



## ON SUBCLASSES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH STRUVE FUNCTIONS

B. A. Frasin<sup>1</sup>, Tariq Al-Hawary<sup>2</sup>, Feras Yousef<sup>3</sup> and I. Aldawish<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics  
Al al-Bayt University, Mafraq, Jordan  
e-mail: [bafrasin@yahoo.com](mailto:bafrasin@yahoo.com)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Applied Science, Ajloun College  
Al-Balqa Applied University, Ajloun 26816, Jordan  
e-mail: [tariq\\_amh@bau.edu.jo](mailto:tariq_amh@bau.edu.jo)

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, School of Science  
The University of Jordan, Amman 11942, Jordan  
e-mail: [fyousef@ju.edu.jo](mailto:fyousef@ju.edu.jo)

<sup>4</sup>Mathematics and Statistics Department, College of Science  
IMSIU (Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University), Riyadh 11623, Saudi Arabia  
e-mail: [imaldawish@imamu.edu.sa](mailto:imaldawish@imamu.edu.sa)

**Abstract.** The main object of this paper is to provide necessary and sufficient conditions for the generalized Struve functions of first kind to be in the classes  $\mathcal{S}(k, \lambda)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(k, \lambda)$ . Furthermore, we give conditions for the integral operator  $\mathcal{L}(m, c, z) = \int_0^z (2 - u_p(t)) dt$  to be in the class  $\mathcal{C}^*(k, \lambda)$ . Several corollaries and consequences of the main results are also considered.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the class of analytic functions in the open unit disk  $\mathcal{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  with conditions  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(0) = 1$  having the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}). \quad (1.1)$$

<sup>0</sup>Received May 12, 2021. Revised November 9, 2021. Accepted November 18, 2021.

<sup>0</sup>2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

<sup>0</sup>Keywords: Analytic functions, Hadamard product, Bessel functions, Struve functions.

<sup>0</sup>Corresponding author: B. A. Frasin([bafrasin@yahoo.com](mailto:bafrasin@yahoo.com)).

We denote by  $\mathcal{T}$  the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (a_n \geq 0). \quad (1.2)$$

Let  $\mathcal{S}(\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$  denote the subclasses of  $\mathcal{T}$  consisting of starlike and convex functions of order  $\alpha$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ), respectively [39].

A function  $f$  of the form (1.1) is in  $\mathcal{S}(k, \lambda)$  if it satisfies the condition

$$\left| \frac{\frac{zf'(z)}{(1-\lambda)f(z)+\lambda zf'(z)} - 1}{\frac{zf'(z)}{(1-\lambda)f(z)+\lambda zf'(z)} + 1} \right| < k \quad (0 < k \leq 1, 0 \leq \lambda < 1, z \in \mathcal{U})$$

and  $f \in \mathcal{C}(k, \lambda)$  if and only if  $zf' \in \mathcal{S}(k, \lambda)$ . We note that  $\mathcal{S}(k, 0) = \mathcal{S}(k)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(k, 0) = \mathcal{C}(k)$ , where the classes  $\mathcal{S}(k)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(k)$  were introduced and studied by Padmanabhan [35] (see also, [30] and [34]). Also, let  $\mathcal{S}^*(k, \lambda) = \mathcal{S}(k, \lambda) \cap \mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{C}^*(k, \lambda) = \mathcal{C}(k, \lambda) \cap \mathcal{T}$ . The classes  $\mathcal{S}^*(k, \lambda)$  and  $\mathcal{C}^*(k, \lambda)$  were introduced by Frasin et al. [19].

A function  $f \in \mathcal{T}$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{R}^\tau(A, B)$ , ( $\tau \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ ), if it satisfies the inequality

$$\left| \frac{f'(z) - 1}{(A - B)\tau - B[f'(z) - 1]} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

The class  $\mathcal{R}^\tau(A, B)$  was introduced by Dixit and Pal [11].

It is well known that the special functions (series) play an important role in geometric function theory, especially in the solution by de Branges of the famous Bieberbach conjecture. The surprising use of special functions (hypergeometric functions) has prompted renewed interest in function theory in the last few decades. There is an extensive literature dealing with geometric properties of different types of special functions, especially for the generalized, Gaussian hypergeometric functions [6, 9, 20, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 31, 37, 38] and the Bessel functions [2, 3, 4, 5, 18, 21, 28, 29, 36].

