



PROPERTIES OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY A CONVOLUTION OPERATOR

Seon Hye An¹ and Nak Eun Cho²

¹Department of Applied Mathematics, Pukyong National University
Busan 48513, Korea
e-mail: ansonhye@gmail.com

²Department of Applied Mathematics, Pukyong National University
Busan 48513, Korea
e-mail: necho@pknu.ac.kr

Abstract. Let Σ denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

which are analytic in the annulus $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\}$. In this paper, we introduce a convolution operator for functions f belonging to the class Σ and we obtain some mapping properties and argument estimates for meromorphic functions associated with this convolution operator.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$ denote the class of analytic functions in the open unit disk

$$\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$, let

$$\mathcal{H}[a, n] = \{f \in \mathcal{H} : f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots\}.$$

⁰Received July 24, 2019. Revised November 19, 2019. Accepted January 29, 2020.

⁰2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C80, 30C45.

⁰Keywords: Subordination, analytic function, meromorphic function, convolution operator, argument estimate.

⁰Corresponding author: Nak Eun Cho(necho@pknu.ac.kr).

Let f and F be members of \mathcal{H} . The function f is said to be subordinate to F if there exists a function w analytic in \mathbb{U} , with

$$w(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |w(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

such that

$$f(z) = F(w(z)) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

In such a case, we write

$$f \prec F \quad \text{or} \quad f(z) \prec F(z).$$

If the function F is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then we have (cf. [9])

$$f \prec F \quad \iff \quad f(0) = F(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset F(\mathbb{U}).$$

Let Σ denote the class of functions of the form [3]:

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

which are analytic in the annulus $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{U} \setminus \{0\}$ with a simple pole at origin with residue one there. For functions

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n,j} z^n \quad (j = 1, 2; z \in \mathbb{D})$$

in the class Σ , we define the convolution of f_1 and f_2 [1] by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n,1} a_{n,2} z^n \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (1.1)$$

Making use of the convolution given by (1.1), we now define the following convolution operator D^α by

$$D^\alpha f(z) = \frac{1}{z(1-z)^{\alpha+1}} * f(z) \quad (\alpha > -1; f \in \Sigma; z \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (1.2)$$

It follows from (1.2) that

$$z(D^\alpha f(z))' = (\alpha + 1)D^{\alpha+1} f(z) - (\alpha + 2)D^\alpha f(z). \quad (1.3)$$

For $\alpha = n \in \mathbb{N}$, the operator D^α is introduced and studied by Ganigi and Uralegaddi [4] (see, also [14, 15]). Also, the operator D^α is closely related to Ruscheweyh derivative [11] for analytic functions defined in \mathbb{U} , which was extended by Goel and Sohi [5]. In the present paper, we shall derive certain interesting properties of the convolution operator D^α defined by (1.2).

2. MAIN RESULTS

To prove our results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. ([7]) Let h be analytic and convex in \mathbb{U} with $h(0) = a$, $\gamma \neq 0$, $\operatorname{Re}\{\gamma\} \geq 0$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}[a, n]$ and

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\gamma} \prec h(z),$$

then

$$p(z) \prec q(z) \prec h(z),$$

where

$$q(z) = \frac{\gamma}{nz^{\gamma/n}} \int_0^z h(t)t^{(\gamma/n)-1}$$

and q is the best dominant.

Lemma 2.2. ([8]) Let Ω be a set in the complex plane \mathbb{C} and let b be a complex number with $\operatorname{Re}\{b\} > 0$. Suppose that the function

$$\psi : \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

satisfies the condition:

$$\psi(ix, y; z) \notin \Omega,$$

for all real $x, y \geq -|b - ix|^2 / (2\operatorname{Re}\{b\})$ and all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. If the function p is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = b$ and if

