



EXTREME POINTS OF A NEW CLASS OF HARMONIC MULTIVALENT FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY GENERALIZED DERIVATIVE OPERATOR INVOLVING METTAG-LEFFLER FUNCTION

Aqeel Ketab Al-khafaji

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education for Pure Sciences

University of Babylon, Babylon 51002, Iraq

e-mail: aqeelketab@gmail.com

Abstract. In this paper, we have introduced and studied a new class of multivalent harmonic function associated with generalized derivative operator involving Mettag-Leffler function. Several results like, coefficient inequality, Hadamard product (convolution), extreme points, convex linear combination for functions belong to this class are obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

Denote by $\mathfrak{A}(p)$ the function class represented by the following form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} a_j z^j, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and multivalent in open unit disc $\mathfrak{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Using the generalized hypergeometric function Dziok and Srivastava [8] defined the multivalent function $\mathcal{X}_p(a_1, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_s; z)$ as follows

$$\mathcal{X}_p(a_1, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_s; z) = z^p + \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (a_i)_{j-p}}{\prod_{n=1}^s (b_n)_{j-p}} \frac{z^j}{(j-p)!}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N} \quad (1.2)$$

⁰Received April 30, 2020. Revised June 14, 2020. Accepted June 21, 2020.

⁰2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C50, 30C55.

⁰Keywords: Harmonic multivalent function, coefficient inequality, convex linear combination, extreme points, Mettag-Leffler function.

where $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $b_s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$, $(i = 1, \dots, r, n = 1, \dots, s)$ and $r \leq s + 1$, $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and the Pochhammer $(v)_j$ symbol is defined by

$$(v)_j = \frac{\Gamma(v+j)}{\Gamma(v)} = \begin{cases} v(v+1)\dots(v+j-1), & j = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ 1, & j = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $v \in \mathbb{C}$ and $Re(v) > 0$ and $\Gamma(v)$ denotes the Gamma function. The Mittag-Leffler function $E_v(z)$ (see [15],[16]) which described below. Also, Wiman [21] introduced generalization $E_{v,\varrho}(z)$ of the same function

$$E_v(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{\Gamma(vj+1)}$$

and

$$E_{v,\varrho}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{\Gamma(vj+\varrho)}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $v, \varrho \in \mathbb{C}$, $Re(v) > 0$ and $Re(\varrho) > 0$.

In the recent years, interest in the Mittag-Leffler function has increased for use in application problems like, electric network, fluid flow, probability, statistical distribution theory, etc. For more information on this function and its applications (see [3], [4], [11], [12], [18] and [20]).

Geometric properties for the function $E_{v,\varrho}(z)$ including starlikeness, convexity and closed-to-convex were recently investigated by Bansal and Prajapat in [5]. Furthermore, certain result on partial sum of the Mittag-Leffler function were also obtained in [17]. Some special cases (see [17]) of Mittag-Leffler function $E_{v,\varrho}(z)$ are:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{2,1}(z) &= z \cosh \sqrt{z} \\ E_{2,2}(z) &= \sqrt{z} \sinh(\sqrt{z}) \\ E_{2,3}(z) &= 2 [\cosh(\sqrt{z}) - 1] \\ E_{2,4}(z) &= 6 [\sinh(\sqrt{z}) - \sqrt{z}] / \sqrt{z}. \end{aligned}$$

For $f(z) \in \mathfrak{A}(p)$, using Equations (1.2) and (1.3), Elhaddad and Darus [10] introduced the generalization differential operator

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1) f(z) = z^p + \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p+(j-p)\lambda}{p} \right)^m \frac{\Upsilon_{(j-p,v,\varrho)}(a_r, b_s)}{(j-p)!} a_j z^j, \tag{1.4}$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\Upsilon_{(j-p,v,\varrho)}(a_r, b_s)$ is given by

$$\Upsilon_{(j-p,v,\varrho)}(a_r, b_s) = \frac{\Gamma(\varrho)}{\Gamma(v(j-p)+\varrho)} \left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (a_r)_{j-p}}{\prod_{n=1}^s (b_s)_{j-p}} \right),$$

which includes well-known operators like, the Elhaddad et al. operator (see [12],[13]), the Al-Oboudi operator [2], the Dozik and Srivastava operator [8], the Ruscheweyh operator [19], the Hohlov [14] and the Carlson and Shaffer operator [6].

