



## TRIGONOMETRIC APPROXIMATION OF FUNCTIONS BY HAUSDORFF-MATRIX PRODUCT OPERATORS

Hare Krishna Nigam<sup>1</sup> and Md. Hadish<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics  
Central University of South Bihar, Gaya-824236, Bihar, India  
e-mail: [hknigam@cusb.ac.in](mailto:hknigam@cusb.ac.in)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics  
Central University of South Bihar, Gaya-824236, Bihar, India  
e-mail: [hadish@cusb.ac.in](mailto:hadish@cusb.ac.in)

**Abstract.** In this paper, we obtain the error approximation of a function in weighted  $W(L_r, \xi(t))$ ,  $r \geq 1$  class by Hausdorff-Matrix ( $\wedge T$ ) product means of its Fourier series. Our main theorems generalize the results of Nigam ([18], [19]), Nigam and Sharma [20], Singh and Srivastava [28] and Lal [14]. Thus, these results become the particular cases of our theorems. Some important corollaries are also deduced from our main theorems.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The studies of error estimation of a function  $f$  in  $Lip\alpha$  class using different single means have been made by the researchers ([1], [3], [4]-[10], [13], [15]-[17], [21]-[27]) in past few decades. Nigam ([18], [19]), Nigam and Sharma [20], Singh and Srivastava [28], Albayrak *et al.* [2] and Lal [14] have studied error estimation of a function  $f$  in weighted  $W(L_r, \xi(t))$ ,  $r \geq 1$  class and its subclass  $Lip\alpha$  using different product means in recent past.

In this paper, we obtain the error estimation of a function in weighted  $W(L_r, \xi(t))$ ,  $r \geq 1$  class by Hausdorff-Matrix ( $\wedge T$ ) product means of its Fourier series.

---

<sup>0</sup>Received January 1, 2019. Revised May 31, 2019.

<sup>0</sup>2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42A10.

<sup>0</sup>Keywords: Degree of approximation, weighted  $W(L_r, \xi(t))$ ,  $r \geq 1$  class of function, Hausdorff ( $\wedge$ ) means, Matrix ( $T$ ) means, Hausdorff-Matrix ( $\wedge T$ ) product means, Fourier series.

<sup>0</sup>Corresponding author: H. K. Nigam([hknigam@cusb.ac.in](mailto:hknigam@cusb.ac.in)).

Let  $f$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic function and Lebesgue integrable on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ . The Fourier series of  $f$  at a point  $x$  is defined by

$$f(x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx) \quad (1.1)$$

with  $n^{\text{th}}$  partial sums  $s_n(f; x)$ .

In 1921, Hausdorff [12] proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Given the sequence  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , defines*

$$\Delta^p \mu_n = \sum_{i=0}^p \binom{p}{i} (-1)^i \mu_{n+i}.$$

*Then, the matrix with elements*

$$\lambda_{mn} = \begin{cases} \binom{m}{n} \Delta^{m-n} \mu_n & \text{for } n \leq m \\ 0 & \text{for } n > m. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

*is regular if and only if  $\mu_n$  is the moment sequence*

$$\mu_n = \int_0^1 x^n d\chi(x), \quad (1.3)$$

*where  $\chi$ , known as mass function, is a real, bounded variation function defined on the interval  $[0, 1]$  satisfying the conditions:*

$$\chi(0+) = \chi(0) = 0 \text{ and } \chi(1) = 1. \quad (1.4)$$

A sequence  $\mu_n$  that satisfies the condition (1.3) is known as a moment sequence, while a sequence that satisfies both the conditions (1.3) and (1.4), is known as a Hausdorff moment sequence. The matrix in (1.2) that satisfies both (1.3) and (1.4) is known as a Hausdorff ( $\wedge$ ) matrix (method).

The Hausdorff means of Fourier series are defined by

$$\wedge_m(f; x) = \sum_{n=0}^m \lambda_{mn} s_n(f; x), \quad m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (1.5)$$

The Fourier series (1.1) is said to be summable to  $s$  by Hausdorff ( $\wedge$ ) method if

$$\wedge_m(f; x) \rightarrow s \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

An infinite series  $T = [c_{mn}]; m, n = 0, 1, \dots$  is called a regular matrix (method) if it transforms any convergent sequence into convergent sequence with the same limit.

