Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications Vol. 15, No. 4 (2010), pp. 669-683

http://nfaa.kyungnam.ac.kr/jour-nfaa.htm Copyright \bigodot 2010 Kyungnam University Press

A HILBERT-TYPE INTEGRAL INEQUALITY IN THE FINITE INTERVAL

Bicheng Yang

Department of Mathematics, Guangdong Education Institute, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510303, P. R. China e-mail: bcyang@pub.guangzhou.gd.cn

Abstract. In this paper, by using the methods of real analysis and functional analysis, a Hilbert-type integral inequality in the finite interval (0, b) $(0 < b < \infty)$ with the homogeneous kernel of $(-\lambda)$ -degree and a best constant factor is given. We also consider its operator expression. A few improved results, the equivalent forms and some new inequalities with the particular kernels are obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f, g(\geq 0) \in L^2(0, \infty)$, $||f|| = \{\int_0^\infty f^2(x)dx\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $||g|| = \{\int_0^\infty g^2(x)dx\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then we have the following Hilbert's integral inequality [1]:

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy \le \pi ||f|| \cdot ||g||, \tag{1.1}$$

where the constant factor π is the best possible. Inequality (1.1) is important in analysis and its applications ([1,2]). Define an integral operator $T: L^2(0,\infty) \to L^2(0,\infty)$, for $f(\geq 0) \in L^2(0,\infty)$,

$$T(f)(y) := \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{x+y} dx (y \in (0,\infty)).$$
(1.2)

Then inequality (1.1) is rewritten to

$$(Tf,g) \le \pi ||f|| \cdot ||g||,$$

where

$$(Tf,g) := \int_0^\infty (\int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{x+y} dx) g(y) dy$$

⁰Received November 27, 2009. Revised December 28, 2009.

 $^{^02000}$ Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A07, 26D15.

⁰Keywords: Hilbert-type integral inequality, homogenous kernel.

is the inner product of Tf and g. We named of T Hilbert integral operator. By (1.1), we can prove that the equivalent form is

$$||Tf|| \le \pi ||f||,$$

and conclude that [3]

$$||T|| = \pi.$$

If we replace $\frac{1}{x+y}$ by a bilinear function $k(x,y) \geq 0$ in (1.1), then the problem is how to make sure the conditions of k(x,y) for giving an integral operator T as (1.2) and the inequality with a best constant factor as (1.1).

In recent years, Yang [4,5] considered the case of k(x, y) being continuous and symmetric in the function space $L^p(0, \infty)$, Yang [6,7,8] considered the same case of k(x, y) in the disperse space l^p , and Zhong et al. [9] considered the case of k(x, y) in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$. But their given conditions are not quite simple.

In 1998, by introducing $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ and the Beta function B(u, v) as [10]:

$$B(u,v) := \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+t)^{u+v}} t^{-u+1} dt (u,v>0), \qquad (1.3)$$

Yang [11] gave an extension of (1.1) in the subinterval $(0, b)(0 < b < \infty)$ as:

$$\int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{b} \frac{f(x)g(y)dxdy}{(x+y)^{\lambda}} \le k_{\lambda} \{\int_{0}^{b} \sigma(x)x^{1-\lambda}f^{2}(x)dx \int_{0}^{b} \sigma(x)x^{1-\lambda}g^{2}(x)dx\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
(1.4)

where $k_{\lambda} = B(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2})$ and $\sigma(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\frac{x}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}$. When $\lambda = 1, b \to \infty$, inequality (1.4) deduces to (1.1). In recent years, a number of papers studied some improvements and extensions of (1.4) (cf. [12-15]).

In this paper, a simple condition of the homogeneous kernel $k_{\lambda}(x, y)$ with $(-\lambda)$ -degree $(\lambda > 0)$ is considered. By using the methods of real analysis and functional analysis, a Hilbert-type integral inequality in the finite interval (0, b) with the homogeneous kernel of $(-\lambda)$ -degree and a best constant factor and its operator expression are given. A few improved results, the equivalent forms and some new inequalities of the particular kernels are obtained.

2. Lemmas and main results

If $\lambda > 0$, the function $k_{\lambda}(x, y)$ is non-negative measurable in $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$, satisfying $k_{\lambda}(ux, uy) = u^{-\lambda}k_{\lambda}(x, y)$ for any u, x, y > 0, then we call $k_{\lambda}(x, y)$ the homogeneous function of $(-\lambda)$ -degree. If for any $x, y > 0, k_{\lambda}(x, y) = k_{\lambda}(y, x)$, then we call $k_{\lambda}(x, y)$ the symmetric homogeneous function. Assume that $r > 1, \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$. Setting $k_{\lambda}(r)$ and $\tilde{k}_{\lambda}(s)$ as

$$k_{\lambda}(r) := \int_0^\infty k_{\lambda}(u, 1) u^{\frac{\lambda}{r} - 1} du, \quad \widetilde{k}_{\lambda}(s) := \int_0^\infty k_{\lambda}(1, u) u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} - 1} du, \tag{2.1}$$

then it follows $k_{\lambda}(r) = \tilde{k}_{\lambda}(s)$. In fact, setting $v = \frac{1}{u}$, we obtain

$$\widetilde{k}_{\lambda}(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(1, \frac{1}{v}) v^{\frac{-\lambda}{s}+1} \frac{dv}{v^{2}} = \int_{0}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(v, 1) v^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1} dv = k_{\lambda}(r).$$

Suppose that $k_{\lambda}(r)$ is a positive number. For $0 < b < \infty, x, y \in (0, b)$, define the weight functions $\omega_{\lambda}(r, y, b)$ and $\varpi_{\lambda}(s, x, b)$ as

$$\omega_{\lambda}(r,y,b) := \int_{0}^{b} k_{\lambda}(x,y) \frac{y^{\frac{\lambda}{s}}}{x^{1-\frac{\lambda}{r}}} dx, \quad \varpi_{\lambda}(s,x,b) := \int_{0}^{b} k_{\lambda}(x,y) \frac{x^{\frac{\lambda}{r}}}{y^{1-\frac{\lambda}{s}}} dy. \quad (2.2)$$