A continuous function $f = u+iv$ is called complex-valued harmonic function in a domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ if both u and v are real harmonic functions in D . In any simply connected domain, denoted by S_H the class of all functions of the form $f = h+\bar{g}$ that are harmonic, univalent, normalized and sense preserving within the open unit disc \mathfrak{U} where h and g are analytic in \mathfrak{U} . We call h the analytic part and g the co-analytic part of f . Clunie and Sheil-Small [7] initiated the study on these functions by introducing the class S_H , they gave necessary and sufficient conditions for f to be locally univalent and sense preserving in \mathfrak{U} , also, see Ref. [2]. Note that if the co-analytic part of f is zero, then the class S_H reduces to S , the class of normalized univalent analytic functions.

Multivalent harmonic functions in \mathfrak{U} were introduced by Duren, Hengartner and Laugesen in [9] via the argument principle. Denoted by $H_p(n)$ ($p, n \in \mathbb{N}$), the class of all p -valent harmonic functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ that are sense-preserving in \mathfrak{U} where h and g are of the form

$$h(z) = z^p + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{j+p-1} z^{j+p-1}, g(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{j+p-1} z^{j+p-1}. \tag{1.5}$$

In this work we modified the operator $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1) f(z)$ in Equation (1.4), of harmonic functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ as

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1) f(z) = \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1) h(z) + \overline{\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1) g(z)}, \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1)h(z) = z^p + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p+(j-1)\lambda}{p}\right)^m \frac{\Upsilon_{(j-1,v,\varrho)}(a_r, b_s)}{(j-1)!} a_{j+p-1} z^{j+p-1}$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1) g(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p+(j-1)\lambda}{p}\right)^m \frac{\Upsilon_{(j-1,v,\varrho)}(a_r, b_s)}{(j-1)!} b_{j+p-1} z^{j+p-1} .$$

Using the operator $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1) f(z)$, we introduce the class $H_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$ of harmonic multivalent functions in the following definition:

Definition 1.1. For $0 \leq \theta < 1$, the function $f = h + \bar{g}$ is in the class $H_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$ if satisfy the inequality

$$Re \left\{ \frac{\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1) h(z) + \overline{\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1) g(z)}}{(1-\gamma)z^p + \gamma \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1) h(z) + \gamma \overline{\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1) g(z)}} \right\} \geq \theta, \tag{1.7}$$

$|z| = r < 1.$

Let $\overline{H}_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$ denote the subclass of $H_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$ consisting of harmonic multivalent functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ so that h and g are of the form:

$$h(z) = z^p - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} |a_{j+p-1}| z^{j+p-1}, \quad g(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b_{j+p-1}| z^{j+p-1}. \tag{1.8}$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

In our first theorem, we give the sufficient coefficient condition for harmonic functions belonging to the class $H_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ be given by (1.5). If

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left(|a_{j+p-1}| + \frac{(1+\theta)}{(1-\theta)} |b_{j+p-1}| \right) \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} - \frac{(1+\theta)}{(1-\theta)} |b_p|, \tag{2.1}$$

where $|b_p| < (1-\theta)/(1+\theta)$, $0 \leq \theta < 1$, $0 < \gamma < 1$ and $\Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho)$ is given by

$$\Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) = \left(\frac{p+(j-1)\lambda}{p} \right)^m \frac{\Upsilon_{(j-1, v, \varrho)}(a_r, b_s)}{(j-1)!}, \tag{2.2}$$

then $f \in H_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$.

