

COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR TWO MAPPINGS IN S -METRIC SPACES

Atena Javaheri¹, Shaban Sedghi² and Ho Geun Hyun³

¹Department of Mathematics, Qaemshahr Branch
Islamic Azad University, Qaemshahr, Iran
e-mail: Javaheri.a91@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, Qaemshahr Branch
Islamic Azad University, Qaemshahr, Iran
e-mail: sedghi_gh@yahoo.com

³Department of Mathematics Education, Kyungnam University
Changwon, Gyeongnam 51767, Korea
e-mail: hyunhg8285@kyungnam.ac.kr

Abstract. In this paper, we present some definitions of S -metric spaces and prove a common fixed point theorem for two mappings under the condition of weakly compatible mappings in complete S -metric spaces. Also we improved some fixed point theorems in complete S -metric spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1922, the Polish mathematician, Banach, proved a theorem which ensures, under appropriate conditions, the existence and uniqueness of a fixed point. His result is called Banach's fixed point theorem or the Banach contraction principle. This theorem provides a technique for solving a variety of applied problems in mathematical science and engineering. Many authors have extended, generalized and improved Banach's fixed point theorem in different ways.

⁰Received November 13, 2018. Revised March 14, 2019.

⁰2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

⁰Keywords: S -metric space, fixed point, complete S -metric space.

⁰Corresponding author: H. G. Hyun(hyunhg8285@kyungnam.ac.kr),
S. Sedghi(sedghi_gh@yahoo.com).

In [9], Jungck introduced more generalized commuting mappings, called *compatible* mappings, which are more general than commuting and weakly commuting mappings. This concept has been useful for obtaining more comprehensive fixed point theorems (see, e.g., ([1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 14])).

Dhage [5] introduced the notion of generalized metric or D-metric spaces and claimed that D-metric defines a Hausdorff topology and that D-metric is sequentially continuous in all the three variables. Many authors used these claims for proving some fixed point theorems in D-metric spaces.

Rhoades [9] generalized Dhage's contractive condition by increasing the number of factors and proved the existence of unique fixed point of a self-map in D-metric space. Recently, motivated by the concept of compatibility for metric space, Singh and Sharma [13] introduced the concept of D-compatibility of maps in D-metric space and proved some fixed point theorems using a contractive condition. Unfortunately, almost all theorems in D-metric spaces are not valid (see [15, 16, 17]).

Recently, Sedghi et al [18, 23] introduced D^* -metric which is a modification of the definition of D-metric introduced by Dhage [5] and prove some basic properties in D^* -metric spaces. Also, Sedghi et al [21] have introduced the notion of an S -metric space and proved that this notion is a generalization of a G -metric space and a D^* -metric space. Also, they have proved properties of S -metric spaces and some fixed point theorems for a self-map on an S -metric space [19, 20, 22].

2. PRELIMINARIES

We begin by briefly recalling some basic definitions and results for S -metric spaces that will be needed in the sequel.

Definition 2.1. ([21]) Let X be a nonempty set. An S -metric on X is a function $S : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ that satisfies the following conditions, for each $x, y, z, a \in X$,

- (1) $S(x, y, z) \geq 0$,
- (2) $S(x, y, z) = 0$ if and only if $x = y = z$,
- (3) $S(x, y, z) \leq S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a)$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$.

The pair (X, S) is called an S -metric space.

Immediate examples of such S -metric spaces are:

- (1) Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\|\cdot\|$ a norm on X , then $S(x, y, z) = \|y + z - 2x\| + \|y - z\|$ is an S -metric on X .
- (2) Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\|\cdot\|$ a norm on X , then $S(x, y, z) = \|x - z\| + \|y - z\|$ is an S -metric on X .

- (3) Let X be a nonempty set, d is ordinary metric on X , then $S(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ is an S -metric on X .

Lemma 2.2. ([21]) *In an S -metric space, we have $S(x, x, y) = S(y, y, x)$.*

Definition 2.3. ([21]) Let (X, S) be an S -metric space. For $r > 0$ and $x \in X$ we define the open ball $B_S(x, r)$ and closed ball $B_S[x, r]$ with center x and radius r as follows, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} B_s(x, r) &= \{y \in X : S(y, y, x) < r\}, \\ B_s[x, r] &= \{y \in X : S(y, y, x) \leq r\}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.4. ([21]) Let $X = \mathbb{R}$. Denote $S(x, y, z) = |y + z - 2x| + |y - z|$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} B_s(1, 2) &= \{y \in \mathbb{R} : S(y, y, 1) < 2\} \\ &= \{y \in \mathbb{R} : |y - 1| < 1\} \\ &= \{y \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < y < 2\} \\ &= (0, 2). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.5. ([21]) Let (X, S) be an S -metric space and $A \subset X$.