Setting u = y/x in the integral $\omega_{\lambda}(r, y, b)$, for $y \in (0, b)$, we find

$$\omega_{\lambda}(r, y, b) = \int_{\frac{y}{b}}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(1, u) u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} - 1} du \le \int_{0}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(1, u) u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} - 1} du = \widetilde{k}_{\lambda}(s).$$
(2.3)

Similarly, $\varpi_{\lambda}(s, x, b) \leq k_{\lambda}(r) \ (x \in (0, b))$. Setting $\theta_{\lambda}(r)$ and $\tilde{\theta}_{\lambda}(s)$ as

$$\theta_{\lambda}(r) := \int_{0}^{1} k_{\lambda}(u, 1) u^{\frac{\lambda}{r} - 1} du, \quad \widetilde{\theta}_{\lambda}(s) := \int_{0}^{1} k_{\lambda}(1, u) u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} - 1} du. \quad (2.4)$$

If $\theta_{\lambda}(r), \tilde{\theta}_{\lambda}(s) > 0$, then for 0 < y < b, we find

$$\omega_{\lambda}(r,y,b) = \int_0^{\frac{b}{y}} k_{\lambda}(u,1)u^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1}du \ge \int_0^1 k_{\lambda}(u,1)u^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1}du = \theta_{\lambda}(r) > 0.$$

Similarly, $\varpi_{\lambda}(s, x, a) \ge \theta_{\lambda}(s) > 0$ (0 < x < b). By (2.2), for fixed 0 < y < b, $k_{\lambda}(x, y) > 0$ a.e. in (0, b), and for fixed 0 < x < b, $k_{\lambda}(x, y) > 0$ a.e. in (0, b).

Lemma 2.1. If both $k_{\lambda}(1, u), k_{\lambda}(u, 1) \ge l_{\lambda} > 0, u \in (0, 1]$, then we have

$$\omega_{\lambda}(r, y, b) \leq k_{\lambda}(r) \left[1 - \frac{sl_{\lambda}}{\lambda k_{\lambda}(r)} \left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{s}}\right] (y \in (0, b));$$
(2.5)

$$\varpi_{\lambda}(s,x,b) \leq k_{\lambda}(r)\left[1 - \frac{rl_{\lambda}}{\lambda k_{\lambda}(r)} \left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{r}}\right] (x \in (0,b)).$$
(2.6)

Proof. As (2.3), we find

$$\begin{split} \omega_{\lambda}(r, y, b) &= \int_{\frac{y}{b}}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(1, u) u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} - 1} du \\ &= k_{\lambda}(r) - \int_{0}^{\frac{y}{b}} k_{\lambda}(1, u) u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} - 1} du \\ &\leq k_{\lambda}(r) - l_{\lambda} \int_{0}^{\frac{y}{b}} u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} - 1} du \\ &= k_{\lambda}(r) - \frac{sl_{\lambda}}{\lambda} (\frac{y}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{s}} \ (y \in (0, b)). \end{split}$$

Hence we have (2.5). Similarly we have (2.6). This completes the proof. \Box

Lemma 2.2. If both $k_{\lambda}(1, u)$ and $k_{\lambda}(u, 1)$ are derivable decreasing function in (0, 1], then we have

$$\omega_{\lambda}(r, y, b) \leq k_{\lambda}(r) \left[1 - \frac{\widetilde{\theta}_{\lambda}(s)}{k_{\lambda}(r)} (\frac{y}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{s}}\right] (y \in (0, b));$$
(2.7)

$$\varpi_{\lambda}(s,x,b) \leq k_{\lambda}(r) \left[1 - \frac{\theta_{\lambda}(r)}{k_{\lambda}(r)} \left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{r}}\right] (x \in (0,b)).$$
(2.8)

In particular, if $k_{\lambda}(x, y)$ is symmetric, setting $k_{\lambda} := k_{\lambda}(2)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{\lambda}(2, y, b) &\leq k_{\lambda} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}\right], \varpi_{\lambda}(2, x, b) \\
&\leq k_{\lambda} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}\right].
\end{aligned}$$
(2.9)

Proof. Since $k'_{\lambda}(1, u) \leq 0, u \in (0, 1)$, for $y \in (0, 1)$, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dy} \left[y^{\frac{-\lambda}{s}} \int_{0}^{y} k_{\lambda}(1, u) u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} - 1} du \right] = \frac{-\lambda}{s} y^{\frac{-\lambda}{s}} \int_{0}^{y} k_{\lambda}(1, u) u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} - 1} du + k_{\lambda}(1, y) y^{-1} \\
= -y^{\frac{-\lambda}{s}} \int_{0}^{y} k_{\lambda}(1, u) du^{\frac{\lambda}{s}} + k_{\lambda}(1, y) y^{-1} \\
= y^{\frac{-\lambda}{s}} \int_{0}^{y} k_{\lambda}'(1, u) u^{\frac{\lambda}{s}} du \\
\leq 0$$

and

$$y^{\frac{-\lambda}{s}} \int_0^y k_{\lambda}(1, u) u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} - 1} du \ge \int_0^1 k_{\lambda}(1, u) u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} - 1} du = \widetilde{\theta}_{\lambda}(s).$$

Hence, we find

$$\begin{split} \omega_{\lambda}(r,y,b) &= k_{\lambda}(r) - [(\frac{y}{b})^{\frac{-\lambda}{s}} \int_{0}^{\frac{y}{b}} k_{\lambda}(1,u) u^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1} du] (\frac{y}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{s}} \\ &\leq k_{\lambda}(r) - \widetilde{\theta}_{\lambda}(s) (\frac{y}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{s}} \ (y \in (0,b)). \end{split}$$

Hence we obtain (2.7). Similarly, we obtain (2.8). If $k_{\lambda}(x, y)$ is symmetric, then we find $\theta_{\lambda}(2) = \tilde{\theta}_{\lambda}(2)$ and

$$k_{\lambda} = \theta_{\lambda}(2) + \int_{1}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(1, u) u^{\frac{\lambda}{2} - 1} du$$
$$= \theta_{\lambda}(2) + \int_{0}^{1} k_{\lambda}(v, 1) v^{\frac{\lambda}{2} - 1} dv$$
$$= 2\theta_{\lambda}(2).$$

Then by (2.7) and (2.8), we have (2.9). The Lemma is proved.

