Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications Vol. 14, No. 1 (2009), pp. 45–55

http://nfaa.kyungnam.ac.kr/jour-nfaa.htm Copyright \bigodot 2009 Kyungnam University Press

A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM OF COMPATIBLE OF TYPE (γ) MAPS IN COMPLETE FUZZY METRIC SPACES

Shaban Sedghi¹, Nabi Shobe² and Shahram Sedghi³

¹Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University-Ghaemshahr Branch Ghaemshahr P. O. Box 163, Iran e-mail: sedghi_gh@yahoo.com

²Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University-Babol Branch Ghaemshahr P. O. Box 163, Iran e-mail: nabi_shobe@yahoo.com

³Department of Mechanical Engineering, Iran University of Science and Technology Narmak, Tehran 16844, Iran e-mail: shahramm_sedghi@yahoo.com

Abstract. In this paper, we establish a common fixed point theorem of compatible of type γ maps in complete fuzzy metric spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced initially by Zadeh [17] in 1965. Since then, to use this concept in topology and analysis many authors have expansively developed the theory of fuzzy sets and application. George and Veeramani [5] and Kramosil and Michalek [8] have introduced the concept of fuzzy topological spaces induced by fuzzy metric which have very important applications in quantum particle physics particularly in connections with both string and $\epsilon^{(\infty)}$ theory which were given and studied by El Naschie [1, 2, 3, 4, 16]. Many authors [6, 11, 12] have proved fixed point theorem in fuzzy (probabilistic) metric spaces.

⁰Received January 4, 2007. Revised June 5, 2008.

 $^{^{0}2000}$ Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10; 54H25.

⁰Keywords: Compatible of type (γ) maps, complete fuzzy metric space, implicit relation, common fixed point theorem.

Definition 1.1. A binary operation $* : [0,1] \times [0,1] \longrightarrow [0,1]$ is a continuous t-norm if it satisfies the following conditions

- (1) * is associative and commutative,
- (2) * is continuous,
- (3) a * 1 = a for all $a \in [0, 1]$,
- (4) $a * b \le c * d$ whenever $a \le c$ and $b \le d$, for each $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Two typical examples of continuous t-norm are a * b = ab and $a * b = \min(a, b)$.

Definition 1.2. A 3-tuple (X, M, *) is called a fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary (non-empty) set, * is a continuous t-norm, and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$, satisfying the following conditions for each $x, y, z \in X$ and t, s > 0,

(1) M(x, y, t) > 0, (2) M(x, y, t) = 1 if and only if x = y, (3) M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t), (4) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \le M(x, z, t + s)$, (5) $M(x, y, .) : (0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous.

Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space. For t > 0, the open ball B(x, r, t) with center $x \in X$ and radius 0 < r < 1 is defined by

$$B(x, r, t) = \{ y \in X : M(x, y, t) > 1 - r \}.$$

Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space. Let τ be the set of all $A \subset X$ with $x \in A$ if and only if there exist t > 0 and 0 < r < 1 such that $B(x, r, t) \subset A$. Then τ is a topology on X (induced by the fuzzy metric M). This topology is Hausdorff and first countable. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to x if and only if $M(x_n, x, t) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$, for each t > 0. It is called a Cauchy sequence if for each $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and t > 0, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M(x_n, x_m, t) > 1 - \varepsilon$ for each $n, m \ge n_0$. The fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent. A subset A of X is said to be F-bounded if there exists t > 0 and 0 < r < 1 such that M(x, y, t) > 1 - r for all $x, y \in A$.

Example 1.3. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$. Denote $a * b = a \cdot b$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$. For each $t \in (0, \infty)$, define

$$M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + |x - y|}$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Lemma 1.4. ([5]) Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space. Then M(x, y, t) is non-decreasing with respect to t, for all x, y in X.

46

Definition 1.5. Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space. M is said to be continuous on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(x_n, y_n, t_n) = M(x, y, t).$$

Whenever a sequence $\{(x_n, y_n, t_n)\}$ in $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ converges to a point $(x, y, t) \in X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} M(y_n, y, t) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} M(x, y, t_n) = M(x, y, t).$$

Lemma 1.6. Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space. Then M is continuous function on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$.

Proof. see proposition 1 of [10].

