Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications Vol. 25, No. 2 (2020), pp. 331-344 ISSN: 1229-1595(print), 2466-0973(online)

https://doi.org/10.22771/nfaa.2020.25.02.09 http://nfaa.kyungnam.ac.kr/journal-nfaa Copyright © 2020 Kyungnam University Press



# APPROXIMATE SOLUTION OF FRACTIONAL BLACK-SCHOLE'S EUROPEAN OPTION PRICING EQUATION BY USING ETHPM

# Pradip R. Bhadane<sup>1</sup>, Kirtiwant P. Ghadle<sup>2</sup> and Ahmed A. Hamoud<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics Arts, Commerce and Science College Ozar (Mig) Nasik-424 008, India e-mail: prbhadane@yahoo.com

<sup>2,3</sup>Department of Mathematics Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad-431 004, India e-mail: drkp.ghadle@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics Faculty of Education and Science, Taiz University, Taiz-380 015, Yemen e-mail: drahmedselwi9850gmail.com

Abstract. We proposed a new reliable combination of new Homotopy Perturbation Method (HPM) and Elzaki transform called as Elzaki Transform Homotopy Perturbation Method (ETHPM) is designed to obtain a exact solution to the fractional Black-Scholes equation with boundary condition for a European option pricing problem. The fractional derivative is in Caputo sense and the nonlinear terms in Fractional Black-Scholes Equation can be handled by using HPM. The Black-Scholes formula is used as a model for valuing European or American call and put options on a non-dividend paying stock. The methods give an analytic solution of the fractional Black-Scholes equation in the form of a convergent series. Finally, some examples are included to demonstrate the validity and applicability of the proposed technique.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>0</sup>Received August 31, 2019. Revised November 18, 2019. Accepted January 28, 2020. <sup>0</sup>2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 65R10, 35A08, 35R11.

 $<sup>^0{\</sup>rm Keywords}$ : Black–Schole's equation European option pricing, Caputo fractional derivatives, Elzaki transform, homotopy perturbation method.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>0</sup>Corresponding author: A. A. Hamoud(drahmedselwi985@gmail.com).

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Fractional calculus is a part of the study of mathematics and the basic concepts of fractional calculus are not new. Since many years, fractional differential equations have been investigated by many people. It is used in many fields of science and technology [1, 4, 15, 21, 22, 27, 29]. But some fractional differential equations do not have exact solutions, so we require new methods and integral transforms. Several methods and integral transforms have been designed for solving such fractional differential equations. One of such transform is known as Elzaki transform, it was initially introduced by Elzaki in 2011. Elzaki derived this transform for ordinary and partial differential equations in the time domain [13, 16, 17, 18]. But first time in 2012, Elzaki and Hilal, also developed a mixture of homotopy perturbation and Elzaki transform for solving nonlinear partial differential equations [19]. Later on many researchers used this mixture for solving linear and nonlinear partial differential equations [20] and then it is also used for solving fractional partial differential equations [9]. In 2012, Kumar et al. used the mixture of homotopy perturbation and Laplace transform for solving fractional Black-Scholes European option pricing equation [30]. Also in 2013, Elbeleze et al. proposed a new method known as Sumudu transform homotopy perturbation method for fractional Black-Scholes European option pricing equations [2]. From last few years many researchers have been paying their attention on the existence of solution of the Black Scholes model using different methods [3, 5, 6, 12, 14, 24, 25, 28, 33].

