

## REGULARIZATION FOR A SYSTEM OF INVERSE-STRONGLY MONOTONE OPERATOR EQUATIONS

Nguyen thi Thu Thuy

College of Sciences, Thainguyn University  
Quyethang, Thainguyn, Vietnam  
e-mail: [thuychip04@yahoo.com](mailto:thuychip04@yahoo.com)

**Abstract.** In this paper, we introduce a regularization process of finding a common element of a system of operator equations for inverse-strongly monotone operators in real Banach spaces, and then give a convergence theorem. The convergence rates of regularized solutions are estimated by using a regularization parameter-choice that is based upon the generalized discrepancy principle. Further, we consider an iterative regularization method of zero order for solving system of inverse-strongly monotone operator equations in real Hilbert spaces.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a real reflexive Banach space having property  $E$ - $S$  (i.e. weak and norm convergences of any sequence in  $X$  imply its strong convergences). Let  $X$  and its dual space be strictly convex. For the sake of simplicity, the norms of  $X$  and  $X^*$  are denoted by the same symbol  $\|\cdot\|$ . We write  $\langle x^*, x \rangle$  instead of  $x^*(x)$  for  $x^* \in X^*$  and  $x \in X$ .

Let  $A_j : X \rightarrow X^*$  be a family of hemicontinuous monotone operators defined on  $X$ ,  $f_j \in X^*$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$ . Set  $S_j = \{\bar{x} \in X : A_j(\bar{x}) = f_j\}$ . It is easy to see that  $S_j$  is closed convex subset in  $X$  (see [10]). Assume that  $S = \bigcap_{j=1}^N S_j \neq \emptyset$ . We consider the following problem

$$\text{finding an element } x^0 \in S. \quad (1.1)$$

---

<sup>0</sup>Received February 8, 2011. Revised March 3, 2012.

<sup>0</sup>2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47J06, 47A52, 65F22, 47H05.

<sup>0</sup>Keywords: System of operator equations for inverse-strongly monotone operators, regularized solution, regularization parameter-choice, iterative regularization.

Without additional conditions on  $A_j$  such as the strongly or uniformly monotone property, each equation  $A_j(x) = f_j$  is an ill-posed problem. By this, we mean that the solution set  $S_j$  does not depend continuously on the data  $(A_j, f_j)$ . Therefore, to find a solution of this equation, we have to use stable methods. One of these methods is the Tikhonov regularization in the form (see [1])

$$A_j^h(x) + \alpha U^s(x - x_*) = f_j^\delta, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\alpha > 0$  is a regularization parameter,  $U^s$  is the generalized duality mapping of  $X$ ,  $A_j^h$  is a monotone bounded hemicontinuous operator on  $X$ ,  $(A_j^h, f_j^\delta)$  are approximation of  $(A_j, f_j)$  in the sense that

$$\|A_j^h(x) - A_j(x)\| \leq hg(\|x\|), \quad \|f_j^\delta - f_j\| \leq \delta \quad (1.3)$$

with levels  $(h, \delta) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $g(t)$  is a non-negative bounded function for  $t \geq 0$  and  $x_*$  is in  $X$  which plays the role of a criterion of selection.

Let  $\tau = (h, \delta)$ . For each  $j$ , equation (1.2) has a unique solution  $x_j^{\alpha, \tau}$  and if  $h/\alpha, \delta/\alpha, \alpha \rightarrow 0$  then  $x_j^{\alpha, \tau} \rightarrow x_j \in S_j$  with  $x_*$ -minimal norm (see [1]), i.e.

$$\|x_j - x_*\| = \min_{x \in S_j} \|x - x_*\|, \quad j = 1, \dots, N.$$

In this paper, we consider the more general problem, that is to find a common element  $x_\alpha^\tau$  of the solution sets of equations involving inverse-strongly monotone operators such that  $x_\alpha^\tau \rightarrow x^0 \in S$  as  $h, \delta, \alpha \rightarrow 0$  and estimate the value of  $\|x_\alpha^\tau - x^0\|$  based on regularization parameter choice by the generalized discrepancy principle. Moreover, we propose an iterative regularization method of zero order that is a parallel algorithm. This algorithm generates a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  from an arbitrary initial  $z_0 \in H$ , where  $H$  is a real Hilbert space. The sequence  $\{z_n\}$  is shown to converge to  $x^0 \in S$ .

We now recall some definitions (see [5, 13]).

**Definition 1.1.** An operator  $A : D(A) \equiv X \rightarrow X^*$  is called inverse-strongly monotone if

$$\langle A(x) - A(y), x - y \rangle \geq m_A \|A(x) - A(y)\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in X, \quad m_A > 0, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $m_A$  is a positive constant.

**Definition 1.2.** An operator  $U^s : X \rightarrow X^*$  is called the generalized duality mapping of  $X$  if

$$U^s(x) = \{x^* \in X^* : \langle x^*, x \rangle = \|x^*\|^{s-1} \|x\| = \|x\|^s\}, \quad s \geq 2.$$

Assume that the generalized duality mapping  $U^s$  satisfies the following condition

$$\langle U^s(x) - U^s(y), x - y \rangle \geq m_U \|x - y\|^s, \quad \forall x, y \in X, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $m_U$  is a positive constant. It is well-known that when  $X$  is a Hilbert space then  $U^s = I$ ,  $s = 2$  and  $m_s = 1$ , where  $I$  denotes the identity operator in the setting space (see [2]).