Definition 1.7. Let A and S be mappings from a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) into itself. Then the mappings are said to be weak compatible if they commute at their coincidence point, that is, Ax = Sx implies that ASx = SAx.

Definition 1.8. Let A and S be mappings from a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) into itself. Then the mappings are said to be compatible if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) = 1, \forall t > 0$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = x \in X.$$

Definition 1.9. Let A and S be mappings from a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) into itself. Then the mappings are said to be weak compatible of type (γ) if $Ax_n = Sx_n = x$ implies that Ax = Sx, for $x \in X$.

Proposition 1.10. ([13]) Let self-mappings A and S of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) be compatible. Then they are weak compatible.

Throughout this section, a binary operation $* : [0,1] \times [0,1] \longrightarrow [0,1]$ is a continuous *t*-norm if it satisfies the condition $t * s \ge ts$.

Three examples of such a continuous t-norm are

$$a * b = ab, a * b = \min(a, b)$$

and

$$a * b = \frac{ab}{\max\{a, b, \alpha\}}$$

for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$, where $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

Lemma 1.11. Let $(X,M,^*)$ be a fuzzy metric space. If sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X exist such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \ge 1 - k^n \alpha$$

for every $0 < k, \alpha < 1$, then sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Proof. For every m > n and $x_n, x_m \in X$, we have

$$M(x_n, x_m, t) \geq M(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{m-n}) * \cdots * M(x_{m-1}, x_m, \frac{t}{m-n})$$

$$\geq M(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{m-n}) \cdots M(x_{m-1}, x_m, \frac{t}{m-n})$$

$$\geq (1 - k^n \alpha) \cdot (1 - k^{n+1} \alpha) \cdots (1 - k^{m-1} \alpha)$$

$$\geq (1 - k^n \alpha)^{m-n}$$

$$\geq 1 - (m-n)k^m \alpha$$

$$> 1 - \epsilon.$$

The last inequality indeed by inequality Bernoli, and for every $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $m > n \ge n_0$ we get $(m - n)k^m \alpha < \epsilon$. Hence sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence.

2. The main results

A class of implicit relation. Let Φ be the set of all continuous functions $\phi : [0,1]^5 \longrightarrow [0,1]$, increasing in any coordinate and $\phi(s,s,s,s^n,s^m) > s$ for every $s \in [0,1)$ and $n, m \in \{0,1,2\}$ such that n+m=2.

Example 2.1. Let $\phi : [0,1]^5 \longrightarrow [0,1]$ is define by

(i) $\phi_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = \left(\min\left\{x_1, x_2, x_3, (x_4x_5)^{1/2}\right\}\right)^h$ for some 0 < h < 1. (ii) $\phi_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (a(t)x_1 + b(t)x_2 + c(t)x_3 + d(t)(x_4x_5)^{1/2})^h$ where $a, b, c, d : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow [0, 1]$, be four mappings such that a(t) + b(t) + c(t) + d(t) = 1, for all t > 0 and some 0 < h < 1.

(iii) $\phi_3(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = a(t)x_1 + b(t)x_2 + c(t)x_3 + d(t)x_4^{1/2} + e(t)x_5^{1/2}$ where $a, b, c, d, e : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow [0, 1]$, be five mappings such that a(t) + b(t) + c(t) + d(t) + e(t) = 1, for all t > 0.

Theorem 2.2. Let f, g, S, T be self-mappings of a complete fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) satisfying that

(i) $f(X) \subseteq T(X), g(X) \subseteq S(X),$

(ii) there exists a number $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$M(fx, gy, t) \geq 1 - k(1 - \phi \begin{pmatrix} M(Sx, Ty, t), & M(Sx, fx, t), \\ M(Ty, gy, t), & M(Sx, gy, qt), \\ M(Ty, fx, (3 - q)t) \end{pmatrix})$$

for every x, y in $X, q \in \{1, 2\}, t > 0$ and $\phi \in \Phi$,

(iii) the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are be weak compatible of type (γ) . Then f, g, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X. *Proof.* Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point as $f(X) \subseteq T(X), g(X) \subseteq S(X)$, there exist $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $fx_0 = Tx_1, gx_1 = Sx_2$. Inductively, construct sequence $\{y_n\}$ and $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $y_{2n} = fx_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1} = gx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2}$, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.

