#### Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications Vol. 18, No. 1 (2013), pp. 39-50

http://nfaa.kyungnam.ac.kr/jour-nfaa.htm Copyright  $\bigodot$  2013 Kyungnam University Press

# REMARKS ON SOME COUPLED FIXED POINT RESULTS IN PARTIAL METRIC SPACES

### Stojan Radenović

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Belgrade Kraljice Marije 16, 11120 Beograd, Serbia e-mail: radens@beotel.rs

**Abstract.** In this paper we have developed a new method of reducing coupled fixed point results in partial metric spaces to the respective results for mappings with one variable, even obtaining (in some cases) more general theorems. Our results generalize, improve, enrich and complement recently coupled fixed point results established by H. Alaeidizaji and V. Parvaneh [H. Alaeidizaji and V. Parvaneh, Coupled fixed point results in complete partial metric spaces, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., (2012), in press]. Also, by using our method several tripled fixed point results in partial metric spaces can be reduced to the fixed point results with one variable.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Matthews [18] generalized the concept of a metric space introducing partial metric spaces. Based on the notion of partial metric spaces, Matthews [17], [18], Oltra and Valero [22], Kadelburg et al. [15], Di Bari et al. [12] obtained some fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying different contractive conditions. Recently, Alaeidizaji and Parvaneh [5], proved interesting coupled fixed point results in the context of complete partial metric space. For some coupled results see [8]-[11]. The aim of this paper is to continue the study of coupled fixed point but in the context of 0-complete partial metric spaces. For new results on partial metric spaces see [1]-[7], [12]-[16], [19], [23] and [24].

Consistent with Matthews [17], [18] and O'Neill [20], [21] the following definitions and results will be needed in the sequel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>0</sup>Received September 28, 2012. Revised January 25, 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>0</sup>2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H40, 54H25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>0</sup>Keywords: Partial metric spaces, coupled fixed point, 0-complete partial metric space.

**Definition 1.1.** A partial metric on a nonempty set X is a function p:  $X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :

 $(\mathbf{p}1)x = y \Leftrightarrow p(x, x) = p(x, y) = p(y, y),$ 

 $(\mathbf{p}2) \ p(x,x) \le p(x,y),$ 

 $(\mathbf{p3}) \ p(x,y) = p(y,x) \,,$ 

 $(\mathbf{p}4) \ p(x,z) \le p(x,y) + p(y,z) - p(y,y).$ 

A partial metric space is a pair (X, p) such that X is a nonempty set and p is a partial metric on X.

For a partial metric p on X, the function  $p^s: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$  given by

$$p^{s}(x,y) = 2p(x,y) - p(x,x) - p(y,y)$$

is a (usual) metric on X. Each partial metric p on X generates a  $T_0$  topology  $\tau_p$  on X with a base of the family of open p-balls  $\{B_p(x,\varepsilon) : x \in X, \varepsilon > 0\}$ , where  $B_p(x,\varepsilon) = \{y \in X : p(x,y) < p(x,x) + \varepsilon\}$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Definition 1.2.** ([18], [19]) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a partial metric space (X, p) converges to  $x \in X$  if and only if  $p(x, x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} p(x_n, x)$ ;

(i) a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a partial metric space (X, p) is called Cauchy if and only if  $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} p(x_n, x_m)$  exists (and finite);

(ii) a partial metric space (X, p) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X converges, with respect to  $\tau_p$ , to a point  $x \in X$  such that  $p(x, x) = \lim_{n,m\to\infty} p(x_n, x_m)$ ;

(iii) A mapping  $f: X \to X$  is said to be continuous at  $x_0 \in X$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $f(B_p(x_0, \delta)) \subset B_p(fx_0, \varepsilon)$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** ([18], [19]) Let (X, p) be a partial metric space. Then:

(1) The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy in a partial metric space (X, p) if and only if  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy in a metric space  $(X, p^s)$ ;