In 1911, Toeplitz [30] presented the following equivalence conditions for regularity.

**Theorem 1.2.** *The matrix  $T = [c_{mn}]$  is regular if and only if*

- (i)  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} c_{mn} = 0, \forall n \geq 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^m c_{mn} = 1$ ;
- (iii)  $\exists M > 0, \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |c_{mn}| < M, \forall m \geq 0$ .

The matrix ( $T$ ) method of Fourier series is given by

$$T_m(f; x) = \sum_{n=0}^m c_{mn} s_n(f; x), m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

The Fourier series (1.1) is said to be summable to  $s$  by Matrix ( $T$ ) method if  $T_m(f; x) \rightarrow s$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

By superimposing Hausdorff ( $\wedge$ ) method on Matrix ( $T$ ) method, Hausdorff-Matrix ( $\wedge T$ ) method is obtained, which is defined as

$$K_n^{\wedge T}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_{n,k} \sum_{\nu=0}^k c_{k,\nu} s_{\nu}(f; x).$$

If  $K_n^{\wedge T}(f; x) \rightarrow s$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then the Fourier series (1.1) is said to be summable to  $s$  by Hausdorff-Matrix ( $\wedge T$ ) method.

**Remark 1.3.** It is worthwhile to mention here that Hausdorff matrices represent a wider class of summability matrices. Cesàro  $(C, 1)$  and the Euler matrix  $(E, d); d > 0$  are Hausdorff matrices and their products are also Hausdorff matrices. Therefore, Hausdorff-Matrix ( $\wedge T$ ) product means, which is considered in the present paper, is more powerful than the individual operators such as Hausdorff ( $\wedge$ ), Matrix ( $T$ ),  $(C, 1)$ ,  $(E, d)$  means.

**Remark 1.4.** Particular cases of Hausdorff-Matrix ( $\wedge T$ ) method:

Hausdorff-Matrix ( $\wedge T$ ) means reduces to

- (i)  $\wedge \left(H, \frac{1}{m+1}\right)$  or  $\wedge H$  means if  $c_{mn} = \frac{1}{m-n+1} \log(m+1)$ .
- (ii)  $\wedge(C, 1)$  or  $\wedge C^1$  means if  $c_{mn} = \frac{1}{m+1}$ .
- (iii)  $\wedge(N, p_m)$  or  $\wedge N_p$  means if  $c_{mn} = \frac{p_m - n}{P_m}$ , where  $P_m = \sum_{n=0}^m p_n \neq 0$ .

- (iv)  $\wedge(N, p, q)$  or  $\wedge N_{p,q}$  means if  $c_{mn} = \frac{p_m - nq_n}{R_m}$ , where  $R_m = \sum_{n=0}^m p_n q_{m-n}$ .
- (v)  $\wedge(\bar{N}, p_m)$  or  $\wedge \bar{N}_p$  means if  $c_{mn} = \frac{p_n}{P_m}$ .
- (vi)  $\wedge(E, d)$  or  $\wedge E_d$  means if  $c_{mn} = \frac{1}{(1+d)^m} \binom{m}{n} d^{m-n}$ .
- (vii) Cesàro-Matrix  $((C, m)T)$  or  $C_m T$  means if the mass function  $\chi(x) = m \int_0^x (1-t)^{m-1} dt$ .
- (viii) Hölder-Matrix  $((H, m)T)$  or  $H_m T$  means if the mass function  $\chi(x) = \int_0^x \frac{1}{(m-1)} \left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^{m-1} dt$ .
- (ix) Euler-Matrix  $((E, d)T)$  or  $E_d T$  means if the mass function  $\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in [0, b] \\ 1, & \text{if } x \in [b, 1] \end{cases}$ , where  $b = \frac{1}{1+d}, d > 0$ .

