

SOME NEW FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR
GENERALIZED CONTRACTIONS INVOLVING
RATIONAL EXPRESSIONS IN COMPLEX VALUED
 b -METRIC SPACES

Anil Kumar Dubey¹, Urmila Mishra² and Won Hee Lim³

¹Department of Mathematics
Bhilai Institute of Technology, Bhilai House
Durg (Chhattisgarh), India
e-mail: anilkumardbye@rediffmail.com

²Department of Mathematics
Vishwavidyalaya Engineering College
Lakhanpur-Ambikapur(Chhattisgarh), India
e-mail: mishra.urmila@gmail.com

³Department of Mathematics
Kyungnam University, Changwon, Gyeongnam, 51767, Korea
e-mail: worry36@kyungnam.ac.kr

Abstract. In this paper, we present some fixed point theorems for generalized contractions involving rational expressions in the framework of complex valued b -metric spaces. Our results generalize some existing results.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 2011, Azam et al. [2] introduced the concept of complex valued metric space and proved some fixed point results for mappings satisfying a rational inequality. This concept is useful in different branches of mathematics, including applied mathematics, number theory, algebraic geometry etc. Large

⁰Received December 3, 2018. Revised March 15, 2019.

⁰2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

⁰Keywords: Partially ordered, complex valued b -metric spaces, fixed point, Cauchy sequence.

⁰Corresponding author: U. Mishra(mishra.urmila@gmail.com).

number of papers have been published containing fixed point results for a single and a pair of self-mappings with different rational contraction conditions in complex valued metric space (see [9, 11, 13, 14]). After the establishment of complex valued metric spaces, Rao et al. [10] introduced the complex valued b -metric spaces and then several authors have contributed in this directions (see [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8] and [12]).

In this manuscript, we prove some fixed point theorems having rational type contraction conditions in the notion of partially ordered complex valued b -metric space.

2. BASIC FACTS AND DEFINITIONS

Let \mathbb{C} be the set of complex numbers and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Define a partial order \lesssim on \mathbb{C} as follows: $z_1 \lesssim z_2$ if and only if $Re(z_1) \leq Re(z_2), Im(z_1) \leq Im(z_2)$. It follows that \lesssim exist if one of the following conditions are satisfied:

- (C_1) $Re(z_1) = Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) = Im(z_2)$;
- (C_2) $Re(z_1) < Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) = Im(z_2)$;
- (C_3) $Re(z_1) = Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) < Im(z_2)$;
- (C_4) $Re(z_1) < Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) < Im(z_2)$.

In particular, we will write $z_1 \not\lesssim z_2$ if $z_1 \neq z_2$ and one of (C_2), (C_3) and (C_4) is satisfied and we will write $z_1 \prec z_2$ if only (C_4) is satisfied. Note that

- (i) $0 \lesssim z_1 \not\lesssim z_2 \Rightarrow |z_1| < |z_2|$,
- (ii) $z_1 \lesssim z_2$ and $z_2 \prec z_3 \Rightarrow z_1 \prec z_3$,
- (iii) If $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \leq b \Rightarrow az \lesssim bz$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

The following definition is recently introduced by Rao et al. [10].

Definition 2.1. ([10]) Let X be a nonempty set and let $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called complex valued b -metric if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (M1) $0 \lesssim d(x, y)$ and $d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (M2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (M3) $d(x, y) \lesssim s[d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then the pair (X, d) is called a complex valued b -metric space.

Example 2.2. ([10]) Let $X = [0, 1]$. Define the mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2 + i|x - y|^2$, for all $x, y \in X$. Then (X, d) is a complex valued b -metric space with $s = 2$.

All other definitions like convergent sequence, Cauchy sequence, complete complex valued b -metric space we refer [10].