(2) A partial metric space (X, p) is complete if and only if a metric space  $(X, p^s)$  is complete; Moreover,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} p^s(x_n, x) = 0$  if and only if

$$p(x,x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} p(x_n, x) = \lim_{n, m \to \infty} p(x_n, x_m).$$

**Remark 1.4.** (1) ([19]) Clearly, a limit of a sequence in a partial metric space does not need to be unique. Moreover, the function  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  does not need to be continuous in the sense that  $x_n \to x$  and  $y_n \to y$  implies  $p(x_n, y_n) \to p(x, y)$ . For example, if  $X = [0, +\infty)$  and  $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$  for  $x, y \in X$ , then for  $\{x_n\} = \{1\}, p(x_n, x) = x = p(x, x)$  for each  $x \ge 1$  and so, e.g.,  $x_n \to 2$  and  $x_n \to 3$  when  $n \to \infty$ .

(2) ([2]) However, if  $p(x_n, x) \to p(x, x) = 0$  then  $p(x_n, y) \to p(x, y)$  for all  $y \in X$ .

A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is called 0-Cauchy [12] if  $\lim_{m,n} p(x_n, x_m) = 0$ . The partial metric space (X, p) is called 0-complete [12], [23] if every 0-Cauchy sequence

in X converges to a point  $x \in X$  with respect to p and p(x, x) = 0. Clearly, every complete partial metric space is 0-complete. The converse need not be true (see [14]).

**Definition 1.5.** ([8], [11]) Let X be a non-empty set and  $F : X^2 \to X$  be a mapping. An element  $(x, y) \in X^2$  is called a coupled fixed point of F if F(x, y) = x, F(y, x) = y.

Note that if (x, y) is a coupled fixed point of F then (y, x) is coupled fixed point of F too.

The proof of the following Lemma is immediately.

**Lemma 1.6.** (1) Let (X, p) be a partial metric space. If  $P: X^2 \times X^2 \to \mathbb{R}^+$  defined by

$$P(Y,V) = p(x,u) + p(y,v), Y = (x,y), V = (u,v) \in X^{2}$$

then  $(X^2, P)$  is a new partial metric space. It is not hard to see that partial metric space  $(X^2, P)$  is complete (resp. 0-complete) if and only if (X, p) is a complete (resp. 0-complete).

(2) Mapping  $F: X^2 \to X$  has a coupled fixed point if and only if mapping  $T_F: X^2 \to X^2$  defined by  $T_F(x, y) = (F(x, y), F(y, x))$  has a fixed point in  $X^2$ .

### 2. Preliminaries

In [5] Alaedizaji and Parvaneh proved the following results and formulated as Theorem 2.4. and Corollary 2.6.-2.15.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space, and  $F : X^2 \to X$  be a mapping such that

$$p(F(x,y), F(u,v)) \leq \alpha_{1}p(x,u) + \alpha_{2}p(y,v) + \alpha_{3}p(F(x,y),x) + \alpha_{4}p(F(y,x),y) + \alpha_{5}p(F(x,y),u) + \alpha_{6}p(F(y,x),v) + \alpha_{7}p(F(u,v),x) + \alpha_{8}p(F(v,u),y) + \alpha_{9}p(F(u,v),u) + \alpha_{10}p(F(v,u),v),$$

$$(2.1)$$

for every pairs  $(x, y), (u, v) \in X^2$ , where  $\alpha_i \ge 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{10} \alpha_i < 1$ . Then, F has a unique coupled fixed point in X.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and  $F : X^2 \to X$  be a mapping such that

$$p(F(x,y), F(u,v)) \le \alpha_1 p(F(x,y), x) + \alpha_2 p(F(y,x), y) + \alpha_3 p(F(u,v), u) + \alpha_4 p(F(v,u), v),$$
(2.2)

for every pairs  $(x, y), (u, v) \in X^2$ , where  $\alpha_i \ge 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i < 1$ . Then, F has a unique coupled fixed point in X.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and  $F : X^2 \to X$  be a mapping such that

