Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications Vol. 14, No. 1 (2009), pp. 135–141

http://nfaa.kyungnam.ac.kr/jour-nfaa.htm Copyright \bigodot 2009 Kyungnam University Press

SOME PROPERTIES OF CERTAIN MEROMORPHICALLY MULTIVALENT FUNCTIONS

Jin-Lin Liu¹ and Khalida Inayat Noor²

¹Department of Mathematics, Yangzhou University Yangzhou 225002, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China e-mail: jlliu@yzu.edu.cn

²Mathematics Department, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology Islamabad, Pakistan e-mail: khalidanoor@hotmail.com

Abstract. The main object of the present paper is to investigate some interesting properties of certain meromorphically multivalent functions associated with a linear operator $L_p(a, c)$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let \sum_p denote the class of meromorphically multivalent functions f(z) of the form

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k-p} z^{k-p} \quad (p \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}),$$
(1.1)

which are analytic in the punctured unit disk

$$U^* = \{z : z \in C \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\} = U \setminus \{0\}.$$

For functions $f \in \sum_{p}$ given by (1.1) and $g \in \sum_{p}$ given by

$$g(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k-p} z^{k-p} \quad (p \in N),$$
(1.2)

 $^{^0\}mathrm{Received}$ June 16, 2007. Revised July 21, 2008.

 $^{^02000}$ Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C35, 30C50.

 $^{^0{\}rm Keywords}:$ Analytic, Hadamard product (or convolution), subordinate, convex univalent, meromorphically multivalent function.

we define the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f and g by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k-p} b_{k-p} z^{k-p} = (g * f)(z).$$
(1.3)

In terms of the Pochhammer symbol (or the shifted factorial) $(\lambda)_n$ given by

$$(\lambda)_0 = 1$$
 and $(\lambda)_n = \lambda(\lambda+1)\cdots(\lambda+n-1)$ $(n \in N),$ (1.4)

we now define the function $\phi_p(a,c;z)$ by

$$\phi_p(a,c;z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} z^{k-p}$$
(1.5)

$$(z \in U^*; a \in R; c \in R \setminus Z_0^-; Z_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \cdots\}).$$

Corresponding to the function $\phi_p(a, c; z)$, we introduce here a linear operator $L_p(a, c)$ which is defined by means of the following Hadamard product (or convolution):

$$L_p(a,c)f(z) = \phi_p(a,c;z) * f(z) \quad (f \in \Sigma_p).$$
 (1.6)

It is easily verified from the definitions (1.5) and (1.6) that

$$z(L_p(a,c)f(z))' = aL_p(a+1,c)f(z) - (a+p)L_p(a,c)f(z).$$
(1.7)

The definition (1.6) of the linear operator $L_p(a, c)$ was first introduced and investigated by Liu and Srivastava [3]. A linear operator $L_p(a, c)$, analogous to $L_p(a, c)$ defined here, was considered earlier by Saitoh [7] on the space of analytic and *p*-valent functions in *U*. We remark in passing that a much more general convolution operator than the operator $L_p(a, c)$ considered by Saitoh [7], involving the generalized hypergeometric function in the defining Hadamard product (or convolution), was introduced and studied recently by Dziok and Srivastava [1,2].

Given two functions f(z) and g(z), which are analytic in U, we say that the function g(z) is subordinate to f(z), if there exists a Schwarz function w(z) with w(0) = 0 and |w(z)| < 1 ($z \in U$) such that g(z) = f(w(z)) ($z \in U$). In particular, if f(z) is univalent in U, we have the following equivalence

$$g(z) \prec f(z) \quad (z \in U) \Longleftrightarrow g(0) = f(0) \quad \text{ and } \quad g(U) \subset f(U).$$

