

Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications
Vol. 26, No. 4 (2021), pp. 685-700

ISSN: 1229-1595(print), 2466-0973(online)

<https://doi.org/10.22771/nfaa.2021.26.04.03>

<http://nfaa.kyungnam.ac.kr/journal-nfaa>

Copyright © 2021 Kyungnam University Press



COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS UNDER RATIONAL CONTRACTIONS IN COMPLEX VALUED EXTENDED b -METRIC SPACES

**V. Vairaperumal¹, J. Carmel Pushpa Raj², J. Maria Joseph³
and M. Marudai⁴**

¹PG and Research Department of Mathematics, St.Josephs College(Autonomous)
Tiruchirappalli-620 002, Tamilnadu, India
e-mail: vairamv3@gmail.com

²PG and Research Department of Mathematics, St.Josephs College(Autonomous)
Tiruchirappalli-620 002, Tamilnadu, India
e-mail: carmelsjc@gmail.com

³PG and Research Department of Mathematics, St.Josephs College(Autonomous)
Tiruchirappalli-620 002, Tamilnadu, India
e-mail: joseph80john@gmail.com

⁴Department of Mathematics, Bharathidasan University
Tiruchirappalli-620 024, Tamilnadu, India
e-mail: mmarudai@yahoo.co.in

Abstract. In this paper, we discuss the existence and uniqueness of fixed point and common fixed point theorems in complex valued extended b -metric spaces for a pair of mappings satisfying some rational contraction conditions which generalized and unify some well-known results in the literature.

1. INTRODUCTION

The fixed point theory is one of the important tools in nonlinear analysis, science and engineering. In 1992, Banach [3] introduced the famous Banach

⁰Received January 9, 2021. Revised April 1, 2021. Accepted April 3, 2021.

⁰2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H09, 47H10, 37C25.

⁰Keywords: Complex valued extended b -metric space, rational contraction, common fixed point.

⁰Corresponding author: V. Vairaperumal(vairamv3@gmail.com).

contraction theorem. After this remarkable contribution, many researchers proved generalization of Banach contraction theorem in metric spaces and generalized metric spaces [8, 12]. Bakhtin [2] and Czerwinski [5] extended fixed point theorems in b -metric spaces which were generalizations of the Banach contraction principle. Subsequently, Azam et al. [1] introduced the concept of complex valued metric spaces. Then many authors [4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14] presented fixed point theorems on single-valued and multi-valued mapping in b -metric spaces and complex valued metric spaces. In the article, we prove fixed point theorems in complex valued extended b -metric space using rational contractions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We give some definitions and their properties for our main results.

Definition 2.1. ([1]) Let \mathbb{C} be the set of complex numbers and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Define a partial order \preceq on \mathbb{C} as follows:

$z_1 \preceq z_2$ if and only if $Re(z_1) \leq Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) \leq Im(z_2)$. Thus $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if one of the followings holds:

- (1) $Re(z_1) = Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) = Im(z_2)$;
- (2) $Re(z_1) < Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) = Im(z_2)$;
- (3) $Re(z_1) = Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) < Im(z_2)$;
- (4) $Re(z_1) < Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) < Im(z_2)$.

We will write $z_1 \not\preceq z_2$ if $z_1 \neq z_2$ and one of (2), (3) and (4) is satisfied; also we will write $z_1 \prec z_2$ if only (4) is satisfied.

It follows that

- (i) $0 \leq z_1 \not\preceq z_2$ implies $|z_1| < |z_2|$;
- (ii) $z_1 \preceq z_2$ and $z_2 \prec z_3$ imply $z_1 \prec z_3$;
- (iii) $0 \leq z_1 \preceq z_2$ implies $|z_1| \leq |z_2|$;
- (iv) if $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq a \leq b$ and $z_1 \preceq z_2$, then $az_1 \preceq bz_2$ for all $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 2.2. ([1]) Let W be a nonempty set. A function $d_{cv} : W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a complex valued metric on W , if for all $l, m, n \in W$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $0 \preceq d_{cv}(l, m)$ and $d_{cv}(l, m) = 0$ if and only if $l = m$;
- (ii) $d_{cv}(l, m) = d_{cv}(m, l)$;
- (iii) $d_{cv}(l, m) \preceq d_{cv}(l, n) + d_{cv}(n, m)$.

Then, the pair (W, d_{cv}) is called a complex valued metric space.

Example 2.3. ([1]) Let $W = [0, 1]$ and $l, m \in W$. Define $d_{cv} : W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$d_{cv}(l, m) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } l = m, \\ i & \text{if } l \neq m. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Then d_{cv} is a complex valued metric and hence (W, d_{cv}) is a complex valued metric space.

Definition 2.4. ([1]) Let (W, d_{cv}) be a complex valued metric space.

- (i) We say that a point $l \in W$ is an interior point of a set $M \subseteq W$, whenever there exists $0 \prec r \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$B(l, r) = \{m \in W : d_{cv}(m, l) \prec r\},$$

- (ii) We say that a point $l \in W$ is a limit point of a set $M \subseteq W$, whenever for every $0 \prec r \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$B(l, r) \cap M - \{l\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Definition 2.5. ([2], [5]) Let W be a nonempty set and $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. A function $d_b : W \times W \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a b -metric on W if for all $l, m, n \in W$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- (b1) $d_b(l, m) = 0$ if and only if $l = m$;
- (b2) $d_b(l, m) = d_b(m, l)$;
- (b3) $d_b(l, m) \leq s[d_b(l, n) + d_b(n, m)]$.

Then, the pair (W, d_b) is called a b -metric space.