For a measurable function $\varphi(x) > 0$, we set the function spaces as

$$L^{\rho}_{\varphi}(0,b) := \{h \ge 0; ||h||_{\rho,\varphi} := \{\int_{0}^{b} \varphi(x)h^{\rho}(x)dx\}^{\frac{1}{\rho}} < \infty\}(\rho = p,q).$$

Theorem 2.3. Assume that $p, r > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1, \lambda > 0, k_{\lambda}(x, y)$ is a homogeneous function of $(-\lambda)$ -degree in $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$, satisfying $k_{\lambda}(r), \theta_{\lambda}(r)$ and $\tilde{\theta}_{\lambda}(s)$ are positive numbers. For $0 < b < \infty$, there exist measurable functions $\kappa(y)$ and $\tilde{\mu}(x)$, such that $0 < \kappa(y), \tilde{\mu}(x) \leq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{\lambda}(r, y, b) &\leq k_{\lambda}(r)\kappa(y), \varpi_{\lambda}(s, x, b) \\
&\leq k_{\lambda}(r)\widetilde{\mu}(x)(x, y \in (0, b)).
\end{aligned}$$
(2.10)

If $\phi_r(x) := x^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1}, \psi_s(x) := x^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1}(x \in (0,b)), f \in L^p_{\phi_r}(0,b), g \in L^q_{\psi_s}(0,b), ||f||_{p,\phi_r}, ||g||_{q,\psi_s} > 0$, then we have the equivalent inequalities as

$$I_{\lambda}(b): = \int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{b} k_{\lambda}(x, y) f(x) g(y) dx dy$$

$$< k_{\lambda}(r) ||f||_{p, \tilde{\mu} \cdot \phi_{r}} ||g||_{q, \kappa \cdot \psi_{s}}; \qquad (2.11)$$

$$J_{\lambda}(b): = \int_{0}^{b} \frac{y^{\frac{p\lambda}{s}-1}}{\kappa^{p-1}(y)} (\int_{0}^{b} k_{\lambda}(x,y)f(x)dx)^{p}dy$$

$$< k_{\lambda}^{p}(r)||f||_{p,\tilde{\mu}\cdot\phi_{r}}^{p}, \qquad (2.12)$$

where the constant factors $k_{\lambda}(r)$ and $k_{\lambda}^{p}(r)$ are the best possible. In particular, for $\kappa(y) = \tilde{\mu}(x) = 1$, we have the equivalent inequalities as

$$I_{\lambda}(b) < k_{\lambda}(r) \{ \int_{0}^{b} x^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} f^{p}(x) dx \}^{\frac{1}{p}} \{ \int_{0}^{b} y^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1} g^{q}(y) dy \}^{\frac{1}{q}}; \qquad (2.13)$$

$$\int_{0}^{b} y^{\frac{p\lambda}{s}-1} (\int_{0}^{b} k_{\lambda}(x,y) f(x) dx)^{p} dy < k_{\lambda}^{p}(r) \int_{0}^{b} x^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} f^{p}(x) dx.$$
(2.14)

Proof. Since $0 < \theta_{\lambda}(r)/k_{\lambda}(r) \leq \kappa(y) \leq k_{\lambda}(r), 0 < \tilde{\theta}_{\lambda}(s)/k_{\lambda}(r) \leq \tilde{\mu}(x) \leq k_{\lambda}(r)(x, y \in (0, b))$, it is obvious that the condition

$$0 < ||f||_{p,\phi_r}, ||g||_{q,\psi_s} < \infty$$

is equivalent to the condition

$$0 < ||f||_{p,\widetilde{\mu} \cdot \phi_r}, ||g||_{q,\kappa \cdot \psi_s} < \infty.$$

By Hölder's inequality [16], in view of (2.2) and Fubini's theorem [17], we find

$$\begin{split} I_{\lambda}(b) &= \int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{b} k_{\lambda}(x,y) [\frac{x^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})/q}}{y^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})/p}} f(x)] [\frac{y^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})/p}}{x^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})/q}} g(y)] dx dy \\ &\leq \{\int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{b} k_{\lambda}(x,y) \frac{x^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})(p-1)}}{y^{1-\frac{\lambda}{s}}} f^{p}(x) dx dy\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \{\int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{b} k_{\lambda}(x,y) \frac{y^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})(q-1)}}{x^{1-\frac{\lambda}{r}}} g^{q}(y) dx dy\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \{\int_{0}^{b} \varpi_{\lambda}(s,x,b) \phi_{r}(x) f^{p}(x) dx\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \{\int_{0}^{b} \omega_{\lambda}(r,y,b) \psi_{s}(y) g^{q}(y) dy\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{split}$$

If inequality (2.15) keeps the form of equality, then, there exist constants A and B, such that they are not all zero and

$$A\frac{x^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})(p-1)}}{y^{1-\frac{\lambda}{s}}}f^p(x) = B\frac{y^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})(q-1)}}{x^{1-\frac{\lambda}{r}}}g^q(y) \quad a.e. \text{ in } (0,b) \times (0,b).$$

It follows $Ax^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})}f^p(x) = By^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})}g^q(y)$ a.e. in $(0,b) \times (0,b)$. Assuming that $A \neq 0$, there exists 0 < y < b, such that

$$x^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1}f^p(x) = [By^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})}g^q(y)]\frac{1}{Ax}, a.e. \text{ in } x \in (0,b).$$

This contradicts the fact that $0 < ||f||_{p,\phi_r} < \infty$. Then inequality (2.15) keeps the strict form and inequality (2.11) is valid by using (2.10).