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z); z) \in \Omega,$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Lemma 2.3. ([13]) Let p be analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$ and $p(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. If there exist two points $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{U}$ such that

$$-\frac{\pi}{2}\delta_1 = \arg\{p(z_1)\} < \arg\{p(z)\} < \arg\{p(z_2)\} = \frac{\pi}{2}\delta_2 \tag{2.1}$$

for some δ_1 and δ_2 ($\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$) and for all z ($|z| < |z_1| = |z_2|$), then

$$\frac{z_1 p'(z_1)}{p(z_1)} = -i \left(\frac{\delta_1 + \delta_2}{2} m \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{z_2 p'(z_2)}{p(z_2)} = i \left(\frac{\delta_1 + \delta_2}{2} m \right), \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$m \geq \frac{1 - |b|}{1 + |b|} \quad \text{and} \quad b = i \tan \left(\frac{\delta_2 - \delta_1}{\delta_2 + \delta_1} \right). \quad (2.3)$$

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\alpha > -1$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $\gamma > 1$. If $f \in \Sigma$, then*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \frac{D^{\alpha+1} f(z)}{D^\alpha f(z)} + \lambda \frac{D^{\alpha+2} f(z)}{D^{\alpha+1} f(z)} \right\} < \gamma \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (2.4)$$

implies that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{D^{\alpha+1} f(z)}{D^\alpha f(z)} \right\} < \beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (2.5)$$

where $\beta \in (1, \infty)$ is the positive root of the equation:

$$(2(\alpha + 1)(1 - \lambda) + 2\lambda(\alpha + 1))x^2 + (3\lambda - 2\gamma(\alpha + 2))x - \lambda = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. Let

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{\beta - 1} \left(\beta - \frac{D^{\alpha+1} f(z)}{D^\alpha f(z)} \right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (2.7)$$

Then p is analytic in \mathbb{U} and $p(0) = 1$. Differentiating (2.7) and using (1.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda) \frac{D^{\alpha+1} f(z)}{D^\alpha f(z)} + \lambda \frac{D^{\alpha+2} f(z)}{D^{\alpha+1} f(z)} \\ &= (1 - \lambda)\beta + \frac{\lambda(1 + (\alpha + 1)\beta)}{\alpha + 2} - \left((1 - \lambda)(\beta - 1) + \frac{\lambda(\alpha + 1)(\beta - 1)}{\alpha + 2} \right) p(z) \\ & \quad - \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)zp'(z)}{(\alpha + 2)(\beta - (\beta - 1)p(z))} \\ &= \psi(p(z), zp'(z)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(r, s) &= (1 - \lambda)\beta + \frac{\lambda(1 + (\alpha + 1)\beta)}{\alpha + 2} - \left((1 - \lambda)(\beta - 1) + \frac{\lambda(\alpha + 1)(\beta - 1)}{\alpha + 2} \right) r \\ & \quad - \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)s}{(\alpha + 2)(\beta - (\beta - 1)r)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

By virtue of (2.4) and (2.8), we have

$$\{\psi(p(z), zp'(z)) : z \in \mathbb{U}\} \subset \Omega = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}\{w\} < \gamma\}.$$

Now for all real $x, y \leq -(1 + x^2)/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\{\psi(ix, y)\} &= (1 - \lambda)\beta + \frac{\lambda(1 + (\alpha + 1)\beta)}{\alpha + 2} - \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)\beta y}{(\alpha + 2)(\beta^2 + (\beta - 1)^2x^2)} \\ &\geq (1 - \lambda)\beta + \frac{\lambda(1 + (\alpha + 1)\beta)}{\alpha + 2} + \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)\beta(1 + x^2)}{2(\alpha + 2)(\beta^2 + (\beta - 1)^2x^2)} \\ &\geq (1 - \lambda)\beta + \frac{\lambda(1 + (\alpha + 1)\beta)}{\alpha + 2} + \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)}{2(\alpha + 2)\beta} = \gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where β is the positive root of the equation (2.6). Note that, if

$$g(x) = (2(1 + \alpha)(1 - \lambda) + 2\lambda(\alpha + 1))x^2 + (3\lambda - 2\gamma(\alpha + 2))x - \lambda,$$

then $g(0) = -\lambda < 0$ and $g(1) = 2((\alpha + 1)(1 - \gamma)) < 0$. This shows that $\beta \in (1, \infty)$. Hence for each $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $\psi(ix, y) \notin \Omega$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, $\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\} > 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$, which proves (2.5). \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\lambda \geq 0$, $\gamma > 1$ and $0 \leq \delta < 1$. Suppose also that*