Further, we define a function H(z) by

$$H(z) = (1 - \lambda(a + p + 1))L_p(a, c)f(z) + \lambda aL_p(a + 1, c)f(z)$$
(1.8)

for $f \in \sum_{p}, \lambda > 0, a \in R$ and $c \in R \setminus Z_0^-$. We shall need the following lemmas. **Lemma 1.1.** ([4]) Let h(z) be convex univalent in U, h(0) = 1, and let $g(z) = 1 + b_1 z + \cdots$ be analytic in U. If

$$g(z) + \frac{1}{c}zg'(z) \prec h(z),$$

then for $c \neq 0$ and $Rec \geq 0$

$$g(z) \prec \frac{c}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} h(t) dt.$$

Lemma 1.2. ([5,6]) Let a function $p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + \cdots$ be analytic in U and $p(z) \neq 0$ ($z \in U$). If there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that

 $|argp(z)| < \pi\gamma/2$ ($|z| < |z_0|$) and $|argp(z_0)| = \pi\gamma/2$ ($0 < \gamma \le 1$),

then we have $z_0 p'(z_0)/p(z_0) = ik\gamma$, where

$$k \geq \frac{1}{2}(a + \frac{1}{a}) \quad (\text{ where } \arg p(z_0) = \pi \gamma/2),$$

$$k \leq -\frac{1}{2}(a + \frac{1}{a}) \quad (\text{ where } \arg p(z_0) = -\pi \gamma/2),$$

and $(p(z_0))^{1/\gamma} = \pm ia \ (a > 0).$

In this paper, we shall derive several interesting properties of H(z) defined by (1.8).

2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in \sum_{p}$ and let H(z) be defined by (1.8). If

$$\frac{H^{(j)}(z)}{(-1)^j z^{-p-j}} \prec (1 - \lambda - \lambda p)(p)_j \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$
(2.1)

then

$$\frac{(L_p(a,c)f(z))^{(j)}}{(-1)^j z^{-p-j}} \prec \frac{(1-\lambda-\lambda p)(p)_j}{\lambda} \int_0^1 u^{(1-\lambda-\lambda p)/\lambda-1} \left(\frac{1+Auz}{1+Buz}\right) du, \quad (2.2)$$

where $j \ge 0, \lambda > 0, |B| \le 1$ and $A \ne B$.

Proof. From (1.7) and (1.8), we have

$$H^{(j)}(z) = (1 - \lambda(a + p + 1))(L_p(a, c)f(z))^{(j)} + \lambda a(L_p(a + 1, c)f(z))^{(j)}$$

= $(1 - \lambda + \lambda j)(L_p(a, c)f(z))^{(j)} + \lambda z(L_p(a, c)f(z))^{(j+1)}.$ (2.3)

Putting

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{(p)_j} \cdot \frac{(L_p(a,c)f(z))^{(j)}}{(-1)^j z^{-p-j}}$$
(2.4)

for $f \in \sum_p$, we see that $g(z) = 1 + b_1 z + \cdots$ is analytic in U. Note that

$$\frac{H^{(j)}(z)}{(-1)^j z^{-p-j}} = (1-\lambda-\lambda p)(p)_j \left(g(z) + \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda-\lambda p}zg'(z)\right).$$
(2.5)

Then by (2.1), we obtain

$$g(z) + \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda - \lambda p} z g'(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}.$$

Since h(z) = (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz) is convex univalent in U, an application of Lemma 1 yields

$$g(z) \prec \frac{1 - \lambda - \lambda p}{\lambda} z^{-(1 - \lambda - \lambda p)/\lambda} \int_0^z t^{(1 - \lambda - \lambda p)/\lambda - 1} \left(\frac{1 + At}{1 + Bt}\right) dt.$$

roves (2.2).

This proves (2.2).

