

WEAK SOLUTIONS OF NONLINEAR p - q LAPLACIAN EQUATION

V. Bhuvaneswari¹, L. Shangerganesh², K. Balachandran³
and J.K. Kim⁴

¹Department of Mathematics, Bharathiar University
Coimbatore 641 046, India
e-mail: 88bhuvana@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, Bharathiar University
Coimbatore 641 046, India
e-mail: shangerganesh@gmail.com

³Department of Mathematics, Bharathiar University
Coimbatore 641 046, India
e-mail: kb.maths.bu@gmail.com

⁴Department of Mathematics Education, Kyungnam University
Changwon 631-701, Korea
e-mail: jongkyuk@kyungnam.ac.kr

Abstract. This paper deals the existence of weak solutions of degenerate parabolic p - q Laplacian equation with Dirichlet boundary condition using Galerkin's approximation method and semi-discretization process.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the following degenerate parabolic p - q Laplacian equation with Dirichlet boundary condition as follows:

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta_p u + a(x) |u|^{p-2} u - \Delta_q u + b(x) |u|^{q-2} u \\ \quad = f(u) + g(x, t) \text{ in } Q_T, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_T, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \text{ on } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

⁰Received October 9, 2012. Revised January 28, 2013.

⁰2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35D30, 35K65.

⁰Keywords: p - q Laplacian, weak solution, Galerkin's method.

where $\Delta_r u = \nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^{r-2} \nabla u)$ for some integer r , $Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$, $\Gamma_T = \partial\Omega \times (0, T)$, $p, q > 2$, $q < p$, Ω is open bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega \in C^1$ and $u_0(x)$ denotes the initial data. Assume that $a(x), b(x) \geq 0$. For some elliptic type equations related to the above equations one can see [12, 13].

The p - q Laplacian equation has been receiving increasing attention during the last decades, for example, one can see [12]-[15] and the references therein. In particular Afrouzi et al. [1] established the existence and multiplicity of solutions of some p - q Laplacian system using Ekeland's variational principle, the mountain pass theorem and the saddle point theorem. Furthermore, Afrouzi et al. [2] studied the existence results for a class of p - q Laplacian system in which the proof depends on the local minimization method. Afrouzi and Rasouli [3] proved the existence of nontrivial nonnegative solutions to a multi-parameter nonlinear elliptic system. Cherfils and Il'yasov [6] analyzed a family of stationary nonlinear equations of p - q Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary conditions which have a wide spectrum of applications in many areas of science. Figueiredo proved the existence of positive solutions to the class of elliptic problems with critical growth on \mathbb{R}^n in [9]. He and Li [10] established the existence of a nontrivial solution to the elliptic problem without the assumption of the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition. The existence of at least three weak solutions is established for a class of quasilinear elliptic systems involving the p - q Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary condition by Li and Tang [11] and also Li et al. established the three solutions for p - q biharmonic systems in [14]. Li and Zhang [13] studied the existence of multiple solutions for the nonlinear elliptic problem of p - q Laplacian type involving the critical Sobolev exponent. Rasouli et al. [15] obtained the existence of positive weak solution for a class of p - q Laplacian system with sign-changing weight by the method of sub-super solutions. It is convenient to mention that the present method and the problem is an extension our previous result in [5]. In contrast to the above mentioned results, in this work, we consider the degenerate parabolic equations with p - q Laplacian and establish the existence of weak solutions using semi-discretization process.

We now recall some function spaces to be used in this work. We suppose that if X is a Banach space, then $L^p(a, b; X)$ denotes the space of all measurable functions u from (a, b) to X such that $\|u(\cdot)\|_X$ belongs to $L^p(a, b)$. Throughout this work, we use the generic constant C instead of different constants. Finally, the results of the paper are organized as follows. In section 2, we establish the existence of weak solutions of steady-state problem of (1.1) using Galerkin's approximation method. In section 3, we prove the existence of weak solutions of the given problem (1.1) using semi-discretization process.

2. STEADY-STATE CASE

In this section, we consider the steady-state case of the original problem (1.1) and establish the existence of weak solutions using Galerkin's approximation method.