## 2. MAIN RESULT

For approximations to a solution of (1.1), we introduce the following regularized problem of finding an  $x_\alpha^\tau \in X$  such that (see Nguyen Buong [9])

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha^{\lambda_j} (A_j^h(x_\alpha^\tau) - f_j^\delta) + \alpha U^s(x_\alpha^\tau - x_*) &= \theta, \\ \lambda_1 = 0 < \lambda_j < \lambda_{j+1} < 1, \quad j &= 2, \dots, N-1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

We have the following result.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be an  $E$ - $S$  space with strictly convex dual space  $X^*$ ,  $A_j^h : X \rightarrow X^*$  be a monotone bounded hemicontinuous operator for all  $h > 0$ ,  $U^s : X \rightarrow X^*$  be a generalized duality mapping and  $f_j^\delta \in X^*$  for all  $\delta > 0$ . Then Problem (2.1) has a unique solution  $x_\alpha^\tau$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $A_j^h$  is a monotone bounded hemicontinuous operator so it is a maximal monotone (see [5]). This implies that  $\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha^{\lambda_j} A_j^h + \alpha U^s$  is also maximal monotone and coercitive (see [5, 6]). Then Theorem 1.7.4 in [3] guaranties the solvability of equation (2.1) in the sense of inclusion. Let  $x_\alpha^\tau$  be a solution of (2.1). It is unique because the operator  $\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha^{\lambda_j} A_j^h + \alpha U^s$  is strictly monotone.  $\square$

The solution  $x_\alpha^\tau$  satisfying (2.1) will be view as the regularized solution of problem (1.1).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X$  and  $X^*$  be strictly convex spaces,  $A_j$  be a inverse-strongly monotone,  $A_j^h$  be a monotone bounded hemicontinuous operator, and  $U^s : X \rightarrow X^*$  a generalized duality mapping. Assume that (1.3) and (1.5) hold. If*

$$\frac{h + \delta}{\alpha} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \alpha \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.2)$$

*then the sequence  $\{x_\alpha^\tau\}$  of solutions of the equation (2.1) converges strongly in  $X$  to  $x^0 \in S$  with  $x_*$ -minimal norm.*

*Proof.* For  $x \in S$ , it follows from (1.1) and (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha^{\lambda_j} \langle A_j^h(x_\alpha^\tau) - f_j^\delta - A_j(x) + f_j, x_\alpha^\tau - x \rangle \\ + \alpha \langle U^s(x_\alpha^\tau - x_*) - U^s(x - x_*), x_\alpha^\tau - x \rangle \\ = \alpha \langle U^s(x - x_*), x - x_\alpha^\tau \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha m_U \|x_\alpha^\tau - x\|^s \leq \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha^{\lambda_j} \langle A_j^h(x_\alpha^\tau) - A_j^h(x) + A_j^h(x) - A_j(x) + f_j - f_j^\delta, x - x_\alpha^\tau \rangle \\ + \alpha \langle U^s(x - x_*), x - x_\alpha^\tau \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (1.3) and the monotonicity of  $A_j^h$  that

$$m_U \|x_\alpha^\tau - x\|^s \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} N(hg(\|x\|) + \delta) \|x - x_\alpha^\tau\| + \langle U^s(x - x_*), x - x_\alpha^\tau \rangle. \quad (2.3)$$

Now from (2.2) and (2.3) we conclude that the sequence  $\{x_\alpha^\tau\}$  is bounded. So there exists a subsequence  $\{x_\beta^\nu\}$ , where  $\beta \subseteq \alpha$  and  $\nu = (h', \delta') \subseteq \tau$ , which weakly converges to some element  $\hat{x} \in X$ . We also have

$$\frac{h' + \delta'}{\beta} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \alpha \rightarrow 0.$$

First, we prove that  $\hat{x} \in S_1$ . Indeed, for an arbitrary  $x \in X$ , by virtue of the monotonicity of  $A_j^h$  and the property of  $U^s$  and (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A_1^{h'}(x) - f_1^{\delta'}, x - x_\beta^\nu \rangle &\geq \langle A_1^{h'}(x_\beta^\nu) - f_1^{\delta'}, x - x_\beta^\nu \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^N \beta^{\lambda_j} \langle A_j^{h'}(x_\beta^\nu) - f_j^{\delta'}, x_\beta^\nu - x \rangle \\ &\quad + \beta \langle U^s(x_\beta^\nu - x_*), x_\beta^\nu - x \rangle \\ &\geq \sum_{j=2}^N \beta^{\lambda_j} \langle A_j^{h'}(x) - f_j^{\delta'}, x_\beta^\nu - x \rangle + \beta \langle U^s(x - x_*), x_\beta^\nu - x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , and so  $\beta \rightarrow 0$  and  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain from the last inequality and (1.3) the limit inequality

$$\langle A_1(x) - f_1, x - \hat{x} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Consequently, by Minty's lemma  $\hat{x} \in S_1$  (see [14]). Now, we shall prove that  $\hat{x} \in S_j$ ,  $j = 2, \dots, N$ . Indeed, by (2.1) and making use of the monotonicity of

$A_j^{h'}$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle A_2^{h'}(x_\beta^\nu) - f_2^{\delta'}, x_\beta^\nu - x \rangle + \sum_{j=3}^N \beta^{\lambda_j - \lambda_2} \langle A_j^{h'}(x_\beta^\nu) - f_j^{\delta'}, x_\beta^\nu - x \rangle \\
 & \quad + \beta^{1-\lambda_2} \langle U^s(x_\beta^\nu - x_*), x_\beta^\nu - x \rangle \\
 & = \frac{1}{\beta^{\lambda_2}} \langle A_1^{h'}(x_\beta^\nu) - A_1^{h'}(x) + A_1^{h'}(x) - A_1(x) + f_1 - f_1^{\delta'}, x - x_\beta^\nu \rangle \\
 & \leq \frac{\beta^{1-\lambda_2}}{\beta} (h'g(\|x\|) + \delta') \|x - x_\beta^\nu\|, \quad \forall x \in S_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

