



## CERTAIN INTEGRAL FORMULAS INVOLVING LOGARITHM FUNCTION

Junesang Choi

Department of Mathematics, Dongguk University,  
Gyeongju 38066, Republic of Korea  
e-mail: junesang@mail.dongguk.ac.kr

**Abstract.** A remarkably large number of integral formulas involving logarithm function have been presented. Here, with the aid of a known technique, we aim to show how certain integral formulas involving logarithm function can be nicely established by choosing to use some known integral formulas which are expressed, mainly, in terms of gamma function and its related functions.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Shen [17] clarified the connections between Stirling numbers of the first kind  $s(n, k)$  and Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(n)$ , with certain series and integrals evaluated in terms of  $\zeta(n)$  and  $s(n, k)$ , by mainly analyzing the well-known Gauss summation formula

$${}_2F_1(a, b, ; c; 1) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \quad (\Re(c-a-b) > 0), \quad (1.1)$$

where  ${}_2F_1$  is the hypergeometric series (see, e.g., [20, Section 1.5]; see also [16, 18]).

Since then, by essentially using the Shen's method (see Lemma 2.3), a large number of series involving harmonic and generalized harmonic numbers and some integral formulas have been established (see, e.g., [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]; see also [10, 11, 12, 13, 21, 22]).

---

<sup>0</sup>Received May 21, 2018. Revised September 27, 2018.

<sup>0</sup>2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11M06, 33B15, 33E20, 11M35, 11M41, 40C15.

<sup>0</sup>Keywords: Gamma function, beta function, harmonic numbers, generalized harmonic numbers, Riemann zeta function, Hurwitz zeta function, psi-function, polygamma functions.

In this sequel, with the aid of the Shen's method, we aim to show how certain integral formulas involving logarithm function can be nicely established by choosing to use some known integral formulas which are expressed, mainly, in terms of gamma function and its related functions.

For our purpose, we recall some functions and notations. The Hurwitz (or generalized) zeta function  $\zeta(s, a)$  is defined by (see, e.g., [20, Sections 2.2 and 2.3])

$$\zeta(s, a) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+a)^s} \quad (\Re(s) > 1, a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-). \quad (1.2)$$

Here and in the following, let  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}^-$  be the sets of complex numbers, positive integers, and negative integers, respectively, and let  $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_0^- := \mathbb{Z}^- \cup \{0\}$ . A special case of  $\zeta(s, a)$  when  $a = 1$  is called the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$  defined by

$$\zeta(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \quad (\Re(s) > 1). \quad (1.3)$$

The polygamma functions  $\psi^{(n)}(z)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) are defined by

$$\psi^{(n)}(z) := \frac{d^{n+1}}{dz^{n+1}} \log \Gamma(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} \psi(z) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0, z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-), \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\Gamma(z)$  is the familiar gamma function and the psi-function  $\psi$  is defined by  $\psi(z) := \frac{d}{dz} \log \Gamma(z) = \psi^{(0)}(z)$  (see, e.g., [19, 20, Chapter 1]). We recall a well-known (useful) relationship between the polygamma functions  $\psi^{(n)}(z)$  and the generalized zeta function  $\zeta(z, a)$ :

$$\psi^{(k)}(z) = (-1)^{k+1} k! \zeta(k+1, z) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}, z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-). \quad (1.5)$$

The generalized harmonic numbers  $H_n^{(s)}$  of order  $s$  are defined by (cf. [15]; see also [1] and [19, p. 156])

$$H_n^{(s)} := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j^s} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}; s \in \mathbb{C}) \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$H_n := H_n^{(1)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (1.7)$$

are the harmonic numbers. Here we assume  $H_0 := 0$ ,  $H_0^{(s)} := 0$  ( $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ ), and  $H_0^{(0)} := 1$ . We also recall the generalized harmonic functions  $H_n^{(s)}(z)$

defined by

$$H_n^{(s)}(z) := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{(j+z)^s} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, s \in \mathbb{C}, z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}^-). \quad (1.8)$$

which reduces to  $H_n^{(s)}(0) = H_n^{(s)}$ . It is easy to derive the following expression (cf. [19, Eq. 1.2(54)]):