The steady-state version of the given parabolic problem (1.1) is as follows:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + a(x)|u|^{p-2}u - \Delta_q u + b(x)|u|^{q-2}u = f(u) + g(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Definition 2.1. A function $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$, is said to be a weak solution of (2.1) if

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{p-2} u \phi dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{q-2} u \phi dx = \int_{\Omega} f(u) \phi dx + \int_{\Omega} g(x) \phi dx, \end{aligned}$$

holds for each $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ with $f(u) \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$ and $g(x) \in L^{q'}(\Omega)$ where p', q' respectively denotes the Hölder conjugate of p and q .

Lemma 2.2. ([4, 8]) *Let $F : \mathbb{R}^K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^K$ ($K \in \mathbb{N}$) be a continuous function such that $\langle F(r), r \rangle \geq 0$ on $|r| = \rho$. Then there exists $z \in \bar{B}_\rho(0)$ such that $F(z) = 0$ for sufficiently large ρ .*

Theorem 2.3. *Under the assumption for some $a_0, b_0 > 0$ such that $a(x) \geq a_0$, and $b(x) \geq b_0$ and further assume that there exists positive constants M_1, M_2 and m such that $|f(s)| \leq M_1|s|^m + M_2$, where either $m < p/p'$ or $m \leq p/p'$ with M_1 small enough. the steady-state problem (2.1) has a weak solution u in the sense of Definition 2.1.*

Proof. In order to prove the existence of solutions of the steady-state problem (2.1), we use the Galerkin's method of approximate solutions (see [4]). The material presented here is standard (see [7, 8]), and we have included it just for the sake of completeness. To use the Galerkin's method, we are in need of the specific basis. Let $r > 0$ be such that $r < p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ and $s \in N$. Then

$$W_0^{s,2}(\Omega) \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L^r(\Omega) \subset (W_0^{s,2})'(\Omega)$$

with continuous and dense inclusions. Now, let us introduce the spectral problem, find $w \in W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (w, \phi)_{W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)} &= \lambda (w, \phi)_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad \text{for all } \phi \in W_0^{s,2}(\Omega), \\ w &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(\Omega)}$ denotes the inner products of $W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)$ and $L^2(\Omega)$ respectively. The above problem gives a sequence of non-decreasing eigenvalues $\{\lambda_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$ and a sequence of corresponding eigenfunctions $\{e_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$, forming an orthogonal basis in $W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)$ and orthonormal basis in $L^2(\Omega)$ (see [16]).

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the subspace $V_n = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. It is well known that $(V_n, \|\cdot\|)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|)$ are isometrically isomorphic by the natural linear map $T : V_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ given by $z = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i e_i \rightarrow T(z) = d = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ (see [4]). So $\|z\| = |T(z)| = |d|$, where $|\cdot|$ and $\|\cdot\|$ denote the usual norms in \mathbb{R}^n and $V_n(\Omega)$ respectively. We look for the function $u_n \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ of the form

$$u_n = \sum_{l=1}^n d_{n,l} e_l(x),$$

where we need to determine the co-efficients $r_{n,l}$ so that, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla e_k dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_n|^{p-2} u_n e_k dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{q-2} \nabla u_n \nabla e_k dx \\ + \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_n|^{q-2} u_n e_k dx = \int_{\Omega} f(u_n) e_k dx + \int_{\Omega} g(x) e_k dx \quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Using Hölder's, Poincaré's and Young's inequalities and from the assumption of $f(u)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} f(u) u dx \right| &\leq \|f(u)\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \\ &\leq c \|f(u)\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \\ &\leq c(\epsilon) \|f(u)\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega)} + \epsilon \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \\ &\leq c(\epsilon) \left(\int_{\Omega} M_1 |u|^m + M_2 \right)^{p'} dx + \epsilon \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \\ &\leq c(\epsilon) M_1^{p'} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{mp'} dx + c(\epsilon) + \epsilon \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \\ &\leq c(\epsilon) + \epsilon \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Now let us consider the following function $G : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ given by