**Remark 1.5.** In view of above Remark 1.4, Hausdorff-Matrix  $(\wedge T)$  means also reduces to (i)  $C_m N_p$ , (ii)  $C_m N_{p,q}$ , (iii)  $C_m \bar{N}_p$ , (iv)  $H_m N_p$ , (v)  $H_m N_{p,q}$ , (vi)  $H_m \bar{N}_p$ , (vii)  $E_d N_p$ , (viii)  $E_d N_{p,q}$ , (ix)  $E_d \bar{N}_p$  (x)  $C_m E_d$ , (xi)  $E_d C_m$  means for  $m, d > 0$ .

**Remark 1.6.** Since Cesàro means, Euler means and their product means are again Hausdorff means then our main theorems also hold for Cesàro means, Euler means and their product  $C_m E_d$  and  $E_d C_m$  means for  $m, d > 0$ .

**Remark 1.7.** Our main theorems also hold for all the cases mentioned in Remarks 1.5 (case (i) to (ix)) and sub-cases mentioned in Remark 1.5 (case (i) to (ix)).

$L_\infty$ - norm of a function  $f : R \rightarrow R$  is defined by

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} \{|f(x)| : x \in R\}.$$

$L_r$ - norm of a function  $f \in L_r[0, 2\pi]$  is defined by

$$\|f\|_r = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x)|^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \quad r \geq 1.$$

The degree of approximation of a function  $f : R \rightarrow R$  by a trigonometric polynomial  $t_n$  of degree  $n$  under sup norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is given by [31] and is defined as

$$\|t_n - f\|_\infty = \sup \{|t_n(x) - f(x)| : x \in R\}$$

and the degree of approximation  $E_n(f)$  of a function  $f \in L_r$  is given by

$$E_n(f) = \min_{t_n} \|t_n - f\|_r \quad (1.6)$$

This method of approximation is called trigonometric Fourier approximation (TFA).

A function  $f \in Lip\alpha$  if

$$f(x+t) - f(x) = O(|t|^\alpha) \text{ for } 0 < \alpha \leq 1.$$

$f \in Lip(\alpha, r)$  if

$$\left( \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+t) - f(x)|^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} = O(|t|^\alpha), \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \text{ and, } r \geq 1.$$

Given a positive increasing function  $\xi(t)$ , then  $f \in W(L_r, \xi(t))$  if

$$\left( \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+t) - f(x)|^r \sin^{\beta r} \frac{x}{2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} = O(\xi(t)), \quad \beta \geq 0, \quad r \geq 1.$$

We use the following notation:

$$\phi(t) = \phi(x, t) = f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2f(x)$$

## 2. LEMMAS

**Lemma 2.1.** For  $t \in \left[0, \frac{1}{n+1}\right]$ ,  $|K_n(t)| = O(n+1)$ .

*Proof.* For  $t \in \left[0, \frac{1}{n+1}\right]$ ,  $\sin nt \leq nt$ ,  $\sin(t/2) \geq t/\pi$ ,

$$|K_n(t)| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \left[ \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} u^k (1-u)^{n-k} d\gamma(u) \right] \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^k c_{k,\nu} \frac{\sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t}{\sin(t/2)} \right\} \right| \tag{2.1}$$

First, we solve the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^k c_{k,\nu} \frac{\sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t}{\sin(t/2)} \right| &\leq \sum_{\nu=0}^k c_{k,\nu} \frac{|\sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t|}{|\sin(t/2)|} \\ &\leq \sum_{\nu=0}^k c_{k,\nu} \frac{(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t}{(t/\pi)} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^k c_{k,\nu} (2\nu + 1) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\pi}{2} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^k c_{k,\nu} + 2 \sum_{\nu=0}^k \nu c_{k,\nu} \right\} \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2} \{1 + 2(c_{k,1} + 2c_{k,2} + 3c_{k,3} + \dots kc_{k,k})\} \\
&\leq \frac{\pi}{2} \{1 + 2(kc_{k,1} + kc_{k,2} + kc_{k,3} + \dots kc_{k,k})\} \\
&\leq \frac{\pi}{2} \{1 + 2k(c_{k,1} + c_{k,2} + c_{k,3} + \dots c_{k,k})\} \\
&\leq \frac{\pi}{2} \{1 + 2k(c_{k,0} + c_{k,1} + c_{k,2} + \dots c_{k,k}) - 2kc_{k,0}\} \\
&\leq \frac{\pi}{2} \{1 + 2k(1 - c_{k,0})\} \\
&\leq \frac{\pi}{2} (1 + 2k) \\
&= O(k + 1).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Using (2.2) in (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|K_n(t)| &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \left[ \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} u^k (1-u)^{n-k} (k+1) d\gamma(u) \right] \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \left[ \int_0^1 g(u) d\gamma(u) \right] \right|,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where  $g(u) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} u^k (1-u)^{n-k} (k+1)$ .