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{(m)}(z+n) - \psi^{(m)}(z) &= (-1)^m m! \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(z+k-1)^{m+1}} \\ &= (-1)^m m! H_n^{(m+1)}(z-1) \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0), \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

which immediately gives  $H_n^{(s)}(a)$  another expression:

$$\psi(z+n) - \psi(z) = H_n(z-1) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0) \quad (1.10)$$

and

$$\zeta(m+1, z) - \zeta(m+1, z+n) = H_n^{(m+1)}(z-1) \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0). \quad (1.11)$$

Obviously

$$H_n^{(s)} = \zeta(s) - \zeta(s, n+1) \quad (\Re(s) > 1, n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1.12)$$

## 2. CERTAIN INTEGRAL FORMULAS DEDUCIBLE FROM A BETA TYPE INTEGRAL

We begin by recalling a known integral formula (see, e.g., [14, p. 316, Entry 3.196-3])

$$\int_a^b (x-a)^\mu (b-x)^\nu dx = (b-a)^{\mu+\nu+1} B(\mu+1, \nu+1) \quad (2.1)$$

$$(b > a, \Re(\mu) > -1, \Re(\nu) > -1),$$

where  $B(\alpha, \beta)$  is the beta function defined by (see, e.g., [20, Section 1.1])

$$B(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1} (1-t)^{\beta-1} dt & (\Re(\alpha) > 0; \Re(\beta) > 0) \\ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)} & (\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-). \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

We first claim that the integral in (2.1) as a function of the parameter  $\mu$  or  $\nu$  can be differentiated under the integral, asserted in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $b > a$  and  $\min\{\Re(\mu) >, \Re(\nu)\} > -1$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \int_a^b (x-a)^\mu (b-x)^\nu dx &= \int_a^b \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} (x-a)^\mu (b-x)^\nu dx \\ &= \int_a^b (x-a)^\mu (b-x)^\nu \ln(x-a) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

*Proof.* Let

$$f(\mu) := \int_a^b (x-a)^\mu (b-x)^\nu dx \quad (b > a, \Re(\mu) > -1, \Re(\nu) > -1).$$

For any fixed  $\mu_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(\mu_0) > -1$  and  $\Delta\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $0 < |\Delta\mu|$  and  $\Re(\mu_0 + \Delta\mu) > -1$ , it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{\Delta\mu \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\mu_0 + \Delta\mu) - f(\mu_0)}{\Delta\mu} = \int_a^b (x-a)^{\mu_0} (b-x)^\nu \ln(x-a) dx. \quad (2.4)$$

Indeed, let

$$M := \int_a^b (x-a)^{\Re(\mu_0)} (b-x)^{\Re(\nu)} dx = (b-a)^{\Re(\mu_0 + \nu + 1)} |B(\mu_0 + 1, \nu + 1)| > 0.$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Since

$$\lim_{\Delta\mu \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{(x-a)^{\Delta\mu} - 1}{\Delta\mu} - \ln(x-a) \right\} = 0 \quad (a < x < b),$$

there exists  $\delta$  such that  $\Re(\mu_0 + \Delta\mu) > -1$  and  $0 < |\Delta\mu| < \delta$  implies

$$\left| \frac{(x-a)^{\Delta\mu} - 1}{\Delta\mu} - \ln(x-a) \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{M+1}. \quad (2.5)$$

For such a chosen  $\delta$  with  $0 < |\Delta\mu| < \delta$ , in view of (2.5), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{f(\mu_0 + \Delta\mu) - f(\mu_0)}{\Delta\mu} - \int_a^b (x-a)^{\mu_0} (b-x)^\nu \ln(x-a) dx \right| \\ & \leq \int_a^b \left| \frac{(x-a)^{\Delta\mu} - 1}{\Delta\mu} - \ln(x-a) \right| (x-a)^{\Re(\mu_0)} (b-x)^{\Re(\nu)} dx \\ & \leq \frac{\epsilon}{M+1} \int_a^b (x-a)^{\Re(\mu_0)} (b-x)^{\Re(\nu)} dx \\ & \leq \frac{\epsilon}{M+1} M < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we complete to prove (2.4). □

**Remark 2.2.** Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we can differentiate the integral (2.1) as a function of  $\nu$  under the integral sign. So can higher-order partial derivatives of the integral (2.1) with respect to the parameters  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ .

Higher-order partial differential recursive formulas for  $B(\mu + 1, \nu + 1)$  are given in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $b > a$ ,  $\min\{\Re(\mu), \Re(\nu)\} > -1$ , and  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^{k+1}}{\partial \mu^{k+1}} B(\mu + 1, \nu + 1) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \left\{ \frac{\partial^j}{\partial \mu^j} B(\mu + 1, \nu + 1) \right\} \left\{ \psi^{(k-j)}(\mu + 1) - \psi^{(k-j)}(\mu + \nu + 2) \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^{k+1}}{\partial \nu^{k+1}} B(\mu + 1, \nu + 1) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \left\{ \frac{\partial^j}{\partial \nu^j} B(\mu + 1, \nu + 1) \right\} \left\{ \psi^{(k-j)}(\nu + 1) - \psi^{(k-j)}(\mu + \nu + 2) \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

*Proof.* Taking the logarithmic derivative of

$$B(\mu + 1, \nu + 1) = \frac{\Gamma(\mu + 1)\Gamma(\nu + 1)}{\Gamma(\mu + \nu + 2)} \tag{2.8}$$

with respect to the variable  $\mu$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} B(\mu + 1, \nu + 1) = B(\mu + 1, \nu + 1) \{ \psi(\mu + 1) - \psi(\mu + \nu + 2) \}. \tag{2.9}$$

Applying Leibniz’s higher-order differential formula for product of two functions to the right side of (2.9), we obtain (2.6).

In view of (2.8),  $B(\mu + 1, \nu + 1)$  is symmetric with respect to both variables  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ . By interchanging the role of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  in (2.6), we get (2.7).  $\square$

We note that the technique in the proof of Lemma 2.3 was used by Shen [17].

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $b > a$ ,  $\min\{\Re(\mu), \Re(\nu)\} > -1$ , and  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then the following integral formula holds true.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b (x-a)^\mu (b-x)^\nu \{\ln(x-a)\}^p \{\ln(b-x)\}^q dx \\ &= (b-a)^{\mu+\nu+1} \sum_{\ell=0}^q \sum_{k=0}^p \binom{p}{k} \binom{q}{\ell} \{\ln(b-a)\}^{p+q-k-\ell} \\ & \quad \times \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial \nu^\ell} \left\{ \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \mu^k} B(\mu+1, \nu+1) \right\} \quad (p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Here the last partial derivative can be obtained from the recurrence formulas in Lemma 2.3.

*Proof.* In view of Remark 2.2, taking partial derivatives of (2.1) of order  $p$  with respect to the variable  $\mu$  under the integral sign and, then, taking partial derivatives of the resulting identity of order  $q$  with respect to the variable  $\nu$ , we find (2.10). In each step, we used Leibniz's higher-order derivative formula of product of two functions.  $\square$