We recall here the Struve function of order  $p$  (see [41]), denoted by  $\mathcal{H}_p$ , is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_p(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(n + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(p + n + \frac{3}{2})} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n+p+1}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (1.3)$$

which is the particular solution of the second order non-homogeneous differential equation

$$z^2 \omega''(z) + z \omega'(z) + (z^2 - p^2) \omega(z) = \frac{4(z/2)^{p+1}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(p + \frac{1}{2})}, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $p$  is unrestricted real (or complex) number. The solution of the non-homogeneous differential equation

$$z^2\omega''(z) + z\omega'(z) - (z^2 + p^2)\omega(z) = \frac{4(z/2)^{p+1}}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(p + \frac{1}{2})} \tag{1.5}$$

is called the modified Struve function of order  $p$  and is defined by the formula

$$\mathcal{L}_p(z) = -e^{-ip\pi/2}\mathcal{H}_p(iz) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(p + n + \frac{3}{2})} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n+p+1}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{1.6}$$

Consider the second order non-homogeneous linear differential equation [41],

$$z^2\omega''(z) + bz\omega'(z)[cz^2 - p^2 + (1 - b)p]\omega(z) = \frac{4(z/2)^{p+1}}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(p + \frac{b}{2})}, \tag{1.7}$$

where  $b, p, c \in \mathbb{C}$  which is natural generalization of Struve equation. Note that when  $b = c = 1$ , then we get the Struve function (1.3) and for  $c = -1, b = 1$  we get the modified Struve function (1.6). This permit us to study Struve and modified Struve functions.

Now, denote by  $w_{p,b,c}(z)$  the generalized Struve function of order  $p$  given by

$$w_{p,b,c}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n c^n}{\Gamma(n + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(p + n + \frac{b+2}{2})} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n+p+1}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{1.8}$$

which is the particular solution of the differential equation (1.7). Although the series defined above is convergent everywhere, the function  $\omega_{p,b,c}$  is generally not univalent in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Now, consider the function  $u_{p,b,c}$  defined by the transformation

$$u_{p,b,c}(z) = 2^p \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(p + \frac{b+2}{2}\right) z^{-\frac{p-1}{2}} \omega_{p,b,c}(\sqrt{z}).$$

By using well-known Pochhammer symbol (or the shifted factorial) defined, in terms of the familiar Gamma function, by

$$(a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (n = 0), \\ a(a+1)(a+2)\cdots(a+n-1) & (n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \end{cases}$$

we can express  $u_{p,b,c}(z)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} u_{p,b,c}(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-c/4)^n}{(3/2)_n (m)_n} z^n \\ &= b_0 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \cdots + b_nz^n + \cdots, \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

where  $m = (p + \frac{b+2}{2}) \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ . This function is analytic on  $\mathbb{C}$  and satisfies the second-order linear differential equation

$$4z^2u''(z) + 2(2p + b + 3)zu'(z) + (cz + 2p + b)u(z) = 2p + b.$$

For convenience, throughout in the sequel, we use the following notations

$$u_{p,b,c}(z) = u_p(z), \quad m = p + \frac{b+2}{2},$$

and for  $c < 0, m > 0$ , let

$$zu_p(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-c/4)^{n-1}}{(m)_{n-1} (3/2)_{n-1}} z^n = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n-1} z^n \quad (1.10)$$

and

$$\Psi(z) = z(2 - u_p(z)) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-c/4)^{n-1}}{(m)_{n-1} (3/2)_{n-1}} z^n. \quad (1.11)$$

Now, we consider the linear operator

$$\mathcal{I}(c, m) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

defined by

$$\mathcal{I}(c, m)f(z) = zu_p(z) * f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-c/4)^{n-1}}{(m)_{n-1} (3/2)_{n-1}} a_n z^n.$$

Recently, Orhan and Yagmur [41] have determined various sufficient conditions for the parameters  $p, b$  and  $c$  such that the functions  $u_p(z)$  or  $z \rightarrow zu_p(z)$  to be univalent, starlike, convex and close to convex in the open unit disk.