Now solving,

$$\begin{aligned}
g(u) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} u^k (1-u)^{n-k} (k+1) \\
&= (1-u)^n \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left\{ \frac{u}{1-u} \right\}^k (k+1) \\
&= (1-u)^n \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} k \left\{ \frac{u}{1-u} \right\}^k + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left\{ \frac{u}{1-u} \right\}^k \right] \\
&= (1-u)^n \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} k p^k + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p^k \right], \text{ where } p = \frac{u}{1-u} \\
&= (1-u)^n \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} k p^k + (1+p)^n \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

And also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} k p^k &= 0 \binom{n}{0} p^0 + 1 \binom{n}{1} p^1 + 2 \binom{n}{2} p^2 + \dots + n \binom{n}{n} p^n \\ &= p \left[ \binom{n}{1} + 2 \binom{n}{2} p + \dots + n \binom{n}{n} p^{n-1} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

We know that

$$(1 + p)^n = \left[ \binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{2} p + \dots + \binom{n}{n} p^n \right]. \tag{2.6}$$

Differentiating (2.6) with respect to  $p$  on both sides,

$$n(1 + p)^{n-1} = \left[ \binom{n}{1} + \dots + n \binom{n}{n} p^{n-1} \right]. \tag{2.7}$$

From (2.5) in (2.7), we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} k p^k = pn(1 + p)^{n-1}. \tag{2.8}$$

Using (2.8) in (2.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(u) &= (1 - u)^n [pn(1 + p)^{n-1} + (1 + p)^n] \\ &= (1 - u)^n \left\{ n \left( \frac{u}{1 - u} \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 - u} \right)^{n-1} + \left( \frac{1}{1 - u} \right)^n \right\} \\ &= un + 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

From (2.3) and (2.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |K_n(t)| &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[ \left\{ \int_0^1 (un + 1) du \right\} \right] \\ &= O(n + 1). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 2.2.** For  $t \in \left[ \frac{1}{n+1}, \pi \right]$ ,  $|K_n(t)| = O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$ .

*Proof.* For  $t \in \left[ \frac{1}{n+1}, \pi \right]$ ,  $\sin(t/2) \geq t/\pi$  and  $\sup_{0 \leq k \leq 1} |\gamma'(u)| = N$ ,

$$|K_n(t)| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \left[ \left\{ \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} u^k (1 - u)^{n-k} \gamma(u) \right\} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^k c_{k,\nu} \frac{\sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t}{\sin(t/2)} \right\} \right] \right|. \tag{2.10}$$