Proof. Now, in order to prove that $f \in H_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$. From Equation (1.7), we can write

$$Re \left\{ \frac{\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1) h(z) - \overline{\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1) g(z)}}{(1-\gamma)z^p + \gamma \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1) h(z) + \gamma \overline{\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1) g(z)}} \right\} \\ = Re \left\{ \frac{L(z)}{K(z)} \right\} \geq \theta,$$

where

$$L(z) = \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1) h(z) - \overline{\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1) g(z)} \\ = z^p + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) a_{j+p-1} z^{j+p-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) a_{j+p-1} \bar{z}^{j+p-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(z) &= (1 - \gamma) z^p + \gamma \widetilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1) h(z) + \overline{\gamma \widetilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1) g(z)} \\
 &= z^p + \gamma \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) a_{j+p-1} z^{j+p-1} + \gamma \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) a_{j+p-1} \bar{z}^{j+p-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $Re(w) \geq \alpha$ if and only if $|1 - \mu + w| \geq |1 + \mu - w|$, it suffices to show that

$$|L(z) + (1 - \mu) K(z)| - |L(z) - (1 + \mu) K(z)| \geq 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Substituting $L(z)$ and $K(z)$ in (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|L(z) + (1 - \mu) K(z)| - |L(z) - (1 + \mu) K(z)| \\
 &= \left| (2 - \theta) z^p + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (2 - \theta) \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) a_{j+p-1} z^{j+p-1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) a_{j+p-1} \bar{z}^{j+p-1} \right| \\
 &\quad - \left| -\theta z^p + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [\gamma - (1 + \theta) \gamma] \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) a_{j+p-1} z^{j+p-1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2 + \theta) \gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) a_{j+p-1} \bar{z}^{j+p-1} \right| \\
 &\geq (2 - \theta) |z|^p - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (2 - \theta) \gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) |a_{j+p-1}| |z|^{j+p-1} \\
 &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) |b_{j+p-1}| |z|^{j+p-1} \\
 &= -\theta |z|^p - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [\gamma - (1 + \theta) \gamma] \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) |a_{j+p-1}| |z|^{j+p-1} \\
 &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2 + \theta) \gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) |b_{j+p-1}| |z|^{j+p-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 2(1-\theta)|z|^p \left\{ 1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) |a_{j+p-1}| |z|^{j-1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\theta)}{(1-\theta)} \gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) |b_{j+p-1}| |z|^{j-1} \right\} \\
 &= 2(1-\theta) \left\{ 1 - \frac{(1+\theta)}{(1-\theta)} \gamma |b_p| - \left(\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} |a_{j+p-1}| + \frac{(1+\theta)}{(1-\theta)} |b_{j+p-1}| \right) \gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality (2.1), we note that the last equation is non-negative. This implies that $f \in H_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$. This completes the proof. \square

Now, we show that the condition (2.1) is also necessary for the function $f = h + \bar{g}$ to belong to $\overline{H}_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$, where h and g are given by (1.8).

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ be given by (1.8). Then $f \in \overline{H}_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left(|a_{j+p-1}| + \frac{(1+\theta)}{(1-\theta)} |b_{j+p-1}| \right) \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} - \frac{(1+\theta)}{(1-\theta)} |b_p|, \quad (2.4)$$

where $|b_p| < (1-\theta)/(1+\theta)$, $0 \leq \theta < 1$, $0 < \gamma < 1$ and $\Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho)$ is given by Equation (2.2).

Proof. Since $\overline{H}_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta) \subset H_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$, we need only to prove the "only if" part of the theorem. For functions $f \in \overline{H}_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$, we notice that the condition (1.7) is equivalent to

$$Re \left\{ \frac{\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1) h(z) + \overline{\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1) g(z)}}{(1-\gamma)z^p + \gamma \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1) h(z) + \gamma \overline{\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho, a_1, b_1) g(z)}} - \theta \right\} \geq 0,$$

or equivalent to

$$Re \left\{ \frac{M(z)}{N(z)} \right\} \geq 0, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(z) &= -\gamma z^p + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (\theta\gamma - 1) \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) |a_{j+p-1}| z^{j+p-1} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (1-\theta\gamma) \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) |b_{j+p-1}| z^{j+p-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$N(z) = z^p - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) |a_{j+p-1}| z^{j+p-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) |b_{j+p-1}| z^{j+p-1}.$$