Black and Scholes in 1973 [11] got an idea that would change the world of finance forever. Black-Scholes is a pricing model used to determine the fair price or theoretical value for a call or a put option based on six variables such as volatility, type of options, underlying stock price, time, strike price, and risk-free rate. In 2000 Manale and Mahomed have modified a simple formula for valuing American and European call and put options [31]. Black-Scholes pricing model is mostly used by the traders who buy options that are priced under the formula calculated value, and sell options that title are priced higher than the Black-Scholes calculated value. This model for pricing stock options has been applied for many different commodities and payoff structures, because it is very easy to use. The Black-Scholes model for value of an option is shown by the following equation:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\sigma x^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + r(t) x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - r(t) u, \quad 0 < \mu \le 1,$$
(1.1)

where u(x,t),  $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times (0,T)$  is the European option price at asset price x and at time t, T is maturity, r(t) is the risk-free interest rate and  $\sigma(x,t)$  represents the volatility function of the underlying asset. The payoff functions

are  $v_c(x, t) = max(x - E, 0)$  denotes the European call option value,  $v_p(x, t) = max(E - x, 0)$  denotes the European put option value, E denotes the expiration price for the option and the function max(x, 0) gives the large value between x and 0.

In this article, we consider the following form of the Black-Schools fractional differential equation:

$$\frac{\partial^{\mu} u}{\partial t^{\mu}} + \frac{\sigma x^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + r(t) x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - r(t) u, \quad 0 < \mu \le 1,$$
(1.2)

subject to the condition

$$u(x,0) = \max(e^x - 1, 0)$$

The aim of this article is to study the application of ETHPM, to obtain approximate solution of fractional Black-Schools equation with initial conditions of the form

$$D_t^{\mu}u = u_{xx} + (k-1)u_x - ku, \quad 0 < \mu \le 1,$$

and the result is presented.

## 2. Elzaki transform

A new transform called the Elzaki transform defined for function of exponential order [7, 8, 10], we consider functions in the set A defined by

$$A = \{ f(t) : \exists M, K_1, K_2 > 0, |f(t)| < M e^{\frac{|t|}{k_j}}, \text{ if } t \in (-1)^j [0, \infty) \}.$$

In the set A, the constant M is finite number,  $K_1, K_2$  are finite or infinite. The Elzaki transform denoted by the operator  $E(\cdot)$  and defined by the integral equation.

$$E[f(t)] = T(v) = v \int_0^\infty f(t) e^{\frac{-t}{v}} dt, \ t \ge 0, \ K_1 \le v \le K_2, \ 0 \le t < \infty.$$

## 3. Fundamental facts of the fractional calculus

Here, we mention some basic fundamental properties of the fractional calculus.

**Definition 3.1.** ([23, 32]) The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator of order  $\mu \ge 0$ , of a function  $f \in C_{\mu}, \mu \ge -1$  is defined by:

$$J^{\mu}f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\mu-1} f(t) dt, \ \mu > 0, \ x > 0,$$
  
$$J^0f(x) = f(x).$$

Properties of the operator  $J^{\mu}$  can be found, we mention only the followings:

- (1)  $f \in C_{\mu}, \mu \geq -1, \alpha, \beta \geq 0$ , and  $\gamma > -1$ ,
- (2)  $J^{\alpha}J^{\beta}f(x) = J^{\alpha+\beta}f(x)$  and  $J^{\alpha}J^{\beta}f(x) = J^{\beta}J^{\alpha}f(x)$ ,
- (3)  $J^{\alpha}x^{\gamma} = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\gamma+1)}x^{\alpha+\gamma}.$

The Riemann-Liouville derivative has certain disadvantage, when trying to model real world phenomenon with fractional differential equations. Therefore, we shall introduce a modified fractional differential operator  $D_t^{\mu}$  proposed by Caputo in his work.

**Definition 3.2.** ([26]) The fractional derivative of  $f(\mathbf{x})$  in the Caputo sense is defined by:

$$D_*^{\mu}f(x) = J^{m-\mu}D^m f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\mu)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{m-\mu-1} f^m(t)dt,$$

for  $m-1 < \mu \leq m, m \in \mathbb{N}, x > 0$ .