First, we solve the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^k c_{k,\nu} \frac{\sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t}{\sin(t/2)} \right| &\leq \sum_{\nu=0}^k c_{k,\nu} \frac{|\sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t|}{|\sin(t/2)|} \\
 &\leq \frac{\pi}{t} \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^k c_{k,\nu} \operatorname{Im} e^{i(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t} \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{\pi}{t} \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^k c_{k,\nu} \operatorname{Im} e^{i\nu t} \right| \left| e^{i\frac{t}{2}} \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{\pi}{t} \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{\tau-1} c_{k,\nu} \operatorname{Im} e^{i\nu t} \right| + \frac{\pi}{t} \left| \sum_{\nu=\tau}^k c_{k,\nu} \operatorname{Im} e^{i\nu t} \right|. \quad (2.11)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now considering first term of (2.11):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\pi}{t} \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{\tau-1} c_{k,\nu} \operatorname{Im} e^{i\nu t} \right| &\leq \frac{\pi}{t} \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{\tau-1} c_{k,\nu} \right| |e^{i\nu t}| \\
 &\leq \frac{\pi}{t} \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{\tau-1} c_{k,\nu} \right|. \quad (2.12)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now considering the second term of (2.11) and using Abel's Lemma, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\pi}{t} \left| \sum_{\nu=\tau}^k c_{k,\nu} \operatorname{Im} e^{i\nu t} \right| &\leq \frac{\pi}{t} \sum_{\nu=\tau}^k c_{k,\nu} \max_{0 \leq m \leq \nu} |e^{imt}| \\
 &\leq \frac{\pi}{t} \sum_{\nu=\tau}^k c_{k,\nu}. \quad (2.13)
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^k c_{k,\nu} \frac{\sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t}{\sin(t/2)} \right| &\leq \frac{\pi}{t} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\tau-1} c_{k,\nu} + \frac{\pi}{t} \sum_{\nu=\tau}^k c_{k,\nu} \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right). \quad (2.14)
 \end{aligned}$$



From (2.10) and (2.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |K_n(t)| &= O \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi t} \left\{ \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} u^k (1-u)^{n-k} du \right\} \right] \\ &= O \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi t} \left\{ \int_0^1 (u+1-u)^n du \right\} \right] \\ &= O \left( \frac{1}{t} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 3.1.** *Error estimation of a function  $f$  ( $2\pi$ -periodic) in  $W(L_r, \xi(t))$ ,  $r > 1$ , class by Hausdorff-Matrix ( $\wedge T$ ) means of its Fourier series is given by*

$$\|K_n^{\wedge T}(f) - f(x)\|_r = O \left[ (n+1)^{\beta + \frac{1}{r}} \xi \left( \frac{1}{(n+1)} \right) \right]$$

provided a positive increasing function  $\xi(t)$  satisfies the following conditions:

$$\frac{\xi(t)}{t} \text{ is non-increasing,} \tag{3.1}$$

$$\left\{ \int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left( \frac{|\phi(t)| \sin^\beta(t/2)}{\xi(t)} \right)^r dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} = O \left( \frac{1}{(n+1)^{1/r}} \right), \tag{3.2}$$

$$\left\{ \int_\epsilon^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left( \frac{\xi(t)}{\sin^\beta(t/2)} \right)^r dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} = O(n+1)^{\beta - 1 + \frac{1}{r}} \xi \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\left\{ \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^\pi \left( \frac{t^{-\delta} |\phi(t)|}{\xi(t)} \right)^r dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} = O \left\{ (n+1)^\delta \right\}, \tag{3.4}$$

where  $\delta$  is an arbitrary positive number such that  $0 < \delta < \beta + \frac{1}{r}$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r}$  and  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$ . The conditions (3.2) and (3.4) hold uniformly in  $x$ .

*Proof.* In view of the fact that  $\phi(t) \in W(L_r, \xi(t))$  and  $\frac{\phi(t)}{\xi(t)}$  is bounded, (3.2) and (3.4) can be verified. Moreover, in view of mean value theorem, (3.3) is obvious. Following [29], we have

$$s_n(f; x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \frac{\sin\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} dt.$$

Hausdorff-Matrix ( $\wedge T$ ) transform of  $s_n(f; x)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x) - t_n^{\wedge T}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \left[ \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} u^k (1-u)^{n-k} d\gamma(u) \right\} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^k c_{k,\nu} \frac{\sin\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right\} \right] dt \\ &= \int_0^\pi \phi(t) K_n(t) dt \\ &= \left[ \int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} + \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^\pi \right] \phi(t) K_n(t) dt \\ &= I_1 + I_2 \text{ (say)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Now considering,

$$|I_1| \leq \int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} |\phi(t)| |K_n(t)| dt.$$

