

CHARACTERIZATIONS ON LINEAR N -NORMED SPACES

Xiaoyun Chen¹ and Meimei Song²

¹Department of Mathematics, Tianjin University of Technology,
Tianjin 300384, China
e-mail: xiayu830621@163.com

²Department of Mathematics, Tianjin University of Technology
Tianjin 300384, China
e-mail: songmeimei@tjut.edu.cn

Abstract. In this paper, we get if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ preserves equality of n -distance with X and Y be two linear n -normed spaces, f is n -continuous at some $\theta \neq x_0 \in X$, then f is affine.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1989, Misiak [8,9] defined n -normed spaces and investigated the properties of these spaces. The concept of an n -normed space is a generalization of the concepts of a normed space and of a 2-normed space. In 2004, Chu et al. [3] defined the concept of n -isometry which is suitable for representing the notion of n -distance preserving mappings in linear n -normed spaces and studied the Aleksandrov problem in linear n -normed spaces.

S.Mazur and S.Ulam [10] proved the theorem: Every isometry of a real normed linear space onto a real normed linear space is a linear mapping up to translation. The property is not true for complex normed vector spaces. The hypothesis of surjectivity is essential. Without this assumption Baker [1] proved that every isometry from a real normed space into a strictly convex normed space is linear up to translation. Chu [2] proved that the Mazur-Ulam theorem holds when X and Y are linear 2-normed spaces. Chu et al. [4] proved that the n -isometry mapped to a linear n -normed space is affine.

⁰Received May 18, 2009. Revised October 14, 2009.

⁰2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46B20.

⁰Keywords: Linear n -normed space, preserve equality of n -distance, n -continuous.

⁰Foundation item: Science and Technology Foundation of Educational Committee of Tianjin (20060402).

Weijia Jia[7] proved that under some conditions, a 2-continuous function is also a 2-isometry. In this paper, we replace n -isometry by the more general notion of equality of n -distance preserving mappings and give the definition of n -continuous. At last, we get under some conditions, a n -continuous function is also a n -isometry.

2. DEFINITIONS AND LEMMAS

In this section, we will give some definitions and lemmas in linear n -normed spaces.

Definition 2.1. [3] *Let X be a real linear space with $\dim X \geq n$ and $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\| : X^n \rightarrow R$ a function. Then $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is called a linear n -normed space if*

- (nN_1) $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\| = 0 \iff x_1, \dots, x_n$ are linearly dependent,
- (nN_2) $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\| = \|x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_n}\|$ for every permutation (j_1, \dots, j_n) of $(1, \dots, n)$,
- (nN_3) $\|\alpha x_1, \dots, x_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, \dots, x_n\|$,
- (nN_4) $\|x + y, x_2, \dots, x_n\| \leq \|x, x_2, \dots, x_n\| + \|y, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$
for all $\alpha \in R$ and $x, y, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$.

The function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$ is called an n -norm on X .

Definition 2.2 ([3]). *Let X and Y be linear n -normed spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. We call f an n -isometry if*

$$\|x_1 - x_0, \dots, x_n - x_0\| = \|f(x_1) - f(x_0), \dots, f(x_n) - f(x_0)\|$$

for all $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$.

Definition 2.3. *Let X and Y be linear n -normed spaces, $x_0 \in X$ and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. Then f is said to be n -continuous at x_0 if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists positive real number δ such that*

$$\|x_1 - x_0, \dots, x_n - x_0\| < \delta \text{ implies } \|f(x_1) - f(x_0), \dots, f(x_n) - f(x_0)\| < \varepsilon$$

and f is said to be n -continuous on X if f is n -continuous at x for all $x \in X$.

Definition 2.4. *Let X and Y be linear n -normed spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. Then f is said to preserve equality of n -distance if and only if there exists a function $p : R_+^0 \rightarrow R_+^0$ such that for each $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$*

$$\|f(x_1) - f(x_0), \dots, f(x_n) - f(x_0)\| = p(\|x_1 - x_0, \dots, x_n - x_0\|)$$

The function p is called the gauge function for f .

