Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications Vol. 24, No. 1 (2019), pp. 195-206 ISSN: 1229-1595(print), 2466-0973(online)

http://nfaa.kyungnam.ac.kr/journal-nfaa Copyright © 2019 Kyungnam University Press



# STUDY ON MEROMORPHIC HURWTIZ-ZETA FUNCTION DEFINED BY LINEAR OPERATOR

## Hishyar Kh. Abdullah

Department of Mathematics, College of Science University of Sharjah, Sharjah P.O. Box 27272, UAE e-mail: hishyae@sharjah.ac.ae

**Abstract.** The aim of this paper is to define a new class of Hurwitz-Lerch-Zeta functions by itroducing two classes of meromorphic functions in terms of the Srivastava-Attiya operator. Coefficient inequalities, growth and distortion inequalities, as well as radii of meromorphically starlikeness are obtained. In addition, some intresting properties depending on some integral representations are discussed.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let M denote the class of meromorphic functions f(z) defined by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$
(1.1)

which are analytic in the punctured unit disk  $U^* = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\}$ . For  $0 \le \beta < 1$ , we denote by  $S^*(\beta)$  and  $k(\beta)$ , the subclasses of M consisting of all meromorphic functions which are respectively, starlike of order  $\beta$  and convex of order  $\beta$  in  $U^*$ .

The functions  $f_j(z)$  (j = 1, 2) defined by

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,j} z^n.$$
 (1.2)

We denote the Hadamard product (or convolution) of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>0</sup>Received August 16, 2018. Revised February 24, 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>0</sup>2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50.

 $<sup>^0\</sup>mathrm{Keywords}$ : Integral operator, meromorphic functions, starlike function, Hurwitz zeta function.

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,1} a_{n,2} z^n,$$
(1.3)

where

$$Z_0^{-1} = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}, U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$$

and

$$\partial U = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1 \}.$$

Now, we recall a general Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function which, as many authors do, see for example ([6, 12 - 15]), we define by the following series.

$$\Phi(z,t,a) = \frac{1}{a^t} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{(n+a)^s},$$
(1.4)

 $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{C}$  when  $z \in U = U^* \cup \{0\}$ ;  $\mathbb{R}(t) > 1$  when  $z \in \partial U$ . Several interesting properties and characteristics of the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function  $\Phi(z, t, a)$  can be found in the recent investigations by Choi and Srivastava [2], Ferreira and Lopez [4], Garg et al. [7], Lin and Srivastava [8], Lin et al. [10], and others. Recent results on  $\Phi(z, t, a)$ , can be found in the expositions [22], [23].

In [5] (see also [18] and [19]) Ghanim defined

$$G_{t,a}(z) = (1+a)^{s} \left[ \Phi(z,t,a) - a^{s} + \frac{1}{z(1+a)^{s}} \right]$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1+a}{n+a} \right)^{s} z^{n}, \ (z \in U^{*}).$  (1.5)

Corresponding to the functions  $G_{s,a}(z)$  and using the Hadamard product for  $f(z) \in M$ , we define a new linear operator

$$L_{a}^{s}(\alpha,\beta)f(z) = \Phi(z,t,a) * G_{t,a}(z)$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{n+1}}{(\beta)_{n+1}} C_{a}^{s}(n) a_{n} z^{n}, \quad (z \in U^{*}), \qquad (1.6)$ 

for  $\beta \neq 0, -1, -2, ...$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Here  $C_a^s(n) = \left| \left( \frac{1+a}{n+1+a} \right)^s \right|$  and unless indicated othewise, throughout this paper the parameter a is constrained to  $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{Z_0^-\}$ , and s belongs  $\mathbb{C}$ . Meromorphic functions in terms of guessean and generalized hypergeometric functions were considered recently by many others (see for example [15-22] and the others therein).