Using Lemma 2.1 and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &= O(n+1) \left[ \int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \frac{|\phi(t)| \sin^\beta(t/2)}{\xi(t)} \cdot \frac{\xi(t)}{\sin^\beta(t/2)} dt \right] \\ &= O(n+1) \left[ \int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left\{ \frac{|\phi(t)| \sin^\beta(t/2)}{\xi(t)} \right\}^r dt \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \left[ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\epsilon^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left\{ \frac{\xi(t)}{\sin^\beta(t/2)} \right\}^s dt \right]^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &= O \left\{ \frac{n+1}{(n+1)^{\frac{1}{r}}} (n+1)^{\beta-1+\frac{1}{r}} \xi \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \right\} \text{ by (3.2) and (3.3)} \\ &= O \left\{ (n+1)^\beta \xi \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \right\} \text{ since } \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Using Lemma 2.2, Holder’s inequality and  $\frac{1}{\sin(t/2)} \leq \frac{\pi}{t}$  for  $0 < t < \pi$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_2| &= O \left[ \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{t^{-\delta} |\phi(t)| \sin^\beta(t/2)}{\xi(t)} \cdot \frac{\xi(t)}{t^{-\delta+1} \sin^\beta(t/2)} dt \right] \\
 &= O \left[ \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\pi} \left\{ \frac{t^{-\delta} |\phi(t)| \sin^\beta(t/2)}{\xi(t)} \right\}^r dt \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \left[ \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\pi} \left\{ \frac{\xi(t)}{t^{-\delta+1+\beta}} \right\}^s dt \right]^{\frac{1}{s}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.1), (3.4), mean value theorem for integrals and in view of  $0 < \delta < \beta + \frac{1}{r}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O \left[ (n+1)^\delta \xi \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \right) (n+1) \left( \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\pi} t^{-(\beta-\delta)s} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \right] \\
 &= O \left[ (n+1)^\delta \xi \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \right) (n+1)^{(\beta+1-\delta)-\frac{1}{s}} \right] \\
 &= O \left[ (n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{r}} \xi \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \right] \text{ since } \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1. \tag{3.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus combining (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(x) - t_n^{\wedge T}(x)| &= O \left[ (n+1)^\beta \xi \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \right] + O \left[ (n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{r}} \xi \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \right], \\
 \|f(x) - t_n^{\wedge T}(x)\|_r &= O \left[ (n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{r}} \xi \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \right]. \tag{3.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 3.2.** *Error estimation of a function  $f$  ( $2\pi$ -periodic) in  $W(L_1, \xi(t))$  class by Hausdorff-Matrix ( $\wedge T$ ) means of its Fourier series is given by*

$$\|K_n^{\wedge T}(f) - f(x)\|_1 = O \left[ (n+1)^{\beta+1} \xi \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \right]$$

provided a positive increasing function  $\xi(t)$  satisfies the following conditions:

$$\frac{\xi(t)}{t^\delta} \text{ is non-decreasing,} \tag{3.9}$$

$$\int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \frac{|\phi(t)| \sin^\beta(t/2)}{\xi(t)} dt = O \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \right), \tag{3.10}$$

$$\int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{t^{-\delta} |\phi(t)|}{\xi(t)} dt = O \left\{ (n+1)^\delta \right\} \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\frac{\xi(t)}{t^{\beta-\delta+1}} \text{ is non-increasing,} \quad (3.12)$$

where  $\delta$  is an arbitrary positive number such that  $0 < \delta < \beta + 1$  and  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ . The conditions (3.10) and (3.11) hold uniformly in  $x$ .