Definition 2.5 ([3]). *The points x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n of X are said to be n -collinear if for every i , $\{x_j - x_i | 0 \leq j \neq i \leq n\}$ is linearly dependent.*

Remark 2.6 ([3]). *The points x_0, x_1 and x_2 are said to be 2-collinear if and only if $x_2 - x_0 = t(x_1 - x_0)$ for some real number t .*

Lemma 2.7 ([3]). *Let x_i be an element of a linear n -normed space X for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and γ be a real number. Then*

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n\| = \|x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_j + \gamma x_i, \dots, x_n\|$$

for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$.

From now on, unless otherwise specified, let X and Y be real linear n -normed spaces, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. X and Y have dimensions greater than $n - 1$. Let x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n be points of X .

3. MAIN RESULTS

Lemma 3.1. *For $x_0, x'_0 \in X$, if x_0 and x'_0 are linearly dependent with the same direction, that is, $x'_0 = \alpha x_0$ for some $\alpha > 0$, then*

$$\|x_0 + x'_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = \|x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| + \|x'_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in X$.

Proof. Let $x'_0 = \alpha x_0$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_0 + x'_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| &= \|x_0 + \alpha x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \\ &= (1 + \alpha) \|x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \\ &= \|x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| + \alpha \|x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \\ &= \|x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| + \|x'_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in X$ □

Remark 3.2. *For every $\gamma \in R_+^0, \theta \neq x_0 \in X$, there exist x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} such that*

$$\|x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = \gamma.$$

Indeed, assume x'_1, \dots, x'_{n-1} are linear independent to x_0 . Then

$$\|x_0, x'_1, \dots, x'_{n-1}\| = \rho > 0.$$

We obtain

$$\|x_0, \sqrt[n-1]{\frac{\gamma}{\rho}} x'_1, \dots, \sqrt[n-1]{\frac{\gamma}{\rho}} x'_{n-1}\| = \gamma$$

Let

$$x_i = \sqrt[n-1]{\frac{\gamma}{\rho}} x'_i (i = 1, \dots, n - 1).$$

Theorem 3.3. *If $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $\|x_1 - x_0, x_2 - x_0, \dots, x_n - x_0\| \neq 0$, that is x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n are not collinear, then for any $0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+^0$, there exists an element $\omega \in X$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x_0 - \omega, x_1 - \omega, x_2 - \omega, \dots, x_{n-1} - \omega\| \\ &= \gamma \\ &= \|x_1 - \omega, x_2 - \omega, x_3 - \omega, \dots, x_n - \omega\| \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By hypothesis, we have

$$\beta \triangleq \|x_1 - x_0, x_2 - x_0, \dots, x_n - x_0\| > 0.$$

Set $\omega = x_1 + \frac{2\gamma}{\beta}(\frac{x_0 + x_n}{2} - x_1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x_0 - \omega, x_1 - \omega, \dots, x_{n-1} - \omega\| \\ &= \|x_0 - x_1 - \frac{2\gamma}{\beta}(\frac{x_0 + x_n}{2} - x_1), -\frac{2\gamma}{\beta}(\frac{x_0 + x_n}{2} - x_1), \dots, \\ & \quad x_{n-1} - x_1 - \frac{2\gamma}{\beta}(\frac{x_0 + x_n}{2} - x_1)\| \\ &= \|x_0 - x_1, -\frac{2\gamma}{\beta}(\frac{x_0 + x_n}{2} - x_1), x_2 - x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} - x_1\| \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \|x_0 - x_1, x_0 - x_1 + x_n - x_1, x_2 - x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} - x_1\| \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \|x_0 - x_1, x_n - x_1, x_2 - x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} - x_1\| \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \|x_1 - x_0, x_2 - x_0, \dots, x_n - x_0\| \\ &= \gamma \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x_1 - \omega, x_2 - \omega, \dots, x_n - \omega\| \\ &= \|- \frac{2\gamma}{\beta}(\frac{x_0 + x_n}{2} - x_1), x_2 - x_1 - \frac{2\gamma}{\beta}(\frac{x_0 + x_n}{2} - x_1), \dots, \\ & \quad x_n - x_1 - \frac{2\gamma}{\beta}(\frac{x_0 + x_n}{2} - x_1)\| \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \|x_0 - x_1 + x_n - x_1, x_2 - x_1, x_3 - x_1, \dots, x_n - x_1\| \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \|x_0 - x_1, x_2 - x_1, x_3 - x_1, \dots, x_n - x_1\| \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \|x_1 - x_0, x_2 - x_0, x_3 - x_0, \dots, x_n - x_0\| \\ &= \gamma \end{aligned}$$