Now, we prove $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let $d_m(t) = M(y_m, y_{m+1}, t), t > 0$ we prove $\{d_m(t)\}$ is increasing w.r.t. m. For m = 2n and q = 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{2n}(t) \\ &= & M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) = M(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}, t) \\ &\geq & 1 - k(1 - \phi \begin{pmatrix} M(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t), & M(Sx_{2n}, fx_{2n}, t), \\ M(Tx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1}, t), & M(Sx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}, 2t), \\ M(Tx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n}, t) \end{pmatrix}) \\ &= & 1 - k(1 - \phi \begin{pmatrix} M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t), & M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t), \\ M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), & M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}, 2t), \\ M(y_{2n}, y_{2n}, t) \end{pmatrix}) \\ &\geq & 1 - k(1 - \phi \begin{pmatrix} M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t), & M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t), \\ M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), & M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t), \\ M(y_{2n}, y_{2n}, t) \end{pmatrix}) \\ &\geq & 1 - k(1 - \phi \begin{pmatrix} M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t), & M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t), \\ M(y_{2n}, y_{2n}, t) \end{pmatrix}) \\ &\geq & 1 - k(1 - \phi \begin{pmatrix} M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t), & M(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t), \\ M(y_{2n}, y_{2n}, t) \end{pmatrix}) \\ &= & 1 - k(1 - \phi (d_{2n-1}(t), d_{2n-1}(t), d_{2n-1}(t), d_{2n-1}(t). d_{2n}(t), 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$d_{2n}(t) \ge 1 - k(1 - \phi(d_{2n-1}(t), d_{2n-1}(t), d_{2n}(t), d_{2n-1}(t), d_{2n}(t), 1)).$$
(2.1)

We claim that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d_{2n}(t) \ge d_{2n-1}(t)$. For if $d_{2n}(t) < d_{2n-1}(t)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then since $d_{2n}(t).d_{2n-1}(t) \ge d_{2n}(t).d_{2n}(t)$ in inequality (2.1), we have

$$d_{2n}(t) \ge 1 - k(1 - \phi(d_{2n}(t), d_{2n}(t), d_{2n}(t), (d_{2n}(t))^2, 1)) > 1 - k(1 - d_{2n}(t)).$$

That is, $(1-k)d_{2n}(t) > 1-k$, a contradiction. Hence $d_{2n}(t) \ge d_{2n-1}(t)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\forall t > 0$. Similarly for m = 2n + 1, we have $d_{2n+1}(t) \ge d_{2n}(t)$. Thus $\{d_n(t)\}$; is an increasing sequence in [0, 1].

By inequality (2.1) and $d_n(t)$ is an increasing sequence, we have

$$d_{2n}(t) \geq 1 - k(1 - \phi(d_{2n-1}(t), d_{2n-1}(t), d_{2n-1}(t), (d_{2n-1}(t))^2, 1)) \\ > 1 - k(1 - d_{2n-1}(t)).$$

Similarly for an odd integer m = 2n + 1 and q = 1, we have $d_{2n+1}(t) \ge 1 - k(1 - d_{2n}(t))$. Thus

$$d_n(t) \ge 1 - k(1 - d_{n-1}(t)).$$

That is,

$$M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) = d_n(t)$$

$$\geq 1 - k + k d_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\geq$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\geq 1 - k^n + k^n M(y_0, y_1, t)$$

$$= 1 - k^n (1 - M(y_0, y_1, t)) = 1 - k^n \alpha.$$

Hence by Lemma 1.11, $\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy and the completeness of X, $\{y_n\}$ converges to y in X. That is, $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = y$. Hence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} y_{2n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} f x_{2n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} T x_{2n+1}$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} y_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} g x_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} S x_{2n+2} = y$$

Since the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are compatible of type (γ) , hence we have fy = Sy and gy = Ty. Now, we prove that fy = y. By (ii) for q = 2, we have

$$M(fy, gx_{2n+1}, t) \geq 1 - k(1 - \phi \begin{pmatrix} M(Sy, Tx_{2n+1}, t), & M(Sy, fy, t), \\ M(Tx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1}, t), & M(Sy, gx_{2n+1}, 2t), \\ M(Tx_{2n+1}, fy, t) \end{pmatrix}).$$