$$G(d) = (h_1(d), \dots, h_n(d))$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
h_k(d) = & \int_{\Omega} \left[\left| \sum_{l=1}^n d_{n,l} \nabla e_l(x) \right|^{p-2} \sum_{l=1}^n d_{n,l} \nabla e_l(x) \nabla e_k \right. \\
& + a(x) \left| \sum_{l=1}^n d_{n,l} e_l(x) \right|^{p-2} \sum_{l=1}^n d_{n,l} e_l(x) e_k \\
& + \left| \sum_{l=1}^n d_{n,l} \nabla e_l(x) \right|^{q-2} \sum_{l=1}^n d_{n,l} \nabla e_l(x) \nabla e_k \\
& + b(x) \left| \sum_{l=1}^n d_{n,l} e_l(x) \right|^{q-2} \sum_{l=1}^n d_{n,l} e_l(x) e_k \\
& \left. - f \left(\sum_{l=1}^n d_{n,l} e_l(x) \right) e_k - g e_k \right] dx,
\end{aligned}$$

for each point $d = (d_1, \dots, d_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then from (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle G(d), d \rangle \geq & \int_{\Omega} \left(\left| \sum_{l=1}^n d_{n,l} \nabla e_l(x) \right|^p + a_0 \left| \sum_{l=1}^n d_{n,l} e_l(x) \right|^p + \left| \sum_{l=1}^n d_{n,l} \nabla e_l(x) \right|^q \right. \\
& \left. + b_0 \left| \sum_{l=1}^n d_{n,l} e_l(x) \right|^q - c(\epsilon)(\|g\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega)} + 1) \right) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\langle G(d), d \rangle \geq 0$ if $|d| = \rho$ provided $\rho > 0$ sufficiently large enough. Hence it follows from the Lemma 2.2, that for each $n \in N$, there exists $u_n \in V_n$ satisfying $F(u_n) = 0$, $\|u_n\| \leq \rho$. This proves that, given absolutely continuous co-efficients $b_{n,l}$, we set $\phi_n = \sum_{l=1}^n b_{n,l} e_l(x)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \phi_n dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_n|^{p-2} u_n \phi_n dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{q-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \phi_n dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_n|^{q-2} u_n \phi_n dx = \int_{\Omega} f(u_n) \phi_n dx + \int_{\Omega} g(x) \phi_n dx \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (2.4)
\end{aligned}$$

holds with $\|u_n\| \leq \rho$, for all $n \in N$. Taking $\phi_n = u_n$ in (2.4) and using the Poincare's, Young's inequalities with the assumption of $f(u)$ we get,

$$\|u_n\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} + \|u_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|u_n\|_{W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)} + \|u_n\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq c.$$

Let us assume that $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ be the weak limit of $\{u_n\}$, then there exists a subsequence which is also denoted by $\{u_n\}$ such that,

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightarrow u \text{ in } L^s(\Omega) \text{ for } 1 < s < \frac{2N}{N-2}, \\ u_n &\rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,q}(\Omega), \\ u_n &\rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } L^p(\Omega) \cap L^q(\Omega), \\ |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n + |\nabla u_n|^{q-2} \nabla u_n &\rightharpoonup \zeta_1 \text{ weakly in } L^{p'}(\Omega) \cap L^{q'}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Recall the monotonicity property of p -Laplacian operator and by adopting the technique proved in [8], one can easily obtain that $\zeta_1 = |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u + |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u$. Then taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^{p-2} u \phi dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{q-2} u \phi dx = \int_{\Omega} f(u) \phi dx + \int_{\Omega} g(x) \phi dx \text{ in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Equation (2.5) holds for all functions $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$, as the function ϕ is dense in the space. This proves that u is a weak solution of the steady-state equation (2.1). \square

3. PARABOLIC CASE

In this section, first we consider the semi-discretized problem of the original problem (1.1) and establish existence of a weak solution. By using that, one can show the existence of a weak solution of the given problem (1.1).