For the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral and the Caputo fractional derivative, we have the following relation:

$$J_T^{\mu} D_t^{\mu} f(x) = f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f^{(k)}(0_+) \frac{x^k}{k!} \quad x > 0$$

**Definition 3.3.** ([18]) The Elzaki transform of the Caputo fractional derivative is defined by:

$$E\left[\mathbf{D}_{t}^{\mu}f(t)\right] = \boldsymbol{\nu}^{-\mu} \left\{ T(\nu) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \nu^{\mu-k+2} \left[ D^{\mu-K}\left(f(t)\right)|_{t=0} \right] \right\}.$$

#### 4. ELZAKI TRANSFORM HOMOTOPY PERTURBATION METHOD

Consider a general nonlinear non-homogeneous partial fractional differential equation with initial condition of the form:

$$D_t^{\mu}u(x,t) + Ru(x,t) + Nu(x,t) = g(x,t), \qquad (4.1)$$

$$Lu(x, o) = h(x), \quad u_t(x, o) = f(x),$$
(4.2)

where  $D_t^{\mu}u(x,t)$  is the Caputo fractional derivative of the function u(x,t), R is linear differential operator, N is the general nonlinear differential operator and g(x,t) is the source term.

Taking Elzaki transform on both sides of equation (4.1), to get

$$E[D_t^{\mu}u(x,t)] + E[Ru(x,t)] + E[Nu(x,t)] = E[g(x,t)].$$

Using the differentiation property of Elzaki transforms and initial condition (4.2), we have

$$E[u(x,t)] = f(x) + u^{\mu} E[g(x,t)] - u^{\mu} E^{-1}[E[Ru(x,t)] + E[Nu(x,t)]].$$
(4.3)

Appling the inverse Elzaki transform on both sides of equation (4.3), to find

$$u(x,t) = g(x,t) - p \cdot E^{-1} [v^{\mu} [E[Ru(x,t)] + E[Nu(x,t)]]], \qquad (4.4)$$

where g(x,t) represent the term arising from the source term and the prescribed initial condition.

Now we apply the HPM

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n u_n(x,t),$$
(4.5)

and the nonlinear term can be decomposed as

$$\operatorname{N}u(x,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n \operatorname{H}_n(u), \qquad (4.6)$$

where  $H_n(u)$  are He's polynomials and given by

$$H_n(u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial p^n} \left[ N\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^i u^i\right) \right]_{p=0}, \ n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Substituting (4.5) and (4.6) in (4.4), we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n u_n(x,t) = g(x,t) - p \left\{ E^{-1} \left[ v^{\mu} E \left( R \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n u_n(x,t) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n H_n(u) \right) \right] \right\}.$$

This is the coupling of the Elzaki transform and the HPM, comparing the coefficients of like powers of the following approximations are obtained.

$$\begin{split} p^0 &: u_0(x,t) = g(x,t), \\ p^1 &: u_1(x,t) = -E^{-1} \left[ E v^{\mu} \left[ R u_0(x,t) + H_0(u) \right] \right], \\ p^2 &: u_2(x,t) = -E^{-1} \left[ E v^{\mu} \left[ R u_1(x,t) + H_1(u) \right] \right], \\ p^3 &: u_3(x,t) = -E^{-1} \left[ E v^{\mu} \left[ R u_2(x,t) + H_2(u) \right] \right]. \end{split}$$

Proceeding in this same manner, the rest of the components  $u_n(x,t)$  can be completely obtained and the series solution is thus entirely determined, finally we approximate the analytical u(x,t) as

$$u(x,t) = \lim_{p \to 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n u_n(x,t) = u_0(x,t) + u_1(x,t) + u_2(x,t) + \cdots$$

P. R. Bhadane, K. P. Ghadle and A. A. Hamoud

**Theorem 4.1.** The function u(x,t) is defined by

$$u(x,t) = \max(e^{x}, o) \left(1 - e^{-kt}\right) + \max(e^{x} - 1, o) e^{-kt},$$
(4.7)  
$$i e^{-u(x,t)} = e^{x}(1 - e^{-kt}) + (e^{x} - 1)e^{-kt}$$