After letting  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  we obtain

$$\langle A_2(\hat{x}) - f_2, \hat{x} - x \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall x \in S_1. \quad (2.4)$$

Let  $\tilde{x}$  be an element in  $S_1 \cap S_2$ . It follows from (2.4) that

$$0 = \langle A_2(\tilde{x}) - f_2, \tilde{x} - \hat{x} \rangle \geq \langle A_2(\hat{x}) - f_2, \tilde{x} - \hat{x} \rangle \geq 0.$$

Hence,

$$\langle A_2(\hat{x}) - f_2, \tilde{x} - \hat{x} \rangle = 0 = \langle A_2(\tilde{x}) - f_2, \tilde{x} - \hat{x} \rangle.$$

Consequently  $\langle A_2(\tilde{x}) - A_2(\hat{x}), \tilde{x} - \hat{x} \rangle = 0$ . Using the inverse-strongly monotonicity of  $A_2$  we have

$$0 = \langle A_2(\tilde{x}) - A_2(\hat{x}), \tilde{x} - \hat{x} \rangle \geq m_{A_2} \|A_2(\tilde{x}) - A_2(\hat{x})\|^2 \geq 0.$$

Therefore,

$$A_2(\hat{x}) - f_2 = A_2(\tilde{x}) - f_2 = 0.$$

So,  $\hat{x} \in S_2$ .

Set  $\tilde{S}_i = \cap_{k=1}^i S_k$ . Then,  $\tilde{S}_i$  is also closed convex, and  $\tilde{S}_i \neq \emptyset$ . Now, suppose that  $\hat{x} \in \tilde{S}_i$ , and we need to show that  $\hat{x}$  belongs to  $S_{i+1}$ . Again, by virtue of (2.1) for  $x \in \tilde{S}_i$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle A_{i+1}^{h'}(x_\beta^\nu) - f_{i+1}^{\delta'}, x_\beta^\nu - x \rangle + \sum_{j=i+2}^N \beta^{\lambda_j - \lambda_{i+1}} \langle A_j^{h'}(x_\beta^\nu) - f_j^{\delta'}, x_\beta^\nu - x \rangle \\
 & \quad + \beta^{1-\lambda_{i+1}} \langle U^s(x_\beta^\nu - x_*), x_\beta^\nu - x \rangle \\
 & = \sum_{k=1}^i \beta^{\lambda_k - \lambda_{i+1}} \langle A_k^{h'}(x_\beta^\nu) - f_k^{\delta'}, x - x_\beta^\nu \rangle \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^i \beta^{\lambda_k + 1 - \lambda_{i+1}} \langle A_k^{h'}(x) - A_k(x) + f_k - f_k^{\delta'}, x - x_\beta^\nu \rangle \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{\beta} N (h'g(\|x\|) + \delta') \|x - x_\beta^\nu\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by letting  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  we have

$$\langle A_{i+1}(\hat{x}) - f_{i+1}, \hat{x} - x \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall x \in \tilde{S}_i.$$

By an argument analogous to the previous one, we get  $\hat{x} \in S_{i+1}$ , which means that  $\hat{x} \in S$ .

On the other hand, it follows from (2.3) that

$$\langle U^s(x - x_*), x - \hat{x} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in S.$$

$S_j$  is closed convex, so is  $S$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $t\hat{x} + (1-t)x$ ,  $t \in (0, 1)$  in the last inequality, dividing by  $(1-t)$  and letting  $t$  to 1, we obtain

$$\langle U^s(\hat{x} - x_*), x - \hat{x} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in S.$$

Hence  $\|\hat{x} - x_*\| \leq \|x - x_*\|$ ,  $\forall x \in S$ . Because of the convexity and the closedness of  $S$ , and the strictly convexity of  $X$  we deduce that  $\hat{x} = x^0$ . So, all sequence  $\{x_\alpha^\tau\}$  converges weakly to  $x^0$ . It follows from (2.3) that the sequence  $\{x_\alpha^\tau\}$  converges strongly to  $x^0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Now, we consider the problem of choosing  $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha(h, \delta)$  such that

$$\lim_{h, \delta \rightarrow 0} \alpha(h, \delta) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{h, \delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{h + \delta}{\alpha(h, \delta)} = 0.$$

To solve this problem, we use the function for selecting  $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha(h, \delta)$  by generalized discrepancy principle, i.e. the relation  $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha(h, \delta)$  is constructed on the basis of the following equation

$$\rho(\tilde{\alpha}) = (h + \delta)^p \tilde{\alpha}^{-q}, \quad p, q > 0, \quad (2.5)$$

with  $\rho(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{\alpha}(c + \|x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^\tau - x_*\|^{s-1})$ , where  $x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^\tau$  is the solution of (2.1) with  $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}$ ,  $c$  is some positive constant. Note that the generalized discrepancy principle was presented in [11] for linear ill-posed problems and then it was developed for nonlinear ones in [7]. We have the following results.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be an  $E$ - $S$  space with a strictly convex dual space  $X^*$ ,  $A_j^h : X \rightarrow X^*$  be a monotone bounded hemicontinuous operator and  $U^s : X \rightarrow X^*$  with condition (1.5) holds. Then the function  $\rho(\alpha) = \alpha(c + \|x_\alpha^\tau - x_*\|)$  is single-valued and continuous for  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0 > 0$ , where  $x_\alpha^\tau$  is the solution of (2.1).*