Lemma 2.3. ([10]) *Let (X, d) be a complex valued b -metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if and only if $|d(x_n, x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Lemma 2.4. ([10]) *Let (X, d) be a complex valued b -metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $|d(x_n, x_{n+m})| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Definition 2.5. Let (X, d) be complex valued b -metric space, $T : X \rightarrow X$ and $x \in X$. Then the function T is continuous at x if for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $x_n \rightarrow x, Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$.

Definition 2.6. Let (X, \lesssim) be a partially ordered set and $T : X \rightarrow X$. The mapping T is said to be non-decreasing if for all $x_1, x_2 \in X, x_1 \lesssim x_2$ implies $Tx_1 \lesssim Tx_2$ and non-increasing if for all $x_1, x_2 \in X, x_1 \lesssim x_2$ implies $Tx_1 \gtrsim Tx_2$.

Now we are ready to state and prove our main result.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X, \lesssim) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exist a complex valued b -metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete complex valued b -metric space. Let the mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous and non-decreasing mapping. Suppose that there exist non-negative real numbers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda$ with $s(\alpha + \beta + \gamma) + \delta + s(s + 1)\lambda < 1$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \lesssim y$,*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \lesssim \alpha d(x, y) + \beta \left[\frac{d(x, Tx)d(x, Ty) + d(y, Ty)d(y, Tx)}{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)} \right] + \gamma d(x, Tx) + \delta d(y, Ty) + \lambda [d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)] \quad (3.1)$$

if there exist $x_0 \in X$ with $x_0 \lesssim Tx_0$, then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 = Tx_0$. Then it is obvious that x_0 is a fixed point of T . Suppose that $x_0 \prec Tx_0$. Then we construct the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$x_{n+1} = Tx_n \quad \text{for every } n \geq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Since T is a non-decreasing mapping, by induction we get,

$$x_0 \prec Tx_0 = x_1 \lesssim Tx_1 = x_2 \lesssim Tx_2 = x_3 \lesssim \dots \lesssim Tx_{n-1} = x_n \lesssim Tx_n = x_{n+1}. \quad (3.3)$$

If there exist some $n \geq 1$ such that $x_{n+1} = x_n$, then from (3.2), $x_{n+1} = Tx_n = x_n$, that is x_n is a fixed point of T and the proof is finished.

Now, we assume that $x_{n+1} \neq x_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Since $x_n \prec x_{n+1}$, all $n \geq 1$, by (3.1) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) &= d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \\
&\lesssim \alpha d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\
&\quad + \beta \left[\frac{d(x_n, Tx_n)d(x_n, Tx_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})d(x_{n+1}, Tx_n)}{d(x_n, Tx_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Tx_n)} \right] \\
&\quad + \gamma d(x_n, Tx_n) + \delta d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}) \\
&\quad + \lambda [d(x_n, Tx_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Tx_n)] \\
&= \alpha d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\
&\quad + \beta \left[\frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_n, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})}{d(x_n, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})} \right] \\
&\quad + \gamma d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \delta d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\
&\quad + \lambda [d(x_n, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})] \\
&\lesssim \alpha d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \beta d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \gamma d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \delta d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\
&\quad + s\lambda [d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})]
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that,

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) &\lesssim \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + s\lambda}{1 - \delta - s\lambda} \right) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\
&= \mu d(x_n, x_{n+1})
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where $\mu = \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + s\lambda}{1 - \delta - s\lambda} \right)$, since $s(\alpha + \beta + \gamma) + \delta + s(s+1)\lambda < 1$, it follows that $0 < \mu < \frac{1}{s}$. By induction, we have