□

Because of this theorem, some results in [3] can be simplified in condition.

Lemma 3.4 ([3]). *Assume that if x, y and z are 2-collinear then $f(x), f(y)$ and $f(z)$ are 2-collinear and that f satisfies (n DOPP). Then f preserves the n -distance $\frac{1}{k}$ for any positive integer k .*

Theorem 3.5 ([3]). *Assume that if x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m are m -collinear, then $f(x_0), f(x_1), \dots, f(x_m)$ are m -collinear, $m = 2, n$, and that if $y_1 - y_2 = \alpha(y_3 - y_2)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ then $f(y_1) - f(y_2) = \beta(f(y_3) - f(y_2))$ for some $\beta \in (0, 1]$. If f satisfies (n DOPP), then f is an n -isometry.*

Lemma 3.6. *Let X and Y be two linear n -normed spaces, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ preserves equality of n -distance, p is the gauge function for f . Then*

- (1) $p(0) = 0$, that is, if x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n are n -collinear, then $f(x_0), f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)$ are n -collinear.
- (2) If f is n -continuous at $\theta \neq x_0 \in X$, then p is continuous.

Proof. (1) $p(0) = p(\|u, \dots, u\|) = \|f(u) - f(\theta), \dots, f(u) - f(\theta)\| = 0$.

If x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n are n -collinear, then

$$\|x_1 - x_0, \dots, x_n - x_0\| = 0.$$

Because $p(0) = 0$, that is

$$\|f(x_1) - f(x_0), \dots, f(x_n) - f(x_0)\| = 0.$$

Then $f(x_1) - f(x_0), \dots, f(x_n) - f(x_0)$ are linearly dependent. That is, $f(x_0), f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)$ are n -collinear.

(2) For any $\varepsilon > 0, t_0 \in R_+^0$,

(a) Let $t_0 = 0$. By Remark 3.2, select $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in X$ such that

$$\|x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = 1.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |p(t) - p(0)| &= p(t) \\ &= p(t\|x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|) \\ &= \|f(x_0) - f(\theta), f(\sqrt[n]{t}x_1) - f(\theta), \dots, f(\sqrt[n]{t}x_{n-1}) - f(\theta)\| \\ &= \|f(\theta) - f(x_0), f(\sqrt[n]{t}x_1) - f(x_0), \dots, f(\sqrt[n]{t}x_{n-1}) - f(x_0)\|. \end{aligned}$$

For any $t \in R_+^0$, since f is n -continuous at x_0 and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta - x_0, \sqrt[n]{t}x_1 - x_0, \dots, \sqrt[n]{t}x_{n-1} - x_0\| &= \|x_0, \sqrt[n]{t}x_1, \dots, \sqrt[n]{t}x_{n-1}\| \\ &= |t|\|x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \\ &= |t|. \end{aligned}$$

When $|t| < \delta$, we have $|p(t) - p(0)| < \varepsilon$. That is, p is continuous at 0.