*Proof.* Following the proof of Theorem 3.1, for  $r = 1$  i.e.  $s = \infty$  and using Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= O(n+1) \left[ \int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \frac{|\phi(t)| \sin^\beta(t/2)}{\xi(t)} dt \right] \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 < t < \frac{1}{n+1}} \left| \frac{\xi(t)}{\sin^\beta(t/2)} \right| \\ &= O \left( \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 < t < \frac{1}{n+1}} \left| \frac{\xi(t)}{t^\beta} \right| \right) \text{ by (3.10)} \\ &= O \left( \left| \frac{\xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^\beta} \right| \right) \text{ by (3.9)} \\ &= O \left[ (n+1)^\beta \xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= O \left[ \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{t^{-\delta} |\phi(t)| \sin^\beta(t/2)}{\xi(t)} dt \right] \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 < t < \frac{1}{n+1}} \left| \frac{\xi(t)}{t^{-\delta+1} \sin^\beta(t/2)} \right| \\ &= O \left( (n+1)^\delta \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 < t < \frac{1}{n+1}} \left| \frac{\xi(t)}{t^{-\delta+\beta+1}} \right| \right) \text{ by (3.11)} \\ &= O \left\{ (n+1)^\delta \xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right) \right\} \left\{ (n+1)^{1+\beta-\delta} \right\} \text{ by (3.12)} \\ &= O \left\{ (n+1)^{\beta+1} \xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Thus, combining (3.13) and (3.14), we get

$$\|f(x) - t_n^{\wedge T}\|_1 = O\left[(n+1)^{\beta+1} \xi\left(\frac{1}{(n+1)}\right)\right].$$

□

#### 4. COROLLARIES

**Corollary 4.1.** *If  $\beta = 0$  and  $\xi(t) = t^\alpha$ , then the degree of approximation of a function  $f \in Lip(\alpha, r)$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , is given by*

$$\|f(x) - t_n^{\wedge T}\|_r = O\left[\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{r}}\right].$$

**Corollary 4.2.** *If  $r \rightarrow \infty$  in Corollary 1, then  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$*

$$\|f(x) - t_n^{\wedge T}\|_\infty = O\left[\frac{1}{(n+1)^\alpha}\right].$$

#### 5. PARTICULAR CASES

**Remark 5.1.** (i) If  $a_{m,n} = \frac{1}{m+1}$ , then in view of Remark 1.4 (case (ix)) for  $d = 1$ , Theorem 1 of [18] becomes a particular case of our main theorems.

(ii) In view of Remark 1.4 (case (vii)) for  $m = 1$ , Theorem 1 of [28] becomes a particular case of our main theorems.

(iii) If  $a_{m,n} = \frac{1}{(1+d)^m} d^{m-n}$ , then in view of Remark 1.4 (case (vii)) for  $m = 1$ , the result of [19] becomes a particular case of our main theorems.

(iv) If  $a_{m,n} = \frac{p_{m-n}}{P_m}$ , where  $P_m = \sum_{n=0}^m p_n \neq 0$ , then in view of Remark 1.4 (case (vii)) for  $m = 1$ , Theorem 1 of [14] becomes a particular case of our main theorems.

(v) If  $a_{m,n} = \frac{p_{m-n}}{P_m}$ , where  $P_m = \sum_{n=0}^m p_n \neq 0$ , then in view of Remark 1.4 (case (ix)) for  $d = 1$ , Theorem 3 of [20] becomes a particular case of our main theorems.

**Acknowledgments:** The first author expresses his gratitude towards his mother for her blessings. The first author also expresses his gratitude towards