*Proof.* Single-valued solvability of the equation (2.1) implies the continuity property of the function  $\rho(\alpha)$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq \alpha_0$  be arbitrary ( $\alpha_0 > 0$ ). It

follows from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_1^{\lambda_j} \langle A_j^h(x_{\alpha_1}^\tau) - f_j^\delta, x_{\alpha_1}^\tau - x_{\alpha_2}^\tau \rangle + \alpha_1 \langle U^s(x_{\alpha_1}^\tau - x_*) , x_{\alpha_1}^\tau - x_{\alpha_2}^\tau \rangle \\
 & + \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_2^{\lambda_j} \langle A_j^h(x_{\alpha_2}^\tau) - f_j^\delta, x_{\alpha_2}^\tau - x_{\alpha_1}^\tau \rangle + \alpha_2 \langle U^s(x_{\alpha_2}^\tau - x_*) , x_{\alpha_2}^\tau - x_{\alpha_1}^\tau \rangle \\
 & + \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_2^{\lambda_j} \langle A_j^h(x_{\alpha_1}^\tau) - f_j^\delta, x_{\alpha_2}^\tau - x_{\alpha_1}^\tau \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_2^{\lambda_j} \langle A_j^h(x_{\alpha_1}^\tau) - f_j^\delta, x_{\alpha_1}^\tau - x_{\alpha_2}^\tau \rangle = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_{\alpha_1}^\tau$  and  $x_{\alpha_2}^\tau$  are solutions of (2.1) with  $\alpha = \alpha_1$  and  $\alpha = \alpha_2$ . Using the monotonicity of  $A_j^h$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \alpha_1 \langle U^s(x_{\alpha_1}^\tau - x_*) - U^s(x_{\alpha_2}^\tau - x_*), x_{\alpha_1}^\tau - x_{\alpha_2}^\tau \rangle \\
 & \leq (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) \langle U^s(x_{\alpha_2}^\tau - x_*), x_{\alpha_1}^\tau - x_{\alpha_2}^\tau \rangle \\
 & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^N (\alpha_2^{\lambda_j} - \alpha_1^{\lambda_j}) \langle A_j^h(x_{\alpha_1}^\tau) - f_j^\delta, x_{\alpha_1}^\tau - x_{\alpha_2}^\tau \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (1.5) and the last inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_U \|x_{\alpha_1}^\tau - x_{\alpha_2}^\tau\|^{s-1} & \leq \frac{|\alpha_2 - \alpha_1|}{\alpha_0} \|x_{\alpha_2}^\tau - x_*\|^{s-1} \\
 & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{|\alpha_2^{\lambda_j} - \alpha_1^{\lambda_j}|}{\alpha_0} \|A_j^h(x_{\alpha_1}^\tau) - f_j^\delta\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,  $x_{\alpha_1}^\tau \rightarrow x_{\alpha_2}^\tau$  as  $\alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2$ . It means that the function  $\|x_{\alpha}^\tau - x_*\|$  is continuous on  $[\alpha_0; +\infty)$ . Therefore,  $\rho(\alpha)$  is also continuous on  $[\alpha_0; +\infty)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $X$  and  $X^*$  be strictly convex spaces,  $A_j^h$  be a monotone bounded hemicontinuous operator,  $U^s : X \rightarrow X^*$  be a duality mapping. Assume that (1.3) and (1.5) hold. Then*

- (i) *There exists at least a solution  $\tilde{\alpha}$  of the equation (2.5);*
- (ii) *Let  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ . Then*

(1)  $\tilde{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ ;

(2) *If  $0 < p < q$  then  $\frac{h + \delta}{\tilde{\alpha}} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^\tau \rightarrow x^0 \in S$  with  $x_*$ -minimal norm and there exists constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that for sufficiently small  $h, \delta > 0$  the relation*

$$C_1 \leq (h + \delta)^p \alpha^{-1-q}(h, \delta) \leq C_2$$

*holds.*

*Proof.* (i) For  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , it follows from (2.1) that

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha^{\lambda_j} \langle A_j^h(x_\alpha^\tau) - f_j^\delta, x_\alpha^\tau - x_* \rangle + \alpha \langle U^s(x_\alpha^\tau - x_*), x_\alpha^\tau - x_* \rangle = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha \langle U^s(x_\alpha^\tau - x_*), x_\alpha^\tau - x_* \rangle \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha^{\lambda_j} \langle A_j^h(x_*) - f_j^\delta, x_* - x_\alpha^\tau \rangle \\ & = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha^{\lambda_j} \langle A_j^h(x_*) - A_j(x_*) + A_j(x_*) - f_j + f_j - f_j^\delta, x_* - x_\alpha^\tau \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We invoke (1.3), (1.5) and the last inequality to deduce that

$$\alpha \|x_\alpha^\tau - x_*\|^{s-1} \leq N(hg(\|x_*\|) + \|A_j(x_*) - f_j\| + \delta). \quad (2.6)$$

It follows from (2.6) and the form of  $\rho(\alpha)$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^q \rho(\alpha) &= \alpha^{1+q} (c + \|x_\alpha^\tau - x_*\|^{s-1}) \\ &= c\alpha^{1+q} + \alpha^q \alpha \|x_\alpha^\tau - x_*\|^{s-1} \\ &\leq c\alpha^{1+q} + \alpha^q N(hg(\|x_*\|) + \|A_j(x_*) - f_j\| + \delta). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +0} \alpha^q \rho(\alpha) = 0$ .