Now, the last inequality (2.5) must hold for all values of z in U . Choosing the value of z on the positive real axis where $0 \leq z = r < 1$, we should have

$$\frac{(1-\theta\gamma)|b_p| - \gamma + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) ((\theta\gamma - 1)|a_{j+p-1}| + (1-\theta\gamma)|b_{j+p-1}|) r^{j-1}}{1 + \gamma|b_p| + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) (|b_{j+p-1}| - |a_{j+p-1}|) r^{j-1}} \geq 0. \tag{2.6}$$

We notice that the expression in the Equation (2.6) is negative for r sufficiently close to 1, when the condition (2.4) does not hold, hence there exist $z_0 = r_0$ in $(0, 1)$ for which the quotient in (2.6) is negative, this contradicts the required condition for $f \in \overline{H}_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta, v, \varrho, a_1, b_1)$ and the proof is completed. \square

We consider the extreme points of closed convex hull of $\overline{H}_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$, denoted by $clco \overline{H}_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let f be given by Equation (1.8). Then $f \in clco \overline{H}_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$ if and only if f can be expressed in the form:*

$$f(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [x_{j+p-1} h_{j+p-1}(z) + y_{j+p-1} g_{j+p-1}(z)], \tag{2.7}$$

where $h_p(z) = z^p$, $h_{j+p-1}(z) = z^p - \frac{1}{\gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho)} z^{j+p-1}$, ($j \geq 2, 3, \dots$) and

$$g_{j+p-1}(z) = z^p + \frac{(1-\theta)}{\gamma(1+\theta) \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho)} \bar{z}^{j+p-1}, (j \geq 1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

$\Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho)$ is given by Equation (2.2), $x_{j+p-1} \geq 0$, $y_{j+p-1} \geq 0$, and

$$x_p = 1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} x_{j+p-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} y_{j+p-1}.$$

In particular, the extreme points of $\overline{H}_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$ are $\{h_{j+p-1}\}$ and $\{g_{j+p-1}\}$.

Proof. Let f be of the form in Equation (2.7), then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(z) &= x_p h_p(z) + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} x_{j+p-1} \left(z^p - \frac{1}{\gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho)} z^{j+p-1} \right) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_{j+p-1} \left(z^p + \frac{(1-\theta)}{\gamma(1+\theta) \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho)} \bar{z}^{j+p-1} \right) \\
 &= z^p - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho)} x_{j+p-1} z^{j+p-1} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\theta)}{\gamma(1+\theta) \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho)} y_{j+p-1} \bar{z}^{j+p-1}. \tag{2.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, let

$$|a_{j+p-1}| = \frac{1}{\gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho)} x_{j+p-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 |b_{j+p-1}| &= \frac{(1-\theta)}{\gamma(1+\theta) \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho)} y_{j+p-1} \\
 &= \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) \left(\frac{1}{\Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) \gamma} x_{j+p-1} \right) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\theta) \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho)}{(1-\theta)} \left(\frac{(1-\theta)}{\gamma(1+\theta) \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho)} y_{j+p-1} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} x_{j+p-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} y_{j+p-1} \\
 &= 1 - x_p \leq 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f \in clco \overline{H}_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$.