For $x \in (0, b)$, setting a bounded measurable function $[f(x)]_n$ as

$$[f(x)]_n := \min\{f(x), n\} = \begin{cases} f(x), \text{ for } f(x) \le n \\ n, \text{ for } f(x) > n, \end{cases}$$

then, since $||f||_{p,\phi_r} > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in N$, such that

$$\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{b} \phi_r(x) [f(x)]_n^p dx > 0 (n \ge n_0),$$

and then

$$\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{b} \widetilde{\mu}(x)\phi_r(x)[f(x)]_n^p dx > 0.$$

Setting $\widetilde{g}_n(y)$ as

$$\widetilde{g}_n(y) := \frac{y^{\frac{p\lambda}{s}-1}}{\kappa^{p-1}(y)} (\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^b k_\lambda(x,y) [f(x)]_n dx)^{p-1} (y \in (\frac{1}{n},b); n \ge n_0),$$

then by (2.11), we have

$$0 < \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{b} \kappa(y)\psi_{s}(y)\widetilde{g}_{n}^{q}(y)dy$$

$$= \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{b} \frac{y^{\frac{p\lambda}{s}-1}}{\kappa^{p-1}(y)} (\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{b} k_{\lambda}(x,y)[f(x)]_{n}dx)^{p}dy$$

$$= \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{b} \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{b} k_{\lambda}(x,y)[f(x)]_{n}\widetilde{g}_{n}(y)dxdy$$

$$< k_{\lambda}(r) \{\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{b} \widetilde{\mu}(x)\phi_{r}(x)[f(x)]_{n}^{p}dx\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \{\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{b} \kappa(y)\psi_{s}(y)\widetilde{g}_{n}^{q}(y)dy\}^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

$$< \infty$$

$$(2.16)$$

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$

$$0 < \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{b} \kappa(y)\psi_{s}(y)\widetilde{g}_{n}^{q}(y)dy \qquad (2.17)$$

$$< k_{\lambda}^{p}(r)\int_{0}^{b} \widetilde{\mu}(x)\phi_{r}(x)f^{p}(x)dx$$

$$< \infty.$$

It follows $0 < ||g||_{q,\kappa\cdot\psi_s} < \infty$ and then $0 < ||g||_{q,\psi_s} < \infty$. For $n \to \infty$, by (2.11), both (2.16) and (2.17) still keep the forms of strict inequality. Hence we have (2.12).

On the other-hand, suppose that (2.12) is valid. By Hölder's inequality,

$$J_{\lambda}(b) = \int_{0}^{b} [y^{\frac{-1}{p} + \frac{\lambda}{s}} \kappa^{\frac{-1}{q}}(y) \int_{0}^{b} k_{\lambda}(x, y) f(x) dx] [\kappa^{\frac{1}{q}}(y) y^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{\lambda}{s}} g(y)] dy \quad (2.18)$$

$$\leq \{\int_{0}^{b} \frac{y^{\frac{p\lambda}{s} - 1}}{\kappa^{p-1}(y)} (\int_{0}^{b} k_{\lambda}(x, y) f(x) dx)^{p} dy\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \{\int_{0}^{b} \kappa(y) \psi_{s}(y) g^{q}(y) dy\}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

In view of (2.12), we have (2.11). Hence (2.11) is equivalent to (2.12).

For $n \in N, n > \max\{\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s}\}$, setting f_n, g_n as

$$f_n(x) = x^{\frac{\lambda}{r} + \frac{1}{np} - 1}$$

and

$$g_n(x) = x^{\frac{\lambda}{s} + \frac{1}{nq} - 1},$$

for $x \in (0, b)$, if there exists $0 < K \le k_{\lambda}(r)$, such that (2.11) is still valid if we replace $k_{\lambda}(r)$ by K, then we have

$$I_{\lambda}^{(n)}(b) := \int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{b} k_{\lambda}(x, y) f_{n}(x) g_{n}(y) dx dy \qquad (2.19)$$

$$< K ||f_{n}||_{p, \phi_{r}} ||g_{n}||_{q, \psi_{s}}$$

$$= nKb^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

and

$$\begin{split} I_{\lambda}^{(n)}(b) &= \int_{0}^{b} [\int_{0}^{b} k_{\lambda}(x,y) x^{\frac{\lambda}{r} + \frac{1}{np} - 1} y^{\frac{\lambda}{s} + \frac{1}{nq} - 1} dx] dy \\ &= \int_{0}^{b} y^{\frac{1}{n} - 1} [\int_{0}^{\frac{b}{y}} k_{\lambda}(u,1) u^{\frac{\lambda}{r} + \frac{1}{np} - 1} du] dy (u = x/y) \end{split}$$
(2.20)
$$&= n b^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{0}^{1} k_{\lambda}(u,1) u^{\frac{\lambda}{r} + \frac{1}{np} - 1} du + \int_{0}^{b} y^{\frac{1}{n} - 1} \int_{1}^{\frac{b}{y}} k_{\lambda}(u,1) u^{\frac{\lambda}{r} + \frac{1}{np} - 1} du dy \\ &= n b^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{0}^{1} k_{\lambda}(u,1) u^{\frac{\lambda}{r} + \frac{1}{np} - 1} du + \int_{1}^{\infty} (\int_{0}^{\frac{b}{u}} y^{\frac{1}{n} - 1} dy) k_{\lambda}(u,1) u^{\frac{\lambda}{r} + \frac{1}{np} - 1} du \\ &= n b^{\frac{1}{n}} [\int_{0}^{1} k_{\lambda}(u,1) u^{\frac{\lambda}{r} + \frac{1}{np} - 1} du + \int_{1}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(u,1) u^{\frac{\lambda}{r} - \frac{1}{nq} - 1} du]. \end{split}$$