Motivated by results on connections between various subclasses of analytic univalent functions by using hypergeometric functions (see [6, 8, 9, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 31, 37, 38]), Struve functions (see [1, 10, 22]), Poisson distribution series (see [12, 14, 16, 17, 32, 33]) and Pascal distribution series (see [7, 13, 15]), we obtain sufficient conditions for the function  $h_\mu(z)$ , given by

$$h_\mu(z) = (1 - \mu)zu_p(z) + \mu zu_p'(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 - \mu + n\mu) \frac{(-c/4)^{n-1}}{(m)_{n-1} (3/2)_{n-1}} z^n, \quad (1.12)$$

where  $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ , to be in the classes  $\mathcal{S}(k, \lambda)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(k, \lambda)$ , and also we prove that those sufficient conditions are necessary for functions of the form (1.11) to be in the classes  $\mathcal{S}^*(k, \lambda)$  and  $\mathcal{C}^*(k, \lambda)$ . Furthermore, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for  $\mathcal{I}(c, m)f$  to be in  $\mathcal{C}(k, \lambda)$  provided that the function  $f$  is in the class  $\mathcal{R}^\tau(A, B)$ . Finally, we give conditions for the integral operator  $\mathcal{L}(m, c, z) = \int_0^z (2 - u_p(t))dt$  to be in the class  $\mathcal{C}^*(k, \lambda)$ .

To establish our main results, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.1.** ([19]) *A sufficient condition for a function  $f$  of the form (1.1) to be in the class  $\mathcal{S}(k, \lambda)$  is*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)) - (1 - \lambda)(1 - k)] |a_n| \leq 2k \quad (0 < k \leq 1; 0 \leq \lambda < 1) \tag{1.13}$$

*and a necessary and sufficient condition for a function  $f$  of the form (1.2) to be in the class  $\mathcal{S}^*(k, \lambda)$  is that the condition (1.13) is satisfied.*

**Lemma 1.2.** ([19]) *A sufficient condition for a function  $f$  of the form (1.1) to be in the class  $\mathcal{C}(k, \lambda)$  is*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[n((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)) - (1 - \lambda)(1 - k)] |a_n| \leq 2k \quad (0 < k \leq 1; 0 \leq \lambda < 1) \tag{1.14}$$

*and a necessary and sufficient condition for a function  $f$  of the form (1.2) to be in the class  $\mathcal{C}^*(k, \lambda)$  is that the condition (1.14) is satisfied.*

**Lemma 1.3.** ([11]) *If  $f \in \mathcal{R}^\tau(A, B)$  is of the form (1.2), then*

$$|a_n| \leq (A - B) \frac{|\tau|}{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}.$$

*The result is sharp for the function*

$$f(z) = \int_0^z \left( 1 + (A - B) \frac{\tau t^{n-1}}{1 + Bt^{n-1}} \right) dt \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}).$$

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $c < 0$  and  $m > 0$ . Then  $h_\mu(z) \in \mathcal{S}(k, \lambda)$  if*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu [(1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)] u_p''(1) + [(2\mu + 1)((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)) \\ &- \mu(1 - \lambda)(1 - k)] u_p'(1) + 2k u_p(1) \leq 4k. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

*Proof.* From (1.10)

$$z u_p(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-c/4)^{n-1}}{(m)_{n-1} (3/2)_{n-1}} z^n,$$

we have

$$u_p(1) - 1 = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-c/4)^{n-1}}{(m)_{n-1} (3/2)_{n-1}}. \tag{2.2}$$

Differentiating  $zu_p(z)$  with respect to  $z$  and taking  $z = 1$ , we get

$$u'_p(1) + u_p(1) - 1 = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \frac{(-c/4)^{n-1}}{(m)_{n-1} (3/2)_{n-1}}. \quad (2.3)$$