- (1) If for every $x \in A$ there exists $r > 0$ such that $B_S(x, r) \subset A$, then the subset A is called open subset of X .
- (2) Subset A of X is said to be S -bounded if there exists $r > 0$ such that $S(x, x, y) < r$ for all $x, y \in A$.
- (3) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to x if $S(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. That is, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall n \geq n_0 \implies S(x_n, x_n, x) < \varepsilon,$$

and we denote by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

- (4) Sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called a Cauchy sequence if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S(x_n, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$ for each $n, m \geq n_0$.
- (5) The S -metric spaces (X, S) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.
- (6) Let τ be the set of all $A \subset X$ with $x \in A$ if there exists $r > 0$ such that $B_S(x, r) \subset A$. Then τ is a topology on X (induced by the S -metric S).

Definition 2.6. ([22]) Let (X, S) and (X', S') be two S -metric spaces, and let $f : (X, S) \rightarrow (X', S')$ be a function. Then f is said to be continuous at a point $a \in X$ if for every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X , $S(x_n, x_n, a) \rightarrow 0$ implies $S'(f(x_n), f(x_n), f(a)) \rightarrow 0$. A function f is continuous on X if it is continuous at all $a \in X$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. *Let f and g be self-mappings of a complete S -metric space (X, S) satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $g(X) \subseteq f(X)$, and $f(X)$ is closed subset of X ,
- (ii) the pair (f, g) is weakly compatible,
- (iii) $S(gx, gy, gz) \leq \Psi(S(fx, fy, fz))$, for every $x, y, z \in X$,

where $\Psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous, nondecreasing function such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi^n(t)$ is convergent for each $t > 0$. Then f and g have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. From the conditions on Ψ , it is clear that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi^n(t) = 0$ and $\Psi(t) < t$. Let x_0 be arbitrary point in X . By (i), we can choose a point x_1 in X such that $y_0 = gx_0 = fx_1$ and $y_1 = gx_1 = fx_2$. There exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ such that, $y_n = gx_n = fx_{n+1}$, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we prove that the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) &= S(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \\ &\leq \Psi(S(fx_n, fx_n, fx_{n+1})) \\ &= \Psi(S(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, y_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \Psi(S(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, y_n)),$$

and so $S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \Psi^n(S(y_0, y_0, y_1))$. Thus for $m > n$ with $n \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} S(y_n, y_n, y_m) &\leq 2 \sum_{i=n}^{m-2} S(y_i, y_i, y_{i+1}) + S(y_{m-1}, y_{m-1}, y_m) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i=n}^{m-2} \Psi^i(S(y_0, y_0, y_1)) + \Psi^{m-1}(S(y_0, y_0, y_1)) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \Psi^i(S(y_0, y_0, y_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi^n(t)$ is convergent for each $t > 0$, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the S -metric space (X, S) . By the completeness of X , there exists a $u \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_{n+1} = u.$$

Let $f(X)$ is closed. Then there exist $v \in X$ such that $fv = u$. Now we show that $gv = u$. From inequality (iii) we have that

$$S(gx_n, gx_n, gv) \leq \Psi(S(fx_n, fx_n, fv)).$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$S(u, u, gv) \leq \Psi(S(0)) = 0,$$

it implies $gv = u$. Since the pair (f, g) are weakly compatible, we get, $gfv = fgv$. Thus $fu = gu$. Now we prove that $gu = u$. If set x_n, x_n, u replacing x, y, z respectively, in inequality (iii) we get

$$S(gx_n, gx_n, gu) \leq \Psi(S(fx_n, fx_n, fu)).$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$S(u, u, gu) \leq \Psi(S(u, u, gu)).$$

If $gu \neq u$, then $S((u, u, gu) < S(u, u, gu)$, is a contradiction. Therefore, $fu = gu = u$.

For the uniqueness, let u and u' be common fixed points of f, g . Taking $x = y = u$ and $z = u'$ in (iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(u, u, u') &= S(gu, gu, gu') \\ &\leq \Psi(S(fu, fu, fu')) = \Psi(S(u, u, u')) \\ &< S(u, u, u'), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus we have $u = u'$. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let f, g and h be self-mappings of a complete S -metric space (X, S) satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $g(X) \subseteq fh(X)$, and $fh(X)$ is closed subset of X ,
- (ii) the pair (fh, g) is weakly compatible and $fh = hf, gh = hg$
- (iii) $S(gx, gy, gz) \leq \Psi(S(fhx, fhy, fhz))$,
for every $x, y, z \in X$, where $\Psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous, nondecreasing function such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi^n(t)$ is convergent for each $t > 0$.