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \lesssim \mu d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \lesssim \mu^2 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \lesssim \dots \lesssim \mu^{n+1} d(x_0, x_1)$$

for $m > n$

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_n, x_m) &\lesssim s[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_m)] \\
&\lesssim sd(x_n, x_{n+1}) + s^2[d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+2}, x_m)] \\
&\lesssim sd(x_n, x_{n+1}) + s^2d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + s^3d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) \\
&\quad + \dots + s^{m-n}d(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\
&\lesssim (s\mu^n + s^2\mu^{n+1} + \dots + s^{m-n}\mu^{m-1})d(x_0, x_1) \\
&\lesssim s\mu^n [1 + (s\mu) + (s\mu)^2 + \dots + (s\mu)^{m-n-1}]d(x_0, x_1) \\
&\lesssim \frac{s\mu^n}{1 - s\mu} d(x_0, x_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq \mu < \frac{1}{s}$, we conclude that $\left(\frac{s\mu^n}{1 - s\mu} \right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which implies that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. From the completeness of X , there exists a point $z \in X$ such that

$$x_n \rightarrow z \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.5}$$

The continuity of T implies that $Tz = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = z$ that is z is a fixed point of T . \square

If continuity is dropped for underlying mapping then we get the following result:

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X, \lesssim) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exist a complex valued b -metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete complex valued b -metric space. Let the mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a non-decreasing mapping. Assume that if $\{x_n\}$ is a non-decreasing sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow x$, then $x_n \lesssim x$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that (3.1) hold for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \lesssim y$. If there exist $x_0 \in X$ with $x_0 \lesssim Tx_0$, then T has a fixed point.*

Proof. We take the similar approach as Theorem 3.1 and prove that $\{x_n\}$ is non-decreasing sequence such that $x_n \rightarrow z \in X$. Then $x_n \lesssim z$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From inequality (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, Tz) &= d(Tx_n, Tz) \\ &\lesssim \alpha d(x_n, z) + \beta \left[\frac{d(x_n, Tx_n)d(x_n, Tz) + d(z, Tz)d(z, Tx_n)}{d(x_n, Tz) + d(z, Tx_n)} \right] \\ &\quad + \gamma d(x_n, Tx_n) + \delta d(z, Tz) + \lambda [d(x_n, Tz) + d(z, Tx_n)] \\ &= \alpha d(x_n, z) + \beta \left[\frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_n, Tz) + d(z, Tz)d(z, x_{n+1})}{d(x_n, Tz) + d(z, x_{n+1})} \right] \\ &\quad + \gamma d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \delta d(z, Tz) + \lambda [d(x_n, Tz) + d(z, x_{n+1})]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, Tz) &\lesssim \alpha d(z, z) + \beta \left[\frac{d(z, z)d(z, Tz) + d(z, Tz)d(z, z)}{d(z, Tz) + d(z, z)} \right] \\ &\quad + \gamma d(z, z) + \delta d(z, Tz) + \lambda [d(z, Tz) + d(z, z)] \\ &\lesssim \delta d(z, Tz) + \lambda d(z, Tz) = (\delta + \lambda)d(z, Tz). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\delta + \lambda) < 1$, it is contradiction unless $d(z, Tz) = 0$. Therefore $Tz = z$ and hence z is fixed point of T . \square

If we set $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 0$ in inequality (3.1) of Theorem 3.1 then we get following Corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *Let (X, \lesssim) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exist a complex valued b -metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete complex valued b -metric space. Let the mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous and non-decreasing mapping. Suppose there exist non-negative real numbers α and λ with $\alpha + 2s\lambda < \frac{1}{s}$ such that, for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \lesssim y$,*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \lesssim \alpha d(x, y) + \lambda [d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)].$$

If there exist $x_0 \in X$ with $x_0 \lesssim Tx_0$, then T has a fixed point.

Example 3.4. Let $X = \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 2\}$ and partial order \lesssim is defined as $x \lesssim y$ if and only if $x \geq y$. Let the complex valued b -metric d be given by $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2(1 + i)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Let $s = 2$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be defined as below:

$$T(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad T\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 0.$$

Take $x = \frac{1}{2}, y = 0, T(0) = 0$ and $T\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 0$ in Corollary 3.3, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) = 0 &\lesssim \alpha \left| \frac{1}{2} - 0 \right|^2 (1 + i) + \lambda \left[\left| \frac{1}{2} - 0 \right|^2 + |0 - 0|^2 \right] (1 + i) \\ &= (\alpha + \lambda) \frac{1 + i}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\alpha + \lambda \geq 0$. If we take $\alpha = \lambda = \frac{1}{16}$, then all the conditions of Corollary 3.3 are satisfied and of course 0 is the fixed point of T .