It follows from (1.6) that

$$z\left(L_a^s(\alpha,\beta)f(z)\right)' = \alpha\left(L_a^s(\alpha+1,\beta)f(z)\right) - (\alpha+1)\left(L_a^s(\alpha,\beta)f(z)\right).$$
(1.7)

Now, for univalently meromorphic function  $f(z) \in M$  the normalization

$$z^{2}f(z)|_{z=o} = 0 \text{ and } zf(z)|_{z=o} = 1,$$
 (1.8)

is classical. One can obtain interesting results by applying Montel's normalization [12] of the form

$$z^{2}f(z)|_{z=o} = 0 \text{ and } zf(z)|_{z=o} = 1,$$
 (1.9)

where  $\rho$  is a fixed point from the unit circle. Note that if  $\rho = 0$  the normalization (1.9) is the classical normalization (1.8)

Meromorphic multivalent functions have been studied by Mogra[11], Raina and Ganigi[20], Uralegaddi and Somanatha [21], Aouf and Hossen [1], Srivastava et. al [16]. We define the following new subclass  $M_a^s(\alpha, \beta)$  of meromorphic starlike function in the parabolic region of function M by making use of the generalized operator  $L_a^t$  with Montel's normalization. We study its characteristic properties: for example coefficient inequalities, growth and distortion inequalities, radii of starlikeness are obtained. And we also establish some new results concerning the convolution products.

For fixed parameters  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2+\beta}$ ;  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ , denote the set  $M_a^s(\alpha, \beta)$  consisting of those meromorphic function  $f(z) \in M$  with two fixed points (or classical normalization) which satisfy

$$\left|\frac{z\left(L_{a}^{s}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)\right)'}{L_{a}^{s}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)} + \alpha + \alpha\beta\right| \leq \mathbb{R}\left\{\frac{-z\left(L_{a}^{s}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)\right)'}{L_{a}^{s}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)} + \alpha - \alpha\beta\right\}, \quad (1.10)$$

where  $L_a^t(\alpha, \beta) f(z)$  given by (1.6). In addition the text further, more let the subclass  $M_a^s(\alpha, \beta)$  satisfying the condition (1.10) with Montel's (1.9) is denoted by  $M_a^s(\alpha, \beta, \rho)$ .

### 2. Main results

In this section we will discuss certain characterization properties for  $f(z) \in M_a^s(\alpha, \beta)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $f \in M$ . Then f is in the class  $M_a^s(\alpha, \beta)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n(\alpha,\beta) |a_n| \le (1-\alpha\beta), \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$d_n(\alpha,\beta) = \left[ (n-1+\alpha\beta) \frac{(\alpha)_{n+1}}{(\beta)_{n+1}} \right] C_a^s(n)$$
(2.2)

and

$$\alpha > \frac{1}{2+\beta}; \ 0 \le \beta < 1, \ n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f(z) \in M^s_a(\alpha, \beta)$ . Then by the inequality (1.10), we have

$$\left| \frac{z \left( L_a^s(\alpha, \beta) f(z) \right)'}{L_a^s(\alpha, \beta) f(z)} + \alpha + \alpha \beta \right| \le \mathbb{R} \left\{ \frac{-z \left( L_a^s(\alpha, \beta) f(z) \right)'}{L_a^s(\alpha, \beta) f(z)} + \alpha - \alpha \beta \right\},$$

that is,

$$\mathbb{R}\left\{\frac{z\left(L_{a}^{s}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)\right)'}{L_{a}^{s}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)} + \alpha + \alpha\beta\right\} \leq \left|\frac{z\left(L_{a}^{s}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)\right)'}{L_{a}^{s}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)} + \alpha + \alpha\beta\right| \\ \leq \mathbb{R}\left\{\frac{-z\left(L_{a}^{s}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)\right)'}{L_{a}^{s}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)} + \alpha - \alpha\beta\right\}.$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{R}\left\{\frac{z\left(L_{a}^{s}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)\right)'}{L_{a}^{s}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)} + \alpha\beta\right\} \leq 0.$$

Substituting for  $L_a^s(\alpha,\beta)f(z)$  and  $(L_a^s(\alpha,\beta)f(z))'$ , we get

$$\mathbb{R}\left\{\frac{\frac{\alpha}{z}+\alpha\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\alpha+1)_{n+1}}{(\beta)_{n+1}}C_a^s(n)a_nz^n-\frac{\alpha+1}{z}-(\alpha+1)\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\alpha)_{n+1}}{(\beta)_{n+1}}C_a^s(n)a_nz^n}{\frac{1}{z}+\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\alpha)_{n+1}}{(\beta)_{n+1}}C_a^s(n)a_nz^n}+\alpha\beta\right\}$$
  
$$\leq 0.$$

Since  $\mathbb{R}(z) \leq |z|$ , we have

$$\left| -(1 - \alpha\beta) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n - 1 + \alpha\beta) \frac{(\alpha)_{n+1}}{(\beta)_{n+1}} C_a^s(n) a_n z^{n+1} \right| \le 0$$

and by letting  $|z| \longrightarrow 1^-$ , we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(n - 1 + \alpha\beta\right) \frac{(\alpha)_{n+1}}{(\beta)_{n+1}} C_a^s(n) \left|a_n\right| \le \left(1 - \alpha\beta\right).$$