Example 2.6. ([4]) Let $W = L_p[0, 1]$ be the space of all real functions $l(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$ such that $\int_0^1 |l(t)|^p dt < \infty$ with $0 < p < 1$. Define $d_b : W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ as:

$$d_b(l, m) = \left(\int_0^1 |l(t) - m(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

then (W, d_b) is a b -metric space with coefficient $s = 2^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

Definition 2.7. ([13]) Let W be a nonempty set and let $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. A function $d_{cvb} : W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a complex valued b -metric on W if for all $l, m, n \in W$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $0 \preceq d_{cvb}(l, m)$ and $d_{cvb}(l, m) = 0$ if and only if $l = m$;
- (ii) $d_{cvb}(l, m) = d_{cvb}(m, l)$;

- (iii) $d_{cvb}(l, m) \preceq s[d_{cvb}(l, n) + d_{cvb}(n, m)]$.

Then, the pair (W, d_{cvb}) is called a complex valued b -metric space.

Example 2.8. ([13]) If $W = [0, 1]$, define the mapping $d_{cvb} : W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$d_{cvb}(l, m) = |l - m|^2 + i|l - m|^2$$

for all $l, m \in W$. Then (W, d_{cvb}) is a complex valued b -metric space with $s = 2$.

Definition 2.9. ([10]) Let W be a non-empty set and $\lambda : W \times W \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be a function. Then $d_\lambda : W \times W \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called an extended b -metric if for all $l, m, n \in W$ it satisfies:

- (i) $d_\lambda(l, m) = 0$ if and only if $l = m$;
- (ii) $d_\lambda(l, m) = d_\lambda(m, l)$;
- (iii) $d_\lambda(l, n) \leq \lambda(l, n)[d_\lambda(l, m) + d_\lambda(m, n)]$.

Then, the pair (W, d_λ) is called an extended b -metric space.

Example 2.10. Let $W = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Define $\lambda : W \times W \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ and $d_\lambda : W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(l, m) &= 1 + l + m, \\ d_\lambda(1, 1) &= d_\lambda(2, 2) = d_\lambda(3, 3) = 0, \\ d_\lambda(1, 2) &= d_\lambda(2, 1) = 80, \quad d_\lambda(1, 3) = d_\lambda(3, 1) = 1000, \\ d_\lambda(2, 3) &= d_\lambda(3, 2) = 600. \end{aligned}$$

Then (W, d_λ) is an extended b -metric space.

Definition 2.11. ([10]) Let (W, d_λ) be an extended b -metric space.

- (i) A sequence $\{l_n\}$ in W is said to converge to $l \in W$, if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N = N(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d_\lambda(l_n, l) < \epsilon$, for all $n \geq N$. In this case, we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l_n = l$.
- (ii) A sequence $\{l_n\}$ in W is said to be Cauchy, if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N = N(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d_\lambda(l_m, l_n) < \epsilon$, for all $m, n \geq N$.
- (iii) If every Cauchy sequence in W is convergent, then (W, d_λ) is said to be a complete extended b -metric space.

Lemma 2.12. ([10]) Let (W, d_λ) be an extended b -metric space. If d_λ is continuous, then every convergent sequence has a unique limit.

Definition 2.13. ([14]) Let W be a non-empty set and $\theta : W \times W \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be a function. Then $d_\theta : W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is known as a complex valued extended b -metric space if the following conditions are satisfied for all $l, m, n \in W$:

- (i) $0 \leq d_\theta(l, m)$ and $d_\theta(l, m) = 0$ if and only if $l = m$;
- (ii) $d_\theta(l, m) = d_\theta(m, l)$;
- (iii) $d_\theta(l, n) \leq \theta(l, n)[d_\theta(l, m) + d_\theta(m, n)]$.

Then the pair (W, d_θ) is called a complex valued extended b -metric space.

Example 2.14. If W be a nonempty set and $\theta : W \times W \rightarrow [1, \infty]$ be defined as:

$$\theta(l, m) = \frac{1+l+m}{l+m},$$

further, let

- (i) $d_\theta(l, m) = \frac{i}{lm}$ for all $l, m \in (0, 1]$;
- (ii) $d_\theta(l, m) = 0 \iff l = m$ for all $l, m \in [0, 1]$;
- (iii) $d_\theta(l, 0) = d_\theta(0, l) = \frac{i}{l}$ for all $l \in (0, 1]$.

Then the pair (W, d_θ) is known as a complex valued extended b -metric space.

Example 2.15. Let $W = [0, \infty)$. $\theta : W \times W \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be a function defined by $\theta(l, m) = 1 + l + m$ and $d_\theta : W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given as

$$d_\theta(l, m) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } l = m \\ i & \text{if } l \neq m \end{cases}.$$

Then (W, d_θ) is a complex valued extended b -metric space.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Now, we can state our main results.

Theorem 3.1. Let (W, d_θ) be a complete complex valued extended b -metric space, let $\theta : W \times W \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ and let U, V be self-mappings from W into itself satisfy the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} d_\theta(Ul, Vm) &\leq \mu_1 d_\theta(l, m) + \mu_2 \frac{d_\theta(l, Ul)d_\theta(m, Vm)}{d_\theta(l, Vm) + d_\theta(m, Ul) + d_\theta(l, m)} \\ &\quad + \mu_3 \left[\frac{d_\theta(l, Vl)d_\theta(m, Vm)[1 + d_\theta(m, Ul)d_\theta(l, m)]}{d_\theta(l, Vm) + d_\theta(l, m)} \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for all $l, m \in W$ such that $l \neq m$, $d_\theta(l, Vm) + d_\theta(m, Ul) + d_\theta(l, m) \neq 0$, $d_\theta(l, Vm) + d_\theta(l, m) \neq 0$ where μ_1, μ_2 and μ_3 are non negative reals with $\mu_1 + \theta(l_1, l_2)(\mu_2 + \mu_3) < 1$, $\zeta = \mu_1 + (\mu_2 + \mu_3)\theta(l_1, l_2)$ where $\zeta \in [0, \infty)$, $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \theta(l_n, l_m) < \frac{1}{\zeta}$ or $d_\theta(Ul, Vm) = 0$ if $d_\theta(l, Vm) + d_\theta(m, Ul) + d_\theta(l, m) = 0$, $d_\theta(l, Vm) + d_\theta(l, m) = 0$, then U and V have a unique common fixed point in W .