In order to verify the Theorem 3.1, take the same values as above in Theorem 3.1, we have

$$d(Tx, Ty) = 0 \lesssim \alpha \left(\frac{1 + i}{4} \right) + \beta \left(\frac{1 + i}{4} \right) + \gamma \left(\frac{1 + i}{4} \right) + \delta(0) + \lambda \left(\frac{1 + i}{4} \right).$$

The above inequality is satisfied for $\alpha = \beta = \delta = \frac{1}{6}$ and $\gamma = \lambda = 0$ with $s(\alpha + \beta + \gamma) + \delta + s(s + 1)\lambda < 1$, then all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied and of course 0 is the fixed point of T .

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we establish some fixed point theorems for generalized contractions involving rational expressions in the setting of complex valued b -metric spaces. We give one example in support of our results. Our results extend and generalize some well known existing results.

Acknowledgments: The authors are thankful to the learned referee for his/her deep observations and their suggestions, which greatly helped us to improve the paper significantly.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aiman Mukheimer, *Some common fixed point theorems in complex valued b -metric spaces*, The Sci. World Jour., **2014** (2014), Article ID 587825, 6 pages.
- [2] A. Azam, B. Fisher and M. Khan, *Common fixed point theorems in Complex valued Metric Spaces*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Opti., **32**(3) (2011), 243–253.

- [3] A.K. Dubey, *Complex valued b -metric spaces and common fixed point theorems under rational contractions*, J. Complex Anal., **2016** (2016), Article ID 9786063, 7 pages.
- [4] A.K. Dubey, *Common fixed point results for contractive mappings in complex valued b -metric spaces*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **20**(2) (2015), 257–268.
- [5] A.K. Dubey and M. Tripathi, *Common fixed point theorem in complex valued b -metric space for rational contractions*, J. Inf. Math. Sci., **7**(3) (2015), 149–161.
- [6] A.K. Dubey, Manjula Tripathi and M.D. Pandey, *Common fixed point results for rational type contraction in complex valued b -metric spaces*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., **116**(2) (2017), 447–456.
- [7] A.K. Dubey, U. Mishra and M. Tripathi, *Common fixed point of mappings satisfying rational inequality in complex valued b -metric spaces*, Comm. Math. Appl., **8**(3) (2017), 289–300.
- [8] A.K. Dubey, M. Tripathi and R.P. Dubey, *Various fixed point theorems in complex valued b -metric spaces*, Int. Jour. Engg. Math., **2016** (2016), Article ID7072606, 7 pages.
- [9] H.K. Nashine, M. Imdad and M. Hasan, *Common fixed point theorems under rational contractions in complex valued metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., **7** (2014), 42–50.
- [10] K.P.R. Rao, P.R. Swamy and J.R. Prasad, *A common fixed point theorem in complex valued b -metric spaces*, Bull. Math. Stat. Research, **1**(1) (2013), 1–8.
- [11] F. Rouzkard and M. Imdad, *Some common fixed point theorems on complex valued metric spaces*, Comput. Math.with Appl., **64** (2012), 1866–1874.
- [12] D. Singh, O.P. Chauhan, N. Singh and V. Joshi, *Common fixed point theorems in complex valued b -metric spaces*, J. Math. Comput. Sci., **5**(3) (2015), 412–429.
- [13] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, *Generalized common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces and applications*, J. Inequalities and Appl., **2012** (2012), doi: 10.1186/1029-242X-2012-84.
- [14] W. Sintunavarat, Y.J. Cho and P. Kumam, *Ursyhon integral equations approach by common fixed point in complex valued metric spaces*, Adv. Differ. Equ., **2013:49** (2013), 1–14.