Also, differentiating  $zu'_p(z) + u_p(z)$  with respect to  $z$  and taking  $z = 1$ , we have

$$u''_p(1) + 2u'_p(1) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) \frac{(-c/4)^{n-1}}{(m)_{n-1} (3/2)_{n-1}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Since  $h_\mu(z) \in \mathcal{S}(k, \lambda)$ , by virtue of Lemma 1.1 and (1.12), it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} F(k, \lambda) &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 - \mu + n\mu) [n((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)) - (1 - \lambda)(1 - k)] \\ &\quad \times \frac{(-c/4)^{n-1}}{(m)_{n-1} (3/2)_{n-1}} \\ &\leq 2k. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} F(k, \lambda) &= \mu((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 \frac{(-c/4)^{n-1}}{(m)_{n-1} (3/2)_{n-1}} \\ &\quad + [(1 - \mu) [(1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)] - \mu(1 - \lambda)(1 - k)] \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \frac{(-c/4)^{n-1}}{(m)_{n-1} (3/2)_{n-1}} \\ &\quad - (1 - \mu)(1 - \lambda)(1 - k) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-c/4)^{n-1}}{(m)_{n-1} (3/2)_{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Writing  $n^2 = n(n-1) + n$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(k, \lambda) &= \mu((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) \frac{(-c/4)^{n-1}}{(m)_{n-1} (3/2)_{n-1}} \\ &\quad + [(1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda) - \mu(1 - \lambda)(1 - k)] \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \frac{(-c/4)^{n-1}}{(m)_{n-1} (3/2)_{n-1}} \\ &\quad - (1 - \mu)(1 - \lambda)(1 - k) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-c/4)^{n-1}}{(m)_{n-1} (3/2)_{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we immediately have

$$\begin{aligned} F(k, \lambda) &= \mu((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda))[u_p''(1) + 2u_p'(1)] \\ &\quad + [(1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda) - \mu(1 - \lambda)(1 - k)] [u_p'(1) + u_p(1) - 1] \\ &\quad - (1 - \mu)(1 - \lambda)(1 - k)[u_p(1) - 1] \\ &= \mu((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda))u_p''(1) \\ &\quad + [(2\mu + 1)((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)) - \mu(1 - \lambda)(1 - k)]u_p'(1) \\ &\quad + 2k[u_p(1) - 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we see that the last expression is bounded above by  $2k$  if and only if (2.1) holds.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $c < 0$  and  $m > 0$ . Then  $zu_p(z) \in \mathcal{S}(k, \lambda)$  if*

$$[(1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)]u_p'(1) + 2ku_p(1) \leq 4k. \tag{2.6}$$

*Proof.* By virtue of (1.13), it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)) - (1 - \lambda)(1 - k)] \left( \frac{(-c/4)^{n-1}}{(m)_{n-1} (3/2)_{n-1}} \right) \leq 2k. \tag{2.7}$$

Since  $h_0(z) = zu_p(z)$ , by taking  $\mu = 0$  in (2.5) we get the inequality(2.7). Hence, by taking  $\mu = 0$  in the Theorem 2.1, we get the desired result given in (2.6).  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $c < 0$  and  $m > 0$ . Then  $zu_p(z) \in \mathcal{C}(k, \lambda)$  if*

$$\begin{aligned} &[(1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)]u_p''(1) + [3((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)) - (1 - \lambda)(1 - k)]u_p'(1) \\ &\quad + 2ku_p(1) \leq 4k. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

*Proof.* In view of (1.14), it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[n((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)) - (1 - \lambda)(1 - k)] \left( \frac{(-c/4)^{n-1}}{(m)_{n-1} (3/2)_{n-1}} \right) \leq 2k.$$

By definition,  $u_p(z) \in \mathcal{C}(k, \lambda)$  if and only if  $zu_p'(z) \in \mathcal{S}(k, \lambda)$ . That is, by taking  $\mu = 1$  we have  $h_1(z) = zu_p'(z) \in \mathcal{S}(k, \lambda)$ . Hence, by taking  $\mu = 1$  in the Theorem 2.1, we get the desired result given in (2.8).  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.** The above conditions (2.1) and (2.8) are also necessary for functions  $\Psi(z)$  given by (1.11) and has the form

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mu}^*(z) &= (1 - \mu)\Psi(z) + \mu z\Psi'(z) \\ &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 - \mu + n\mu) \frac{(-c/4)^{n-1}}{(m)_{n-1} (3/2)_{n-1}} z^n, \end{aligned}$$

to be in the classes  $\mathcal{S}^*(k, \lambda)$  and  $\mathcal{C}^*(k, \lambda)$ , respectively.