Proof. For any arbitrary point $l_0 \in W$, define a sequence $\{l_n\}$ in W such that

$$l_{2n+1} = Ul_{2n} \text{ and } l_{2n+2} = Vl_{2n+1}, \quad \forall n \geq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Now we prove that $\{l_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Let $l = l_0$, $m = l_1$ in (3.1). Then

$$d_\theta(l_1, l_2) = d_\theta(Ul_0, Vl_1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \mu_1 d_\theta(l_0, l_1) + \mu_2 \frac{d_\theta(l_0, Ul_0) d_\theta(l_1, Vl_1)}{d_\theta(l_0, Vl_1) + d_\theta(l_1, Ul_0) + d_\theta(l_0, l_1)} \\ &\quad + \mu_3 \left[\frac{d_\theta(l_0, Vl_0) d_\theta(l_1, Vl_1) [1 + d_\theta(l_1, Ul_0) d_\theta(l_0, l_1)]}{d_\theta(l_0, Vl_1) + d_\theta(l_0, l_1)} \right] \\ &= \mu_1 d_\theta(l_0, l_1) + \mu_2 \frac{d_\theta(l_0, l_1) d_\theta(l_1, l_2)}{d_\theta(l_0, l_2) + d_\theta(l_1, l_1) + d_\theta(l_0, l_1)} \\ &\quad + \mu_3 \left[\frac{d_\theta(l_0, l_1) d_\theta(l_1, l_2) [1 + d_\theta(l_1, l_1) d_\theta(l_0, l_1)]}{d_\theta(l_0, l_2) + d_\theta(l_0, l_1)} \right] \\ &= \mu_1 d_\theta(l_0, l_1) + \mu_2 \frac{d_\theta(l_0, l_1) d_\theta(l_1, l_2)}{d_\theta(l_0, l_2) + d_\theta(l_0, l_1)} + \mu_3 \left[\frac{d_\theta(l_0, l_1) d_\theta(l_1, l_2)}{d_\theta(l_0, l_2) + d_\theta(l_0, l_1)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d_\theta(l_1, l_2)| &= \mu_1 |d_\theta(l_0, l_1)| + \mu_2 \frac{|d_\theta(l_0, l_1)| |d_\theta(l_1, l_2)|}{|d_\theta(l_0, l_2)| + |d_\theta(l_0, l_1)|} \\ &\quad + \mu_3 \frac{|d_\theta(l_0, l_1)| |d_\theta(l_1, l_2)|}{|d_\theta(l_0, l_2)| + |d_\theta(l_0, l_1)|}. \end{aligned}$$

Using triangle inequality

$$d_\theta(l_1, l_2) \leq \theta(l_1, l_2) [d_\theta(l_1, l_0) + d_\theta(l_0, l_2)].$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d_\theta(l_1, l_2)| &\leq \mu_1 |d_\theta(l_0, l_1)| + \mu_2 \frac{|d_\theta(l_0, l_1)| |d_\theta(l_1, l_2)|}{|d_\theta(l_1, l_2)|} |\theta(l_1, l_2)| \\ &\quad + \mu_3 \frac{|d_\theta(l_0, l_1)| |d_\theta(l_1, l_2)|}{|d_\theta(l_1, l_2)|} |\theta(l_1, l_2)| \\ &= [\mu_1 + (\mu_2 + \mu_3) \theta(l_1, l_2)] |d_\theta(l_0, l_1)|, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$|d_\theta(l_1, l_2)| \leq [\mu_1 + (\mu_2 + \mu_3) \theta(l_1, l_2)] |d_\theta(l_0, l_1)|.$$

Since $|d_\theta(l_1, l_2)| < 1 + |d_\theta(l_1, l_2)|$, we have

$$|d_\theta(l_1, l_2)| \leq \zeta |d_\theta(l_0, l_1)|,$$

$$|d_\theta(l_2, l_3)| \leq \zeta^2 |d_\theta(l_0, l_1)|,$$

$$|d_\theta(l_3, l_4)| \leq \zeta^3 |d_\theta(l_0, l_1)|,$$

⋮

$$|d_\theta(l_n, l_{n+1})| \leq \zeta^n |d_\theta(l_0, l_1)|.$$

Now, by triangle inequality, for any $m > n$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\theta(l_n, l_m) &\preceq \theta(l_n, l_m)\zeta^n d_\theta(l_0, l_1) + \theta(l_n, l_m)\theta(l_{n+1}, l_m)\zeta^{n+1} d_\theta(l_0, l_1) \dots \\ &\quad + \theta(l_n, l_m)\theta(l_{n+1}, l_m) \dots \theta(l_{m-2}, l_m)\theta(l_{m-1}, l_m)\zeta^{m-1} d_\theta(l_0, l_1). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_\theta(l_n, l_m) &\preceq d_\theta(l_0, l_1)[\theta(l_n, l_m)\zeta^n + \theta(l_n, l_m)\theta(l_{n+1}, l_m)\zeta^{n+1} \dots \\ &\quad + \theta(l_n, l_m)\theta(l_{n+1}, l_m) \dots \theta(l_{m-2}, l_m)\theta(l_{m-1}, l_m)\zeta^{m-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \theta(l_n, l_m)\zeta < 1$, so the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \zeta^n \prod_{i=1}^n \theta(l_i, l_m)$ converges by ratio test for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$S = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \zeta^n \prod_{i=1}^n \theta(l_i, l_m), \quad S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \zeta^j \prod_{i=1}^j \theta(l_i, l_m).$$