$$p(F(x,y), F(u,v)) \le \alpha_1 p(F(x,y), u) + \alpha_2 p(F(y,x), v) + \alpha_3 p(F(u,v), x) + \alpha_4 p(F(v,u), y),$$
(2.3)

for every pairs  $(x, y), (u, v) \in X^2$ , where  $\alpha_i \ge 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i < 1$ . Then, F has a unique coupled fixed point in X.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and  $F : X^2 \to X$  be a mapping such that

$$p(F(x,y), F(u,v)) \le \alpha_1 p(F(x,y), x) + \alpha_2 p(F(y,x), y) + \alpha_3 p(F(u,v), x) + \alpha_4 p(F(v,u), y),$$
(2.4)

for every pairs  $(x, y), (u, v) \in X^2$ , where  $\alpha_i \ge 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i < 1$ . Then, F has a unique coupled fixed point in X.

**Corollary 2.5.** Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and  $F : X^2 \to X$  be a mapping such that

$$p(F(x,y), F(u,v)) \le \alpha_1 p(F(x,y), u) + \alpha_2 p(F(y,x), v) + \alpha_3 p(F(u,v), u) + \alpha_4 p(F(v,u), v),$$
(2.5)

for every pairs  $(x, y), (u, v) \in X^2$ , where  $\alpha_i \ge 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i < 1$ . Then, F has a unique coupled fixed point in X.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and  $F : X^2 \to X$  be a mapping such that

$$p(F(x,y), F(u,v)) \leq \frac{k}{2} [p(x,u) + p(y,v)] + \frac{l}{2} [p(F(x,y),x) + p(F(y,x),y)] + \frac{r}{2} [p(F(x,y),u) + p(F(y,x),v)] + \frac{s}{2} [p(F(u,v),x) + p(F(v,u),y)] + \frac{t}{2} [p(F(u,v),u) + p(F(v,u),v)],$$
(2.6)

for every pairs (x, y),  $(u, v) \in X^2$ , where  $k, l, r, s, t \ge 0$  and k+l+r+s+t < 1. Then, F has a unique coupled fixed point in X.

**Corollary 2.7.** Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and  $F : X^2 \to X$  be a mapping such that

$$p(F(x,y), F(u,v)) \leq \frac{k}{2} [p(F(x,y), x) + p(F(y,x), y)] + \frac{l}{2} [p(F(u,v), u) + p(F(v,u), v)],$$
(2.7)

for every pairs (x, y),  $(u, v) \in X^2$ , where  $k, l \ge 0$  and k + l < 1. Then, F has a unique coupled fixed point in X.

**Corollary 2.8.** Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and  $F : X^2 \to X$  be a mapping such that

$$p(F(x,y), F(u,v)) \leq \frac{k}{2} [p(F(x,y), u) + p(F(y,x), v)] + \frac{l}{2} [p(F(u,v), x) + p(F(v,u), y)]$$
(2.8)

for every pairs (x, y),  $(u, v) \in X^2$ , where  $k, l \ge 0$  and k + l < 1. Then, F has a unique coupled fixed point in X.

**Corollary 2.9.** Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and  $F : X^2 \to X$  be a mapping such that

$$p(F(x,y), F(u,v)) \leq \frac{k}{2} [p(F(x,y), x) + p(F(y,x), y)] + \frac{l}{2} [p(F(u,v), x) + p(F(v,u), y)]$$
(2.9)

for every pairs (x, y),  $(u, v) \in X^2$ , where  $k, l \ge 0$  and k + l < 1. Then, F has a unique coupled fixed point in X.

**Corollary 2.10.** Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and  $F: X^2 \to X$  be a mapping such that

$$p(F(x,y), F(u,v)) \leq \frac{k}{2} [p(x,u) + p(y,v)] + \frac{l}{4} [p(F(x,y), x) + p(F(y,x), y) + p(F(u,v), u) + p(F(v,u), v)] + \frac{r}{4} [p(F(x,y), u) + p(F(y,x), v) + p(F(u,v), x) + p(F(v,u), y)]$$

$$(2.10)$$

for every pairs  $(x, y), (u, v) \in X^2$ , where  $k, l, r \ge 0$  and k + l + r < 1. Then, F has a unique coupled fixed point in X.