Conversely, if $f \in clco \overline{H}_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$. Set

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_{k+p-1} &= \gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) a_{j+p-1}, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, \\
 y_{j+p-1} &= \frac{\gamma(1+\theta) \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho)}{(1-\theta)} b_{j+p-1}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots,
 \end{aligned}$$

and using

$$x_p = 1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} x_{j+p-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} y_{j+p-1}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(z) &= z^p - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} |a_{j+p-1}| z^{j+p-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b_{j+p-1}| \bar{z}^{j+p-1} \\
 &= z^p - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{x_{j+p-1}}{\Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) \gamma} z^{j+p-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\theta)}{\gamma(1+\theta) \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho)} y_{k+p-1} \bar{z}^{j+p-1} \\
 &= x_p z^p - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} x_{j+p-1} \left(z^p - \frac{1}{\gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho)} z^{j+p-1} \right) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} y_{j+p-1} \left(z^p + \frac{(1-\theta)}{\gamma(1+\theta) \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho)} \bar{z}^{j+p-1} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [x_{k+p-1} h_{k+p-1}(z) + x_{k+p-1} h_{k+p-1}(\bar{z})].
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Next, we want to prove two theorems, the first theorem is about convolution for the class $\overline{H}_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$ and secondly, we prove that the class $\overline{H}_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$ is closed under convex combination. The convolution of two multivalent harmonic functions

$$f_1(z) = z^p - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{j+p-1} z^{j+p-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{j+p-1} \bar{z}^{j+p-1} \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$f_2(z) = z^p - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} c_{j+p-1} z^{j+p-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{j+p-1} \bar{z}^{k+p-1} \tag{2.10}$$

is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (f_1 * f_2)(z) &= f_1(z) * f_2(z) \\
 &= z^p - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{j+p-1} c_{j+p-1} z^{j+p-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{j+p-1} d_{j+p-1} \bar{z}^{j+p-1}. \tag{2.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using this definition, we show that the class $\overline{H}_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$ is closed under convolution.

Theorem 2.4. For $0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \theta < 1$, $0 < \gamma < 1$. Let $f_1 \in \overline{H}_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$ and $f_2 \in \overline{H}_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta_1)$. Then

$$f_1 * f_2 \in \overline{H}_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta) \subset \overline{H}_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta_1).$$

Proof. Let

$$f_1(z) = z^p - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{j+p-1} z^{j+p-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{j+p-1} \bar{z}^{j+p-1}$$

be in the class $\overline{H}_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$ and

$$f_2(z) = z^p - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} c_{j+p-1} z^{j+p-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{j+p-1} \bar{z}^{k+p-1}$$

be in the class $\overline{H}_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta_1)$. Then the convolution $f_1 * f_2$ is given by Equation (2.11), we want to show that the coefficients of $f_1 * f_2$ satisfies the required condition given in Theorem 2.1.

For $f_2 \in \overline{H}_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta_1)$, we note that $c_{k+p-1} < 1$ and $d_{k+p-1} < 1$. Now consider convolution functions $f_1 * f_2$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) a_{j+p-1} c_{j+p-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \theta_1)}{(1 - \theta_1)} \gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) b_{j+p-1} d_{j+p-1} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) a_{j+p-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \theta_1)}{(1 - \theta_1)} \gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) b_{j+p-1} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) a_{j+p-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \theta)}{(1 - \theta)} \gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) b_{j+p-1} \\ & \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

Next, we introduce the last theorem in this paper of the class $\overline{H}_{\lambda,p}^m(\gamma, \theta)$.

Theorem 2.5. *The class $\overline{H}_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta)$ is closed under convex combinations.*

Proof. For $s = 1, 2, \dots$, suppose that $f_{n,s} \in \overline{H}_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta)$, where $f_{n,s}$ is given by

$$f_{n,s}(z) = z^p - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} |a_{s,j+p-1}| z^{j+p-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_{s,j+p-1}| \bar{z}^{j+p-1}.$$

Then by Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) |a_{s,j+p-1}| + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \theta)}{(1 - \theta)} \gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) |b_{s,j+p-1}| \leq 1. \tag{2.12}$$

For $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} t_s = 1$, $0 \leq t_s \leq 1$, the convex combinations of $f_{n,s}$ written as

$$\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} t_s f_{n,s}(z) = z^p - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} t_s |a_{s+p-1}| \right) z^{j+p-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} t_s |b_{s+p-1}| \right) z^{+p-1}.$$