By continuous M and ϕ , on making $n \longrightarrow \infty$ the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{split} & M(fy,y,t) \\ \geq & 1 - k(1 - \phi \begin{pmatrix} M(Sy,y,t), & M(Sy,fy,t), \\ M(y,y,t), & M(Sy,y,2t), \\ M(y,fy,t) \end{pmatrix}) \\ \geq & 1 - k(1 - \phi \begin{pmatrix} M(Sy,y,t), 1, 1, M(Sy,y,t) * M(y,y,t), M(y,fy,t) \end{pmatrix}) \\ = & 1 - k(1 - \phi (M(fy,y,t), M(fy,y,t), M(fy,y,t), M(fy,y,t), M(fy,y,t))) \\ > & 1 - k + kM(fy,y,t). \end{split}$$

If $fy \neq y$, by above inequality we get M(fy, y, t) > 1 which is contradiction. Hence M(fy, y, t) = 1, i.e., fy = y. Thus fy = Sy = y.

50

Similarly, we prove that gy = y. For

$$\begin{split} & M(y, gg, t) \\ = & M(fy, gy, t) \\ \geq & 1 - k(1 - \phi \begin{pmatrix} M(Sy, gy, t), & M(Sy, fy, t), \\ M(Ty, gy, t), & M(Sy, gy, 2t), \\ M(Ty, fy, t) \end{pmatrix}) \\ \geq & 1 - k(1 - \phi \left(M(y, gy, t), 1, 1, M(y, gy, t) * M(gy, y, t), 1 \right)) \\ = & 1 - k(1 - \phi(M(y, gy, t), M(y, gy, t), M(y, gy, t), M^2(y, gy, t), 1)) \\ > & 1 - k + kM(y, gy, t). \end{split}$$

We claim that gy = y. For if $gy \neq y$, then M(y, gy, t) < 1. On the above inequality we get

$$M(y, gy, t) > 1$$

a contradiction. Hence fy = gy = Sy = Ty = y. That is, y is a common fixed of f, g, S and T.

Uniqueness, let z be another common fixed point of f, g, S and T. Then z = fz = gz = Sz = Tz and M(z, y, t) < 1, hence for q = 2, we have

$$\begin{split} & M(y,z,t) \\ = & M(fy,gz,t) \\ \geq & 1 - k(1 - \phi \begin{pmatrix} M(Sy,Tz,t), & M(Sy,fy,t), \\ M(Tz,gz,t), & M(Sy,gz,2t), \\ M(Tz,fy,t) \end{pmatrix}) \\ \geq & 1 - k(1 - \phi (M(y,z,t),1,1,M(y,z,t) * M(z,z,t),M(y,z,t))) \\ = & 1 - k(1 - \phi(M(y,z,t),M(y,z,t),M(y,z,t),M(y,z,t),M(y,z,t))) \\ > & 1 - k + kM(y,z,t). \end{split}$$

That is M(y, z, t) > 1 is a contradiction. Therefore, y is the unique common fixed point of self-maps f, g, S and T.

Corollary 2.3. Let f, g, T, H, R and S be self-mappings of a complete fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) satisfying conditions:

- (i) $f(X) \subseteq TH(X), g(X) \subseteq SR(X),$
- (ii) there exists a number $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

Shaban Sedghi, Nabi Shobe and Shahram Sedghi

$$M(fx, gy, t) \geq 1 - k(1 - \phi \begin{pmatrix} M(SRx, THy, t), & M(SRx, fx, t), \\ M(THy, gy, t), & M(SRx, gy, qt), \\ M(THy, fx, (3 - q)t) \end{pmatrix})$$

for every x, y in $X, q \in \{1, 2\}, t > 0$ and $\phi \in \Phi$,

- (iii) the pairs (f, SR) and (g, TH) are be weak compatible of type (γ) ,
- (iv) TH = HT, fR = Rf, gH = Hg and SR = RS.