Thus, for $m > n$, the above can be written as

$$d_\theta(l_n, l_m) \preceq d_\theta(l_0, l_1)[S_{m-1} - S_n]$$

and

$$|d_\theta(l_n, l_m)| \leq |d_\theta(l_0, l_1)|[S_{m-1} - S_n].$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$|d_\theta(l_n, l_m)| \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, $\{l_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in W . Since W is complete, there exists some $t \in W$ such that $l_n \rightarrow t$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

If not, then there exists $z \in W$ such that

$$|d_\theta(t, Ut)| = |z| > 0. \tag{3.3}$$

So, using the triangular inequality and (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
z &= d_\theta(t, Ut) \\
&\leq \theta(t, U_t)d_\theta(t, l_{2n+2}) + \theta(t, U_t)d_\theta(l_{2n+2}, Ut) \\
&= \theta(t, U_t)d_\theta(t, l_{2n+2}) + \theta(t, U_t)d_\theta(Vl_{2n+1}, Ut) \\
&\leq \theta(t, U_t)d_\theta(t, l_{2n+2}) + \theta(t, U_t) \left[\mu_1 d_\theta(t, l_{2n+1}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \mu_2 \frac{d_\theta(t, Ut)d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, Vl_{2n+1})}{d_\theta(t, Vl_{2n+1}) + d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, Ut) + d_\theta(t, l_{2n+1})} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \mu_3 \left[\frac{d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, Ul_{2n+1})d_\theta(t, Ut)[1 + d_\theta(t, Vl_{2n+1})d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, t)]}{d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, Ut) + d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, t)} \right] \right] \\
&= \theta(t, U_t)d_\theta(t, l_{2n+2}) + \mu_1 \theta(t, U_t)d_\theta(t, l_{2n+1}) \\
&\quad + \mu_2 \theta(t, U_t) \frac{d_\theta(t, Ut)d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})}{d_\theta(t, l_{2n+2}) + d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, Ut) + d_\theta(t, l_{2n+1})} \\
&\quad + \mu_3 \theta(t, U_t) \left[\frac{d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})d_\theta(t, Ut)[1 + d_\theta(t, l_{2n+2})d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, t)]}{d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, Ut) + d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, t)} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

And so,

$$\begin{aligned}
|z| &= |d_\theta(t, Ut)| \\
&\leq \theta(t, U_t)|d_\theta(t, l_{2n+2})| + \mu_1 \theta(t, U_t)|d_\theta(t, l_{2n+1})| \\
&\quad + \mu_2 \theta(t, U_t) \frac{|d_\theta(t, Ut)||d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})|}{|d_\theta(t, l_{2n+2})| + |d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, Ut)| + |d_\theta(t, l_{2n+1})|} \\
&\quad + \mu_3 \theta(t, U_t) \left[\frac{|d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})||d_\theta(t, Ut)|[1 + |d_\theta(t, l_{2n+2})||d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, t)|]}{|d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, Ut)| + |d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, t)|} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $|z| = |d_\theta(t, Ut)| \leq 0$, a contradiction. Thus, $|z| = 0$. Hence, $Ut = t$. Similarly, we obtain $Vt = t$.

Now, we show that U and V have a unique common fixed point. To prove this, assume that $t' \neq t$ is another common fixed point of U and V . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
d_\theta(t, t') &= d_\theta(Ut, Vt') \\
&\leq \mu_1 d_\theta(t, t') + \mu_2 \frac{d_\theta(t, Ut)d_\theta(t', Vt')}{d_\theta(t, Vt') + d_\theta(t', Ut) + d_\theta(t, t')} \\
&\quad + \mu_3 \frac{d_\theta(t, Vt)d_\theta(t', Vt')[1 + d_\theta(t', Ut)d_\theta(t, t')]}{d_\theta(t, Vt') + d_\theta(t, t')}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

And so, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d_\theta(t, t')| &\leq \mu_1 |d_\theta(t, t')| + \mu_2 \frac{|d_\theta(t, Ut)| |d_\theta(t', Vt')|}{|d_\theta(t, Vt')| + |d_\theta(t', Ut)| + |d_\theta(t, t')|} \\ &\quad + \mu_3 \frac{|d_\theta(t, Vt)| |d_\theta(t', Vt')| [1 + |d_\theta(t', Ut)| |d_\theta(t, t')|]}{|d_\theta(t, Vt')| + |d_\theta(t, t')|}, \end{aligned}$$

this implies that

$$|d_\theta(t, t')| \leq \mu_1 |d_\theta(t, t')|,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, $t = t'$ which shows the uniqueness of common fixed point in W .

Now, we consider the second case:

Step 1: $d_\theta(l, Vm) + d_\theta(m, Ul) + d_\theta(l, m) = 0$, $l = l_{2n}$ and $m = l_{2n+1}$. $d_\theta(l_{2n}, Vl_{2n+1}) + d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, Ul_{2n}) + d_\theta(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1}) = 0$, $d_\theta(Ul_{2n}, Vl_{2n+1}) = 0$. So that $l_{2n} = Ul_{2n} = l_{2n+1} = Vl_{2n+1} = l_{2n+2}$. Thus, we have $l_{2n+1} = Ul_{2n} = l_{2n}$, so there exist E_1 and f_1 such that

$$E_1 = Uf_1 = f_1,$$

where $E_1 = l_{2n+1}$ and $f_1 = l_{2n}$.

Using foregoing arguments, we show that there exist E_2 and f_2 such that

$$E_2 = Vf_2 = f_2,$$

where $E_2 = l_{2n+2}$ and $f_2 = l_{2n+1}$.