On the other hand,

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha^q \rho(\alpha) \geq c \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha^{1+q} = +\infty.$$

Since  $\rho(\alpha)$  is continuous, there exists at least one  $\tilde{\alpha}$  which satisfies (2.5).

ii) It follows from (2.5) and the form of  $\rho(\tilde{\alpha})$  that

$$\tilde{\alpha} \leq c^{-1/(1+q)} (h + \delta)^{p/(1+q)}.$$

Therefore,  $\tilde{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ .

If  $0 < p < q$ , it follows from (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \frac{h + \delta}{\tilde{\alpha}} \right]^p &= [(h + \delta)^p \tilde{\alpha}^{-q}] \tilde{\alpha}^{q-p} \\ &= [\tilde{\alpha} c + \tilde{\alpha} \|x_\alpha^\tau - x_*\|^{s-1}] \tilde{\alpha}^{q-p} \\ &\leq c\tilde{\alpha}^{1+q-p} + \tilde{\alpha}^{q-p} N(hg(\|x_*\|) + \|A_j(x_*) - f_j\| + \delta). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\lim_{h, \delta \rightarrow 0} \left[ \frac{h + \delta}{\tilde{\alpha}} \right]^p = 0.$$



By Theorem 2.1 the sequence  $x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau}$  converges to  $x^0 \in S$  with  $x_*$ -minimal norm as  $h, \delta \rightarrow 0$ .

Clearly,

$$(h + \delta)^p \tilde{\alpha}^{-1-q} = \tilde{\alpha}^{-1} \rho(\tilde{\alpha}) = (c + \|x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau} - x_*\|^{s-1}),$$

therefore there exists a positive constant  $C_2$  in the theorem. On the other hand, because  $c > 0$  there exists a positive constant  $C_1$  in the theorem. This completes the proof.  $\square$

To estimate the convergence rates for the sequence  $\{x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau}\}$ , we assume that there exists a positive constant  $\tilde{\tau}$  such that

$$\|A_1(y) - A_1(x) - A'_1(x)(y - x)\| \leq \tilde{\tau} \|A_1(y) - A_1(x)\|, \quad \forall x \in S, \quad (2.7)$$

and  $y$  belongs to some neighbourhood of  $S$ .

Note that, Hanke, Neubauer and Scherzer [12] gave a first convergence analysis of the Landweber iteration method for a class of nonlinear operators with (2.7) when  $\tilde{\tau} < 1/2$ . The use of this assumption to estimate the convergence rates of the regularized solutions of ill-posed inverse-strongly monotone variational inequalities in Banach space was considered in [8].

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $X$  and  $X^*$  be strictly convex spaces,  $A_j^h$  be a monotone bounded hemicontinuous operator,  $U^s : X \rightarrow X^*$  be a duality mapping. Assume that (1.3) and (1.5) hold and,*

- (i)  $A_1$  is Fréchet continuously differentiable with (2.7) for  $x = x^0$ ;
- (ii) there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $A'_1(x^0)^* z = U^s(x^0 - x_*)$ ;
- (iii) the parameter  $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha(h, \delta)$  is chosen by (2.5) with  $0 < p < q$ .

Then,

$$\|x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau} - x^0\| = O((h + \delta)^{\mu_1}), \quad \mu_1 = \min \left\{ \frac{1 + q - p}{s(1 + q)}, \frac{\lambda_2 p}{s(1 + q)} \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Replacing  $x$  by  $x^0$  in (2.3) we obtain

$$m_U \|x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau} - x^0\|^s \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}} N (hg(\|x^0\|) + \delta) \|x^0 - x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau}\| + \langle U^s(x^0 - x_*), x^0 - x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau} \rangle. \quad (2.8)$$

Using conditions (i), (ii) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U^s(x^0 - x_*), x^0 - x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau} \rangle &= \langle z, A'_1(x^0)(x^0 - x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau}) \rangle \\ &\leq \|z\| (\tilde{\tau} + 1) \|A_1(x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau}) - A_1(x^0)\| \\ &\leq \|z\| (\tilde{\tau} + 1) (hg(\|x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau}\|) + \|A_1^h(x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau}) - f_1^{\delta}\| + \delta) \\ &\leq \|z\| (\tilde{\tau} + 1) \left[ \sum_{j=2}^N \tilde{\alpha}^{\lambda_j} \|A_j^h(x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau}) - f_j^{\delta}\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \tilde{\alpha} \|x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau} - x_*\|^{s-1} + hg(\|x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau}\|) + \delta \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Combining with (2.8), the inequality (2.9) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} m_U \|x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau} - x^0\|^s &\leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}} N(hg(\|x^0\|) + \delta) \|x^0 - x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau}\| \\ &\quad + \|z\|(\tilde{\tau} + 1) \left[ \sum_{j=2}^N \tilde{\alpha}^{\lambda_j} \|A_j^h(x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau}) - f_j^{\delta}\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \tilde{\alpha} \|x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau} - x_*\|^{s-1} + hg(\|x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau}\|) + \delta \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Now, it follows from Theorem 2.2 that

$$\tilde{\alpha} \leq C_1^{-1/(1+q)} (h + \delta)^{p/(1+q)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h + \delta}{\tilde{\alpha}} &\leq C_2 (h + \delta)^{1-p} \tilde{\alpha}^q \\ &\leq C_2 C_1^{-q/(1+q)} (h + \delta)^{1-p/(1+q)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$m_U \|x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau} - x^0\|^s \leq \overline{C}_1 (h + \delta)^{1-p/(1+q)} \|x^0 - x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau}\| + \overline{C}_2 (h + \delta)^{\lambda_2 p/(1+q)},$$

where  $\overline{C}_i, i = 1, 2$  are the positive constants. Using the implication

$$a, b, c \geq 0, s > t, a^s \leq ba^t + c \implies a^s = O(b^{s/(s-t)} + c),$$

we obtain

$$\|x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau} - x^0\| = O((h + \delta)^{\mu_1}).$$