**Corollary 2.11.** Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and  $F : X^2 \to X$  be a mapping such that

$$p(F(x,y), F(u,v)) \leq \frac{k}{2} [p(F(x,y), u) + p(F(y,x), v)] + \frac{l}{2} [p(F(u,v), u) + p(F(v,u), v)]$$
(2.11)

for every pairs  $(x, y), (u, v) \in X^2$ , where  $k, l \ge 0$  and k + l < 1. Then, F has a unique coupled fixed point in X.

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

Our first result is the following Lemma which is crucial for the proof of Theorem 3.2. below. In fact, this is very known Hardy-Rogers theorem in the context of 0-complete partial metric spaces (for the proof see [15]). After that we will formulate the theorem which is inspired by Theorem 2.4. from [5] and is more general than it.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let (X, d) be a 0-complete partial metric space. Suppose mappings  $f : X \to X$  and that there exist nonnegative constants  $\alpha_i$  satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^{5} \alpha_i < 1$  such that, for each  $x, y \in X$ 

$$p(fx, fy) \leq \alpha_1 p(x, y) + \alpha_2 p(x, fx) + \alpha_3 p(y, fy) + \alpha_4 p(x, fy) + \alpha_5 p(y, fx).$$

$$(3.1)$$

Then f has a unique fixed point z in X such that p(z, z) = 0.

The following result generalizes and extends Theorem 2.4. from [5].

**Theorem 3.2.** Let (X, p) be a 0-complete partial metric space and  $F : X^2 \to X$  be a mapping. Suppose that for any  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , the following condition

$$p(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + p(F(y, x), F(v, u))$$
  

$$\leq b_1(p(F(x, y), x) + p(F(y, x), y))$$
  

$$+ b_2(p(F(u, v), u) + d(F(v, u), v))$$
  

$$+ b_3(p(F(u, v), x) + d(F(v, u), y))$$
  

$$+ b_4(p(F(x, y), u) + p(F(y, x), v))$$
  

$$+ b_5(p(x, u) + p(y, v))$$
  
(3.2)

holds, where  $b_i, i = 1, ..., 5$  are nonnegative real numbers such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{5} b_i < 1$ . Then F has a unique coupled fixed point  $(x, x) \in X^2$  and p(x, x) = 0.

Proof. Putting  $b_5 = \alpha_1, b_2 = \alpha_2, b_1 = \alpha_3, b_4 = \alpha_4$  and  $b_3 = \alpha_5$ , by Lemma 1.6. (2) the condition (3.2) for all  $Y = (x, y), V = (u, v) \in X^2$  become

$$P(T_F(Y), T_F(V)) \preceq \alpha_1 P(Y, V) + \alpha_2 P(T_F(V), V) + \alpha_3 P(T_F(Y), Y) + \alpha_4 P(T_F(Y), V) + \alpha_5 P(T_F(V), Y),$$

which is in fact the condition (3.1). Hence, all conditions of Lemma 3.1 are satisfied. It means that the mappings  $T_F$  has a unique fixed point Y = (x, y) in  $X^2$  such that P(Y, Y) = 0, that is, by Lemma 1.6. (2) F has a unique coupled fixed point  $(x, y) \in X^2$ . Since, (y, x) is coupled fixed point of F too, then x = y. Hence, (x, x) is a unique coupled fixed point of F and p(x, x) = 0. This completes the proof.

**Remark 3.3.** Theorem 3.2. is more general than Theorem 2.4. from [5] since the contractive condition (3.1) implies (3.2) with  $a_1 + a_2 = b_5$ ,  $a_3 + a_4 = b_1$ ,  $a_5 + a_6 = b_4$ ,  $a_7 + a_8 = b_3$  and  $a_9 + a_{10} = b_2$ . The following example shows that generalization is proper.