As, $d_\theta(f_1, Vf_2) + d_\theta(f_2, Uf_1) + d_\theta(f_1, f_2) = 0$ which implies $d_\theta(Uf_1, Vf_2) = 0$. Since $E_1 = Uf_1 = Vf_2 = E_2$, we obtain $E_1 = Uf_1 = UE_1$. Similarly, we have $E_2 = VE_2$.

As $E_1 = E_2$, then $UE_1 = VE_1 = E_1$. Hence $E_1 = E_2$ is common fixed point of U and V .

For uniqueness of common fixed point, assume that E'_1 in W is another common fixed point of U and V . Then we have $UE'_1 = VE'_1 = E'_1$. As $d_\theta(E_1, VE'_1) + d_\theta(E'_1, UE_1) + d_\theta(E_1, E'_1) = 0$, therefore, we have

$$d_\theta(E_1, E'_1) = d_\theta(UE_1, VE'_1) = 0.$$

This implies that $E_1 = E'_1$.

Step 2: $d_\theta(l, Vm) + d_\theta(l, m) = 0$. $l = l_{2n}$ and $m = l_{2n+1}$ in this expression, we get, $d_\theta(l_{2n}, Vl_{2n+1}) + d_\theta(l_{2n}, l_{2n+1}) = 0$, it implies that $d_\theta(Ul_{2n}, Vl_{2n+1}) = 0$. So that $l_{2n} = Ul_{2n} = l_{2n+1} = Vl_{2n+1} = l_{2n+2}$. Thus, we have $l_{2n+1} = Ul_{2n} = l_{2n}$, so there exist E_3 and f_3 such that

$$E_3 = Uf_3 = f_3,$$

where $E_3 = l_{2n+1}$ and $f_3 = l_{2n}$.

Using foregoing arguments, we show that there exist E_4 and f_4 such that

$$E_4 = Vf_4 = f_4,$$

where $E_4 = l_{2n+2}$ and $f_4 = l_{2n+1}$. As, $d_\theta(f_3, Vf_4) + d_\theta(f_3, f_4) = 0$ which implies $d_\theta(Uf_3, Vf_4) = 0$. Hence $E_3 = Uf_3 = Vf_4 = E_4$. Thus we obtain $E_3 = Uf_3 = UE_3$. Similarly, we have $E_4 = VE_4$.

As $E_3 = E_4$, implies $UE_3 = VE_3 = E_3$. Hence $E_3 = E_4$ is common fixed point of U and V .

For uniqueness of common fixed point, assume that E'_3 in W is another common fixed point of U and V . Then we have $UE'_3 = VE'_3 = E'_3$. As $d_\theta(E_3, VE'_3) + d_\theta(E_3, E'_3) = 0$, therefore, we have

$$d_\theta(E_3, E'_3) = d_\theta(UE_3, VE'_3) = 0.$$

This implies that $E_3 = E'_3$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let (W, d_θ) be a complete complex valued extended b-metric space, let $\theta : W \times W \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ and let V be a self-mapping from W into itself satisfy the following inequality:*

$$\begin{aligned} d_\theta(Vl,Vm) &\leq \mu_1 d_\theta(l,m) + \mu_2 \frac{d_\theta(l,Vl)d_\theta(m,Vm)}{d_\theta(l,Vm) + d_\theta(m,Vl) + d_\theta(l,m)} \\ &\quad + \mu_3 \left[\frac{d_\theta(l,Vl)d_\theta(m,Vm)[1 + d_\theta(m,Vl)d_\theta(l,m)]}{d_\theta(l,Vm) + d_\theta(l,m)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

for all $l, m \in W$, such that $l \neq m$, $d_\theta(l,Vm) + d_\theta(m,Vl) + d_\theta(l,m) \neq 0$, $d_\theta(l,Vm) + d_\theta(l,m) \neq 0$ where μ_1 , μ_2 and μ_3 are nonnegative reals with $\mu_1 + \theta(l_1, l_2)(\mu_2 + \mu_3) < 1$, $\zeta = \mu_1 + (\mu_2 + \mu_3)\theta(l_1, l_2)$ where $\zeta \in [0, \infty)$, $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \theta(l_n, l_m) < \frac{1}{\zeta}$. or $d_\theta(Vl,Vm) = 0$ if $d_\theta(l,Vm) + d_\theta(m,Vl) + d_\theta(l,m) = 0$, $d_\theta(l,Vm) + d_\theta(l,m) = 0$, then V has a unique common fixed point in W .

Proof. We can prove this result by applying Theorem 3.1 with the condition $U = V$. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let (W, d_θ) be a complete complex valued extended b-metric space, let $\theta : W \times W \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ and let U, V be self-mappings from W into itself satisfy the following inequality,*

$$\begin{aligned} d_\theta(Ul,Vm) &\leq \mu_1 d_\theta(l,m) + \mu_2 \frac{d_\theta^2(l,Vm) + d_\theta^2(m,Ul)}{d_\theta(l,Vm) + d_\theta(m,Ul)} \\ &\quad + \mu_3 [d_\theta(l, Ul) + d_\theta(m, Vm)] + \mu_4 [d_\theta(l, m) + d_\theta(m, Ul)] \quad (3.6) \\ &\quad + \mu_5 \frac{d_\theta^2(m,Vm)}{d_\theta(l,Vm) + d_\theta(l,m)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $l, m \in W$, such that $l \neq m$, $d_\theta(l, Vm) + d_\theta(m, Ul) \neq 0$, $d_\theta(l, Vm) + d_\theta(l, m) \neq 0$ where $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4$ and μ_5 are nonnegative reals with $\mu_1 + 2\theta(l_0, l_2)\mu_2 + 2\mu_3 + \mu_4 + \theta(l_0, l_2)\mu_5 < 1$, $\zeta(1 - (\mu_2 + \mu_5)\theta(l_0, l_2) - \mu_3) = (\mu_1 + \mu_2\theta(l_0, l_2) + \mu_3 + \mu_4)$ where $\zeta \in [0, \infty)$, $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \theta(l_n, l_m) < \frac{1}{\zeta}$. or $d_\theta(Ul, Vm) = 0$ if $d_\theta(l, Vm) + d_\theta(m, Ul) = 0$, $d_\theta(l, Vm) + d_\theta(l, m) = 0$, then U and V have a unique common fixed point in W .