Now, by using the inequality (2.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} t_s |a_{s,j+p-1}| \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \theta_1)}{(1 - \theta_1)} \gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} t_s |b_{s,j+p-1}| \right) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} t_s \left(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) a_{s,k+p-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \theta_1)}{(1 - \theta_1)} \gamma \Omega_{\lambda,p}^m(v, \varrho) b_{s,k+p-1} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} t_s = 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is the required coefficient condition. □

Acknowledgments: The author wants to thank the judge(s) for his helpful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.K. AL-khafaji, W.G. Atshan and S.S. Abed, *On the generalization of a class of harmonic univalent functions defined by differential operator*, Mathematics, **6**(12) (2018), 312.
- [2] F.M. Al-Oboudi, *On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator*, Int. J. of Math. Math. Sci., **2004**:27 (2004), 1429-1436.
- [3] M.K. Aouf and T.M. Seoudy, *Some preserving sandwich results of certain operator containing a generalized Mittag-Leffler function*, Boletn de la Soc. Matematica Mexi., **25**(3) (2019), 577-588.
- [4] A.A. Attiya, *Some applications of Mittag-Leffler function in the unit disk*, Filomat, **30**(7) (2016), 2075-2081.
- [5] D. Bansal and J.K. Prajapat, *Certain geometric properties of the Mittag-Leffler functions*, Complex Vari. and Elliptic Equ., **61**(3) (2016), 338-350.
- [6] B.C. Carlson and D.B. Shaffer, *Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **15**(4) (1984), 737-745.
- [7] J. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small, *Harmonic univalent functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fen. Ser. Al Math., **9** (1984), 3-25.
- [8] J. Dziok and H.M. Srivastava, *Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, Appl. Math. Comput., **103**(1) (1999), 1-13.
- [9] P. Duren, W. Hengartner and R. Laugesen, *The augment principle for harmonic mappings*, Amer. Math. Month., **103**(5) (1996), 411-415.
- [10] S. Elhaddad and M. Darus, *Certain properties on analytic p-valent functions*, Comput. Science, **15**(1) (2020), 433-442.
- [11] S. Elhaddad and M. Darus, *On meromorphic functions defined by a new operator containing the MittagLeffler function*, Symmetry, **11**(2) (2019), 210.

- [12] S. Elhaddad, H. Aldweby and M. Darus, *Majorization properties for subclass of analytic p -valent functions associated with generalized differential operator involving Mittag-Leffler function*, *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **23**(4) (2018), 743-753.
- [13] S. Elhaddad, M. Darus and H. Aldweby, *On certain subclasses of analytic functions involving differential operator*, *Jnanabha*, **48** (2018), 53-62.
- [14] Y.E. Hohlov, *Operators and operations on the class of univalent functions*, *Izvestiya Vysshikh Uchebnykh Zavedenii. Matematika*, **10** (1978), 83-89.
- [15] G.M. Mittag-Leffler, *Sur la nouvelle fonction $Ea(x)$* , *CR Acad. Sci. Paris*, **137**(2) (1903), 554-558.
- [16] G.M. Mittag-Leffler, *Sur la representation analytique d'une branche uniforme d'une fonction monogene*, *Acta Math.*, **29**(1) (1905), 101-181.
- [17] D. Răducanu, *On partial sums of normalized Mittag-Leffler functions*, *Analele Universitatii Ovidius Constanta-Seria Matematica*, **25**(2) (2017), 123-133.
- [18] D. Răducanu *Third-order differential subordinations for analytic functions associated with generalized Mittag-Leffler functions*, *Mediterranean J. Math.*, **14**(4) (2017), 167.
- [19] S. Ruscheweyh, *New criteria for univalent functions*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **49** (1975), 109-115.
- [20] H.M. Srivastava, B. A. Frasin and V. Pescar, *Univalence of integral operators involving Mittag-Leffler functions*, *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.*, **11**(3) (2017), 635-641.
- [21] A. Wiman, *Über den fundamentalsatz in der theorie der Funktionen $Ea(x)$* , *Acta Math.*, **29** (1905), 191-201.