Some special cases of the integral formula in (2.10) are demonstrated in the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.5.** Let  $b > a$  and  $\min\{\Re(\mu), \Re(\nu)\} > -1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b (x-a)^\mu (b-x)^\nu \ln(x-a) dx \\ &= B(\mu+1, \nu+1) \{\psi(\mu+1) - \psi(\mu+\nu+2)\}; \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b (x-a)^\mu (b-x)^\nu \ln(b-x) dx \\ &= B(\mu+1, \nu+1) \{\psi(\nu+1) - \psi(\mu+\nu+2)\}; \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b (x-a)^\mu (b-x)^\nu \{\ln(x-a)\}^2 dx \\ &= B(\mu+1, \nu+1) \left[ \{\psi(\mu+1) - \psi(\mu+\nu+2)\}^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \psi'(\mu+1) - \psi'(\mu+\nu+2) \right]; \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b (x-a)^\mu (b-x)^\nu \{\ln(b-x)\}^2 dx \\ &= B(\mu+1, \nu+1) \left[ \{\psi(\nu+1) - \psi(\mu+\nu+2)\}^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \psi'(\nu+1) - \psi'(\mu+\nu+2) \right]; \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b (x-a)^\mu (b-x)^\nu \ln(x-a) \ln(b-x) dx \\ &= B(\mu+1, \nu+1) \left[ \{\psi(\mu+1) - \psi(\mu+\nu+2)\} \{\psi(\nu+1) - \psi(\mu+\nu+2)\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \psi'(\mu+\nu+2) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Further, setting  $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{N}$  in the identities in Corollary 2.5, in view of (1.5) and (1.9), we have corresponding integral formulas as in the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $b > a$  and  $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$\int_a^b (x-a)^\mu (b-x)^\nu \ln(x-a) dx = -\frac{\mu! \nu!}{(\mu+\nu+1)!} H_{\nu+1}(\mu); \tag{2.16}$$

$$\int_a^b (x-a)^\mu (b-x)^\nu \ln(b-x) dx = -\frac{\mu! \nu!}{(\mu+\nu+1)!} H_{\mu+1}(\nu); \tag{2.17}$$

$$\int_a^b (x-a)^\mu (b-x)^\nu \{\ln(x-a)\}^2 dx = \frac{\mu! \nu!}{(\mu+\nu+1)!} \left[ H_{\nu+1}^2(\mu) + H_{\nu+1}^{(2)}(\mu) \right]; \tag{2.18}$$

$$\int_a^b (x-a)^\mu (b-x)^\nu \{\ln(b-x)\}^2 dx = \frac{\mu! \nu!}{(\mu+\nu+1)!} \left[ H_{\mu+1}^2(\nu) + H_{\mu+1}^{(2)}(\nu) \right]; \tag{2.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b (x-a)^\mu (b-x)^\nu \ln(x-a) \ln(b-x) dx \\ &= \frac{\mu! \nu!}{(\mu+\nu+1)!} \left[ H_{\nu+1}(\mu) H_{\mu+1}(\nu) - \zeta(2, \mu+\nu+2) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

### 3. INTEGRAL FORMULAS DEDUCIBLE FROM CERTAIN FORMULAS EXPRESSED IN TERMS OF LOG GAMMA FUNCTION

We begin by recalling a known integral formula (see, e.g., [14, p. 547, Entry 4.267-31])

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (1-x^p) (1-x^q) (1-x^r) \frac{x^s dx}{(1-x) \ln x} \\ &= \ln \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(p+1+s) \Gamma(q+1+s) \Gamma(r+1+s) \Gamma(p+q+r+1+s)}{4 \Gamma(p+q+1+s) \Gamma(p+r+1+s) \Gamma(q+r+1+s) \Gamma(1+s)} \right\} \\ & \quad (\min\{p, q, r\} > 0, s > -1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