Hence by (2.19) and (2.20), we have

$$\int_{0}^{1} k_{\lambda}(u,1) u^{\frac{\lambda}{r} + \frac{1}{np} - 1} du + \int_{1}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(u,1) u^{\frac{\lambda}{r} - \frac{1}{nq} - 1} du < K$$

and by Fatou's Lemma [17], it follows

$$\begin{aligned} k_{\lambda}(r) &= \int_{0}^{1} \lim_{n \to \infty} k_{\lambda}(u, 1) u^{\frac{\lambda}{r} + \frac{1}{np} - 1} du + \int_{1}^{\infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} k_{\lambda}(u, 1) u^{\frac{\lambda}{r} - \frac{1}{nq} - 1} du \\ &\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[\int_{0}^{1} k_{\lambda}(u, 1) u^{\frac{\lambda}{r} + \frac{1}{np} - 1} du + \int_{1}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(u, 1) u^{\frac{\lambda}{r} - \frac{1}{nq} - 1} du \right] \\ &\leq K. \end{aligned}$$

Therefor $K = k_{\lambda}(r)$ is the best constant factor of (2.11). If the constant factor in (2.12) is not the best possible, then by (2.18), we can get a contradiction that the constant factor in (2.11) is not the best possible. This completes the proof.

Define an operator $T_b: L^p_{\phi_r}(0,b) \to L^p_{\psi_s^{1-p}}(0,b)$ as: for $f \in L^p_{\phi_r}(0,b)$,

$$(T_b f)(y) := \int_0^b k_\lambda(x, y) f(x) dx (y \in (0, b)).$$
(2.21)

In view of (2.14), it follows $T_b f \in L^p_{\psi^{1-p}_s}(0,b)$. For $g \in L^q_{\psi_s}(0,b)$, define the formal inner of $T_b f$ and g as

$$(T_b f, g) := \int_0^b \int_0^b k_\lambda(x, y) f(x) g(y) dx dy.$$
(2.22)

Hence the equivalent inequalities (2.13) and (2.14) may be rewritten as

$$(T_b f, g) < k_{\lambda}(r) ||f||_{p,\phi_r} ||g||_{q,\psi_s}; ||T_b f||_{p,\psi_s^{1-p}} < k_{\lambda}(r) ||f||_{p,\phi_r},$$
(2.23)

where the constant factor $k_{\lambda}(r)$ is the best possible, T_b is obviously bounded and $||T_b|| = k_{\lambda}(r)$. We call T_b Hilbert-type integral operator with the homogeneous kernel of $(-\lambda)$ -degree in the finite interval (0, b).

Corollary 2.4. As the assumption of Theorem 1, if both $k_{\lambda}(1, u), k_{\lambda}(u, 1) \ge l_{\lambda} > 0, u \in (0, 1]$, we have the following equivalent inequalities:

$$(T_b f, g) < k_{\lambda}(r) \{ \int_0^b [1 - \frac{r l_{\lambda}}{\lambda k_{\lambda}(r)} (\frac{x}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{r}}] \phi_r(x) f^p(x) dx \}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \times \{ \int_0^b [1 - \frac{s l_{\lambda}}{\lambda k_{\lambda}(r)} (\frac{y}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{s}}] \psi_s(y) g^q(y) dy \}^{\frac{1}{q}}$$
(2.24)

and

$$\int_{0}^{b} \frac{y^{\frac{p\lambda}{s}-1}}{[1-\frac{sl_{\lambda}}{\lambda k_{\lambda}(r)}(\frac{y}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{s}}]^{p-1}} (\int_{0}^{b} k_{\lambda}(x,y)f(x)dx)^{p}dy$$

$$< k_{\lambda}^{p}(r) \int_{0}^{b} [1-\frac{rl_{\lambda}}{\lambda k_{\lambda}(r)}(\frac{x}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{r}}]\phi_{r}(x)f^{p}(x)dx, \qquad (2.25)$$

where the constant factors $k_{\lambda}(r)$ and $k_{\lambda}^{p}(r)$ are the best possible. We still have the following two pairs of equivalent inequalities:

$$(T_b f, g) < k_{\lambda}(r) \{ \int_0^b [1 - \frac{r l_{\lambda}}{\lambda k_{\lambda}(r)} (\frac{x}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{r}}] \phi_r(x) f^p(x) dx \}^{\frac{1}{p}} ||g||_{q,\psi_s},$$
(2.26)

$$||T_b f||_{p,\psi_s^{1-p}}^p < k_{\lambda}^p(r) \int_0^b [1 - \frac{rl_{\lambda}}{\lambda k_{\lambda}(r)} (\frac{x}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{r}}] \phi_r(x) f^p(x) dx, \qquad (2.27)$$

$$(T_b f, g) < k_{\lambda}(r) ||f||_{p,\phi_r} \left\{ \int_0^b \left[1 - \frac{sl_{\lambda}}{\lambda k_{\lambda}(r)} \left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{s}}\right] \psi_s(y) g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{2.28}$$

$$\int_{0}^{b} \frac{y^{\frac{p\lambda}{s}-1}}{\left[1 - \frac{sl_{\lambda}}{\lambda k_{\lambda}(r)} (\frac{y}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{s}}\right]^{p-1}} (\int_{0}^{b} k_{\lambda}(x,y) f(x) dx)^{p} dy < k_{\lambda}^{p}(r) ||f||_{p,\phi_{r}}^{p}.$$
(2.29)

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, setting $\kappa(y) = 1 - \frac{sl_{\lambda}}{\lambda k_{\lambda}(r)} (\frac{y}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{s}}$ and $\tilde{\mu}(x) = 1 - \frac{rl_{\lambda}}{\lambda k_{\lambda}(r)} (\frac{x}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{r}}$ in (2.11) and (2.12), we have (2.24) and (2.25). Since $\kappa(y) \leq 1$, by (2.24) and (2.25), we have (2.26) and (2.27). Similarly, since $\tilde{\mu}(x) \leq 1$, we have (2.28) and (2.29). The Corollary is proved.