The semi-discretized problem of the given parabolic problem (1.1) is as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{h}(u_k - u_{k-1}) - \nabla \cdot (|\nabla u_k|^{p-2} \nabla u_k) + a(x) |u_k|^{p-2} u_k \\ - \nabla \cdot (|\nabla u_k|^{q-2} \nabla u_k) + b(x) |u_k|^{q-2} u_k = f(u_{k-1}) + g \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u_k = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where $u_k = u(x, kh)$, $h = T/n$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Definition 3.1. A function u is defined as a weak solution for the problem (1.1) provided $u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)) \cap L^q(0, T; W_0^{1,q}(\Omega))$, $u_t \in L^{p'}(0, T; W^{-1,p'}(\Omega))$, and, for any $\phi \in L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)) \cap L^q(0, T; W_0^{1,q}(\Omega))$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \left\langle \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \phi \right\rangle dt &= - \int_{Q_T} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi dx dt - \int_{Q_T} a(x) |u|^{p-2} u \phi dx dt \\ &\quad - \int_{Q_T} |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi dx dt - \int_{Q_T} b(x) |u|^{q-2} u \phi dx dt \\ &\quad + \int_{Q_T} (f(u) + g) \phi dx dt \end{aligned}$$

holds (where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the pair $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$).

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that the conditions of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied with $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $f(\cdot) \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then the problem (1.1) has a weak solution in the sense of Definition 3.1.*

Lemma 3.3. *Assume u_k is a unique weak solution for the semi-discretized problem (3.1). Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h} \int_{\Omega} |u_k|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^p dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_k|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^q dx \\ + 2 \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_k|^q dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{k-1}|^p dx + \frac{1}{h} \int_{\Omega} |u_{k-1}|^2 dx + C, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |u_i|^2 dx + \frac{h}{2} \sum_{k=1}^i \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^p dx + \frac{h}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^p dx \\ + 2h \sum_{k=1}^i \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_k|^p dx + h \sum_{k=1}^i \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^q dx + 2h \sum_{k=1}^i \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_k|^q dx \\ \leq \int_{\Omega} (|u_0|^2 + \frac{h}{2} |\nabla u_0|^p) dx + TC, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

for $k, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. As in the steady-state case, one can show that there exists a weak solution $u_k \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,q}(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h} \int_{\Omega} (u_k - u_{k-1}) \phi dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^{p-2} \nabla u_k \nabla \phi dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_k|^{p-2} u_k \phi dx \\ + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^{q-2} \nabla u_k \nabla \phi dx + \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_k|^{q-2} u_k \phi dx \\ = \int_{\Omega} (f(u_{k-1}) + g) \phi dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

for each $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Then taking $\phi = u_k$ as the test function in the above equation, one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h} \int_{\Omega} |u_k|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_k|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^q dx + \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_k|^q dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2h} \int_{\Omega} |u_k|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2h} \int_{\Omega} |u_{k-1}|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} f(u_{k-1}) u_k dx + \int_{\Omega} g u_k dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Then, by using Hölder's, Poincaré's and Young's inequalities and from the assumption of $f(u)$, we get

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} f(u_{k-1}) u_k dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_k\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p + \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla u_{k-1}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p + C.$$

Using the above said inequalities and the assumption of g , it is easy to obtain the following estimate

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} g u_k dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_k\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^q + \frac{1}{2} \|g\|_{L^{q'}(\Omega)}.$$

Substituting the above two estimates in (3.5), one can easily obtain (3.2).

To prove (3.3), take summation from $k = 1$ to i on both sides of the inequality (3.2) to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h} \sum_{k=1}^i \int_{\Omega} |u_k|^2 dx + \sum_{k=1}^i \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^p dx + 2 \sum_{k=1}^i \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_k|^p dx \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=1}^i \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^q dx + 2 \sum_{k=1}^i \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_k|^q dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{h} \sum_{k=1}^i \int_{\Omega} |u_{k-1}|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^i \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{k-1}|^p dx + \sum_{k=1}^i C, \end{aligned}$$

for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Noticing that $ih \leq T$ and using simple calculation leads to (3.3).