Now conversely, we assume that the inequality holds. Then, if we let  $z \in \partial U$ , we find the following from (1.1) and (2.1),

$$\mathbb{R}\left\{\frac{z\left(L_a^s(\alpha,\beta)f(z)\right)'}{L_a^s(\alpha,\beta)f(z)} + \alpha\beta\right\} \le 0$$

or

$$\mathbb{R}\left\{\frac{\frac{\alpha}{z} + \alpha \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha+1)_{n+1}}{(\beta)_{n+1}} C_a^s(n) a_n z^n - \frac{\alpha+1}{z} - (\alpha+1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{n+1}}{(\beta)_{n+1}} C_a^s(n) a_n z^n \\ \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{n+1}}{(\beta)_{n+1}} C_a^s(n) a_n z^n \\ \leq 0.$$

Since  $\mathbb{R}(z) \leq |z|$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n-1+\alpha\beta) \frac{(\alpha)_{n+1}}{(\beta)_{n+1}} C_a^s(n) |a_n|}{(1-\alpha\beta)} \le 1$$

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.2.** If  $f \in M^s_a(\alpha, \beta)$ , then

$$\left(1 - \frac{(1 - \alpha\beta)r}{d_1}\right)r^{-1} \le |f(z)| \le \left(1 + \frac{(1 - \alpha\beta)r}{d_1}\right)r^{-1}, \ (0 < |z| = r < 1).$$

*Proof.* Using classical normalization (that is by taking  $\rho = 0$  in Theorem 2.2) it is very simple to prove the theorem .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let the function f(z) defined by equation (1.1) in the class  $M_a^s(\alpha, \beta)$ . Then f(z) is meramorphically valent starlike of order  $\mu$  ( $0 \le \mu < 1$ ) in the disk |z| < r; ( $0 \le \mu < 1$ ), that is,

$$\mathbb{R}\left(-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \mu,$$

where

$$r = \left(\frac{d_n(1-\mu)}{(n+\mu)\left((1-\alpha\beta)\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$$

*Proof.* From equation (1.1) we have

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

and we can easily get

$$\left|\frac{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}+1}{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}-1+2\mu}\right| \le \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)a_n |z|^{n+1}}{-2(1-\mu) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n-1+2\mu)a_n |z|^{n+1}}.$$

Thus, the desired inequality

$$\left|\frac{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}+1}{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}-1+2\mu}\right| \le 1, \quad \text{if} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+\mu)}{1-\mu} a_n \ |z|^{n+1} \le 1.$$
(2.3)

Since  $f \in M_a^s(\alpha, \beta)$  from Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_n |a_n|}{(1 - \alpha\beta)} \le 1.$$
 (2.4)

Then from (2.3) and (2.4), we get

$$\frac{n+\mu}{1-\mu} |z|^{n+1} \le \frac{d_n}{1-\alpha\beta},$$

and then

$$|z|^{n+1} \le \frac{d_n (1-\mu)}{(1-\alpha\beta) (n+\mu)},$$

from which we conclude

$$|z| \le \left(\frac{d_n \left(1-\mu\right)}{\left(1-\alpha\beta\right) \left(n+\mu\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}.$$

This completes the proof.