Corollary 2.5. As the assumption of Theorem 2.3, if both $k_{\lambda}(1, u)$ and $k_{\lambda}(u, 1)$ are derivable decreasing function in (0, 1], then we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best constant factors:

$$(T_b f, g) < k_{\lambda}(r) \{ \int_0^b [1 - \frac{\theta_{\lambda}(r)}{k_{\lambda}(r)} (\frac{x}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{r}}] \phi_r(x) f^p(x) dx \}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \times \{ \int_0^b [1 - \frac{\widetilde{\theta}_{\lambda}(s)}{k_{\lambda}(r)} (\frac{y}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{s}}] \psi_s(y) g^q(y) dy \}^{\frac{1}{q}}$$
(2.30)

and

$$\int_{0}^{b} \frac{y^{\frac{p\lambda}{s}-1}}{\left[1-\frac{\tilde{\theta}_{\lambda}(s)}{k_{\lambda}(r)}\left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{s}}\right]^{p-1}} \left(\int_{0}^{b} k_{\lambda}(x,y)f(x)dx\right)^{p}dy$$

$$< k_{\lambda}^{p}(r) \int_{0}^{b} \left[1-\frac{\theta_{\lambda}(r)}{k_{\lambda}(r)}\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{r}}\right]\phi_{r}(x)f^{p}(x)dx.$$
(2.31)

If $k_{\lambda}(x, y)$ is symmetric and $\sigma(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} (\frac{x}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}$ (as (1.4)), then we have the equivalent inequalities as

$$I_{\lambda}(b) < k_{\lambda} \{ \int_{0}^{b} \sigma(x)\phi_{2}(x)f^{p}(x)dx \}^{\frac{1}{p}} \{ \int_{0}^{b} \sigma(y)\psi_{2}(y)g^{q}(y)dy \}^{\frac{1}{q}}$$
(2.32)

and

$$\int_{0}^{b} \frac{y^{\frac{p\lambda}{2}-1}}{\sigma^{p-1}(y)} (\int_{0}^{b} k_{\lambda}(x,y)f(x)dx)^{p}dy < k_{\lambda}^{p} \int_{0}^{b} \sigma(x)\phi_{2}(x)f^{p}(x)dx.$$
(2.33)

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, setting $\kappa(y) = 1 - \frac{\tilde{\theta}_{\lambda}(s)}{k_{\lambda}(r)} (\frac{y}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{s}}$ and $\tilde{\mu}(x) = 1 - \frac{\theta_{\lambda}(r)}{k_{\lambda}(r)} (\frac{x}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{r}}$ in (2.11) and (2.12), we have (2.30) and (2.31). For $r = s = 2, k_{\lambda} = k_{\lambda}(2)$, by (2.9), we have (2.32) and (2.33). The Corollary is proved.

3. Applications to some particular kernels

In the following, some words that $b, \lambda > 0, p, r > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1,$ $\phi_r(x) := x^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1}, \psi_s(x) := x^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1}, \ \sigma(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\frac{x}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}, \ f,g \ge 0, \ 0 < ||f||_{p,\phi_r}, ||g||_{q,\psi_s} < \infty$ and the constants are the best possible are omitted.

Example 3.1. Let $k_{\lambda}(x, y) = \frac{1}{(x^{\alpha}+y^{\alpha})^{\lambda/\alpha}}$ ($\alpha > 0$), which is symmetric. Since both $k_{\lambda}(1, u)$ and $k_{\lambda}(u, 1)$ are derivable decreasing in (0, 1], and $k_{\lambda}(u, 1) = \frac{1}{(u^{\alpha}+1)^{\lambda/\alpha}} \ge l_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2^{\lambda/\alpha}}$ ($u \in (0, 1]$), setting $v = u^{\alpha}$, by (1.3), we have

$$\widetilde{k}_{\lambda}(r) := \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1} du}{(u^{\alpha}+1)^{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}}} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{v^{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha r}-1} dv}{(v+1)^{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}}} = \frac{1}{\alpha} B(\frac{\lambda}{\alpha r}, \frac{\lambda}{\alpha s}).$$
(3.1)

By (28),(29) and (36), (37), we have two pairs of equivalent inequalities as:

$$H: = \int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{b} \frac{f(x)g(y)dxdy}{(x^{\alpha} + y^{\alpha})^{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}}}$$

$$< \widetilde{k}_{\lambda}(r) \{\int_{0}^{b} [1 - \frac{r}{2^{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}}\lambda \widetilde{k}_{\lambda}(r)}(\frac{x}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{r}}]\phi_{r}(x)f^{p}(x)dx\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\times \{\int_{0}^{b} [1 - \frac{s}{2^{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}}\lambda \widetilde{k}_{\lambda}(r)}(\frac{y}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{s}}]\psi_{s}(y)g^{q}(y)dy\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \qquad (3.2)$$

$$\int_{0}^{b} \frac{y^{\frac{p\lambda}{s}-1}}{\left[1-\frac{s}{2^{\lambda/\alpha}\lambda\widetilde{k}_{\lambda}(r)}(\frac{y}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{s}}\right]^{p-1}} \left[\int_{0}^{b} \frac{f(x)dx}{(x^{\alpha}+y^{\alpha})^{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}}}\right]^{p}dy$$

$$< \widetilde{k}_{\lambda}^{p}(r) \int_{0}^{b} \left[1-\frac{r}{2^{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}}\lambda\widetilde{k}_{\lambda}(r)}(\frac{x}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{r}}\right]\phi_{r}(x)f^{p}(x)dx, \qquad (3.3)$$

$$H < \tilde{k}_{\lambda}(2) \{ \int_{0}^{b} \sigma(x)\phi_{2}(x)f^{p}(x)dx \}^{\frac{1}{p}} \{ \int_{0}^{b} \sigma(y)\psi_{2}(y)g^{q}(y)dy \}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \qquad (3.4)$$

$$\int_0^b \frac{y^{\frac{p\lambda}{2}-1}}{\sigma^{p-1}(y)} \left[\int_0^b \frac{f(x)dx}{(x^\alpha + y^\alpha)^{\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}}}\right]^p dy < \widetilde{k}_\lambda^p(2) \int_0^b \sigma(x)\phi_2(x)f^p(x)dx.$$
(3.5)