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^\alpha g(z)}{D^{\alpha+1}g(z)}\right\} > \delta \quad (g \in \Sigma; z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{2.9}$$

If $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{(1 - \lambda)\frac{D^\alpha f(z)}{D^\alpha g(z)} + \lambda\frac{D^{\alpha+1}f(z)}{D^{\alpha+1}g(z)}\right\} < \gamma \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{2.10}$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^\alpha f(z)}{D^\alpha g(z)}\right\} < \frac{2\gamma(\alpha + 1) + \lambda\delta}{2(\alpha + 1) + \lambda\delta} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. Let

$$\beta = \frac{2\gamma(\alpha + 1) + \lambda\delta}{2(\alpha + 1) + \lambda\delta} \quad (\beta > 1)$$

and

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{\beta - 1} \left(\beta - \frac{D^\alpha f(z)}{D^\alpha g(z)} \right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{2.12}$$

Then the function p is analytic in \mathbb{U} and $p(0) = 1$. Setting

$$B(z) = \frac{D^\alpha g(z)}{D^{\alpha+1}g(z)} \quad (g \in \Sigma; z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

by assumption, we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\{B(z)\} > \delta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Differentiating (2.12) and using (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda) \frac{D^\alpha f(z)}{D^\alpha g(z)} + \lambda \frac{D^{\alpha+1} f(z)}{D^{\alpha+1} g(z)} \\ &= \beta - (\beta - 1)p(z) - \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)B(z)zp'(z)}{\alpha + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting

$$\psi(r, s) = \beta - (\beta - 1)r - \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)sB(z)}{\alpha + 1} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

we deduce from (2.10) that

$$\{\psi(p(z), zp'(z)); z \in \mathbb{U}\} \subset \Omega = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}\{w\} < \gamma\}.$$

Now for all real $x, y \leq -(1 + x^2)/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\{\psi(ix, y)\} &= \beta - \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)y}{\alpha + 1} \operatorname{Re}\{B(z)\} \\ &\geq \beta + \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)\delta}{2(\alpha + 1)}(1 + x^2) \\ &\geq \beta + \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)\delta}{2(\alpha + 1)} = \gamma, \end{aligned}$$

Hence for each $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $\psi(ix, y) \notin \Omega$. Thus by Lemma 2.2, $\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\} > 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$. Therefore we complete the proof of Theorem 2.5. \square

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\alpha > -1$, $\beta \geq 1$ and $\gamma > 0$. If $f \in \Sigma$, then*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{D^{\alpha+1} f(z)}{D^\alpha f(z)} \right\} < \frac{\alpha + 1 + \gamma}{\alpha + 1} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (2.13)$$

implies that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (zD^\alpha f(z))^{-1/2\beta\gamma} \right\} > 2^{-1/\beta} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (2.14)$$

The bound $2^{-1/\beta}$ is the best possible.

Proof. From (1.3) and (2.13), we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(D^\alpha f(z))'}{D^\alpha f(z)} \right\} < -1 + \gamma \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

That is,

$$\frac{1}{2\gamma} \left(\frac{z(D^\alpha f(z))'}{D^\alpha f(z)} + 1 \right) \prec \frac{z}{1+z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{2.15}$$

Let

$$p(z) = (zD^\alpha f(z))^{-1/2\gamma} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then (2.15) may be written as

$$z(\log p(z))' \prec z \left(\log \frac{1}{1+z} \right)' \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{2.16}$$