*i.e.*, 
$$u(x,t) = e^x(1-e^{-kt}) + (e^x-1)e^{-kt}$$

satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial^{\mu} u}{\partial t^{\mu}} = \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}} + (k-1)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - ku, \quad 0 < \mu \le 1.$$
(4.8)

*Proof.* We have

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = ke^{-kt}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = e^x, \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = e^x.$$
 (4.9)

Substituting Eqs.(4.7) and (4.9) into the Eq.(4.8) gives (when  $\mu = 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - (k-1)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + ku \\ &= ke^{-kt} - e^x - (k-1)e^x + k[e^x(1-e^{-kt}) + (e^x-1)e^{-kt}] \\ &= ke^{-kt} - e^x - ke^x + e^x + ke^x - ke^xe^{-kt} + ke^xe^{-kt} - ke^{-kt} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 4.2.** The function u(x,t) is defined by

$$u(x,t) = x(1 - e^{-0.06t}) + \max\left(x - 25e^{-0.06t}, 0\right)e^{-0.06t},$$

*i.e.*, 
$$u(x,t) = x(1 - e^{-0.06t}) + (x - 25e^{-0.06} - 1)e^{-0.06t}$$
, (4.10)

satisfies equation

$$\frac{\partial^{\mu} u}{\partial t^{\mu}} + 0.08(2 + \sin x)^2 x^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + 0.06x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - 0.06u = 0, \ 0 < \mu \le 1.$$
(4.11)

*Proof.* We have

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = (1.5)e^{-0.06 - 0.06t}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 0.$$
(4.12)

Substituting Eqs.(4.10) and (4.12) into the Eq.(4.11) gives (when  $\mu = 1$ )

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + 0.08(2 + \sin x)^2 x^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + 0.06x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - 0.06u \\ &= (1.5)e^{-0.06 - 0.06t} + [0.08(2 + \sin x)^2 x^2](0) + 0.06x(1) \\ &-0.06 \left[ x(1 - e^{-0.06t}) + (x - 25e^{-0.06} - 1)e^{-0.06t} \right] \\ &= (1.5)e^{-0.06 - 0.06t} + 0 + 0.06x - 0.06x + 0.06xe^{-0.06t} \\ &-0.06xe^{-0.06t} - 1.5e^{-0.06 - 0.06t} \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

**Example 5.1.** Consider the following flactional Black-Scholes option pricing equation as

5. Applications

$$\frac{\partial^{\mu} u}{\partial t^{\mu}} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + (k-1)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - ku, \quad 0 < \mu \le 1,$$
 (5.1)

subject to the condition

$$u(x,0) = \max\left(e^x - 1, 0\right). \tag{5.2}$$

Applying Elzaki transform on both sides of equation (5.1) subject to the initial condition (5.2), we get

$$E[u(x,t)] = \max(e^x - 1, 0) + v^{\mu} E[u_{xx} + (k-1)u_x - ku].$$
 (5.3)

Using the inverse Elzaki transform on both sides of the equation (5.3) we have

$$u(x,t) = \max(e^{x} - 1, 0) - E^{-1} \left[ v^{\mu} E \left[ u_{xx} + (k-1)u_{x} - ku \right] \right].$$

Now appling HPM

$$u(x,t) = \max\left(e^{x} - 1, 0\right) - p\left[E^{-1}\left[v^{\mu}E\left[u_{xx} + (k-1)u_{x} - ku\right]\right]\right], \quad (5.4)$$

where  $\max(e^x - 1, 0)$  represent the term arising from the source term and the prescribed initial condition. Now we apply the HPM