We present some integral formulas, which are deducible from (3.1), asserted by the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Each of the following integral formulas holds.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (1-x^p)(1-x^q)(1-x^r) \frac{x^s dx}{1-x} \\ &= \psi(p+1+s) + \psi(q+1+s) + \psi(r+1+s) + \psi(p+q+r+1+s) \\ & \quad - \psi(p+q+1+s) - \psi(p+r+1+s) - \psi(q+r+1+s) - \psi(1+s) \\ & \quad (\min\{p, q, r\} > 0, s > -1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (1-x^p)(1-x^q)(1-x^r) \frac{(\ln x)^k x^s dx}{1-x} \\ &= \psi^{(k)}(p+1+s) + \psi^{(k)}(q+1+s) + \psi^{(k)}(r+1+s) \\ & \quad + \psi^{(k)}(p+q+r+1+s) - \psi^{(k)}(p+q+1+s) - \psi^{(k)}(p+r+1+s) \\ & \quad - \psi^{(k)}(q+r+1+s) - \psi^{(k)}(1+s) \\ & \quad (\min\{p, q, r\} > 0, s > -1; k \in \mathbb{N}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (1-x^q)(1-x^r) \frac{(\ln x)^{k+\ell} x^{s+p} dx}{1-x} \\ &= \psi^{(k+\ell)}(p+q+1+s) + \psi^{(k+\ell)}(p+r+1+s) \\ & \quad - \psi^{(k+\ell)}(p+1+s) - \psi^{(k+\ell)}(p+q+r+1+s) \\ & \quad (\min\{p, q, r\} > 0, s > -1; k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (1-x^r) \frac{(\ln x)^{k+\ell+m} x^{s+p+q} dx}{1-x} \\ &= \psi^{(k+\ell+m)}(p+q+r+1+s) - \psi^{(k+\ell+m)}(p+q+1+s) \\ & \quad (\min\{p, q, r\} > 0, s > -1; k, \ell, m \in \mathbb{N}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \frac{(\ln x)^{k+\ell+m+n} x^{s+p+q+r} dx}{1-x} \\ &= -\psi^{(k+\ell+m+n)}(p+q+r+1+s) \\ & \quad (\min\{p, q, r\} > 0, s > -1; k, \ell, m, n \in \mathbb{N}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$



*Proof.* In view of Remark 2.2, we can differentiate the integral (3.1) with respect to the parameters  $p, q, r$  and  $s$  under the integral sign.

Differentiating both sides of (3.1) with respect to  $s$ , we obtain (3.2).

Differentiating both sides of (3.2),  $k$  times, with respect to  $s$ , we get (3.3).

Differentiating both sides of (3.3),  $\ell$  times, with respect to  $p$ , we obtain (3.4).

Differentiating both sides of (3.4),  $m$  times, with respect to  $q$ , we get (3.5).

Differentiating both sides of (3.5),  $n$  times, with respect to  $r$ , we obtain (3.6). □

Applying (1.5), (1.9) and (1.10) in the results of Theorem 3.1, we get a set of integral formulas asserted in the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Each of the following integral formulas holds.*

$$\int_0^1 (1-x^p)(1-x^q)(1-x^r) \frac{x^s dx}{1-x} = H_r(s) + H_r(p+q+s) - H_r(p+s) - H_r(q+s) \tag{3.7}$$

$(\min\{p, q\} > 0, s > -1, r \in \mathbb{N}).$

$$\int_0^1 (1-x^p)(1-x^q)(1-x^r) \frac{(\ln x)^k x^s dx}{1-x} = (-1)^k k! \left\{ H_r^{(k+1)}(p+q+s) + H_r^{(k+1)}(s) - H_r^{(k+1)}(p+s) - H_r^{(k+1)}(q+s) \right\} \tag{3.8}$$

$(\min\{p, q\} > 0, s > -1; k, r \in \mathbb{N}).$

$$\int_0^1 (1-x^q)(1-x^r) \frac{(\ln x)^k x^s dx}{1-x} = (-1)^k k! \left\{ H_r^{(k+1)}(s) - H_r^{(k+1)}(q+s) \right\} \tag{3.9}$$

$(q > 0, s > -1; k, r \in \mathbb{N}).$

$$\int_0^1 (1-x^r) \frac{(\ln x)^k x^s dx}{1-x} = (-1)^k k! H_r^{(k+1)}(s) \tag{3.10}$$

$(s > -1; k, r \in \mathbb{N}).$

$$\int_0^1 \frac{(\ln x)^k x^{s+r}}{1-x} dx = (-1)^k k! \zeta(k+1, s+r+1) \tag{3.11}$$