(b) If $t_0 \neq 0$. By Remark 3.2, there exist $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in X$, such that

$$\|\frac{1}{t_0}x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = 1.$$

For any $t \in R_+^0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |p(t) - p(t_0)| \\ &= |p(t\|\frac{1}{t_0}x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|) - p(t_0\|\frac{1}{t_0}x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|)| \\ &= |p(\|\frac{t}{t_0}x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|) - p(\|x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|)| \\ &= \|f(\frac{t}{t_0}x_0) - f(\theta), f(x_1) - f(\theta), \dots, f(x_{n-1}) - f(\theta)\| \\ &\quad - \|f(x_0) - f(\theta), f(x_1) - f(\theta), \dots, f(x_{n-1}) - f(\theta)\| \\ &\leq \|f(\frac{t}{t_0}x_0) - f(x_0), f(x_1) - f(\theta), \dots, f(x_{n-1}) - f(\theta)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{t}{t_0}x_0, x_0, \theta$ are 2-collinear, from (1), $f(\frac{t}{t_0}x_0), f(x_0), f(\theta)$ are 2-collinear. That is

$$f(\theta) - f(x_0) = k(f(\frac{t}{t_0}x_0) - f(x_0))$$

for some real number k . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(\frac{t}{t_0}x_0) - f(x_0), f(x_1) - f(\theta), \dots, f(x_{n-1}) - f(\theta)\| \\ &= \|f(\frac{t}{t_0}x_0) - f(x_0), f(x_1) - f(\theta) + k(f(\frac{t}{t_0}x_0) - f(x_0)), \dots, \\ &\quad f(x_{n-1}) - f(\theta) + k(f(\frac{t}{t_0}x_0) - f(x_0))\| \\ &= \|f(\frac{t}{t_0}x_0) - f(x_0), f(x_1) - f(x_0), \dots, f(x_{n-1}) - f(x_0)\|. \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis, f is n -continuous at x_0 . When

$$\begin{aligned} \|\frac{t}{t_0}x_0 - x_0, x_1 - x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} - x_0\| &= |t - t_0| \|\frac{1}{t_0}x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \\ &= |t - t_0| < \delta. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\|f(\frac{t}{t_0}x_0) - f(x_0), f(x_1) - f(x_0), \dots, f(x_{n-1}) - f(x_0)\| < \varepsilon.$$

That is, if $|t - t_0| < \delta$, then

$$|p(t) - p(t_0)| \leq \|f(\frac{t}{t_0}x_0) - f(x_0), f(x_1) - f(x_0), \dots, f(x_{n-1}) - f(x_0)\| < \varepsilon$$

To sum up, if f is n -continuous at x_0 , p is a continuous function. □

Lemma 3.7. *Let X, Y, f, p be as in Lemma 3.6. If $p \neq 0$, then f is injective.*

Proof. If f is not injective, assume there are $u, v \in X$ and $u \neq v$, but $f(u) = f(v)$.

Since $p \neq 0$, there exists $0 \neq \alpha \in R_+^0$, such that $p(\beta) = \alpha$.

Since $u - v \neq \theta$, by Remark 3.2, we could find $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in X$ such that

$$\|u - v, x_1 - v, \dots, x_{n-1} - v\| = \beta.$$

Thus

$$\|f(u) - f(v), f(x_1) - f(v), \dots, f(x_{n-1}) - f(v)\| = p(\beta) = \alpha > 0,$$

which is a contradiction to

$$\|f(u) - f(v), f(x_1) - f(v), \dots, f(x_{n-1}) - f(v)\| = 0,$$

as $f(u) = f(v)$. □

Lemma 3.8. *Let X, Y, f, p be as in Lemma 3.6. If p is injective, $f(\theta) = \theta$, then u and v are linearly dependent if and only if $f(u)$ and $f(v)$ are linearly dependent.*