Theorem 2.2. Let $f \in \sum_p$ and let H(z) be defined by (1.8). If

$$\frac{(L_p(a,c)f(z))^{(j)}}{(-1)^j z^{-p-j}} \prec (p)_j \frac{1 + (1-2\alpha)z}{1-z} \quad (z \in U),$$
(2.6)

then

$$\frac{H^{(j)}(z)}{(-1)^j z^{-p-j}} \prec (1-\lambda-\lambda p)(p)_j \frac{1+(1-2\alpha)z}{1-z} \quad (|z|<\rho), \tag{2.7}$$

where $j \ge 0, 0 \le \alpha < 1, \ 0 < \lambda < 1/(p+1)$ and

$$\rho = \left[1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda - \lambda p}\right)^2\right]^{1/2} - \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda - \lambda p}.$$
(2.8)

The bound $\rho \in (0,1)$ is best possible.

Proof. Put

$$\varphi(z) = (1-\beta)\frac{z}{1-z} + \beta \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} \quad (z \in U),$$

where $\beta = \lambda/(1 - \lambda - \lambda p) > 0$ for $0 < \lambda < 1/(p+1)$. We now show that

$$Re\left\{\frac{\varphi(\rho z)}{\rho z}\right\} > \frac{1}{2} \quad (z \in U),$$
 (2.9)

where $\rho = (1 + \beta^2)^{1/2} - \beta$ and $0 < \rho < 1$. Let $1/(1-z) = Re^{i\theta}$ and |z| = r < 1. In view of

$$\cos\theta = \frac{1 + R^2(1 - r)}{2R}, \quad R \ge \frac{1}{1 + r},$$

138

we have

$$2Re\left\{\frac{\varphi(z)}{z} - \frac{1}{2}\right\} = 2(1-\beta)R\cos\theta + 2\beta R^2 \cos 2\theta - 1$$

= $R^4\beta(1-r^2)^2 + R^2((1-\beta)(1-r^2) - 2\beta r^2)$
 $\geq R^2(\beta(1-r)^2 + (1-\beta)(1-r^2) - 2\beta r^2)$
= $R^2(1-2\beta r - r^2) > 0$

for $|z| = r < \rho$, which gives (2.9). Thus the function φ has the integral representation

$$\frac{\varphi(\rho z)}{\rho z} = \int_{|x|=1} \frac{d\mu(x)}{1-xz} \quad (z \in U),$$
(2.10)

where $\mu(x)$ is a probability measure on |x| = 1. Now putting

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{(p)_j} \frac{\left(L_p(a,c)f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{(-1)^j z^{-p-j}},$$

we see that $g(z) = 1 + b_1 z + \cdots$ is analytic in U and it follows from (2.6) that $Reg(z) > \alpha \quad (0 \le \alpha < 1; z \in U).$ (2.11)

Since we can write

$$g(z) + \beta z g'(z) = \left(\frac{\varphi(z)}{z}\right) * g(z)$$

it follows from (2.10) and (2.11) that

$$Re\{g(\rho z) + \beta \rho z g'(\rho z)\} = Re\left\{\left(\frac{\varphi(\rho z)}{\rho z}\right) * g(z)\right\}$$
$$= Re\left\{\int_{|x|=1} g(xz)d\mu(x)\right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U).$$
(2.12)

Thus, from (2.5) in the proof of Theorem 1 and (2.12), we conclude that (2.7) holds.

To show that the bound ρ is sharp we take $f\in \sum_p$ defined by

$$\frac{1}{(p)_j} \frac{\left(L_p(a,c)f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{(-1)^j z^{-p-j}} = \alpha + (1-\alpha)\frac{1+z}{1-z}.$$

Noting that

$$\frac{1}{(p)_j(1-\lambda-\lambda p)} \frac{H^{(j)}(z)}{(-1)^j z^{-p-j}} = \alpha + (1-\alpha) \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \beta(1-\alpha) z \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)' \\ = \alpha + (1-\alpha) \frac{1+2\beta z - z^2}{(1-z)^2} \\ = \alpha$$

J. Liu and K. Inayat Noor

for $z = \rho e^{i\pi}$, the proof is completed.