Example 3.2. Let $k_{\lambda}(x,y) = \frac{\ln(x/y)}{x^{\lambda}-y^{\lambda}}$, which is symmetric. We find that both $k_{\lambda}(1,u)$ and $k_{\lambda}(u,1)$ are derivable decreasing in (0,1][18], and $k_{\lambda}(u,1) = \frac{\ln u}{u^{\lambda}-1} \ge l_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ ($u \in (0,1]$). Setting $v = u^{\lambda}$, we obtain [1]

$$k_{\lambda}(r) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(\ln u)u^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1}}{u^{\lambda}-1} du = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(\ln v)v^{\frac{1}{r}-1}}{\lambda^{2}(v-1)} dv = [\frac{\pi}{\lambda\sin(\frac{\pi}{r})}]^{2}.$$
 (3.6)

By (2.24),(2.25) and (2.32),(2.33), we have two pairs of equivalent inequalities as

$$H' := \int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{b} \frac{\ln(\frac{x}{y})f(x)g(y)}{x^{\lambda} - y^{\lambda}} dx dy$$

$$< \left[\frac{\pi}{\lambda\sin(\frac{\pi}{r})}\right]^{2} \left\{\int_{0}^{b} \left[1 - r\left[\frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{r})}{\pi}\right]^{2}\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{r}}\right] \phi_{r}(x)f^{p}(x)dx\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\times \left\{\int_{0}^{b} \left[1 - s\left[\frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{r})}{\pi}\right]^{2}\left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{s}}\right] \psi_{s}(y)g^{q}(y)dy\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \qquad (3.7)$$

$$\int_{0}^{b} \frac{y^{\frac{p\lambda}{s}-1}}{[1-s[\frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{r})}{\pi}]^{2}(\frac{y}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{s}}]^{p-1}} (\int_{0}^{b} \frac{\ln(\frac{x}{y})f(x)}{x^{\lambda}-y^{\lambda}}dx)^{p}dy$$

$$< \left[\frac{\pi}{\lambda\sin(\frac{\pi}{r})}\right]^{2p} \int_{0}^{b} [1-r[\frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{r})}{\pi}]^{2}(\frac{x}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{r}}]\phi_{r}(x)f^{p}(x)dx, \qquad (3.8)$$

$$H' < (\frac{\pi}{\lambda})^2 \{ \int_0^b \sigma(x)\phi_2(x)f^p(x)dx \}^{\frac{1}{p}} \{ \int_0^b \sigma(y)\psi_2(y)g^q(y)dy \}^{\frac{1}{q}},$$
(3.9)

$$\int_{0}^{b} \frac{y^{\frac{p\lambda}{2}-1}}{\sigma^{p-1}(y)} \left[\int_{0}^{b} \frac{\ln(\frac{x}{y})f(x)dx}{x^{\lambda}-y^{\lambda}}\right]^{p} dy < (\frac{\pi}{\lambda})^{2p} \int_{0}^{b} \sigma(x)\phi_{2}(x)f^{p}(x)dx.$$
(3.10)

Example 3.3. Let $k_{\lambda}(x, y) = \frac{1}{(\max\{x, y\})^{\lambda}}$, which is symmetric. Since both $k_{\lambda}(1, u)$ and $k_{\lambda}(u, 1)$ are derivable decreasing in (0, 1], and $k_{\lambda}(u, 1) = l_{\lambda} = 1$ $(u \in (0, 1])$, we have

$$k_{\lambda}(r) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1}}{(\max\{u,1\})^{\lambda}} du \qquad (3.11)$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} u^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1} du + \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{u^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1}}{u^{\lambda}} du = \frac{rs}{\lambda}.$$

Then by (2.14) and (2.25), we have two equivalent inequalities as follows:

$$\int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{b} \frac{f(x)g(y)dxdy}{(\max\{x,y\})^{\lambda}} < \frac{rs}{\lambda} \{\int_{0}^{b} [1 - \frac{1}{s}(\frac{x}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{r}}]\phi_{r}(x)f^{p}(x)dx\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\times \{\int_{0}^{b} [1 - \frac{1}{r}(\frac{y}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{s}}]\psi_{s}(y)g^{q}(y)dy\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (3.12)$$

A Hilbert-type integral inequality in the finite interval

$$\int_{0}^{b} \frac{y^{\frac{p\lambda}{s}-1}}{[1-\frac{1}{r}(\frac{y}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{s}}]^{p-1}} \left[\int_{0}^{b} \frac{f(x)dx}{(\max\{x,y\})^{\lambda}}\right]^{p} dy < \left(\frac{rs}{\lambda}\right)^{p} \int_{0}^{b} [1-\frac{1}{s}(\frac{x}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{r}}] \phi_{r}(x) f^{p}(x) dx.$$
(3.13)