Theorem 3.9. *Let X and Y be two linear n -normed spaces, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ preserves equality of n -distance, p is the gauge function for f . If p is injective, f is n -continuous at some point $\theta \neq x_0 \in X$, then $f = \sqrt[n]{\lambda}g$, where g is a n -isometry.*

Proof. Let $h(x) = f(x) - f(\theta)$. Then h is a mapping of preserving equality of n -distance with the same gauge function as f . And $h(\theta) = \theta$. Thus we may assume that $f(\theta) = \theta$. By Lemma 3.6, we know p is continuous. Thus, in order to show p is linear, we only need to prove that it is additive. For any $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in R_+^0$, assume $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$ (as $\gamma_i = 0, i=1,2$ are trivial), select $\gamma_0 > 0$ such that $\gamma_i < \gamma_0, i=1,2$. Since $p(0) = 0$ and p is injective, p is monotone increasing function on R_+^0 . So $p(\gamma_i) < p(\gamma_0), i=1,2$. By Lemma 3.7, f is injective, thus there exists $\omega \neq \theta$ in Y such that $f^{-1}(\omega) \neq \theta$.

By Remark 3.2, we can find $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in X$, such that

$$\|f^{-1}(\omega), x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = \gamma_0.$$

Thus, we have

$$\|(\omega), f(x_1), \dots, f(x_{n-1})\| = p(\|f^{-1}(\omega), x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|) = p(\gamma_0) > 0.$$

Set $x_0 = f^{-1}(\frac{p(\gamma_1)}{p(\gamma_0)}\omega)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & p(\|x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|) \\ &= \|f(x_0) - f(\theta), f(x_1) - f(\theta), \dots, f(x_{n-1}) - f(\theta)\| \\ &= \|\frac{p(\gamma_1)}{p(\gamma_0)}\omega, f(x_1), \dots, f(x_{n-1})\| \\ &= \frac{p(\gamma_1)}{p(\gamma_0)}\|(\omega), f(x_1), \dots, f(x_{n-1})\| \\ &= p(\gamma_1). \end{aligned}$$

Since p is injective, so $\|x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = \gamma_1$.

Set $x'_0 = \frac{x_0}{\gamma_1}$, we have

$$\|x'_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = 1, \quad f(\gamma_1 x'_0) = \frac{p(\gamma_1)}{p(\gamma_0)}\omega.$$

Similarly, we could find $x_0'' \in X$ such that

$$\|x_0'', x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = 1, \quad f(\gamma_2 x_0'') = -\frac{p(\gamma_2)}{p(\gamma_0)}\omega.$$