3. Convolution properties

For the functions

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{n,j}| \, z^n, (j = 1, 2), \tag{3.1}$$

we denote by  $(f_1 * f_2)(z)$  the Hadamard product or (convolution) of the functions  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$ , that is

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{n,1}| \, |a_{n,2}| \, z^n.$$
(3.2)

**Theorem 3.1.** Let the function  $f_j(z)$ , (j = 1, 2) defined by (3.1) be in the class  $M_a^s(\alpha,\beta)$ . Then it follows that  $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in M_a^s(\alpha,\delta)$  with

$$\delta \le \left(\frac{d_1^2}{(1 - \alpha\beta)^2 C_a^s(1) + d_1^2}\right),$$

where  $d_n(\alpha,\beta) = \left[ (n-1+\alpha\beta) \frac{(\alpha)_{n+1}}{(\beta)_{n+1}} \right] C_a^s(n), \ C_a^s(n) = \left( \frac{1+a}{n+1+a} \right)^s$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{n,1}| z^n$  and  $f_2(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{n,2}| z^n$  be in the class  $M_a^s(\alpha,\beta)$ . Then, by Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_n |a_{n,1}|}{(1-\alpha\beta)} \le 1$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_n |a_{n,2}|}{(1-\alpha\beta)} \le 1$$

Employing the technique used earlier by many authors, we need to find smallest  $\delta$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n-1+\alpha\delta) C_a^s(n)}{(1-\alpha\delta)} |a_{n,1}| |a_{n,2}| \le 1,$$
(3.3)

where  $C_a^s(n) = \left(\frac{1+a}{n+1+a}\right)^s$ . By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_n}{(1-\alpha\beta)} \sqrt{|a_{n,1}| |a_{n,2}|} \le 1,$$
(3.4)

where  $d_n(\alpha,\beta) = \left[ (n-1+\alpha\beta) \frac{(\alpha)_{n+1}}{(\beta)_{n+1}} \right] C_a^s(n)$ , and then

$$\frac{(n-1+\alpha\delta)C_a^s(n)}{(1-\alpha\delta)}|a_{n,1}||a_{n,2}| \le \frac{d_n}{(1-\alpha\beta)}\sqrt{|a_{n,1}||a_{n,2}|}.$$

It implies that

$$\sqrt{|a_{n,1}| |a_{n,2}|} \le \frac{d_n (1 - \alpha \delta)}{(n - 1 + \alpha \delta) C_a^s(n)(1 - \alpha \beta)}.$$
(3.5)

We know that

$$\sqrt{|a_{n,1}| |a_{n,2}|} \le \frac{(1 - \alpha\beta)}{d_n}.$$
(3.6)

Now from (3.5) and (3.6), we see that it suffices to choose  $\beta > 0$  in such a way that

$$\frac{(1-\alpha\beta)}{d_n} \le \frac{d_n \left(1-\alpha\delta\right)}{\left(n-1+\alpha\delta\right) C_a^s(n)(1-\alpha\beta)}$$

it follows from this inequality hat

$$\delta = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( 1 - \frac{n(1 - \alpha\beta)^2 C_a^s(n)}{(1 - \alpha\beta)^2 C_a^s(n) + d_n^2} \right)$$

Now define a function  $\Psi(n)$  by

$$\Psi(n) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( 1 - \frac{n(1 - \alpha\beta)^2 C_a^s(n)}{(1 - \alpha\beta)^2 C_a^s(n) + d_n^2} \right), \ n \ge 1.$$

We observe that  $\Psi(n)$  is an increasing function of n, we thus conclude that

$$\delta = \Psi(1) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( 1 - \frac{(1 - \alpha\beta)^2 C_a^s(1)}{(1 - \alpha\beta)^2 C_a^s(1) + d_1^2} \right).$$
(3.7)

Since  $d_n(\alpha, \beta) = \left[ (n - 1 + \alpha \beta) \frac{(\alpha)_{n+1}}{(\beta)_{n+1}} \right] C_a^s(n)$ , then  $d_1 = \left[ \alpha \beta \frac{(\alpha)_2}{(\beta)_2} \right] C_a^s(1)$  and  $C_a^s(1) = \left( \frac{1+a}{2+a} \right)^s$ . Substituting in equation (3.7) and simplifying we get

$$\delta \le \left(\frac{d_1^2}{(1-\alpha\beta)^2 C_a^s(1) + d_1^2}\right)$$

This complete the proof.