By using the well-known result [12] to (2.16), we obtain

$$p(z) \prec \frac{1}{1+z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

that is, that

$$(zD^\alpha f(z))^{-1/2\gamma\beta} = \left(\frac{1}{1+w(z)} \right)^{1/\beta} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{2.17}$$

where w is analytic function in \mathbb{U} , $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$. According to $\operatorname{Re}\{t^{1/\beta}\} \geq (\operatorname{Re}\{t\})^{1/\beta}$ for $\operatorname{Re}\{t\} > 0$ and $\beta \geq 1$, (2.17) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (zD^\alpha f(z))^{-1/2\gamma\beta} \right\} &\geq \left(\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{1+w(z)} \right\} \right)^{1/\beta} \\ &> 2^{-1/\beta} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \end{aligned}$$

To see that the bound $2^{-1/\beta}$ cannot be increased, we consider the function $g \in \Sigma$ such that

$$zD^\alpha g(z) = (1+z)^{2\gamma} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

It is not so difficult to show that g satisfies (2.13) and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (zD^\alpha g(z))^{-1/2\gamma\beta} \right\} \longrightarrow 2^{-1/\beta}$$

as $z = \operatorname{Re}\{z\} \rightarrow 1^-$. Therefore the proof of Theorem 2.6 is complete. □

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\alpha > -1$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and $0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 \leq 1$. If $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies*

$$-\frac{\pi}{2}\delta_1 < \arg\{(1-\lambda)zD^\alpha f(z) + \lambda zD^{\alpha+1} f(z)\} < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta_2, \tag{2.18}$$

then

$$-\frac{\pi}{2}\eta_1 < \arg\{zD^\alpha f(z)\} < \frac{\pi}{2}\eta_2, \quad (2.19)$$

where η_1 and η_2 are the solutions of the equations:

$$\delta_1 = \eta_1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \left\{ \frac{\lambda(\eta_1 + \eta_2)}{2(\alpha + 1)} \left(\frac{1 - |a|}{1 + |a|} \right) \right\} \quad (2.20)$$

and

$$\delta_2 = \eta_2 + \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \left\{ \frac{\lambda(\eta_1 + \eta_2)}{2(\alpha + 1)} \left(\frac{1 - |a|}{1 + |a|} \right) \right\}, \quad (2.21)$$

when

$$a = i \tan \left\{ \frac{\eta_2 - \eta_1}{\eta_2 + \eta_1} \right\}.$$

Proof. Let

$$p(z) = zD^\alpha f(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then by using (1.3), we have

$$(1 - \lambda)zD^\alpha f(z) + \lambda zD^{\alpha+1} f(z) = p(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + 1} zp'(z). \quad (2.22)$$

Let h be the function which maps \mathbb{U} onto the angular domain $\{w \in \mathbb{C} : -\pi\delta_1/2 < \arg\{w\} < \pi\delta_2/2\}$ with $h(0) = 1$. Then from (2.18) and (2.22), we get

$$p(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + 1} zp'(z) \prec h(z).$$

Therefore an application of Lemma 2.1 yields $\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\} > 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and hence $p(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

Suppose that there exists two points $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{U}$ such that the condition (2.1) is satisfied. Then by Lemma 2.3, we obtain (2.2) under the restriction (2.3). Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \arg \left\{ p(z_1) + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + 1} z_1 p'(z_1) \right\} &= \arg \{p(z_1)\} + \arg \left\{ \alpha + 1 + \lambda \frac{z_1 p'(z_1)}{p(z_1)} \right\} \\ &= -\frac{\pi}{2}\eta_1 + \arg \left\{ \alpha + 1 - i \frac{\lambda(\eta_1 + \eta_2)}{2} m \right\} \\ &\leq -\frac{\pi}{2}\eta_1 - \arctan \left\{ \frac{\lambda(\eta_1 + \eta_2)}{2(\alpha + 1)} \left(\frac{1 - |a|}{1 + |a|} \right) \right\} \\ &= -\frac{\pi}{2}\delta_1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \arg \left\{ p(z_2) + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + 1} z_2 p'(z_2) \right\} &\geq \frac{\pi}{2} \eta_1 + \arctan \left\{ \frac{\lambda(\eta_1 + \eta_2)}{2(\alpha + 1)} \left(\frac{1 - |a|}{1 + |a|} \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} \delta_2, \end{aligned}$$

which contradict the assumption (2.18). Therefore we have the assertion (2.19). \square