Because $\gamma_1 x_0'$ and $-\gamma_2 x_0'$ are linearly dependent, by Lemma 3.8, so are $f(\gamma_1 x_0')$ and $f(-\gamma_2 x_0')$, $f(-\gamma_2 x_0') = kf(\gamma_1 x_0')$ for some real number k .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{And also, we have } p(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) &= p(\|(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)x_0', x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|) \\ &= p(\|\gamma_1 x_0' - (-\gamma_2 x_0'), x_1 - (-\gamma_2 x_0'), \dots, x_{n-1} - (-\gamma_2 x_0')\|) \\ &= \|f(\gamma_1 x_0') - f(-\gamma_2 x_0'), f(x_1) - f(-\gamma_2 x_0'), \dots, f(x_{n-1}) - f(-\gamma_2 x_0')\| \\ &\leq \|f(\gamma_1 x_0'), f(x_1) - f(-\gamma_2 x_0'), \dots, f(x_{n-1}) - f(-\gamma_2 x_0')\| \\ &\quad + \|f(-\gamma_2 x_0'), f(x_1) - f(-\gamma_2 x_0'), \dots, f(x_{n-1}) - f(-\gamma_2 x_0')\| \\ &= \|f(\gamma_1 x_0'), f(x_1), \dots, f(x_{n-1})\| + \|f(-\gamma_2 x_0'), f(x_1), \dots, f(x_{n-1})\| \\ &= p(\|\gamma_1 x_0', x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|) + p(\|-\gamma_2 x_0', x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|) \\ &= p(\gamma_1) + p(\gamma_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(\gamma_1 x_0')$ and $f(\gamma_2 x_0'')$ are linearly dependent, from Lemma 3.8, $-\gamma_2 x_0'' = l(\gamma_1 x_0' - \gamma_2 x_0'')$ for some real number l . Because $f(\gamma_1 x_0')$ and $-f(\gamma_2 x_0'')$ are linearly dependent with the same direction, from Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(\gamma_1) + p(\gamma_2) &= p(\|\gamma_1 x_0', x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|) + p(\|\gamma_2 x_0'', x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|) \\ &= \|f(\gamma_1 x_0'), f(x_1), \dots, f(x_{n-1})\| + \| -f(\gamma_2 x_0''), f(x_1), \dots, f(x_{n-1}) \| \\ &= \|f(\gamma_1 x_0') - f(\gamma_2 x_0''), f(x_1), \dots, f(x_{n-1})\| \\ &= \|f(\gamma_1 x_0') - f(\gamma_2 x_0''), f(x_1) - f(\gamma_2 x_0''), \dots, f(x_{n-1}) - f(\gamma_2 x_0'')\| \\ &= p(\|\gamma_1 x_0' - \gamma_2 x_0'', x_1 - \gamma_2 x_0'', \dots, x_{n-1} - \gamma_2 x_0''\|) \\ &= p(\|\gamma_1 x_0' - \gamma_2 x_0'', x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|) \\ &\leq p(\|\gamma_1 x_0', x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| + \|\gamma_2 x_0'', x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|) \\ &= p(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2). \end{aligned}$$

That is $p(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) = p(\gamma_1) + p(\gamma_2)$.

Until now, we have got p is linear on R_+^0 . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x_1) - f(x_0), \dots, f(x_n) - f(x_0)\| &= p(\|x_1 - x_0, \dots, x_n - x_0\|) \\ &= \lambda \|x_1 - x_0, \dots, x_n - x_0\|. \end{aligned}$$

Set $g = \frac{f}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$, then g is a n -isometry. □

A direct application of Theorem 3.3 in [4] yields the following corollary:

Corollary 3.10. *Let X and Y be two linear n -normed spaces, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ preserves equality of n -distance, p be the gauge function for f . If p is injective, f is n -continuous at some point $\theta \neq x_0 \in X$, then f is affine.*

Acknowledgements. I am deeply grateful to Professor Guanggui Ding for his great encouragement and many helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.A. Baker, *Isometries in normed spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly. (1971), 655–658.
- [2] H. Y. Chu, *On the Mazur-Ulam problem in linear 2-normed spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **327** (2007), 1041–1045.
- [3] H. Y. Chu, K. H. Lee and C. K. Park, *On the Aleksandrov problem in linear n -normed spaces*, Nonlinear. Anal. TMA., **59**(2004), 1001–1011.
- [4] H. Y. Chu, et al., *Mappings of conservative distances in linear n -normed spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis TMA., doi:10.1016/j.na.2008.02.002
- [5] H. Y. Chu, S. H. Ku and D. S. Kang, *Characterizations on 2-isometries*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **340** (2008), 621–628.
- [6] R. Hu, *On the maps preserving the equality of 2-distance*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **343** (2008), 1161–1165.
- [7] W. J. Jia, *On the mappings preserving the equality of 2-distance*, submitted
- [8] A. Misiak, *n -inner product spaces*, Math. Nachr. **140** (1989), 299–319.
- [9] A. Misiak, *Orthogonality and orthogonormality in n -inner product spaces*, Math. Nachr., **143** (1989), 249–261.
- [10] S. Mazur and S. Ulam, *Sur les transformations isometriques d'espaces vectoriels normes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. **194** (1932), 946–948.