Putting  $\lambda = 0$  in Theorems 2.1-2.3, we obtain the following corollaries.

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $c < 0$  and  $m > 0$ . Then  $h_\mu(z) \in \mathcal{S}(k)$  if*

$$\mu(k+1)u_p''(1) + [\mu(3k+1) + k+1]u_p'(1) + 2ku_p(1) \leq 4k. \quad (2.9)$$

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $c < 0$  and  $m > 0$ . Then  $zu_p(z) \in \mathcal{S}(k)$  if*

$$(1+k)u_p'(1) + 2ku_p(1) \leq 4k. \quad (2.10)$$

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $c < 0$  and  $m > 0$ . Then  $zu_p(z) \in \mathcal{C}(k)$  if*

$$(1+k)u_p''(1) + 2(2k+1)u_p'(1) + 2ku_p(1) \leq 4k. \quad (2.11)$$

### 3. INCLUSION PROPERTIES

Making use of Lemma 1.2, we will study the action of the Struve function on the class  $\mathcal{C}(k, \lambda)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $c < 0, m > 0$ . If for  $f \in \mathcal{R}^\tau(A, B)$ , the inequality*

$$(A-B)|\tau| [((1-\lambda) + k(1+\lambda))u_p'(1) + 2k(u_p(1) - 1)] \leq 2k \quad (3.1)$$

*is satisfied, then  $\mathcal{I}(c, m)f \in \mathcal{C}(k, \lambda)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be of the form (1.2) belong to the class  $\mathcal{R}^\tau(A, B)$ . By virtue of (1.14), it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[n((1-\lambda) + k(1+\lambda)) - (1-\lambda)(1-k)] \frac{(-c/4)^{n-1}}{(m)_{n-1} (3/2)_{n-1}} |a_n| \leq 2k.$$

Since  $f \in \mathcal{R}^\tau(A, B)$ , by Lemma 1.3, we have

$$|a_n| \leq (A-B) \frac{|\tau|}{n}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(k, \lambda) &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[n((1-\lambda) + k(1+\lambda)) - (1-\lambda)(1-k)] \frac{(-c/4)^{n-1}}{(m)_{n-1} (3/2)_{n-1}} |a_n| \\ &\leq (A-B)|\tau| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n((1-\lambda) + k(1+\lambda)) - (1-\lambda)(1-k)] \\ &\quad \times \frac{(-c/4)^{n-1}}{(m)_{n-1} (3/2)_{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$



Further, proceeding as in Theorem 2.1 we get

$$\Phi(k, \lambda) \leq (A - B) |\tau| [((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda))u_p'(1) + 2k(u_p(1) - 1)].$$

But this last expression is bounded above by  $2k$  if and only if (3.1) holds.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $c < 0, m > 0$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{L}(m, c, z) = \int_0^z (2 - u_p(t))dt \tag{3.2}$$

*is in the class  $\mathcal{C}^*(k, \lambda)$  if and only if the condition (2.6) is satisfied.*

*Proof.* Since

$$\mathcal{L}(m, c, z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-c/4)^{n-1}}{(m)_{n-1} (3/2)_{n-1}} \frac{z^n}{n},$$

from (1.14), we need only to show that

$$\Lambda(k, \lambda) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda)) - (1 - \lambda)(1 - k)] \left( \frac{(-c/4)^{n-1}}{(m)_{n-1} (3/2)_{n-1}} \right) \leq 2k.$$

Proceeding as in Theorem 2.1, we get

$$\Lambda(k, \lambda) = ((1 - \lambda) + k(1 + \lambda))u_p'(1) + 2k(u_p(1) - 1),$$

which is bounded above by  $2k$  if and only if (2.6) holds.  $\square$

Putting  $\lambda = 0$  in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we obtain the following corollaries.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $c < 0, m > 0$ . If for  $f \in \mathcal{R}^\tau(A, B)$ , the inequality*

$$(A - B) |\tau| [(1 + k)u_p'(1) + 2k(u_p(1) - 1)] \leq 2k$$

*is satisfied, then  $\mathcal{I}(c, m)f \in \mathcal{C}(k, \lambda)$ .*

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $c < 0, m > 0$ . Then the integral operator  $\mathcal{L}(m, c, z)$  defined by (3.2) is in  $\mathcal{C}^*(k, \lambda)$  if and only if the condition (2.10) is satisfied.*