To prove the uniqueness of the weak solution, let us assume that v_1 and v_2 are two solutions of (3.1). Then the difference of two solutions satisfying the following equation,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h} \int_{\Omega} (v_1 - v_2) \phi dx + \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_1|^{p-2} \nabla v_1 - |\nabla v_2|^{p-2} \nabla v_2) \nabla \phi dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_1|^{q-2} \nabla v_1 - |\nabla v_2|^{q-2} \nabla v_2) \nabla \phi dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x) (|v_1|^{p-2} v_1 - |v_2|^{p-2} v_2) \phi dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega} b(x) (|v_1|^{q-2} v_1 - |v_2|^{q-2} v_2) \phi dx \\ & = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for each $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Then, taking $\phi = v_1 - v_2$ in the above equality and using the monotonicity inequality, one can get, $v_1 = v_2$ a.e in Ω . \square

Definition 3.4. ([17]) Let us define the first kind of approximate solution as follows:

$$w^{(n)}(x, t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_k(t) u_k(x), \quad (3.6)$$

where $\chi_k(t)$ is the characteristic function of the time interval $((k-1)h, kh]$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Lemma 3.5. Let $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $f(\cdot) \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then the approximate solution (3.6) satisfies the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \|w^{(n)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \|w^{(n)}\|_{L^p(0,T;W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))} + \|w^{(n)}\|_{L^q(0,T;W_0^{1,q}(\Omega))} \\ & + \|f(w^{(n)})\|_{L^{p'}(Q_T)} + \|w^{(n)}\|_{L^p(0,T;L^p(\Omega))} + \|w^{(n)}\|_{L^q(0,T;L^q(\Omega))} \\ & + \|\nabla w^{(n)}\|_{L^{p'}(Q_T)}^{p-2} + \|\nabla w^{(n)}\|_{L^{q'}(Q_T)}^{q-2} \leq C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. For any $t \in (0, T)$, there exists some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $t \in ((k-1)h, kh]$. By using the definition of approximate solution (3.6) and from steady-state case one can have $\|w^{(n)}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \|u_k(x)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C$ which leads to $\|w^{(n)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq C$. Taking $i = n$ in the inequality (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^2 dx + \frac{h}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^p dx + \frac{h}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p dx \\ & + 2h \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_k|^p dx + h \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^q dx + 2h \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_k|^q dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} |u_0|^2 dx + \frac{h}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^p dx + TC. \end{aligned}$$

From the above inequality,

$$\int_{Q_T} |\nabla w^{(n)}|^p dx dt = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^p dx \leq C.$$

The above inequality shows the following result,

$$\|w^{(n)}\|_{L^p(0,T;W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))} \leq C.$$

Similarly one can prove

$$\|w^{(n)}\|_{L^p(0,T;L^p(\Omega))} \leq C, \quad \|w^{(n)}\|_{L^q(0,T;W_0^{1,q}(\Omega))} \leq C$$

and

$$\|w^{(n)}\|_{L^q(0,T;L^q(\Omega))} \leq C.$$

From the assumption of $f(u)$ it is easy to understand that

$$\|f(w^{(n)})\|_{L^{p'}(Q_T)} \leq C.$$

Taking $\phi = u_k$ as test function in (3.4) and the definition of $w^{(n)}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_T} |w^{(n)}|^2 dxdt - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u_0|^2 dx + \int_{Q_T} |\nabla w^{(n)}|^{p-2} \nabla w^{(n)} \nabla w^{(n)} dxdt \\ & + \int_{Q_T} a(x) |w^{(n)}|^p dxdt + \int_{Q_T} |\nabla w^{(n)}|^{q-2} \nabla w^{(n)} \nabla w^{(n)} dxdt \\ & + \int_{Q_T} b(x) |w^{(n)}|^q dxdt \\ & = \int_{Q_T} f(w^{(n)}) w^{(n)} dxdt + \int_{Q_T} g w^{(n)} dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from the above inequality, it is easy to obtain the results

$$\| |\nabla w^{(n)}|^{p-2} \nabla w^{(n)} \|_{L^{p'}(Q_T)} \leq C \text{ and } \| |\nabla w^{(n)}|^{q-2} \nabla w^{(n)} \|_{L^{q'}(Q_T)} \leq C.$$

□

Definition 3.6. ([17]) The second kind of approximate solution is defined as follows:

$$u^{(n)}(x, t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_k(t) [\lambda_k(t) u_k(x) + (1 - \lambda_k(t)) u_{k-1}(x)], \quad (3.8)$$

$$\text{where } \lambda_k(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{h} - (k-1), & t \in ((k-1)h, kh], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.7. Let $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $f(\cdot) \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the following estimate

$$\left\| \frac{\partial u^{(n)}}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^{p'}(0,T;W^{-1,p'}(\Omega))} + \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq C, \quad (3.9)$$

holds, for $u^{(n)}$ in (3.8).