**Theorem 3.2.** If  $f_1(z) \in M_a^s(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $f_2(z) \in M_a^s(\alpha, \gamma)$  then  $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in M_a^s(\alpha, \eta)$  with

$$\eta \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \frac{d_1(\alpha, \beta) d_1(\alpha, \gamma)}{d_1(\alpha, \beta) d_1(\alpha, \gamma) C_a^s(1) + (1 - \alpha\beta)(1 - \alpha\gamma)} \right)$$

where  $d_1(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha \beta \frac{(\alpha)_2}{(\beta)_2} C_a^s(1)$  and  $d_1(\alpha, \gamma) = \alpha \gamma \frac{(\alpha)_2}{(\gamma)_2} C_a^s(1)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{n,1}| z^n \in M_a^s(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $f_2(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{n,2}| z^n \in M_a^s(\alpha, \gamma)$ , from Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_n(\alpha,\beta)C_a^s(n)}{(1-\alpha\beta)} |a_{n,1}| \le 1$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_n(\alpha, \gamma) C_a^s(n)}{(1 - \alpha \gamma)} |a_{n,2}| \le 1,$$

where

$$d_n(\alpha,\beta) = \left[ (n-1+\alpha\beta) \frac{(\alpha)_{n+1}}{(\beta)n+1} \right] C_a^s(n)$$
(3.8)

and

$$d_n(\alpha, \delta) = \left[ (n - 1 + \alpha \gamma) \frac{(\alpha)_{n+1}}{(\gamma) n + 1} \right] C_a^s(n).$$
(3.9)

Moreover, we have  $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in M^s_a(\alpha, \eta)$  then, from Theorem 2. 1, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_n(\alpha, \eta) C_a^s(n)}{(1 - \eta \beta)} |a_{n,1}| |a_{n,2}| \le 1,$$
(3.10)

where

$$d_n(\alpha,\eta) = \left[ (n-1+\alpha\eta) \frac{(\alpha)_{n+1}}{(\eta)_{n+1}} \right] C_a^s(n).$$
(3.11)

Now, using the Caucy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_a^s(n)\sqrt{d_n(\alpha,\beta)d_n(\alpha,\gamma)}}{\sqrt{(1-\alpha\beta)(1-\alpha\gamma)}} \sqrt{|a_{n,1}| |a_{n,2}|} \le 1.$$
(3.12)

From equations (3.10) and (3.12), we get

$$\frac{d_n(\alpha,\eta)C_a^s(n)}{(1-\eta\beta)} |a_{n,1}| |a_{n,2}| \le \frac{C_a^s(n)\sqrt{d_n(\alpha,\beta)d_n(\alpha,\gamma)}}{\sqrt{(1-\alpha\beta)(1-\alpha\gamma)}} \sqrt{|a_{n,1}| |a_{n,2}|},$$

from which we get

$$\sqrt{|a_{n,1}||a_{n,2}|} \le \frac{(1-\eta\beta)C_a^s(n)\sqrt{d_n(\alpha,\beta)d_n(\alpha,\gamma)}}{d_n(\alpha,\eta)C_a^s(n)\sqrt{(1-\alpha\beta)(1-\alpha\gamma)}}.$$
(3.13)

But from (3.12) we have

$$\sqrt{|a_{n,1}| |a_{n,2}|} \le \frac{\sqrt{(1-\alpha\beta)(1-\alpha\gamma)}}{C_a^s(n)\sqrt{d_n(\alpha,\beta)d_n(\alpha,\gamma)}}.$$
(3.14)

Therefore from equations (3.13) and (3.14) we will have

$$\frac{\sqrt{(1-\alpha\beta)(1-\alpha\gamma)}}{C_a^s(n)\sqrt{d_n(\alpha,\beta)d_n(\alpha,\gamma)}} \le \frac{(1-\eta\beta)C_a^s(n)\sqrt{d_n(\alpha,\beta)d_n(\alpha,\gamma)}}{d_n(\alpha,\eta)C_a^s(n)\sqrt{(1-\alpha\beta)(1-\alpha\gamma)}}.$$

Solving this inquality for  $\eta$ , we get

$$\eta \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \left\{ 1 - \frac{n(1 - \alpha\beta)(1 - \alpha\gamma)}{d_n(\alpha, \beta)d_n(\alpha, \gamma)C_a^s(n) + (1 - \alpha\beta)(1 - \alpha\gamma)} \right\}.$$