Proof. For any arbitrary point $l_0 \in W$, define a sequence $\{l_n\}$ in W such that

$$l_{2n+1} = Ul_{2n} \quad \text{and} \quad l_{2n+2} = Vl_{2n}, \quad \forall n \geq 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Now we prove that $\{l_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let $l = l_0, m = l_1$ in (3.6). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\theta(l_1, l_2) &= d_\theta(Ul_0, Vl_1) \\ &\leq \mu_1 d_\theta(l_0, l_1) + \mu_2 \frac{[d_\theta^2(l_0, Vl_1) + d_\theta^2(l_1, Ul_0)]}{d_\theta(l_0, Vl_1) + d_\theta(l_1, Ul_0)} \\ &\quad + \mu_3 [d_\theta(l_0, Ul_0) + d_\theta(l_1, Vl_1)] \\ &\quad + \mu_4 [d_\theta(l_0, l_1) + d_\theta(l_1, Ul_0)] + \mu_5 \frac{d_\theta^2(l_1, Vl_1)}{d_\theta(l_0, Vl_1) + d_\theta(l_0, l_1)} \\ &= \mu_1 d_\theta(l_0, l_1) + \mu_2 \frac{[d_\theta^2(l_0, l_2) + d_\theta^2(l_1, l_1)]}{d_\theta(l_0, l_2) + d_\theta(l_1, l_1)} + \mu_3 [d_\theta(l_0, l_1) + d_\theta(l_1, l_2)] \\ &\quad + \mu_4 [d_\theta(l_0, l_1) + d_\theta(l_1, l_1)] + \mu_5 \frac{d_\theta^2(l_1, l_2)}{d_\theta(l_0, l_2) + d_\theta(l_0, l_1)} \\ &= \mu_1 d_\theta(l_0, l_1) + \mu_2 \frac{d_\theta^2(l_0, l_2)}{d_\theta(l_0, l_2)} + \mu_3 [d_\theta(l_0, l_1) + d_\theta(l_1, l_2)] \\ &\quad + \mu_4 d_\theta(l_0, l_1) + \mu_5 \frac{d_\theta^2(l_1, l_2)}{d_\theta(l_0, l_2) + d_\theta(l_0, l_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

And so,

$$\begin{aligned} |d_\theta(l_1, l_2)| &\leq \mu_1 |d_\theta(l_0, l_1)| + \mu_2 \frac{|d_\theta^2(l_0, l_2)|}{|d_\theta(l_0, l_2)|} + \mu_3 [|d_\theta(l_0, l_1)| + |d_\theta(l_1, l_2)|] \\ &\quad + \mu_4 |d_\theta(l_0, l_1)| + \mu_5 \frac{|d_\theta^2(l_1, l_2)|}{|d_\theta(l_0, l_2)| + |d_\theta(l_0, l_1)|}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} |d_\theta(l_1, l_2)| &\leq \mu_1 |d_\theta(l_0, l_1)| + \mu_2 |d_\theta(l_0, l_2)| + \mu_3 [|d_\theta(l_0, l_1)| + |d_\theta(l_1, l_2)|] \\ &\quad + \mu_4 |d_\theta(l_0, l_1)| + \mu_5 \frac{|d_\theta^2(l_1, l_2)|}{|d_\theta(l_0, l_2)| + |d_\theta(l_0, l_1)|}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the triangle inequality, we have

$$d_\theta(l_0, l_2) \leq \theta(l_0, l_2)[d_\theta(l_0, l_1) + d_\theta(l_1, l_2)].$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d_\theta(l_1, l_2)| &\leq \mu_1|d_\theta(l_0, l_1)| + \mu_2\theta(l_0, l_2)[|d_\theta(l_0, l_1)| + |d_\theta(l_1, l_2)|] \\ &\quad + \mu_3[|d_\theta(l_0, l_1)| + |d_\theta(l_1, l_2)|] \\ &\quad + \mu_4|d_\theta(l_0, l_1)| + \mu_5\theta(l_0, l_2)|d_\theta(l_1, l_2)| \\ &= (\mu_1 + \mu_2\theta(l_0, l_2) + \mu_3 + \mu_4)|d_\theta(l_0, l_1)| \\ &\quad + ((\mu_2 + \mu_5)\theta(l_0, l_2) + \mu_3)|d_\theta(l_1, l_2)|, \end{aligned}$$

it implies that

$$|d_\theta(l_1, l_2)| \leq \frac{(\mu_1 + \mu_2\theta(l_0, l_2) + \mu_3 + \mu_4)}{(1 - (\mu_2 + \mu_5)\theta(l_0, l_2) - \mu_3)}|d_\theta(l_0, l_1)|.$$