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n u_n(x,t) = \max(e^x - 1, 0) - p \left\{ E^{-1} \left[ v^{\mu} E \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n \mathcal{H}_n(u) \right) \right] \right\}, \quad (5.5)$$

where

$$H_n = u_{nxx} + (k-1)u_{nx} - ku_n, n \in N$$

and

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n u_n(x,t).$$

337

Equating the corresponding power of p on both sides in equation (5.5), we have

$$p^{o}: u_{0}(x,t) = \max(e^{x} - 1, 0),$$
 (5.6)

$$p^{1}: u_{1}(x,t) = -E^{-1} \{ v^{\mu} E [H_{0}(u)] \}$$

$$= -\max(e^{x}, 0) \frac{(-kt^{\mu})}{\Gamma(\mu+1)} + \max(e^{x} - 1, 0) \frac{(-kt^{\mu})}{\Gamma(\mu+1)},$$
(5.7)

$$p^{2}: u_{2}(x,t) = E^{-1} \{ v^{\mu} E [H_{1}(u)] \}$$

$$= -\max(e^{x}, 0) \frac{(-kt^{\mu})^{2}}{\Gamma(2\mu+1)} + \max(e^{x}-1, 0) \frac{(-kt^{\mu})^{2}}{\Gamma(2\mu+1)},$$
(5.8)

$$\begin{aligned} \vdots \\ p^{n} : u_{n}(x,t) &= E^{-1} \left\{ v^{\mu} E\left[H_{n-1}(u)\right] \right\} \\ &= -\max(e^{x},0) \frac{(-kt^{\mu})^{n}}{\Gamma(n\mu+1)} + \max\left(e^{x}-1,0\right) \frac{(-kt^{\mu})^{n}}{\Gamma(n\mu+1)}. \end{aligned}$$
(5.9)

The solution u(x,t) of Eq. (5.1) by using Eqs. (5.6)-(5.9) is given by

$$u(x,t) = \lim_{p \to 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n u_n(x,t),$$
$$u(x,t) = u_o(x,t) + u_1(x,t) + u_2(x,t) + \dots,$$

$$\begin{split} u(x,t) &= \max\left(e^{x},0\right) \\ &- \max(e^{x},0) \left[1 + \frac{(-kt^{\mu})}{\Gamma(\mu+1)} + \frac{(-kt^{\mu})^{2}}{\Gamma(2\mu+1)} + \frac{(-kt^{\mu})^{3}}{\Gamma(3\mu+1)} + \cdots\right] \\ &+ \max(e^{x}-1,0) \left[1 + \frac{(-kt^{\mu})}{\Gamma(\mu+1)} + \frac{(-kt^{\mu})^{2}}{\Gamma(2\mu+1)} + \frac{(-kt^{\mu})^{3}}{\Gamma(3\mu+1)} + \cdots\right] \\ &= \max\left(e^{x},0\right) - \max(e^{x},0) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-kt^{\mu})^{n}}{\Gamma(n\mu+1)} \\ &+ \max(e^{x}-1,0) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-kt^{\mu})^{n}}{\Gamma(n\mu+1)}, \end{split}$$

and the closed form

 $u(x,t) = \max(e^x, 0) \left[1 - E_{\mu}(-kt^{\mu})\right] + \max(e^x - 1, 0) \left[E_{\mu}(-kt^{\mu})\right],$ where  $E_{\mu}(z)$  is Mittag-Leffler function in one parameter. When  $\mu = 1$ , then

$$u(x,t) = \max(e^x, 0) \left(1 - e^{-kt}\right) + \max(e^x - 1, 0) e^{-kt}.$$
 (5.10)

Therefore, the obtained solution is (5.10).