**Example 3.4.** Let X = [0, 1] be equipped with the partial metric p defined by  $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$  for  $x, y \in X$ . Let  $F : X^2 \to X$  be given by  $F(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}x$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Finally, take  $b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = b_4 = 0$  and  $b_5 \in [0, 1)$ . The contractive condition (2.1) is not satisfied. Indeed, taking v = y = 0, u = 1 and  $x \in (0, 1)$ , we have that (2,1) reduces to

$$p\left(\frac{x}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right) \le \frac{b_5}{2} \left(p\left(x,1\right) + p\left(0,0\right)\right),$$

i.e.,  $1 \leq b_5$ , which is impossible for  $b_5 \in [0, 1)$ .

On the other hand, condition (3.2) is satisfied. To verify this consider the following possible cases (we denote L =: p(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + p(F(y, x), F(v, u)) and  $R = b_5(p(x, u) + p(y, v)))$ .

(1)  $0 \le x \le u$  and  $0 \le y \le v$ . Then

$$L = p\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{u}{2}\right) + p\left(\frac{y}{2}, \frac{v}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}(u+v) \le b_5(p(x,u) + p(y,v)) = R,$$

whenever  $b_5 \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ .

(2)  $0 \le x \le u$  and  $0 \le v \le y$ . Then

$$L = p\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{u}{2}\right) + p\left(\frac{y}{2}, \frac{v}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}(u+y) \le b_5(p(x,u) + p(y,v)) = R,$$

whenever  $b_5 \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ .

(3)  $0 \le u \le x$  and  $0 \le y \le v$ . Then

$$L = p\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{u}{2}\right) + p\left(\frac{y}{2}, \frac{v}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}(u+v) \le b_5(p(x,u) + p(y,v)) = R_{1}$$

whenever  $b_5 \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ .

(4)  $0 \le u \le x$  and  $0 \le v \le y$ . Then

$$L = p\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{u}{2}\right) + p\left(\frac{y}{2}, \frac{v}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}(x+y) \le b_5(p(x,u) + p(y,v)) = R,$$

whenever  $b_5 \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ .

Hence, in all cases (3.2) is satisfied.

**Corollary 3.5.** Let (X, p) be a 0-complete partial metric space and  $F : X^2 \to X$  be a mapping. Suppose that for any  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , the following condition

$$p(F(x,y), F(u,v)) + p(F(y,x), F(v,u))$$
  

$$\leq b_1(p(F(x,y), x) + p(F(y,x), y)) + b_2(p(F(u,v), u) + d(F(v,u), v))$$
(3.3)

holds, where  $b_1, b_2$  are nonnegative real numbers such that  $b_1 + b_2 < 1$ . Then *F* has a unique coupled fixed point  $(x, x) \in X^2$  and p(x, x) = 0.

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.6. (2) the condition (3.3) for all  $Y = (x, y), V = (u, v) \in X^2$  become

$$P(T_F(Y), T_F(V)) \le b_1 P(T_F(Y), Y) + b_2 P(T_F(V), V),$$

and the proof further follows from Theorem 3.2.

**Corollary 3.6.** Let (X, p) be a 0-complete partial metric space and  $F : X^2 \to X$  be a mapping. Suppose that for any  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , the following condition p(F(x, u), F(u, v)) + p(F(u, v)) + p(F(u, v))

holds, where  $b_1, b_2$  are nonnegative real numbers such that  $b_1 + b_2 < 1$ . Then *F* has a unique coupled fixed point  $(x, x) \in X^2$  and p(x, x) = 0.

*Proof.* In this case the condition (3.4) become

$$P(T_F(Y), T_F(V)) \le b_1 P(T_F(Y), V) + b_2 P(T_F(V)Y),$$

and the proof follows.