Then, we obtain

$$|d_\theta(l_1, l_2)| \leq \zeta|d_\theta(l_0, l_1)|.$$

Similarly, we have

$$|d_\theta(l_1, l_2)| \leq \zeta|d_\theta(l_0, l_1)|,$$

$$|d_\theta(l_2, l_3)| \leq \zeta^2|d_\theta(l_0, l_1)|,$$

$$|d_\theta(l_3, l_4)| \leq \zeta^3|d_\theta(l_0, l_1)|,$$

⋮

$$|d_\theta(l_n, l_{n+1})| \leq \zeta^n|d_\theta(l_0, l_1)|.$$

Now, by triangle inequality, for any $m > n$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\theta(l_n, l_m) &\leq \theta(l_n, l_m)\zeta^n d_\theta(l_0, l_1) + \theta(l_n, l_m)\theta(l_{n+1}, l_m)\zeta^{n+1} d_\theta(l_0, l_1) \dots \\ &\quad + \theta(l_n, l_m)\theta(l_{n+1}, l_m) \dots \theta(l_{m-2}, l_m)\theta(l_{m-1}, l_m)\zeta^{m-1} d_\theta(l_0, l_1). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_\theta(l_n, l_m) &\leq d_\theta(l_0, l_1)[\theta(l_n, l_m)\zeta^n + \theta(l_n, l_m)\theta(l_{n+1}, l_m)\zeta^{n+1} \dots \\ &\quad + \theta(l_n, l_m)\theta(l_{n+1}, l_m) \dots \theta(l_{m-2}, l_m)\theta(l_{m-1}, l_m)\zeta^{m-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \theta(l_n, l_m)\zeta < 1$, series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \zeta^n \prod_{i=1}^n \theta(l_i, l_m)$ converges by ratio test for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let

$$S = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \zeta^n \prod_{i=1}^n \theta(l_i, l_m), S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \zeta^j \prod_{i=1}^j \theta(l_i, l_m).$$

Then, for $m > n$, the above expression can be written as

$$d_\theta(l_n, l_m) \preceq d_\theta(l_0, l_1)[S_{m-1} - S_n]$$

and

$$|d_\theta(l_n, l_m)| \leq |d_\theta(l_0, l_1)|[S_{m-1} - S_n].$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$|d_\theta(l_n, l_m)| \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, $\{l_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in W . Since W is complete, there exists some $t \in W$ such that $l_n \rightarrow t$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If not, then there exists $z \in W$ such that

$$|d_\theta(t, Ut)| = |z| > 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Using the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z &= d_\theta(t, Ut) \\ &\preceq \theta(t, U_t)d_\theta(t, l_{2n+2}) + \theta(t, U_t)d_\theta(l_{2n+2}, Ut) \\ &= \theta(t, U_t)d_\theta(t, l_{2n+2}) + \theta(t, U_t)d_\theta(Vl_{2n+1}, Ut) \\ &\preceq \theta(t, U_t)d_\theta(t, l_{2n+2}) + \theta(t, U_t)\mu_1 d_\theta(t, l_{2n+1}) \\ &\quad + \theta(t, U_t)\mu_2 \frac{[d_\theta^2(t, Vl_{2n+1}) + d_\theta^2(l_{2n+1}, Ut)]}{d_\theta(t, Vl_{2n+1}) + d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, Ut)} \\ &\quad + \theta(t, U_t)\mu_3 [d_\theta(t, Ut) + d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, Vl_{2n+1})] \\ &\quad + \theta(t, U_t)\mu_4 [d_\theta(t, l_{2n+1}) + d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, Ut)] \\ &\quad + \theta(t, U_t)\mu_5 \frac{[d_\theta^2(l_{2n+1}, Vl_{2n+1})]}{d_\theta(t, Vl_{2n+1}) + d_\theta(t, l_{2n+1})} \\ &= \theta(t, U_t)d_\theta(t, l_{2n+2}) + \theta(t, U_t)\mu_1 d_\theta(t, l_{2n+1}) \\ &\quad + \theta(t, U_t)\mu_2 \frac{[d_\theta^2(t, l_{2n+2}) + d_\theta^2(l_{2n+1}, Ut)]}{d_\theta(t, l_{2n+2}) + d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, Ut)} \\ &\quad + \theta(t, U_t)\mu_3 [d_\theta(t, Ut) + d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})] \\ &\quad + \theta(t, U_t)\mu_4 [d_\theta(t, l_{2n+1}) + d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, Ut)] \\ &\quad + \theta(t, U_t)\mu_5 \frac{[d_\theta^2(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})]}{d_\theta(t, l_{2n+2}) + d_\theta(t, l_{2n+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|z| = |d_\theta(t, Ut)| &\leq |\theta(t, Ut)| \left(|d_\theta(t, l_{2n+2})| + \mu_1 |d_\theta(t, l_{2n+1})| \right. \\
&+ \mu_2 \frac{[|d_\theta^2(t, l_{2n+2})| + |d_\theta^2(l_{2n+1}, Ut)|]}{|d_\theta(t, l_{2n+2})| + |d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, Ut)|} \\
&+ \mu_3 [|d_\theta(t, Ut)| + |d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})|] \\
&+ \mu_4 [|d_\theta(t, l_{2n+1})| + |d_\theta(l_{2n+1}, Ut)|] \\
&\left. + \mu_5 \frac{|d_\theta^2(l_{2n+1}, l_{2n+2})|}{|d_\theta(t, l_{2n+2})| + |d_\theta(t, l_{2n+1})|} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $|z| = |d_\theta(t, Ut)| \leq 0$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $|z| = 0$. Hence, $Ut = t$. Similarly, we obtain $Vt = t$.