The following plots are obtained using wolfram Alpha software:



(E): x = -3...3, t = 0...3; k = -2 (F): x = 0...3, t = 0...3, k = -2

**Example 5.2.** Consider the another following generalized fractional Black Scholes equation as

 $\frac{\partial^{\mu} u}{\partial t^{\mu}} + 0.08(2 + \sin x)^2 x^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + 0.06x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - 0.06u = 0 , \ 0 < \mu \le 1, \quad (5.11)$  subject to the initial condition

$$u(x,0) = \max\left(x - 25e^{-0.06}, 0\right).$$
(5.12)

P. R. Bhadane, K. P. Ghadle and A. A. Hamoud

Applying Elzakit transform on both sides of equation (5.11) we have

$$E[u(x,t)] = \max \left( x - 25e^{-0.06}, 0 \right)$$

$$-v^{\mu} E \left[ 0.08(2 + \sin x)^2 x^2 u_{xx} + 0.06x u_x - 0.06u \right].$$
(5.13)

Using the inverse Elzaki transform on both sides of the equation (5.13), we have

$$u(x,t) = \max \left( x - 25e^{-0.06}, 0 \right) - E^{-1} \left\{ v^{\mu} E \left[ 0.08(2 + \sin x)^2 x^2 u_{xx} + 0.06x u_x - 0.06u \right] \right\}.$$

Now by using HPM, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n u_n(x,t) = \max(x - 25e^{-0.06} - 1, 0)$$

$$-p \left\{ E^{-1} \left[ v^{\mu} E \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n H_n(u) \right) \right] \right\},$$
(5.14)

where  $H_n = 0.08 (2 + \sin x)^2 x^2 u_{nxx} + 0.06 x u_{nx} - 0.06 u_n, n \in N$ . Equating the corresponding power of p on both sides in equation (5.14), we have

$$p^{0}: u_{0}(x,t) = \max\left(x - 25e^{-0.06}, 0\right),$$
(5.15)

$$p^{1}: u_{1}(x,t) = E^{-1} \{ v^{\mu} E[H_{0}(u)] \}$$

$$= -x \frac{(-0.06t^{\mu})}{\Gamma(-1,1)} + \max \left( x - 25e^{-0.06}, 0 \right) \frac{(-0.06t^{\mu})}{\Gamma(-1,1)},$$
(5.16)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(\mu+1) &= \Gamma(\mu+1) \\
p^2 : u_2(x,t) &= E^{-1} \{ v^{\mu} E [H_1(u)] \} \\
&= -x \frac{(-0.06t^{\mu})^2}{\Gamma(2\mu+1)} + \max \left( x - 25e^{-0.06}, 0 \right) \frac{(-0.06t^{\mu})^2}{\Gamma(2\mu+1)}, \\
\vdots \end{aligned}$$
(5.17)

$$p^{n}: u_{n}(x,t) = E^{-1} \{ v^{\mu} E [H_{n-1}(u)] \}$$

$$= -x \frac{(-0.06t^{\mu})^{n}}{\Gamma(n\mu+1)} + \max \left( x - 25e^{-0.06}, 0 \right) \frac{(-0.06t^{\mu})^{n}}{\Gamma(n\mu+1)}.$$
(5.18)

The solution u(x,t) of Eq. (5.11) by using Eqs. (5.15)-(5.18) is given by  $u(x,t) = u_o(x,t) + u_1(x,t) + u_2(x,t) + \cdots,$ 

$$\begin{split} u(x,t) &= -x \left[ \frac{(-0.06t^{\mu})}{\Gamma(\mu+1)} + \frac{(-0.06t^{\mu})^2}{\Gamma(2\mu+1)} + \frac{(-0.06t^{\mu})^3}{\Gamma(3\mu+1)} + \cdots \right] \\ &+ \max(x-25e^x,0) \left[ 1 + \frac{(-0.06t^{\mu})}{\Gamma(\mu+1)} + \frac{(-0.06t^{\mu})^2}{\Gamma(2\mu+1)} + \frac{(-0.06t^{\mu})^3}{\Gamma(3\mu+1)} + \cdots \right], \end{split}$$