**Corollary 3.7.** Let (X, p) be a 0-complete partial metric space and  $F : X^2 \to X$  be a mapping. Suppose that for any  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , the following condition

$$p(F(x,y), F(u,v)) + p(F(y,x), F(v,u))$$
  

$$\leq b_1(p(F(x,y), x) + p(F(y,x), y))$$
  

$$+ b_2(p(F(u,v), x) + p(F(v,u), y))$$
(3.5)

46

holds, where  $b_1, b_2$  are nonnegative real numbers such that  $b_1 + b_2 < 1$ . Then *F* has a unique coupled fixed point  $(x, x) \in X^2$  and p(x, x) = 0.

*Proof.* The condition (3.5) now has the form

$$P(T_F(Y), T_F(V)) \le b_1 P(T_F(Y), Y) + b_2 P(T_F(V), Y),$$

and the proof follows from Theorem 3.2.

**Corollary 3.8.** Let (X, p) be a 0-complete partial metric space and  $F : X^2 \to X$  be a mapping. Suppose that for any  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , the following condition  $\pi(E(x, y)) + \pi(E(x, y)) + \pi(E(x, y)) = \pi(E(x, y))$ 

$$p(F(x,y),F(u,v)) + p(F(y,x),F(v,u)) \leq b_1(p(F(x,y),u) + p(F(y,x),v)) + b_2(p(F(u,v),u) + d(F(v,u),v))$$
(3.6)

holds, where  $b_1, b_2$  are nonnegative real numbers such that  $b_1 + b_2 < 1$ . Then F has a unique coupled fixed point  $(x, x) \in X^2$  and p(x, x) = 0.

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.6. (2) the condition (3.6) for all  $Y = (x, y), V = (u, v) \in X^2$  become

$$P\left(T_{F}\left(Y\right), T_{F}\left(V\right)\right) \leq b_{1}P\left(T_{F}\left(Y\right), V\right) + b_{2}P\left(T_{F}\left(V\right), V\right),$$
proof follows

and the proof follows.

**Corollary 3.9.** Let (X, p) be a 0-complete partial metric space and  $F : X^2 \to X$  be a mapping. Suppose that for any  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , the following condition

$$p(F(x,y), F(u,v)) + p(F(y,x), F(v,u))$$
  

$$\leq b_1 [p(x,u) + p(y,v)] + b_2 [p(F(x,y), x) + p(F(y,x), y)]$$
  

$$+ b_3 [p(F(x,y), u) + p(F(y,x), v)]$$
  

$$+ b_4 [p(F(u,v), x) + p(F(v,u), y)]$$
  

$$+ b_5 [p(F(u,v), u) + p(F(v,u), v)],$$
  
(3.7)

for every pairs  $(x, y), (u, v) \in X^2$ , where  $b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5 \geq 0$  and  $b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4 + b_5 < 1$ . Then, F has a unique coupled fixed point  $(x, x) \in X^2$  and p(x, x) = 0.

*Proof.* According to Lemma 1.6. (2) the condition (3.7) for all Y = (x, y),  $V = (u, v) \in X^2$  become

$$P(T_{F}(Y), T_{F}(V)) \leq b_{1}P(Y, V) + b_{2}P(T_{F}(Y), Y) + b_{3}P(T_{F}(Y), V) + b_{4}P(T_{F}(V), Y) + b_{5}P(T_{F}(V), V),$$

that is., the condition (3.1). Hence, the proof again follows.

47

**Corollary 3.10.** Let (X,p) be a complete partial metric space and  $F: X^2 \to X$  be a mapping such that

$$p(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + p(F(y, x), F(v, u))$$
  

$$\leq b_1 [p(F(x, y), x) + p(F(y, x), y)]$$
  

$$+ b_2 [p(F(u, v), u) + p(F(v, u), v)],$$
(3.8)

for every pairs  $(x, y), (u, v) \in X^2$ , where  $b_1, b_2 \ge 0$  and  $b_1 + b_2 < 1$ . Then, F has a unique coupled fixed point  $(x, x) \in X^2$  and p(x, x) = 0.

