \max \{ S(x, x, u), S(y, y, v) \} \\ &\leq \psi(M(x, u, y, v)) - \phi(M(x, u, y, v)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Theorem 2.2 that A has a unique coupled fixed point in X . \square

4. CONCLUSION

In this attempt, we prove a Suzuki type unique common coupled fixed point theorem for two pairs of w -compatible mappings along with $(\psi - \phi)$ - and Rational contraction conditions in S_b -metric spaces. We also furnish an example as well as application to integral equation.

Acknowledgments: The authors are thankful to the learned referee for his/her deep observations and their suggestions which greatly helped us to improve the paper significantly. Moreover, the authors would like to thank Prince Sultan University for funding this work through research group NAMAM group number RG-DES-2017-01-17.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abbas, M. Ali Khan and S. Randenovic, *Common coupled fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces for w -compatible mappings*. Appl. Math. Comput., **217** (2010), 195-202.
- [2] S. Banach, *Theorie des Operations lineaires*, Manograic Mathematic Zne, Warsaw, Poland, 1932.
- [3] S. Czerwik, *Contraction mapping in b -metric spaces*, Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis, **1** (1993), 5-11.
- [4] T. Gnana Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham, *Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications*. Nonlinear Anal. TMA., **65(7)** (2006), 1379-1393.
- [5] V. Lakshmikantham and Lj. Ciric, *Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces*. Nonlinear Anal. TMA., **70(12)** (2009), 4341-4349.
- [6] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, *A new approach to generalized metric spaces*. J. Nonlinear Convex Anal, **7(2)** (2006), 289-297.
- [7] G.N.V. Kishore, K.P.R. Rao and V.M.L. Hima Bindu, *Suzuki type unique common fixed point theorem in partial metric spaces by using (C): condition with rational expressions*, Afrika Matematika, **28(5-6)** (2017), 793-803,
- [8] S. Sedghi, I. Altun, N. Shobe and M. Salahshour, *Some properties of S -metric space and fixed point results*, Kyungpook Math. J., **54** (2014), 113-122.
- [9] S. Sedghi, Gholidahneh, T. Dosenovic, J. Esfahani and S. Radenovic, *Common fixed point of four maps in S_b -metric spaces*, Journal of Linear and Topolo. Algebra, **5(2)** (2016), 93-104.
- [10] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe and A. Aliouche, *A generalization of fixed point theorem in S -metric spaces*. Mat. Vesnik, **64** (2012), 258-266.
- [11] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe and T. Dosenovic, *Fixed point results in S -metric spaces*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. and Appl., **20(1)** (2015), 55-67.
- [12] T. Suzuki, *A generalized Banach contraction principle which characterizes metric completeness*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **136** (2008), 1861-1869.