Fractional Black-Schole's european option pricing equation

$$u(x,t) = x \left[ 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-0.06t^{\mu})^n}{\Gamma(n\mu+1)} \right] + \max\left(x - 25e^{-0.06} - 1, 0\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-0.06t^{\mu})^n}{\Gamma(n\mu+1)}$$

and closed form

 $u(x,t) = x \left[1 - E_{\mu} \left(-0.06t^{\mu}\right)\right] + \max\left(x - 25e^{-0.06}, 0\right) \left[E_{\mu} \left(-0.06t^{\mu}\right)\right],$ where  $E_{\mu}(z)$  is Mittag-Leffler function in one parameter. When  $\mu = 1$ , then

 $u(x,t) = x (1 - e^{-0.06t} - 1, 0) + \max (x - 25e^{-0.06} - 1, 0) e^{-0.06t}.$ (5.19)Therefore, the obtained solution is (5.19).

The following plots are obtained using wolform Alpha software:







(K):  $x = 0 \dots 3; t = -3 \dots 3$ (L):  $x = -3 \dots 3, t = -3 \dots 3$ 

## 6. Conclusion

This paper intends to show the applicability of the Elzaki transform homotopy perturbation method to obtain an analytical solution for fractional Black-Scholes equation. It concludes that the new integral Elzaki transform homotopy perturbation method is very powerful, effective and efficient tool.

The obtained result, by this method are found to be more precise with the exact solution obtains by other existing methods. So the method could be one of the most practicable existing methods.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers and the editor of this journal for their helpful comments and valuable suggestions which led to an improved presentation of this paper.

#### References

- J. Ahmad, M. Shakeel, Q. Hassan and S. Mohyud-Din, Analytical solution of Black-Scholes model using fractional variation method, Int. J. Modern Math. Sci., 5 (2013), 133-142.
- [2] A. Ali, A. Kilicman and MB. Taib, Homotopy perturbation method for fractional Black-Scholes European option pricing equations using Sumudu transform, Math. Problems in Eng., Article ID 524852 (2013), 1-7.
- [3] T. Allahviranloo and S. Behzadi, The use of iterative methods for solving Black-Scholes equations, Int. J. Ind. Math., 5 (2013), 1-11.
- [4] K. Balachandran, L. Byszewski and J.K. Kim, Nonlocal Cauchy problem for second order functional differential equations and fractional differential equations, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., 24(3) (2019), 457-475.
- [5] M. Bani Issa and A. Hamoud, Some approximate methods for solving system of nonlinear integral equations, Technology Reports of Kansai University, 62(3) (2020), 388-398.
- [6] M. Bani Issa and A. Hamoud, Solving systems of Volterra integro-differential equations by using semi-analytical techniques, Technology Reports of Kansai University, 62(3) (2020), 685-690.
- [7] P. Bhadane and K. Ghadle, Solution of advection diffusion equation for concentration of pollution and dissolved Oxygen in the River Water by Elzaki transform, Amer. J. Eng. Research, 5(9) (2016), 116-121.
- [8] P. Bhadane and K. Ghadle, Application of Elzaki transform to system of linear differential equations, Int. J. Research Pub., 6(2) (2016), 36-43.
- P. Bhadane and K. Ghadle, Approximate analytical solution of fractional gas dynamic equation by Elzaki transform homotopy perturbation method, J. Basic and Appl. Research Int., I (2017), 29-36.
- [10] P. Bhadane and V. Pradhan, Elzaki transform homotopy perturbation method for solving gas dynamic equation, Int. J. Research in Eng. Tech., 2(12) (2013), 260-264.
- [11] F. Black and M. Scholes, The pricing of options and corporate liabilities, J. Polit. Econ., 81 (1973), 637-654.
- [12] M. Bohner and Y. Zheng, On analytical solution of the Black-Scholes equation, Appl. Math. Lett., 22 (2009), 309-313.
- [13] M. Bohner, F. Sanchez and S. Rodriguez, European call option pricing using the Adomian decomposition method, Adv. Dyn. Syst. Apst., 9 (2014), 75-85.