*Proof.* In this case we obtain the following condition

$$P(T_F(Y), T_F(V)) \le b_1 P(T_F(Y), Y) + b_2 P(T_F(V), V),$$

from which the proof follows.

**Corollary 3.11.** Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and  $F : X^2 \to X$  be a mapping such that

$$p(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + p(F(y, x), F(v, u))$$
  

$$\leq b_1 [p(F(x, y), u) + p(F(y, x), v)]$$
  

$$+ b_2 [p(F(u, v), x) + p(F(v, u), y)]$$
(3.9)

for every pairs  $(x, y), (u, v) \in X^2$ , where  $b_1, b_2 \ge 0$  and  $b_1 + b_2 < 1$ . Then, F has a unique coupled fixed point  $(x, x) \in X^2$  and p(x, x) = 0.

*Proof.* In this case the new condition is

$$P(T_F(Y), T_F(V)) \le b_1 P(T_F(Y), V) + b_2 P(T_F(V), Y),$$

from which the proof follows.

**Corollary 3.12.** Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and  $F : X^2 \to X$  be a mapping such that

$$p(F(x,y), F(u,v)) + p(F(y,x), F(v,u))$$
  

$$\leq b_1 [p(F(x,y), x) + p(F(y,x), y)] + b_2 [p(F(u,v), x) + p(F(v,u), y)]$$
(3.10)

for every pairs  $(x, y), (u, v) \in X^2$ , where  $b_1, b_2 \ge 0$  and  $b_1 + b_2 < 1$ . Then, F has a unique coupled fixed point  $(x, x) \in X^2$  and p(x, x) = 0.

*Proof.* Now we have

$$P(T_F(Y), T_F(V)) \le b_1 P(T_F(Y), Y) + b_2 P(T_F(V), Y),$$

that is., the proof follows by Theorem 3.2.

**Corollary 3.13.** Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and  $F : X^2 \to X$  be a mapping such that

$$p(F(x,y), F(u,v)) + p(F(y,x), F(v,u))$$

$$\leq b_1[p(x,u) + p(y,v)] + b_2[p(F(x,y), x) + p(F(y,x), y) + p(F(u,v), u) + p(F(v,u), v)] + b_3[p(F(x,y), u) + p(F(y,x), v) + p(F(u,v), x) + p(F(v,u), y)]$$
(3.11)

for every pairs  $(x, y), (u, v) \in X^2$ , where  $b_1, b_2, b_3 \ge 0$  and  $b_1 + b_2 + b_3 < 1$ . Then, F has a unique coupled fixed point  $(x, x) \in X^2$  and p(x, x) = 0.

*Proof.* According to Lemma 1.6. (2) the condition (3.11) for all Y = (x, y),  $V = (u, v) \in X^2$  become

$$P(T_{F}(Y), T_{F}(V)) \leq b_{1}P(Y, V) + b_{2}[P(T_{F}(Y), Y) + P(T_{F}(V), V)] + b_{3}[P(T_{F}(Y), V) + P(T_{F}(V), Y)].$$

Hence the proof follows by Theorem 3.2.

**Corollary 3.14.** Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and  $F : X^2 \to X$  be a mapping such that

$$p(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + p(F(y, x), F(v, u))$$
  

$$\leq b_1 [p(F(x, y), u) + p(F(y, x), v)]$$
  

$$+ b_2 [p(F(u, v), u) + p(F(v, u), v)]$$
(3.12)

for every pairs  $(x, y), (u, v) \in X^2$ , where  $b_1, b_2 \ge 0$  and  $b_1 + b_2 < 1$ . Then, F has a unique coupled fixed point  $(x, x) \in X^2$  and p(x, x) = 0.

*Proof.* The condition (3.12) obtain the following form

$$P(T_F(Y), T_F(V)) \le b_1 P(T_F(Y), V) + b_2 P(T_F(V), V).$$

The proof follows by Theorem 3.2.