Now, we show that U and V have a unique common fixed point. To prove this, assume that $t' \neq t$ is another common fixed point of U and V . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
d_\theta(t, t') &= d_\theta(Ut, Vt') \\
&\leq \mu_1 d_\theta(t, t') + \mu_2 \frac{[d_\theta^2(t, Vt') + d_\theta^2(t', Ut)]}{d_\theta(t, Vt') + d_\theta(t', Ut)} + \mu_3 [d_\theta(t, Ut) + d_\theta(t', Vt')] \\
&\quad + \mu_4 [d_\theta(t, t') + d_\theta(t', Ut)] + \mu_5 \frac{d_\theta^2(t', Vt')}{d_\theta(t, Vt') + d_\theta(t, t')}.
\end{aligned}$$

And also, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|d_\theta(t, t')| &\leq \mu_1 |d_\theta(t, t')| + \mu_2 \frac{[|d_\theta^2(t, Vt')| + |d_\theta^2(t', Ut)|]}{|d_\theta(t, Vt')| + |d_\theta(t', Ut)|} \\
&\quad + \mu_3 [|d_\theta(t, Ut)| + |d_\theta(t', Vt')|] \\
&\quad + \mu_4 [|d_\theta(t, t')| + |d_\theta(t', Ut)|] + \mu_5 \frac{|d_\theta^2(t', Vt')|}{|d_\theta(t, Vt')| + |d_\theta(t, t')|},
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$|d_\theta(t, t')| \leq (\mu_1 + \mu_2 + 2\mu_4) |d_\theta(t, t')|,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $t = t'$ which shows the uniqueness of common fixed point in W .

For the second case, $d_\theta(Ul, Vm) = 0$ if $d_\theta(l, Vm) + d_\theta(m, Ul) = 0$, the proof of uniqueness of common fixed point can be completed in the line of Theorem 3.1. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Corollary 3.4. Let (W, d_θ) be a complete complex valued extended b-metric space, let $\theta : W \times W \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ and let V be a self-mapping from W into itself satisfy the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} d_\theta(Vl, Vm) &\leq \mu_1 d_\theta(l, m) + \mu_2 \frac{d_\theta^2(l, Vm) + d_\theta^2(m, Vl)}{d_\theta(l, Vm) + d_\theta(m, Vl)} \\ &\quad + \mu_3 [d_\theta(l, Vl) + d_\theta(m, Vm)] + \mu_4 [d_\theta(l, m) + d_\theta(m, Vl)] \quad (3.9) \\ &\quad + \mu_5 \frac{d_\theta^2(m, Vm)}{d_\theta(l, Vm) + d_\theta(l, m)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $l, m \in W$, such that $l \neq m$, $d_\theta(l, Vm) + d_\theta(m, Vl) \neq 0$, $d_\theta(l, Vm) + d_\theta(l, m) \neq 0$ where $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4$ and μ_5 are nonnegative reals with $\mu_1 + 2\theta(l_0, l_2)\mu_2 + 2\mu_3 + \mu_4 + \theta(l_0, l_2)\mu_5 < 1$, $\zeta(1 - (\mu_2 + \mu_5)\theta(l_0, l_2) - \mu_3) = (\mu_1 + \mu_2\theta(l_0, l_2) + \mu_3 + \mu_4)$ where $\zeta \in [0, \infty)$, $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \theta(l_n, l_m) < \frac{1}{\zeta}$. or $d_\theta(Vl, Vm) = 0$ if $d_\theta(l, Vm) + d_\theta(m, Vl) = 0$, $d_\theta(l, Vm) + d_\theta(l, m) = 0$, then V has a unique common fixed point in W .

Proof. By using Theorem 3.3 with $U = V$, we can prove this result. \square

Acknowledgments The authors would like to thank the editor of the journal and the referees for their precise remarks to improve the presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Azam, B. Fisher and M. Khan, *Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric Spaces*, Num. Funct. Anal. Opti., **32**(3) (2011), 243–253.
- [2] I.A. Bakhtin, *The contraction principle in quasi metric spaces*, In: Functional Analysis, **30** (1989), 26–37.
- [3] S. Banach, Sur les, *operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrales*, Fundam. Math., **3** (1922), 133–181.
- [4] M. Boriceanu, *Fixed point theory for multivalued generalized contraction on a set with two b-metric spaces*, Stud Univ Babes-Bolyai Math LIV, **(3)** (2009), 1–14.
- [5] S. Czerwinski, *Nonlinear set-valued contractions mappings in b-metric spaces*, Atti del Seminario Matematico e Fisico dell'Università di Modena, **46**(2) (1998), 263–276.
- [6] D. Dayana Roselin, J. Carmel Pushpa Raj and J.M. Joseph, *Fixed Point and Common Fixed Point Theorems on Complex Valued b-metric spaces*, Infokara Research, **8**(9) (2019), 882–892.
- [7] A.K. Dubey, *Complex valued-metric Spaces and common fixed point theorems under rational contractions*, J. Complex Anal., 2016.
- [8] L.G. Huang and X. Zhang, *Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **332**(2) (2007), 1468–1476.
- [9] J.M. Joseph, D.D. Roselin and M. Marudai, *Fixed point theorems on multivalued mappings in b-metric spaces*, SpringerPlus, **5** 217 (2016).

- [10] T. Kamran, M. Samreen and Q. UL Ain, *A Generalization of b-metric space and some fixed point theorems*, Mathematics, **5**(2): 19 (2017).
- [11] A.A. Mukheimer, *Some common fixed point theorems in complex valued b-metric spaces*, The Scientific World J., **2014** (2014), Article ID 587825, 6 pages.
- [12] S.B. Nadler, *Multi-valued contraction mappings*, Pac. J. Math, **30** (1969), 475–488.
- [13] K.P.R. Rao, P.R. Swamy and J.R. Prasad, *A common fixed point theorem in Complex Valued b-metric spaces*, Bull. Math. Statis. Research, **1**(1) (2013), 1–8.
- [14] N. Ullah, M.S. Shagari and A. Azam, *Fixed point theorems in Complex valued extended b-metric spaces*, Moroccan J. Pure and Appl. Anal., **5**(2) (2019), 140–163.