his father in heaven, whose soul is always guiding and encouraging him. This research work is supported by Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, Government of India under the project 25/(0225)/13/EMR-II.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Alexits, *Convergence problems of orthogonal series*, Pergamon Press, London, 1961.
- [2] I. Albayrak, K. Koklu and Bayramov, *On degree of approximation of functions belonging to Lipschitz class by  $(C,2)(E,1)$* , Inter. J. of Math. Anal., **4**(49) (2010), 2415-2421.
- [3] P. Chandra, *Approximation by Nörlund operators*, Mat. Vestnik, **38** (1986), 263-269.
- [4] P. Chandra, *A note on degree of approximation by Nörlund and Riesz operators*, Mat. Vestnik, **42** (1990), 9-10.
- [5] P. Chandra, *On the degree of approximation of functions belonging to the Lipschitz class*, Nanta Math., **8**(1) (1975), 88-91.
- [6] P. Chandra, *On the Degree of approximation of continuous functions*, Communications de la Facult des Sciences de l` Universitè d` Ankara, **30** (1981), 7-16.
- [7] P. Chandra, *On the degree of approximation of a class of functions by means of Fourier series*, Acta Math. Hung., **52**(3-4) (1988), 199-205.
- [8] P. Chandra, *Degree of approximation of functions in the Hölder metric by Borel's means*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **149**(1) (1990), 236-248.
- [9] P. Chandra, *Trigonometric approximation of functions in  $L_p$ -norm*, J. Math Anal. Appl., **275**(1) (2002), 13-26.
- [10] A.S.B. Holland, R.N. Mohapatra, and B.N. Sahney,  *$L_p$  approximation of functions by Euler Means*, Rendiconti di Matematica (Rome), **3** (1983), 341-355.
- [11] G.H. Hardy, *Divergent series*, Oxford University Press, First Edition, **70** (1949).
- [12] F. Hausdorff, *Summationsmethoden und Momentfolgen*, Math. Z., **9** (1921), I: 74-109, II: 280-289.
- [13] L. Leindler, *Trigonometric approximation in  $L_p$ -norm*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **302** (2005), 129-136.
- [14] S. Lal, *Approximation of functions belonging to generalized Lipschitz class by  $C^1 N_p$  summability means of Fourier series*, Applied Math. and Comput., **209** (2009), 346-350.
- [15] R.N. Mohapatra and B.N. Sahney, *Approximation of continuous functions by their Fourier series*, Mathematica: J. L' Analyse Numerique la Theorie de l'approximation, **10** (1981), 81-87.
- [16] R.N. Mohapatra and P. Chandra, *Hölder continuous functions and their Euler, Borel and Taylor means*, Math. Chronicle (New Zealand), **11** (1982), 81-96.
- [17] R.N. Mohapatra and P. Chandra, *Approximation of functions by  $(J, q_n)$  means of their Fourier series*, J. Approx. Theory Appl., **4** (1988), 49-54.
- [18] H.K. Nigam, *Degree of approximation of functions belonging to Lip class and Weighted  $W(L^r, \xi(t))$  class by product summability means*, Surveys in Math. and its Appl., **5** (2010), 113-122.
- [19] H.K. Nigam, *Degree of approximation of a function belonging to weighted  $W(L^r, \xi(t))$  class by  $(C,1)(E,q)$  means*, Tamkang J. Math., **42**(4) (2011), 31-37.
- [20] H.K. Nigam and K. Sharma *Approximation of functions belonging to different classes of functions by  $(E,1)(N, p_n)$  product means*, Lobachevskii J. Math., **32**(4) (2011), 345-357.
- [21] H.K. Neha and K. Qureshi, *A class of functions and their degree of approximation*, Ganit, **41**(1) (1990), 37.

- [22] K. Qureshi, *On the degree of approximation of a periodic function  $f$  by almost Nörlund means*, Tamkang J. Math., **12**(1) (1981), 35-38.
- [23] K. Qureshi, *On the degree of approximation of a function belonging to the class  $Lip\alpha$* , Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **13**(8) (1982), 560-563.
- [24] B.E. Rhoades, *On the degree of approximation of functions belonging to Lipschitz class by Hausdorff means of its Fourier series*, Tamkang J. Math., **34**(3) (2003), 245-247.
- [25] B.E. Rhoades, K. Ozkoklu and I. Albyrak, *On the degree of approximation of a function belonging to the Lipschitz class by Hausdorff means of its Fourier series*, Appl. Math. Comput., **217**(16) (2011), 6868-6871.
- [26] B.N. Sahney and D.S. Goel, *On the degree of continuous functions*, Ranchi University Math. J., **4** (1973), 50-53.
- [27] B.N. Sahney and V. Rao, *Errors bounds in the approximation of functions*, Bull. Australian Math. Soc., **6** (1972), 11-18.
- [28] U. Singh and S.K. Srivastava, *Trigonometric approximation of functions belonging certain Lipschitz classes by  $C^1T$  operator*, Asian-European J. Math., **7**(11) (2014), 13 pp.
- [29] E.C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of functions*, Oxford University Press, (1939), 402-403.
- [30] O. Toeplitz, *Über allgemeine lineare Mittelbildungen*, Prace Matematyczno-Fizyczne, **22** (1911), 111-119.
- [31] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, Second revised edition, **1** (1959).