#### 4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Our present investigation was motivated essentially by several works dealing with the interesting problem of finding necessary and sufficient conditions for the generalized Struve functions of first kind to be in certain subclasses of analytic functions defined in the open unit disk  $\mathcal{U}$  (see, for example, [1], [10], [22] and [40]). In our study, we derive the necessary and sufficient conditions for the generalized Struve functions to be in the classes  $\mathcal{S}(k, \lambda)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(k, \lambda)$ . Furthermore, we give conditions for the integral operator  $\mathcal{L}(m, c, z) = \int_0^z (2 - u_p(t))dt$  to be in the class  $\mathcal{C}^*(k, \lambda)$ . Several corollaries and consequences of the main

results are also considered.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to thank the referees for their helpful comments and suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Amourah, B.A. Frasin and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, *On certain subclasses of uniformly spirallike functions associated with Struve functions*, J. Math. Comput. Sci., **11**(4) (2021), 4586-4598.
- [2] A. Baricz, *Geometric properties of generalized Bessel functions*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **73**(1-2) (2008), 155-178.
- [3] A. Baricz, *Geometric properties of generalized Bessel functions of complex order*, Mathematica, **48** (2006), 13–18.
- [4] A. Baricz, *Generalized Bessel functions of the first kind*, PhD thesis, Babes-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca, 2008.
- [5] A. Baricz, *Generalized Bessel functions of the first kind*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1994**, Springer-Verlag, 2010.
- [6] A.O. Mostafa, *Starlikeness and convexity results for hypergeometric functions*, Comput. Math. Appl., **59** (2010), 2821–2826.
- [7] S. Çakmak, S. Yalçın and Ş. Altinkaya, *Some connections between various classes of analytic functions associated with the power series distribution*, Sakarya Univ. J. Sci., **23**(5) (2019), 982–985.
- [8] T. Al-Hawary, B.A. Frasin and F. Yousef, *Coefficients estimates for certain classes of analytic functions of complex order*, Afr. Mat., **29**(7) (2018), 1265–1271.
- [9] N.E. Cho, S.Y. Woo and S. Owa, *Uniform convexity properties for hypergeometric functions*, Fract. Cal. Appl. Anal., **5**(3) (2002), 303–313.
- [10] H.E. Darwish, A.Y. Lashin and E.M. Madar, *On subclasses of uniformly starlike and convex functions defined by Struve functions*, Int. J. Open Problems Complex Anal., **8**(1) (2016), 34–43.
- [11] K.K. Dixit and S.K. Pal, *On a class of univalent functions related to complex order*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **26**(9) (1995), 889–896.
- [12] R.M. El-Ashwah and W.Y. Kota, *Some condition on a Poisson distribution series to be in subclasses of univalent functions*, Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform., No. 51/2017, 89–103.
- [13] S.M. El-Deeb, T. Bulboacă and J. Dziok, *Pascal distribution series connected with certain subclasses of univalent functions*, Kyungpook Math. J., **59** (2019), 301–314.
- [14] B.A. Frasin, *On certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with Poisson distribution series*, Acta Univ. Sapientiae, Math., **11** (2019), 78–86.
- [15] B.A. Frasin, *Subclasses of analytic functions associated with Pascal distribution series*, Adv. Theory Nonlinear Anal. Appl., **4**(2) (2020), 92–99.
- [16] B.A. Frasin and M.M. Gharaibeh, *Subclass of analytic functions associated with Poisson distribution series*, Afr. Mat., **31** (2020), 1167–1173.
- [17] B.A. Frasin, S. Porwal and Feras Yousef, *Subclasses of Starlike and Convex Functions Associated with Mittag-Leffler-type Poisson Distribution Series*, Montes Taurus J. Pure Appl. Math., **3**(3) (2021), 147–154.
- [18] B.A. Frasin and Ibtisam Aldawish, *On subclasses of uniformly spirallike functions associated with generalized Bessel functions*, J. Funct. Spaces, (2019), Article ID 1329462, 6 pages.