$(s > -1; k, r \in \mathbb{N}).$

## CONCLUDING REMARKS

One can see a number of integral formulas which are expressed in terms of gamma function and its related functions (see, e.g., [2, 14]). Applying the same technique used in this paper to those formulas, we can derive a large number of integral formulas. For example, recall a known integral formula (see, e.g., [14, Entry 3.554-4, p. 388])

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-2\beta x} \left( \frac{1}{x} - \coth x \right) dx = \psi(\beta) - \ln \beta + \frac{1}{2\beta} \quad (\Re(\beta) > 0). \quad (3.12)$$

Differentiating both sides of (3.12) with respect to  $\beta$ ,  $k$  times, and using (1.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\infty} x^k e^{-2\beta x} \left( \frac{1}{x} - \coth x \right) dx \\ &= \frac{(k-1)!}{2^k} \left\{ \frac{1}{\beta^k} + \frac{k}{2\beta^{k+1}} - k \zeta(k+1, \beta) \right\} \quad (\Re(\beta) > 0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] V.S. Adamchik and H.M. Srivastava, *Some series of the Zeta and related functions*, Analysis, **18** (1998), 131–144.
- [2] Yury A. Brychkov, *Handbook of Special Functions, Derivatives, Integrals, Series and Other Formulas*, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, London, New York, 2008.
- [3] J. Choi, *Certain summation formulas involving harmonic numbers and generalized harmonic numbers*, Appl. Math. Comput., **218** (2011), 734–740.
- [4] J. Choi and A.K. Rathie, *Evaluation of certain new class of definite integrals*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., **26**(4) (2015), 282–294.
- [5] J. Choi and T.Y. Seo, *Evaluation of some infinite series*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **28**(6) (1997), 791–796.
- [6] J. Choi and H.M. Srivastava, *Certain classes of infinite series*, Monatsh. Math., **127** (1999), 15–25.
- [7] J. Choi and H.M. Srivastava, *Explicit evaluation of Euler and related sums*, The Ramanujan J. **10** (2005), 51–70.
- [8] J. Choi and H.M. Srivastava, *Some applications of the Gamma and Polygamma functions involving convolutions of the Rayleigh functions, multiple Euler sums and Log-Sine integrals*, Math. Nachr. **282**(12) (2009), 1709–1723.
- [9] J. Choi and H.M. Srivastava, *Some summation formulas involving harmonic numbers and generalized harmonic numbers*, Math. Comput. Model., **54** (2011), 2220–2234.
- [10] W. Chu, *Hypergeometric series and the Riemann Zeta function*, Acta Arith., **82** (1997), 103–118.
- [11] W. Chu and L. De Donno, *Hypergeometric series and harmonic number identities*, t Adv. Appl. Math., **34** (2005), 123–137.
- [12] D. Cvijović, *The Dattoli-Srivastava conjectures concerning generating functions involving the harmonic numbers*, Appl. Math. Comput., **215** (2010), 4040–4043.

- [13] G. Dattoli and H.M. Srivastava, *A note on harmonic numbers, umbral calculus and generating functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., **21** (2008), 686–693.
- [14] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, 7th edi., Elsevier Inc., 2007.
- [15] R.L. Graham, D.E. Knuth, and O. Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, Massachusetts 1989.
- [16] E.D. Rainville, *Special Functions*, Macmillan Company, New York, 1960; Reprinted by Chelsea Publishing Company, Bronx, New York, 1971.
- [17] L.-C. Shen, *Remarks on some integrals and series involving the Stirling numbers and  $\zeta(n)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **347** (1995), 1391–1399.
- [18] L.J. Slater, *Generalized Hypergeometric Functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London, and New York, 1966.
- [19] H.M. Srivastava and J. Choi, *Series Associated with the Zeta and Related Functions*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston and London, 2001.
- [20] H.M. Srivastava and J. Choi, *Zeta and  $q$ -Zeta Functions and Associated Series and Integrals*, Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, London and New York, 2012.
- [21] WolframMathWorld, <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/HarmonicNumber.html>
- [22] D.-Y. Zheng, *Further summation formulae related to generalized harmonic numbers*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **335** (2007), 692–706.