Then f, g and h have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, there exist a fixed point $u \in X$ such that $fhu = gu = u$. Now, we prove that $hu = u$. If $hu \neq u$, then in (iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(hu, u, u) &= S(hgu, gu, gu) \\ &= S(ghu, gu, gu) \\ &\leq \Psi(S(fhhu, fhu, fhu)) \\ &= \Psi(S(hu, u, u)) \\ &< S(hu, u, u), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus we have $hu = u$. Therefore, $fu = fhu = u = hu = gu$. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Let g be a self-mapping of a complete S -metric space (X, S) satisfying the following condition:*

$$S(g^n x, g^n y, g^n z) \leq \Psi(S(x, y, z)),$$

for every $x, y, z \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\Psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous, nondecreasing function such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi^n(t)$ is convergent for each $t > 0$. Then g have a unique fixed point in X .

Proof. Replace f with I , the identity map, in Theorem 3.1. Hence the all conditions of Theorem 3.1 are hold and therefore there exists a unique $u \in X$ such that $g^n u = u$. Thus $g^n(gu) = g(g^n u) = gu$. Since u is unique, we have $gu = u$. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let f and g be self-mappings of a complete S -metric space (X, S) satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $g^n(X) \subseteq f^m(X)$, and $f^m(X)$ is closed subset of X ,
- (ii) the pair (f^m, g^n) is weakly compatible and $f^m g = g f^m$, $g^n f = f g^n$
- (iii) $S(g^n x, g^n y, g^n z) \leq \Psi(S(f^m x, f^m y, f^m z))$,
for every $x, y, z \in X$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\Psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous, nondecreasing function such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi^n(t)$ is convergent for each $t > 0$.

Then f and g have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 there exist a fixed point $u \in X$ such that $f^m u = g^n u = u$. On the other hand, we have

$$gu = g(g^n u) = g^n(gu) \text{ and } gu = g(f^m u) = f^m(gu).$$

Since u is unique, we have $gu = u$. Similarly, we have $fu = u$. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let (X, S) be a complete S -metric space and let $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, g : X \rightarrow X$ be maps that satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i) $g(X) \subseteq f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n(X)$;
- (ii) the pair $(f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n, g)$ is weak compatible, $f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n(X)$ is closed subset of X ;
- (iii) $S(gx, gy, gz) \leq \Psi(S(f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n(x), f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n(y), f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n(z)))$,
for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\Psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous, nondecreasing function such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi^n(t)$ is convergent for each $t > 0$;

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(iv)} \quad & g(f_2 \cdots f_n) = (f_2 \cdots f_n)g, \\
 & g(f_3 \cdots f_n) = (f_3 \cdots f_n)g, \\
 & \vdots \\
 & gf_n = f_n g, \\
 & f_1(f_2 \cdots f_n) = (f_2 \cdots f_n)f_1, \\
 & f_1 f_2(f_3 \cdots f_n) = (f_3 \cdots f_n)f_1 f_2, \\
 & \vdots \\
 & f_1 \cdots f_{n-1}(f_n) = (f_n)f_1 \cdots f_{n-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, g have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. By Corollary 3.2, if set $f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n = f$ then f, g have a unique common fixed point in X . That is, there exists $x \in X$, such that $f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n(x) = g(x) = x$. We prove that $f_i(x) = x$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. From (iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & S(g(f_2 \cdots f_n x), g(x), g(x)) \\
 & \leq \Psi(S(f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n(f_2 \cdots f_n x), f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n(x), f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n(x))).
 \end{aligned}$$

By (iv), we get

$$S(f_2 \cdots f_n x, x, x) \leq \Psi(S(f_2 \cdots f_n x, x, x)) < S(f_2 \cdots f_n x, x, x).$$

Hence, $f_2 \cdots f_n(x) = x$. Thus, $f_1(x) = f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n(x) = x$. Similarly, we have $f_2(x) = \cdots f_n(x) = x$. □

Now, we give one example to validate Theorem 3.1.