□

**Remark 2.1.** If  $\alpha$  is chosen a priori such that  $\alpha \sim (h + \delta)^{\eta}$ ,  $0 < \eta < 1$ , it follows from (2.10) that

$$\|x_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tau} - x^0\| = O((h + \delta)^{\mu_2}), \quad \mu_2 = \min \left\{ \frac{1 - \eta}{s - 1}, \frac{\lambda_2 \eta}{s} \right\}.$$

And now, we consider the following iterative regularization method of zero order, where  $z_{n+1}$  is defined by

$$z_{n+1} = z_n - \beta_n \left[ \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_n^{\lambda_j} (A_j(z_n) - f_j) + \alpha_n (z_n - x_*) \right], \quad z_0 \in H, \quad (2.11)$$

where  $H$  is a real Hilbert space,  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  are sequences of positive numbers.

We consider the operator equation

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_n^{\lambda_j} (A_j(x) - f_j) + \alpha_n(x - x_*) = \theta. \quad (2.12)$$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $X$  and  $X^*$  be strictly convex spaces,  $A_j : X \rightarrow X^*$  be a monotone bounded hemicontinuous operator and inverse-strongly monotone. Assume that (1.3) holds. Then*

- (i) *For each  $\alpha_n > 0$ , Problem (2.12) has a unique solution  $x_n$ ;*
- (ii) *If  $0 < \alpha_n \leq 1$ ,  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_n = x^0 \in S$  with  $x_*$ -minimal norm and*

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = O\left(\frac{|\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n|}{\alpha_n}\right),$$

where  $x_{n+1}$  is a solution of (2.12) when  $\alpha_n$  is replaced by  $\alpha_{n+1}$ .

*Proof.* (i) By an argument analogous to that used in the proof of the equation (2.1), we deduce that the equation (2.12) has a unique solution denoted by  $x_n$ .

(ii) The proof of the first part is analogous to Theorem 2.1.

Let  $x_{n+1}$  be a solution of (2.12) when  $\alpha_n$  is replaced by  $\alpha_{n+1}$ . It follows from (2.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_n^{\lambda_j} \langle A_j(x_n) - f_j, x_n - x_{n+1} \rangle + \alpha_n \langle x_n - x_*, x_n - x_{n+1} \rangle \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_{n+1}^{\lambda_j} \langle A_j(x_{n+1}) - f_j, x_{n+1} - x_n \rangle + \alpha_{n+1} \langle x_{n+1} - x_*, x_{n+1} - x_n \rangle \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_{n+1}^{\lambda_j} \langle A_j(x_n) - f_j, x_{n+1} - x_n \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_{n+1}^{\lambda_j} \langle A_j(x_n) - f_j, x_n - x_{n+1} \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Because of the monotonicity of  $A_j$  and the last inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^N (\alpha_n^{\lambda_j} - \alpha_{n+1}^{\lambda_j}) \langle A_j(x_n) - f_j, x_n - x_{n+1} \rangle + \alpha_n \langle x_n - x_*, x_n - x_{n+1} \rangle \\ & + \alpha_{n+1} \langle x_{n+1} - x_*, x_{n+1} - x_n \rangle \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n \langle x_n - x_{n+1}, x_n - x_{n+1} \rangle &\leq (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}) \langle x_{n+1} - x_*, x_{n+1} - x_n \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=2}^N (\alpha_n^{\lambda_j} - \alpha_{n+1}^{\lambda_j}) \langle A_j(x_n) - f_j, x_{n+1} - x_n \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \leq \frac{|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}|}{\alpha_n} \|x_{n+1} - x_*\| + \frac{K}{\alpha_n} \sum_{j=2}^N |\alpha_n^{\lambda_j} - \alpha_{n+1}^{\lambda_j}|, \quad (2.13)$$

where  $K$  is a positive constant such that  $K = \max_{2 \leq j \leq N} \|A_j(x_n) - f_j\|$ .

On the other hand, it follows from (2.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_{n+1}^{\lambda_j} \langle A_j(x_{n+1}) - f_j, x_{n+1} - x \rangle &+ \alpha_{n+1} \langle x_{n+1} - x_*, x_{n+1} - x \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_{n+1}^{\lambda_j} \langle A_j(x_{n+1}) - A_j(x) + f_j - f_j, x_{n+1} - x \rangle \\ &\quad + \alpha_{n+1} \langle x_{n+1} - x_*, x_{n+1} - x \rangle = 0, \quad \forall x \in S. \end{aligned}$$

Using the monotone property of  $A_j$  the last equality have the form

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{n+1} \langle x_{n+1} - x_*, x_{n+1} - x \rangle &= \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_{n+1}^{\lambda_j} \langle A_j(x_{n+1}) - A_j(x), x - x_{n+1} \rangle \\ &\leq 0, \quad \forall x \in S. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_*\| \leq \|x - x_*\|, \quad \forall x \in S. \quad (2.14)$$

Combining (2.13), (2.14) and the Lagrange's mean-value theorem for the differentiable function  $\varphi(\nu) = \nu^\gamma$ ,  $0 < \gamma < 1$ ,  $\nu \in [1; +\infty)$  on  $[\alpha_n; \alpha_{n+1}]$  we get

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \leq M \frac{|\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n|}{\alpha_n},$$

where

$$M = \|x^0 - x_*\| + K(N - 1).$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

We need the following result (see [4]).