- [19] B.A. Frasin, Tariq Al-Hawary and Feras Yousef, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for hypergeometric functions to be in a subclass of analytic functions*, Afr. Mat., **30**(1-2) (2019), 223–230.
- [20] B.A. Frasin, Tariq Al-Hawary and Feras Yousef, *Some properties of a linear operator involving generalized Mittag-Leffler function*, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., **65**(1) (2020), 67–75.
- [21] B.A. Frasin, Feras Yousef, Tariq Al-Hawary and Ibtisam Aldawish, *Application of generalized Bessel functions to classes of analytic functions*, Afr. Math., **32**(3) (2021), 431–439.
- [22] T. Janani and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, *Inclusion results on subclasses of starlike and convex functions associated with Struve functions*, Italian J. Pure Appl. Math., **32** (2014), 467–476.
- [23] E. Merkes and B.T. Scott, *Starlike hypergeometric functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **12** (1961), 885–888.
- [24] M.K. Aouf, A.O. Mostafa and H.M. Zayed, *Sufficiency conditions for hypergeometric functions to be in a subclasses of analytic functions*, Kyungpook Math. J., **56** (2016), 235–248.
- [25] M.K. Aouf, A.O. Mostafa and H.M. Zayed, *Some constraints of hypergeometric functions to belong to certain subclasses of analytic functions*, J. Egypt. Math. Soc., **24** (2016), 361–366.
- [26] M.K. Aouf, A.O. Mostafa and H.M. Zayed, *Necessity and sufficiency for hypergeometric functions to be in a subclass of analytic functions*, J. Egyptian Math. Soc., **23** (2015), 476–481.
- [27] M.K. Aouf and T.M. Seoudy, *Classes of analytic functions related to the Dziok-Srivastava operator*, Integral Transform. Spec. Funct., **22**(6) (2011), 423–430.
- [28] M.H. Cho and Y.S. Kim, *Certain integral formulas involving the generalized  $k$ -Bessel functions*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **24**(4) (2019), 791–799.
- [29] D. Kumar, J. Choi and H.M. Srivastava, *Solution of a general family of fractional Kinetic equations associated with the generalized Mittag-Leffler function*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **23**(3) (2018), 455–471.
- [30] M.L. Mogra, *On a class of starlike functions in the unit disc I*, J. Indian Math. Soc., **40** (1976), 159–161.
- [31] A.O. Mostafa, *A study on starlike and convex properties for hypergeometric functions*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **10**(3) (2009), 1–8, Art.87.
- [32] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, *Subclasses of starlike and convex functions involving Poisson distribution series*, Afr. Mat., **28** (2017), 1357–1366.
- [33] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, K. Vijaya and S. Porwal, *Some inclusion results of certain subclass of analytic functions associated with Poisson distribution series*, Hacettepe J. Math. Stat., **45**(4) (2016), 1101–1107.
- [34] S. Owa, *On certain classes of univalent functions in the unit disc*, Kyungpook Math. J., **24**(2) (1984), 127–136.
- [35] K.S. Padmanabhan, *On certain classes of starlike functions in the unit disc*, J. Indian Math. Soc., **32** (1968), 89–103.
- [36] I.A. Shilin and J. Choi, *Some formulas for Bessel and hyper-Bessel functions related to the proper Lorentz group*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **23**(1) (2018), 167–179.
- [37] H. Silverman, *Starlike and convexity properties for hypergeometric functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **172** (1993), 574–581.
- [38] A. Swaminathan, *Certain sufficient conditions on Gaussian hypergeometric functions*, J. Inequ. Pure Appl. Math., **5**(4) (2004), 1–10. Art 83

- [39] H. Silverman, *Univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **51** (1975), 109-116.
- [40] H.M. Srivastava, G. Murugusundaramoorthy and T. Janani, *Uniformly starlike functions and uniformly convex functions associated with the Struve function*, J. Appl. Comput. Math., (2014), 3-6.
- [41] N. Yagmur and H. Orhan, *Starlikeness and convexity of generalized Struve functions*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., (2013), Article ID 954513, 6 pages.