- [14] R. Company, E. Navarro, J. Pintos and E. Ponsoda, Numerical solution of linear and nonlinear Black-Scholes option pricing equations, Comput. Math. Appl., 56 (2008), 813-821.
- [15] L. Dawood, A. Hamoud and N. Mohammed, Laplace discrete decomposition method for solving nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations, Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, 21(2) (2020), 158-163.
- [16] T. Elzaki, The new integral transform Elzaki transform, Global J. Pure and Appl. Math., 7(1) (2011), 57-64.
- [17] T. Elzaki and S. Elzaki, Application of new transform "Elzaki transform" to partial differential equations, Global J. Pure and Appl. Math., 7(1) (2011), 65-70.
- [18] T. Elzaki and S. Elzaki, On the new integral transform "Elzaki transform" fundamental properties investigation and application, Global J. Math. Sci.: Theory and Practicals, 4 (2012), 1-13.
- [19] T. Elzaki and E. Hilal, Homotopy perturbation and Elzaki transform for solving nonlinear partial differential equations, Math. Theory and Mod., 2(3) (2012), 33-42.
- [20] T. Elzaki and H. Kim, The solution of Burger's equation by Elzaki transform homotopy perturbation method, Appl. Math. Sci., 8 (2014), 2931-2940.
- [21] A. Hamoud and K. Ghadle, Some new existence, uniqueness and convergence results for fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations, J. Appl. Comput. Mech., 5(1) (2019), 58-69.
- [22] A. Hamoud and K. Ghadle, Existence and uniqueness of solutions for fractional mixed Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations, Indian J. Math., 60(3) (2018), 375-395.
- [23] A. Hamoud and K. Ghadle, The approximate solutions of fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations by using analytical techniques, Probl. Anal. Issues Anal., 7(25) (2018), 41-58.
- [24] A. Hamoud and K. Ghadle, Existence and uniqueness of the solution for Volterra- Fredholm integro-differential equations, J. Siberian Federal University. Math. Phys., 11(6) (2018), 692-701.
- [25] A. Hamoud and K. Ghadle, Homotopy analysis method for the first order fuzzy Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations, Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, 11(3) (2018) 857-867.
- [26] A. Hamoud and K. Ghadle, Usage of the homotopy analysis method for solving fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equation of the second kind, Tamkang J. Math., 49(4) (2018), 301-315.
- [27] K. Hussain, A. Hamoud and N. Mohammed, Some new uniqueness results for fractional integro-differential equations, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., 24(4) (2019), 827-836.
- [28] L. Jodar, P. Sevilla-Peris, J. Cortes and R. Sala, A new direct method for solving the Black-Scholes equation, Applied Mathematics Letters, 18 (2005), 29-32.
- [29] S. Kumar, D. Kumar and J. Singh, Numerical computation of fractional Black Scholes equation arising in financial market, Egyptian j. Basic and Appl. Sci., I(15) (2014), 177-183.
- [30] S. Kumar, A. Yildirim, Y. Khan, H. Jafari, K. Sayevand and L. Wei, Analytical solution of fractional Black-Scholes European option pricing equation by using Laplace transform, J. Fractional Calcu. Appl., 2(8) (2012), 1-9.
- [31] J. Manale and F. Mahomed, A simple formula for valuing American and European call and put options in: J. Banasiak (Ed.), Proceeding of the Hanno Rund Workshop on the Differential Equations, University of Natal, 2000.
- [32] K. Miller and B. Ross, An introduction to the fractional calculus and fractional differential equations, Johan Willey and Sons, Inter Science. New York, 2003.

[33] N. Ozdemir and M. Yavuz, Numerical solution of fractional Black-Scholes equation by using the multivariate Pad approximation, Int. Conference on Comput. and Expe. Sci. and Eng., 132(3) (2017), 1050-1053.