Example 3.4. Let $k_{\lambda}(x, y) = \frac{|\ln(x/y)|}{(\max\{x, y\})^{\lambda}}$, which is symmetric. We find that

$$k_{\lambda}(r) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln u| u^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1} du}{(\max\{u,1\})^{\lambda}}$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} (-\ln u) u^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1} du + \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{(\ln u) u^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1}}{u^{\lambda}} du$$
$$= \frac{r^{2}+s^{2}}{\lambda^{2}},$$

$$\begin{split} \omega_{\lambda}(r,y,b) &= \int_{\frac{y}{b}}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln u| u^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1}}{(\max\{u,1\})^{\lambda}} du \\ &= \frac{r^2 + s^2}{\lambda^2} - \int_0^{\frac{y}{b}} (-\ln u) u^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1} du \\ &= \frac{r^2 + s^2}{\lambda^2} - \frac{s}{\lambda} \int_0^{\frac{y}{b}} (-\ln u) du^{\frac{\lambda}{r}} \\ &\leq \frac{r^2 + s^2}{\lambda^2} \kappa(y), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \kappa(y) &= 1 - \frac{s^2}{r^2 + s^2} (\frac{y}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{s}}, \\ \varpi_{\lambda}(s, x, b) &\leq \frac{r^2 + s^2}{\lambda^2} \widetilde{\mu}(x), \widetilde{\mu}(x) \\ &= 1 - \frac{r^2}{r^2 + s^2} (\frac{x}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{r}}. \end{split}$$

Then by (2.11) and (2.12), we have two equivalent inequalities as follows:

$$\int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{b} \frac{|\ln(\frac{x}{y})|f(x)g(y)}{(\max\{x,y\})^{\lambda}} dx dy \\
< \frac{r^{2} + s^{2}}{\lambda^{2}} \{\int_{0}^{b} [1 - \frac{r^{2}}{r^{2} + s^{2}} (\frac{x}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{r}}] \phi_{r}(x) f^{p}(x) dx \}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\times \{\int_{0}^{b} [1 - \frac{s^{2}}{r^{2} + s^{2}} (\frac{y}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{s}}] \psi_{s}(y) g^{q}(y) dy \}^{\frac{1}{q}},$$
(3.14)

$$\int_{0}^{b} \frac{y^{\frac{p\lambda}{s}-1}}{[1-\frac{s^{2}}{r^{2}+s^{2}}(\frac{y}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{s}}]^{p-1}} [\int_{0}^{b} \frac{|\ln(\frac{x}{y})|f(x)dx}{(\max\{x,y\})^{\lambda}}]^{p}dy$$

$$< (\frac{r^{2}+s^{2}}{\lambda^{2}})^{p} \int_{0}^{b} [1-\frac{r^{2}}{r^{2}+s^{2}}(\frac{x}{b})^{\frac{\lambda}{r}}]\phi_{r}(x)f^{p}(x)dx.$$
(3.15)

Remarks.

- (i) For $\alpha = 1, p = q = 2$, inequality (3.4) deduces to (1.2).
- (ii) Inequality (2.11) is a refinement of (2.13), because of

$$egin{array}{rcl} I_\lambda(a) &< k_\lambda(r)||f||_{p,\widetilde{\mu}\cdot\phi_r}||g||_{q,\kappa\cdot\psi_s}\ &\leq k_\lambda(r)||f||_{p,\phi_r},||g||_{q,\psi_s}. \end{array}$$

(iii) When $b \to \infty$, (2.13) deduces to a Hilbert-type integral inequality in $(0, \infty)$ with a best constant factor $k_{\lambda}(r)$ as

$$I_{\lambda}(0) \le k_{\lambda}(r) \{ \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi_{r}(x) f^{p}(x) dx \}^{\frac{1}{p}} \{ \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi_{s}(y) g^{q}(y) dy \}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$
(3.16)

References

- G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1952.
- [2] D. S. Mintrinovic, J. E. Pecaric and A. M. Kink, *Inequalities involving functions and their integrals and derivertives*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1991.
- [3] T. Carleman, Sur les equations integrals singulieres a noyau reel et symetrique, Uppsala, 1923.
- [4] Bicheng Yang, On the norm of an integral operator and applications, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 321(2006) 182-192.
- [5] Bicheng Yang, On the norm of a self-adjoint operator and a new bilinear integral inequality, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, 23,7(2007) 1311-1316.
- [6] Bicheng Yang, On the norm of a self-adjoint operator and applications to the Hilbert's type inequalities, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc, 13(2006) 577-584.
- Bicheng Yang, On the norm of a Hilbert's type linear operator and applications, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 325(2007) 529-541.

- [8] Bicheng Yang, On a Hilbert- type operator with a symmetric homogeneous kernel of -1-order and applications, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, Volum 2007, Article ID 47812, 9 pages, doi: 10.1155/2007/47812.
- [9] Wuyi Zhong and Bicheng Yang, On multiple's Hardy-Hilbert integral inequality with kernel, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, Volum 2007, Article ID27962, 16 pages, doi: 10.1155/2007/27962.
- [10] Zhuxi Wang and Dunren Guo, Introduction to special functions, Science Press, Beijing, 1979.
- [11] Bicheng Yang, On Hilbert's integral inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 220(1998) 778-785.
- Bicheng Yang, On generalizations of Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequalities, Acta Math. Sinica, 41,4(1998) 839-844.
- [13] Bicheng Yang, On Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 261(2001) 295-306.
- Bicheng Yang, On Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality and its equivalent form, Northeast Math. J., 19,2(2003) 139-148.
- [15] Wuyi Zhong and Bicheng Yang, On an equivalent inequality of a general Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality, Journal of Shanhai University (Science Edition), 13,1(2007) 51-54.
- [16] Jichang Kuang, Applied inequalities, Shangdong Science Press, Jinan, 2004.
- [17] Jichang Kuang, Introduction to real analysis, Hunan Education Press, Changsha, 1996
- [18] Bicheng Yang, Generalization of Hilbert's type inequality with best constant factorr and its applications, J. Math. Res. Exp., 25,2(2005) 341-346.