Define the function  $\Psi(n)$  by

$$\Psi(n) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left\{ 1 - \frac{n(1 - \alpha\beta)(1 - \alpha\gamma)}{d_n(\alpha, \beta)d_n(\alpha, \gamma)C_a^s(n) + (1 - \alpha\beta)(1 - \alpha\gamma)} \right\},\tag{3.15}$$

then it is clear that  $\Psi(n)$  is an inceasing function of n. Hence we have

$$\Psi(1) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left\{ 1 - \frac{(1 - \alpha\beta)(1 - \alpha\gamma)}{d_1(\alpha, \beta)d_1(\alpha, \gamma)C_a^s(1) + (1 - \alpha\beta)(1 - \alpha\gamma)} \right\},\$$

and then, for n = 1, we have  $C_a^s(1) = \left(\frac{1+a}{2+a}\right)^s$ . Substituting in (3.15) and simplifying we get

$$\eta \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \frac{d_1(\alpha, \beta) d_1(\alpha, \gamma)}{d_1(\alpha, \beta) d_1(\alpha, \gamma) C_a^s(1) + (1 - \alpha\beta)(1 - \alpha\gamma)} \right)$$

This complet the proof.

**Theorem 3.3.** If the function  $f_j(z)(j = 1, 2)$  defined by

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{n,j}| \, z^n$$

is in the class  $M^s_a(\alpha,\beta)$ , then the function h(z) defined by

$$h(z) = \frac{2}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( |a_{n,1}|^2 + |a_{n,2}|^2 \right) z^n$$
(3.16)

belonges to the class  $M_a^s(\alpha, \gamma)$  with

$$\gamma \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \left\{ \frac{C_1^2 + \rho}{C_1^2 + 2\left(1 - \alpha\beta\right)^2 \left(C_a^s(1) - \rho\right)} \right\},$$
  
where  $C_a^s(1) = \left(\frac{1+a}{2+a}\right)^s$  and  $C_1 = \alpha\beta C_a^s(1) + (1 - \alpha\beta)\rho$ .

Proof. Noting that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{C_n}{(1-\alpha\beta)} \right]^2 |a_{n,j}|^2 \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{C_n}{(1-\alpha\beta)} |a_{n,j}| \right]^2 \le 1,$$
(3.17)

where

$$C_n = [n - (1 - \alpha\beta)] C_a^s(n) + (1 - \alpha\beta) \rho^n.$$

Since  $f_j(z) \epsilon M_a^s(\alpha, \beta), (j = 1, 2)$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{C_n}{(1-\alpha\beta)} \right]^2 \left( |a_{n,1}|^2 + |a_{n,2}|^2 \right) \le 1.$$
(3.18)

Now we have to find largest  $\gamma$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{[n - (1 - \alpha \gamma)] C_a^s(n) + (1 - \alpha \gamma)}{(1 - \alpha \gamma)} \right] \left( |a_{n,1}|^2 + |a_{n,2}|^2 \right) \le 1.$$
(3.19)

From equations (3.18) and (3.19) we get

$$\left[\frac{\left[n-(1-\alpha\gamma)\right]C_a^s(n)+(1-\alpha\gamma)}{(1-\alpha\gamma)}\right] \le \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{C_n}{(1-\alpha\beta)}\right]^2, (n\ge 1).$$

Solving this inequality for  $\gamma$  and simplifying we get

$$\gamma \le \frac{1}{\alpha} \left\{ \frac{C_n^2 - 2(n-1)(1-\alpha\beta)^2 C_a^s(n) + \rho^n}{C_n^2 + 2(1-\alpha\beta)^2 (C_a^s(n) - \rho^n)} \right\}, (n \ge 1).$$

Define a function  $\Psi(n)$  by

$$\Psi(n) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left\{ \frac{C_n^2 - 2(n-1)(1-\alpha\beta)^2 C_a^s(n) + \rho^n}{C_n^2 + 2(1-\alpha\beta)^2 (C_a^s(n) - \rho^n)} \right\}, (n \ge 1),$$

then we know that  $\Psi(n)$  is an inceasing function of n and for n = 1, we have

$$\Psi(1) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left\{ \frac{C_1^2 + \rho}{C_1^2 + 2\left(1 - \alpha\beta\right)^2 \left(C_a^s(1) - \rho\right)} \right\}.$$
(3.20)

We conclude that

$$\gamma \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \left\{ \frac{C_1^2 + \rho}{C_1^2 + 2\left(1 - \alpha\beta\right)^2 \left(C_a^s(1) - \rho\right)} \right\}.$$
  
e proof.