For $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta$ in Theorem 2.7, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $\alpha > -1$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and $0 < \delta \leq 1$. If $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies*

$$|\arg\{(1 - \lambda)zD^\alpha f(z) + \lambda zD^{\alpha+1} f(z)\}| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta,$$

then

$$|\arg\{zD^\alpha f(z)\}| < \frac{\pi}{2}\eta,$$

where η is the solutions of the equation:

$$\delta = \eta + \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + 1} \right\}.$$

Now we consider the following integral operator F_c (see [2, 6, 9, 10]) defined by

$$F_c(f)(z) = \frac{c}{z^c + 1} \int_0^z f(t)t^c dt \quad (\operatorname{Re}\{c\} \geq 0). \tag{2.23}$$

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\alpha > -1$, $c \geq 0$ and $0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 \leq 1$. If $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies*

$$-\frac{\pi}{2}\delta_1 < \arg\{zD^\alpha f(z)\} < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta_2,$$

then

$$-\frac{\pi}{2}\eta_1 < \arg\{zD^\alpha F_c(z)\} < \frac{\pi}{2}\eta_2,$$

where F_c is the integral operator defined by (2.23), and η_1 and η_2 are the solutions of the equations (2.20) and (2.21) with $\alpha = c - 1$ and $\lambda = 1$.

Proof. Let

$$p(z) = zD^\alpha F_c(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

From the definition of F_c , it can be verified that

$$z(D^\alpha F_c(z))' = cD^\alpha f(z) - (c+1)D^\alpha F_c(z). \quad (2.24)$$

Therefore, using (2.24) and (1.3) for F_c , we have

$$zD^\alpha f(z) = p(z) + \frac{1}{c}zp'(z).$$

The remaining part of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.7 and so we omit for details. \square

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2019R1I1A3A01050861).

REFERENCES

- [1] H.Kh. Abdullah, *Study on meromorphic Hurwitz-Zeta function defined by linear operator*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **24**(1) (2019), 195-206.
- [2] S.K. Bajpai, *A note on a class of meromorphic univalent functions*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., **22** (1977), 295-297.
- [3] K.A. Challab, M. Darus and F. Ghanim, *Inclusion properties of meromorphic functions associated with the extended Cho-Kwon-Srivastava operator by using hypergeometric function*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **22**(5) (2017), 935-946.
- [4] M.R. Ganigi and B.A. Uraleghaddi, *New Criteria for meromorphic univalent functions*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie (N. S.) **33**(81) (1989), 9-13.
- [5] R.M. Goel and N.S. Sohi, *A new criterion for p-valent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **78** (1980), 353-357.
- [6] R.M. Goel and N.S. Sohi, *On a class of meromorphic functions*, Glasnik Mat. Ser. III **17**(37) (1981), 19-28.
- [7] D.J. Hallenbeck and St. Ruscheweyh, *Subordination by convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **52** (1975), 191-195.
- [8] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, *Differential subordination and univalent functions*, Michigan Math. J., **28** (1981), 157-171.
- [9] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordination, Theory and Application* Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, Basel, 2000.
- [10] P.T. Mocanu and G.S. Salagean, *Integral operators and meromorphic starlike functions*, Mathematica, **32**(55) (1990), 147-152.
- [11] St. Ruscheweyh, *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **49** (1975), 109-115.
- [12] T.J. Suffridge, *Some remarks on convex maps of the unit disk*, Duke Math. J., **37** (1970), 775-777.

- [13] N. Takahashi and M. Nunokawa, *A certain connection between starlike and convex functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., **16** (2003), 653-655.
- [14] B.A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, *Certain subclasses of meromorphic convex functions*, Indian J. Math., **32** (1990), 49-57.
- [15] B.A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, *On generalization of meromorphic Convex functions with negative coefficients*, Mathematica **35**(58) (1993), 99-107.