Then f, g, H, R, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, f, g, TH and SR have a unique common fixed point in X. That is, there exists $y \in X$, such that f(y) = g(y) = TH(y) = SR(y) = y. We prove R(y) = y. By (ii), we get

$$\begin{array}{ll} M(fRy,gy,t) \\ \geq & 1-k(1-\phi \left(\begin{array}{cc} M(SRRy,THy,t), & M(SRRy,fRy,t), \\ M(THy,gy,t), & M(SRRy,gy,qt), \\ M(THy,fRy,(3-q)t) \end{array} \right)). \end{array}$$

For q = 1, we get

$$\begin{split} & M(Ry, y, t) \\ \geq & 1 - k(1 - \phi \begin{pmatrix} M(Ry, y, t), & M(Ry, Ry, t), \\ M(y, y, t), & M(Ry, y, t), \\ M(y, Ry, 2t) \end{pmatrix}) \\ = & 1 - k(1 - \phi \left(M(Ry, y, t), 1, 1, M(Ry, y, t), M(y, Ry, t) \right)) \\ > & 1 - k + kM(Ry, y, t). \end{split}$$

Therefore it follows that Ry = y. Hence S(y) = SR(y) = y. Similarly, we get T(y) = H(y) = y.

Corollary 2.4. Let S, T and two sequences $\{f_i\}, \{g_j\}$ for every $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ be self-mappings of a complete fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) satisfying conditions:

- (i) there exists $i_0, j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_{i_0}(X) \subseteq T(X), \ g_{j_0}(X) \subseteq S(X),$
- (ii) there exists a number $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$M(f_{i}x, g_{j}y, t) \geq 1 - k(1 - \phi \begin{pmatrix} M(Sx, Ty, t), & M(Sx, f_{i}x, t), \\ M(Ty, g_{j}y, t), & M(Sx, g_{j}y, qt), \\ M(Ty, f_{i}x, (3 - q)t) \end{pmatrix}$$

for every x, y in $X, q \in \{1, 2\}, t > 0$ and $\phi \in \Phi$,

(iii) the pairs (S, f_{i_0}) and (g_{j_0}, T) are be weak compatible of type (γ) . Then S,T and $\{f_i\}, \{g_j\}$ have a unique common fixed point in X for every $i, j = 1, 2, \cdots$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, S, T and f_{i_0} and g_{j_0} for some $i_0, j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, have a unique common fixed point in X. That is, there exists a unique $x \in X$ such that

$$S(x) = T(x) = f_{i_0}(x) = g_{j_0}(x) = x.$$

Suppose there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $i \neq i_0$. Then we have

$$M(f_{i}x, x, t) = M(f_{i}x, g_{j_{0}}x, t)$$

$$\geq 1 - k(1 - \phi \begin{pmatrix} M(Sx, Tx, t), & M(Sx, f_{i}x, t), \\ M(Tx, g_{j_{0}}x, t), & M(Sx, g_{j_{0}}x, qt), \\ M(Tx, f_{i}x, (3 - q)t) \end{pmatrix}).$$

Hence for q = 2, we get

$$M(f_{i}x, x, t) \geq 1 - k(1 - \phi \begin{pmatrix} M(x, x, t), & M(x, f_{i}x, t), \\ M(x, x, t), & M(x, x, 2t), \\ M(x, f_{i}x, t) \end{pmatrix})$$

$$\geq 1 - k(1 - \phi(d, d, d, d, d))$$

$$> 1 - k(1 - d)$$

it follows that $d = M(f_i x, x, t) > 1$ which is a contradiction. Hence for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ it follows that $f_i x = x$.

Similarly, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we get $g_j x = x$. Therefore for every $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$f_i x = g_j x = S x = T x = x.$$

Corollary 2.5. Let f, g, S, T be self-mappings of a complete fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) satisfying that

- (i) $f(X) \subseteq T(X), g(X) \subseteq S(X),$
- (ii) there exists a number $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

M(fx, gy, t)

$$\geq 1 - k(1 - \left(\begin{array}{c} a(t)M(Sx,Ty,t) - b(t)M(Sx,fx,t) - c(t)M(Ty,gy,t) \\ -d(t)(M(Sx,gy,qt).M(Ty,fx,(3-q)t))^{1/2} \end{array}\right)^{h})$$

for every x, y in $X, q \in \{1, 2\}$, where $a, b, c, d : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow [0, 1]$, be four mappings such that a(t) + b(t) + c(t) + d(t) = 1, for all t > 0 some 0 < h < 1 and $\phi \in \Phi$,

(iii) the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are be weak compatible of type (γ) .