Theorem 2.3. Let $f \in \sum_{p}$ and let H(z) be defined by (1.8). If

$$\left|\arg\left(\frac{H^{(j)}(z)}{(-1)^{j}z^{-p-j}}\right)\right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\gamma \quad (z \in U),$$

$$(2.13)$$

then

$$\left| \arg\left(\frac{\left(L_p(a,c)f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{(-1)^j z^{-p-j}} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \gamma \quad (z \in U),$$

$$(2.14)$$

where $0 < \gamma \leq 1, j \geq 0$ and $0 < \lambda < 1/(p+1)$.

Proof. Let

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{(p)_j} \frac{(L_p(a,c)f(z))^{(j)}}{(-1)^j z^{-p-j}}$$

for $f \in \sum_{p}$. Then $g(z) = 1 + b_1 z + \cdots$ is analytic in U. Suppose that there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that

$$|argg(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2}\gamma$$
 $(|z| < |z_0|)$ and $|argg(z_0)| = \frac{\pi}{2}\gamma$.

Then, By Lemma 2, we can write that $z_0g'(z_0)/g(z_0) = ik\gamma$ and $(g(z_0))^{1/\gamma} = \pm ia \ (a > 0)$.

Therefore, if $argg(z_0) = \pi \gamma/2$, then by (2.5)

$$\frac{H^{(j)}(z_0)}{(-1)^j z_0^{-p-j}} = (1-\lambda-\lambda p)(p)_j g(z_0) \left(1+\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda-\lambda p} \frac{z_0 g'(z_0)}{g(z_0)}\right)$$
$$= (1-\lambda-\lambda p)(p)_j a^{\gamma} e^{i\pi\gamma/2} \left(1+\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda-\lambda p} \cdot ik\gamma\right).$$

This implies that

$$arg\left(\frac{H^{(j)}(z_0)}{(-1)^j z_0^{-p-j}}\right) = \frac{\pi}{2}\gamma + arg\left(1 + \frac{k\lambda\gamma i}{1-\lambda-\lambda p}\right)$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{2}\gamma + tan^{-1}\left(\frac{k\lambda\gamma}{1-\lambda-\lambda p}\right)$$
$$\geq \frac{\pi}{2}\gamma \quad (\text{ where } k \geq \frac{1}{2}(a+\frac{1}{a}) \geq 1),$$

which contradicts the condition (2.13).

Similarly, if $argg(z_0) = -\pi\gamma/2$, then we obtain that

$$\arg\left(\frac{H^{(j)}(z_0)}{(-1)^j z_0^{-p-j}}\right) \le -\frac{\pi}{2}\gamma,$$

140

which also contradicts the condition (2.13). Thus, the function g(z) has to satisfy $|argg(z)| < \pi\gamma/2$ ($z \in U$). This show that

$$\left| \arg\left(\frac{\left(L_p(a,c)f(z) \right)^{(j)}}{(-1)^j z^{-p-j}} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \gamma \quad (z \in U).$$

The proof is now complete.

Acknowledgments

The present investigation is partly supported by Jiangsu Gaoxiao Natural Science Foundation of People's Republic of China (04KJB110154).

References

- J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Appl. Math. Comput. 103 (1999), 1–13.
- [2] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Integral Transform. Spec. Funct. 14 (2003), 7–18.
- [3] J.-L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, A linear operator and associated families of meromorphically multivalent functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 259 (2001), 566–581.
- [4] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations and univalent functions, Michigan Math. J. 28 (1981), 157–171.
- [5] M. Nunokawa, On properties of non-caratheodory functions, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser.A, Math. Sci. 68 (1992), 152–153.
- [6] M. Nunokawa et al., Some results for strongly starlike functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 212 (1997), 98–106.
- [7] H. Saitoh, A linear operator and its applications of first order differential subordinations, Math. Japon. 44 (1996), 31–38.
- [8] D. G. Yang, Some properties of certain analytic functions, Soochow J. Math. 23 (1997), 63-70.