This complets the proof.

**Acknowledgments:** I would like to extend my thanks to the University of Sharjah and for the research group MASEP for their supports.

## References

- M. K. Cho, S. H. Lee and S. Owa, A class of meromorphic univalen functions with positive coefficients, Kobe J. Math., 4(1) (1987), 43-50.
- [2] J. Choi and H. M. Srivastava, Certain families of series associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, Appl. Math. Coumput., 170 (2005), 399-409.
- [3] J. Dziok and H.M. Srivastava, Certain subclass of analytic functions associated with the general hypergeometric function, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., 14(1) (2003), 7-18.
- [4] C. Ferreira and J. L. Lopez, Asymptotic expansions of the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 298 (2004), 210-224.

- [5] F. Ghanim, New study of classes of Hurwitz-zeta function related related to integral operator, WSEAS Transactions on mathematics, 13 (2014), 477-483.
- [6] F. Ghanim, Certain properties of classes of meromorphic functions defined by a linear operator and associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, Adv. Studies in Contem. Math., 27(2) (2017), 175-180.
- [7] F. Ghanim and H. Kh. Abdullah, Study of meromorphic functions defined by the convolution of linear operator, Inter. J. of Pure and Appl. Math., 90(3) (2014), 357-370.
- [8] M. Garg, K. Jain and H. M. Srivastava, Some relationships between the generalized Apostol-Bernoulli polynomials and Hurwitz-Lerch -zeta function, Integral Transform. Spec. Funct., 17 (2006), 803-815.
- S. D. Lin and H.M. Srivastava, Some families of the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta functions and associated fractional derivative and other integral representations, Appl. Math. Comput., 154 (2004), 725-733.
- [10] S. D. Lin and H.M. Srivastava, Classes of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric functions, Math. Comput. Modelling, 39(1) (2004), 21-34.
- [11] S. D. Lin, H.M. Srivastava and P.Y. Wang, Some expansion formulas for a class of generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, Integral Transform Spec. Funct., 17 (2006), 817-827
- [12] M. L. Mogra, T. R. Reddy and O. P. Juneja, Meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients, Bull. Austral Math. Soc., 32 (1985), 161-176.
- [13] P. Montel, Lecons sur les Fonctions Univalentes ou multivalentes, Gauthier-Villars, Paris. 1933.
- [14] A. Schild and H. Silverman, Convolution of univalent functions with negative coefficients, Ann. Univ. Mariae-Curiesk lodowskka, Sect. A, 29 (1975), 99-107.
- [15] H. M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury and F. Ghanim, Some further properties of a linear operator associated with the λ-generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function related to the class of meromorphically univalent functions, Appl. Math. and comput., 259 (2015), 1019-1029.
- [16] H. M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury and F. Ghanim, Partial sums of certain classes of meromorphic functions related to the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, Moroccan J. of Pure and Appl. Anal., 1(1) (2015). 1-13.
- [17] H. M. Srivastava and A. A. Attiya, An integral operator associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function and differential subordination, Integral Transforms and Spec.Funct., 18(3) (2001), 207-216.
- [18] H. M. Srivastava and J. Choi, Series associated with the zeta and related functions, Khwer Academic Publishers, 2001.
- [19] H. M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury and F. Ghanim, Certain subclasses of meromorphicall univalent functions defined by linear operator associated with the  $\lambda$ -generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **26**(4) (2015), 258-272.
- [20] H. M. Srivastava, H. M. Hossen and M. K. Aouf., A unified presentation of some classes of meromorphically multivalent functions, Comput. Math. Appl., 38 (1999), 63-70.
- [21] H. M. Srivastava, D. Jankov, T.K. Pogany and R. K. Saxena, Two-sided inequalities for the extended Hurwitz-Lech zeta function, Comut. Math. with Appl., 62(1) (2011), 516-522.
- [22] H. M. Srivastava, R. K. Saxena, and T.K. Pogany, Integral transforms and special functions, Appl.. Math. Comput., 22 (2011), 487-506.
- [23] B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, Certain differential operators for meromorphic functions, Houston J. Math., 17(2) (1991), 279-284.