Then f, g, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. It is enough in Theorem 2.2, define

$$\phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (a(t)x_1 + b(t)x_2 + c(t)x_3 + d(t)(x_4x_5)^{1/2})^h$$

where $a, b, c, d : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow [0, 1]$, be four mappings such that a(t) + b(t) + c(t) + d(t) = 1, for all t > 0 and some 0 < h < 1.

Corollary 2.6. Let f and g be self-mappings of a complete fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) satisfying conditions:

(i) there exists a number $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$M(fx, gy, t) \geq 1 - k(1 - \phi \begin{pmatrix} M(x, y, t), & M(x, fx, t), \\ M(y, gy, t), & M(x, gy, qt), \\ M(y, fx, (3 - q)t) \end{pmatrix})$$

for every x, y in $X, q \in \{1, 2\}, t > 0$ and $\phi \in \Phi$. Then f and g have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. It is enough in Theorem 2.2, we set S = T = I, where I is identity map.

Corollary 2.7. Let f and g be self-mappings of a complete fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) satisfying conditions:

(i) there exists a number $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$M(f^{n}x, g^{m}y, t) \geq 1 - k(1 - \phi \begin{pmatrix} M(x, y, t), & M(x, f^{n}x, t), \\ M(y, g^{m}y, t), & M(x, g^{m}y, qt), \\ M(y, f^{n}x, (3 - q)t) \end{pmatrix})$$

for every x, y in X, for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \in \{1, 2\}$, t > 0 and $\phi \in \Phi$. If $f^n g = gf^n$ and $g^m f = fg^m$, then f and g have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. By Corollary 2.6, f^n and g^m have a unique common fixed point in X. That is, there exists a unique $x \in X$ such that $f^n(x) = g^m(x) = x$. Since $g(x) = g(g^m(x)) = g^m(g(x))$ and $g(x) = g(f^n(x)) = f^n(g(x))$, i.e., g(x) is fixed point for f^n, g^m hence g(x) = x. Similarly, since $f(x) = f(f^n(x)) = f^n(f(x))$ and $f(x) = f(g^m(x)) = g^m(f(x))$, i.e., f(x) = g(x) = x.

References

- MS. El Naschie, On the uncertainty of Cantorian geometry and two-slit experiment, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 9(1998), 517–529.
- [2] MS. El Naschie, A review of E-infinity theory and the mass spectrum of high energy particle physics, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 19(2004), 209–236.

Common fixed point theorem of compatible of type γ maps

- [3] MS. El Naschie, On a fuzzy Kahler-like Manifold which is consistent with two-slit experiment, Int J of Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, 6(2005), 95–98.
- [4] MS. El Naschie, The idealized quantum two-slit gedanken experiment revisited-Criticism and reinterpretation, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 27(2006), 9–13.
- [5] A. George and P. Veeramani, On some result in fuzzy metric space, Fuzzy Sets and Syst., 64(1994), 395–399.
- [6] V. Gregori and A. Sapena, it On fixed-point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Sys., 125(2002), 245–252.
- [7] G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades, Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 29(1998), 227–238.
- [8] I. Kramosil and J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetica, 11(1975), 326–334.
- [9] A. Razani and M. Shirdaryazdi, A common fixed point theorem of compatible maps in Menger space, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 32(2007), 26–34.
- [10] J. Rodríguez López and S. Ramaguera, The Hausdorff fuzzy metric on compact sets, Fuzzy Sets and Sys., 147(2004), 273–283.
- [11] D. Miheţ, A Banach contraction theorem in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Sys., 144(2004), 431–439.
- [12] B. Schweizer, H. Sherwood and RM. Tardiff, Contractions on PM-space examples and counterexamples, Stochastica 1(1988), 5–17.
- B. Singh and S. Jain, A fixed point theorem in Menger space through weak compatibility, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 301(2005), 439-448.
- [14] R. Saadati and S. Sedghi, A common fixed point theorem for R-weakly commutiting maps in fuzzy metric spaces, 6th Iranian Conference on Fuzzy Systems (2006), 387–391.
- B. Singh and S. Jain, A fixed point theorem in Menger space thought weak compatibility, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 301(2005), 439–448.
- [16] Y. Tanaka, Y. Mizno and T. Kado, *Chaotic dynamics in Friedmann equation*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 24(2005), 407–422.
- [17] LA. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform and Control, 8(1965), 338–353.