Example 3.6. Let (X, S) be a complete S -metric space, where $X = [0, 2]$ and

$$S(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|.$$

Define self-maps f and g on X as follows: $fx = \frac{x+1}{2}$ and $gx = \frac{x+5}{6}$, for all $x \in X$. Let $\Psi(t) = \frac{1}{2}t$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(gx, gy, gz) &= \frac{1}{6}(|x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4}(|x - y| + |y - z| + |x - z|) \\
 &= \Psi(S(fx, fy, fz)).
 \end{aligned}$$

That is, all conditions of Theorem 3.1 are hold and 1 is the unique common fixed point of f and g .

REFERENCES

- [1] N.A. Assad and S. Sessa, *Common fixed points for nonself-maps on compact*, SEA Bull. Math., **16** (1992), 1-5.
- [2] N. Chandra, S.N. Mishra, S.L. Singh and B.E. Rhoades, *Coincidences and fixed points of nonexpansive type multi-valued and single-valued maps*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **26** (1995), 393-401.
- [3] Y.J. Cho, P.P. Murthy and G.J. Jungck, *A common fixed point theorem of Meir and Keeler type*, Inter. J. Math. Sci., **16** (1993), 669-674.
- [4] R.O. Davies and S. Sessa, *A common fixed point theorem of Gregus type for compatible mappings*, Facta Univ. (Nis) Ser. Math. Inform., **7** (1992), 51-58.
- [5] B.C. Dhage, *Generalised metric spaces and mappings with fixed point*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., **84** (1992), 329-336.
- [6] J. Jachymski, *Common fixed point theorems for some families of maps*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **55** (1994), 925-937.
- [7] G. Jungck and B.E. Rhoades, *Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **29** (1998), 3,227-238.
- [8] S.M. Kang, Y.J. Cho and G. Jungck, *Common fixed points of compatible mappings*, Inter. J. Math. Math. Sci., **13** (1990), 61-66.
- [9] B.E. Rhoades, *A fixed point theorem for generalized metric spaces*, Inert. J. Math. Math. Sci., **19** (1996), 145-153.
- [10] B.E. Rhoades, K. Tiwary and G.N. Singh, *A common fixed point theorem for compatible mappings*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **26**(5) (1995), 403-409.
- [11] S. Sessa and Y.J. Cho, *Compatible mappings and a common fixed point theorem of change type*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **43**(3-4) (1993), 289-296.
- [12] S. Sessa, B.E. Rhoades and M.S. Khan, *On common fixed points of compatible mappings*, Inter. J. Math. Math. Sci., **11** (1988), 375-392.
- [13] B. Singh and R.K. Sharma, *Common fixed points via compatible maps in D-metric spaces*, Rad. Mat., **11** (2002), 145-153.
- [14] K. Tas, M. Telci and B. Fisher, *Common fixed point theorems for compatible mappings*, Inter. J. Math. Math. Sci., **19**(3) (1996), 451-456.
- [15] S.V.R. Naidu, K.P.R. Rao and Rao. N. Srinivasa. *On the topology of D-metric spaces and the generation of D-metric spaces from metric spaces*, Inter. J. Math. Math. Sci., **2004:51** (2004), 2719-2740.
- [16] S.V.R. Naidu, K.P.R. Rao and Rao. N. Srinivasa *On the concepts of balls in a D- metric space*, Inter. J. Math. Math. Sci., **2005:1** (2005), 133-141.
- [17] S.V.R. Naidu, K.P.R. Rao and Rao. N. Srinivasa, *On convergent sequences and fixed point theorems in D-Metric spaces*, Inter. J. Math. Math. Sci., **2005:12** (2005), 1969-1988.
- [18] S. Sedghi, K.P.R. Rao and N. Shobe, *Common fixed point theorems for six weakly compatible mappings in D*-metric spaces*, Inter. J. Math. Sci., **6**(2) (2007), 225-237.
- [19] J.K. Kim, S. Sedghi and N. Shobkolaei, *Common Fixed point Theorems for the R-weakly commuting Mappings in S-metric spaces*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl., **19**(4) (2015), 751-759.
- [20] S. Sedghi and N.V. Dung, *Fixed point theorems on S-metric spaces*. Mat. Vensnik, **66** (2014), 113-124.
- [21] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe and A. Aliouche, *A generalization of fixed point theorems in S-metric spaces*, Mat. Vanik, **64** (2012), 258 -266.
- [22] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe and T. Dosenovic, *Fixed point results in S-metric spaces*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **20**(1) (2015), 55-67.

- [23] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe and H. Zhou, *A common fixed point theorem in D^* -metric spaces*, Fixed point Theory and Appl., **2007** (2007), Article ID 27906.