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\{u_k\}, \{a_k\}, \{b_k\}$  be the sequences of positive numbers satisfying the following conditions:*

$$(i) \quad u_{k+1} \leq (1 - a_k)u_k + b_k, \quad 0 \leq a_k \leq 1,$$

(ii)  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = +\infty$ ,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{b_k}{a_k} = 0$ .  
 Then,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} u_k = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** Assume that  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  in the problem (2.11) satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $1 \geq \alpha_n \searrow 0$ ,  $\beta_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  ;  
 (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n|}{\beta_n \alpha_n^2} = 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n} = 0$ ;  
 (iii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \beta_n = +\infty$ .

Then  $\{z_n\}$  generated from (2.11) converges in  $H$  to  $x^0 \in S$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

*Proof.* First, we have  $\|z_n - x^0\| \leq \|z_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - x^0\|$ . The second term in right-hand side of this estimate tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , by Theorem 2.3. So we only have to proof that  $z_n$  approximates  $x_n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $\Delta_n = \|z_n - x_n\|$ . Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{n+1} &= \|z_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\| \\ &= \|z_n - x_n - \beta_n \left[ \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_n^{\lambda_j} (A_j(z_n) - f_j) + \alpha_n (z_n - x_*) \right] \\ &\quad - (x_{n+1} - x_n)\|, \\ &\leq \left\| z_n - x_n - \beta_n \left[ \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_n^{\lambda_j} (A_j(z_n) - f_j) + \alpha_n (z_n - x_*) \right] \right\| \\ &\quad + \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|, \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| z_n - x_n - \beta_n \left[ \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_n^{\lambda_j} (A_j(z_n) - f_j) + \alpha_n (z_n - x_*) \right] \right\|^2 \\ &= \|z_n - x_n\|^2 + \beta_n^2 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_n^{\lambda_j} (A_j(z_n) - f_j) + \alpha_n (z_n - x_*) \right\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2\beta_n \left\langle z_n - x_n, \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_n^{\lambda_j} (A_j(z_n) - f_j) + \alpha_n (z_n - x_*) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left[ \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_n^{\lambda_j} (A_j(x_n) - f_j) + \alpha_n (x_n - x_*) \right] \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (1 - 2\beta_n\alpha_n)\|z_n - x_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + \beta_n^2 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_n^{\lambda_j} (A_j(z_n) - f_j) + \alpha_n(z_n - x_*) \right\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Since  $A_j$  is inverse-strongly monotone,  $A_j$  is Lipschitz continuous, and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_n^{\lambda_j} (A_j(z_n) - f_j) + \alpha_n(z_n - x_*) \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_n^{\lambda_j} (A_j(z_n) - f_j) + \alpha_n(z_n - x_*) - \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_n^{\lambda_j} (A_j(x_n) - f_j) - \alpha_n(x_n - x_*) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_n^{\lambda_j} \frac{1}{m_{A_j}} \|z_n - x_n\| \right)^2 + \alpha_n^2 \|z_n - x_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_n^{\lambda_j} \frac{1}{m_{A_j}} \|z_n - x_n\|^2 \\ &\leq c \|z_n - x_n\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c$  is positive constant. Combining (2.15), (2.16), the last inequality and the Theorem 2.3 yields that

$$\Delta_{n+1} \leq \left( \Delta_n^2 (1 - 2\beta_n\alpha_n + c\beta_n^2) \right)^{1/2} + M \frac{|\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n|}{\alpha_n}.$$

By taking the squares of the both sides of the last inequality and then applying the elementary estimate (see [4])

$$(a + b)^2 \leq (1 + \alpha_n\beta_n)a^2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_n\beta_n}\right)b^2$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{n+1}^2 &\leq \Delta_n^2 (1 - \beta_n\alpha_n + c\beta_n^2 - 2\alpha_n^2\beta_n^2 + c\alpha_n\beta_n^3) \\ &\quad + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta_n\alpha_n}\right) M^2 \frac{|\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n|^2}{\alpha_n^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

The conditions of Lemma 2.3 for the numerical sequence  $\{\Delta_n\}$  are true because of (2.17) and conditions (i) – (iii) with

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \alpha_n\beta_n - c\beta_n^2 + 2\alpha_n^2\beta_n^2 - c\alpha_n\beta_n^3 \\ b_n &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta_n\alpha_n}\right) M^2 \frac{|\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n|^2}{\alpha_n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** The sequences  $\beta_n = (1 + n)^{-1/2}$  and  $\alpha_n = (1 + n)^{-p}$ ,  $0 < 2p < 1/N$  satisfy all conditions in Theorem 2.5.

## 3. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

We now apply the obtained results of the previous sections to solve the convex optimization problem: find an element  $x^0 \in H$  such that

$$\varphi_j(x^0) = \min_{x \in H} \varphi_j(x) \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\varphi_j$  is weakly lower semi-continuous proper convex function on a real Hilbert space  $H$ .