#### References

- T. Abdeljawad, Coupled Fixed Point Theorems for Partially Contractive Type Mappings, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012, 2012:148.
- [2] T. Abdeljawad, Fixed points for generalized weakly contractive mappings in partial metric spaces, Math. Comput. Model., 54 (2011), 2923-2927.
- [3] T. Abdeljawad, E. Karapinar and K. Tas, A generalized contraction principle with control functions on partial metric spaces, Comput. Math. Appl., 63 (2012), 716-719.
- [4] T. Abdeljawad, E. Karapinar and K. Tas, Existence and uniqueness of a common fixed point on partial metric spaces, Appl. Math. Lett., 24 (2011), 1900-1904.

- [5] H. Alaeidizaji and V. Parvaneh, Coupled fixed point results in complete partial metric spaces, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 2012, in press.
- [6] I. Altun and A. Erduran, Fixed point theorems for monotone mappings on partial metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. Vol. 2011, Article ID 508730.
- [7] H. Aydi, Some coupled fixed point results on partial metric spaces, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., Vol. 2011, Article ID 647091.
- [8] A. Amini-Harandi, Coupled and tripled fixed point theory in partially ordered metric spaces with application to initial value problem, Math. Comput. Model. (2012), doi: 10.1016/j.mcm. 2011.12.006.
- [9] V. Berinde, Generalized coupled fixed point theorems for mixed monotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, Nonlinear Anal., 74 (2011), 7347-7355.
- [10] V. Berinde, Coupled fixed point theorems for  $\phi$ -contractive mixed monotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, Nonlinear Anal., **65** (2012), 1379-1393.
- [11] T.G. Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, Nonlinear Anal., 65 (2006), 1379-1303.
- [12] C. Di Bari, M. Milojević, S. Radenović and P. Vetro, Common fixed points for selfmappings on partial metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012, 2012:140.
- [13] D. Đukić, Z. Kadelburg and S. Radenović, Fixed points of Geraghty-type mappings in various generalized metric spaces, Abstract Appl. Anal., Vol. 2011, Article ID 561245.
- [14] D. Ilić, V. Pavlović and V. Rakočević, Some new extensions of Banach's contractions principle in partial metric spaces, Appl. Math. Lett., 24 (2011), 1326-1330.
- [15] Z. Kadelburg, H.K. Nashine and S. Radenović, Fixed point results under various contractive conditions in partial metric spaces, Revista de la Real Academia Exactas, Fisicas y Naturales. Seria A. Mathematicas, RASCAM DOI 10.1007/s13398-012-0066-6.
- [16] E. Karapinar and Inci M. Erhan, Fixed point theorems for operators on partial metric spaces, Appl. Math. Lett., 24 (2011), 1894-1899.
- [17] S.G. Matthews, *Partial metric topology*, Research Report 212. Dept. of Computer Science, University of Warwick, 1992.
- [18] S.G. Matthews, *Partial metric topology*, Proc. 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications, Ann. New York Acad. Sci., **728** (1994), 183-197.
- [19] H.K. Nashine, Z. Kadelburg and S. Radenović, Common fixed point theorems for weakly isotone increasing mappings in ordered partial metric spaces, Math. Comput. Model. (2011), doi: 10.1016/j.mcm.2011.12.019.
- [20] S.J. O'Neill, Partial metrics, valuations and domain theory, Proc. 11th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications, Ann. New York Acad. Sci., 806 (1996), 304-315.
- [21] S.J. O'Neill, Two topologies are better than one, Tech report, University of Warwick, Conventry, UK. http://www.dcs.warwick.ac.uk/reports/283.html.1995.
- [22] S. Oltra and O. Valero, Banach's fixed point theorem for partial metric spaces, Rend. Istit. Math. Univ. Trieste 36 (2004), 17-26.
- [23] S. Romaguera, A Kirk type characterization of completeness for partial metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., Vol. 2010, Article ID 493298.
- [24] F. Vetro and S. Radenović, Nonlinear ψ-quasi-contractions of Ćirić-type in partial metric spaces, Appl. Math. Comput., 219 (2012), 1594-1600.