We consider the case, when the function  $\varphi_j : L^2[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is defined by  $\varphi_j(x) = f\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle B_j x, x \rangle\right)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , where  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is chosen as follows

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \quad t \leq b_0, \\ \frac{(t - b_0)^2}{2\nu} & , \quad b_0 < t \leq b_0 + \nu, \\ t - b_0 - \frac{\nu}{2} & , \quad t > b_0 + \nu, \end{cases}$$

with  $\nu > 0$  is sufficiently small, and  $B_j : L^2[0, 1] \rightarrow L^2[0, 1]$  are defined by  $B_j x(t) = \int_0^1 k_j(t, s)x(s)ds$ ,

$$k_1(t, s) = \begin{cases} t(1 - s) & , \quad \text{if } t \leq s, \\ s(1 - t) & , \quad \text{if } s < t, \end{cases}$$

and

$$k_2(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{(1 - s)^2 st^2}{2} - \frac{(1 - s)^2 t^3(1 + 2s)}{6} + \frac{(t - s)^3}{6}, & \text{if } t \geq s, \\ \frac{s^2(1 - s)(1 - t)^2}{2} + \frac{s^2(1 - t)^3(2s - 3)}{6} + \frac{(s - t)^3}{6}, & \text{if } t < s. \end{cases}$$

Then  $x^0$  is a solution to the problem (3.1) if and only if  $x^0 \in S$  with  $A_j(x) = f'\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle B_j x, x \rangle\right)B_j(x)$ .

We apply the iterative regularization method (2.11) as follow

$$z_{m+1} = z_m - \beta_m [\tilde{A}_1 z_m + \alpha_m \tilde{A}_2 z_m + \alpha_m^2 z_m], \quad z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^M, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\tilde{A}_j(x) = f'(\frac{1}{2}\langle \tilde{B}_j \tilde{x}, \tilde{x} \rangle) \tilde{B}_j(\tilde{x})$  with

$$\tilde{B}_j = (\ell k_j(t_k, t_l))_{k,l=1}^M$$

$$\tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_M)^T$$

$$\tilde{x}_k \sim x(t_k), \quad k = 1, \dots, M, \quad \ell = \frac{1}{M}.$$

By choosing  $\alpha_m = (1+m)^{-p}$ ,  $0 < p < \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\beta_m = (1+m)^{-1/2}$ ,  $z_0 = (5, 5, \dots, 5)^T \in \mathbb{R}^M$  and  $b_0 = \frac{10^{-3}}{3}$ ,  $\nu = 10^{-2}$  we obtain the results.

$m$	$err$	$\ x^0 - z_m\ $
32	0.00067782	0.0077909
64	$5.3403 \times 10^{-5}$	0.0010353
128	$2.7676 \times 10^{-6}$	$8.9792 \times 10^{-5}$
256	$8.6222 \times 10^{-8}$	$4.6575 \times 10^{-6}$

Table 2.1:  $M = 50$ ,  $p = \frac{1}{9}$

$m$	$err$	$\ x^0 - z_m\ $
32	0.00013731	0.001026
64	$3.7047 \times 10^{-6}$	$4.379 \times 10^{-5}$
128	$3.9267 \times 10^{-8}$	$7.2603 \times 10^{-7}$
256	$1.2199 \times 10^{-10}$	$3.501 \times 10^{-9}$

Table 2.2:  $M = 50$ ,  $p = \frac{1}{18}$

In these tables,  $err = \max_{1 \leq k \leq M} |z_k^{(m-1)} - z_k^{(m)}|$  is error.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Ya. I. Alber, *On solving nonlinear equations involving monotone operators in Banach spaces*, Sibirian Mathematics Journal, **26** (1975), 3-11.
- [2] Ya. I. Alber and A. I. Notik, *Geometric properties of Banach spaces and approximate methods for solving nonlinear operator equations*, Soviet Math. Dokl., **29** (1984), 611-615.
- [3] Ya. I. Alber and I. P. Ryazantseva, *Nonlinear ill-posed problems of monotne type*, Springer Verlag, New York, 2006.
- [4] A. Bakushinsky and A. Goncharsky, *Ill-posed problem: Theory and Applications*, Kluwer Acad. Publ, 1994.
- [5] V. Barbu, *Nonlinear semigroups and differential equations in Banach spaces*, Noordhoff International Publishing, Leyden The Netherlands, 1976.
- [6] H. Brezis, *Operateurs maximaux monotones et semi-groupes de contractions dans les espaces de Hilbert*, Amsterdam - London - New York: Noth-Holland Publ. Company and American Elsevier Publ. Company, 1973.



- [7] Ng. Buong, *Generalized discrepancy principle and ill-posed equation involving accretive operators*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. and Appl., **9** (2004), 73-78.
- [8] Ng. Buong, *Convergence rates in regularization for ill-posed variational inequalities*, CUBO, Mathematical Journal, **21**, No. 3 (2005), 87-94.
- [9] Ng. Buong, *Regularization for unconstrained vector optimization of convex functionals in Banach spaces*, Zh. Vycisl. Mat. i Mat. Fiziki, **46**, No.3 (2006), 372-378.
- [10] I. Ekeland and R. Temam, *Convex analysis and Variational problems*, Amsteden: North Holland, 1976.
- [11] H. W. Engl, *Discrepancy principle for Tikhonov regularization of ill-posed problems leading to optimal convergence rates*, J. Optim. Theory and Appl., **52** (1987), 209-215.
- [12] M. Hanke, A. Neubauer and O. Scherzer, *A convergence analysis of the Landweber iteration for nonlinear ill-posed problems*, Numerische Mathematik, **72** (1995), 21-37.
- [13] F. Liu and M. Z. Nashed, *Regularization of nonlinear ill-posed variational inequalities and convergence rates*, Set-Valued Analysis, **6** (1998) 313-344.
- [14] M. M. Vainberg, *Variational Method and Method of Monotone Operators in the Theory of Nonlinear Equations*, New York, John Wiley, 1973.