Proof. Differentiating the second kind of approximate solution with respect to t , we get

$$\frac{\partial u^{(n)}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_k(u_k(x) - u_{k-1}(x)). \quad (3.10)$$

Substituting (3.10) in (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle \frac{\partial u^{(n)}}{\partial t}, \phi \right\rangle \\
&= - \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_k(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^{p-2} \nabla u_k \nabla \phi dx - \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_k(t) \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_k|^{p-2} u_k \phi dx \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_k(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^{q-2} \nabla u_k \nabla \phi dx - \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_k(t) \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_k|^{q-2} u_k \phi dx \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_k(t) \int_{\Omega} f(u_{k-1}) \phi dx + \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_k(t) \int_{\Omega} g \phi dx.
\end{aligned}$$

For any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, from the Lemma 3.5, we get

$$\left\| \frac{\partial u^{(n)}}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^{p'}(0,T;W^{-1,p'}(\Omega))} \leq C.$$

Then, from the definition of the second kind of approximate solution $u^{(n)}$ and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^r(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^r \\
&\leq C^r \int_0^T \left(\int_{\Omega} |u^{(n)}|^2 dx \right)^{r/2} dt \\
&= C^r \int_0^T \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_k(t) [\lambda_k(t) u_k(x) + (1 - \lambda_k(t)) u_{k-1}(x)] \right|^2 dx \right)^{r/2} dt \\
&= C^r \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{(k-1)h}^{kh} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| [\lambda_k(t) u_k(x) + (1 - \lambda_k(t)) u_{k-1}(x)] \right|^2 dx \right)^{r/2} dt \\
&\leq C^r \sum_{k=1}^n h \left(\int_{\Omega} (|u_k(x)|^2 + |u_{k-1}(x)|^2) dx \right)^{r/2} \\
&\leq C^{r+\frac{r}{2}} T,
\end{aligned}$$

where $C > 0$ is independent of $r > 1$. Therefore one can have

$$\|u^{(n)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^r(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq C.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3.2. By the Lemma 3.5, there exists a subsequence of $w^{(n)}$ (which is also denoted by $w^{(n)}$), $\zeta \in L^{p'}(Q_T) \cap L^{q'}(Q_T)$ such that

$$w^{(n)} \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly* in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$$

and

$$|\nabla w^{(n)}|^{p-2} \nabla w^{(n)} + |\nabla w^{(n)}|^{q-2} \nabla w^{(n)} \rightharpoonup \zeta \text{ weakly in } L^{p'}(Q_T) \cap L^q(Q_T),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From the Lemma 3.7, we can find an integer $s > 0$ such that $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow H^{-s}(\Omega)$ (for more details see [18]) and from Aubin's type lemma with the compact imbedding $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow H^{-s}(\Omega)$, we can conclude that there exists a subsequence of $u^{(n)}$ (which is also denoted by $u^{(n)}$) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u^{(n)}}{\partial t} &\rightharpoonup \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \text{ weakly in } L^{p'}(0, T; W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)), \\ u^{(n)} &\rightharpoonup \rho \text{ weakly* in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \\ u^{(n)} &\rightarrow \rho \text{ strongly in } C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)), \text{ and} \\ u^{(n)} &\rightarrow \rho \text{ a.e in } Q_T, \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Further, from the definitions (3.6) and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{Q_T} (w^{(n)} - u^{(n)}) \phi dx dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{Q_T} \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_k(t) (1 - \lambda_k(t)) (u_k - u_{k-1}) \phi dx dt \right| \\ &\leq h \int_0^T \left(\left| \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w^{(n)}|^{p-2} \nabla w^{(n)} \nabla \phi dx \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega} a(x) |w^{(n)}|^{p-2} w^{(n)} \phi dx \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w^{(n)}|^{q-2} \nabla w^{(n)} \nabla \phi dx \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega} b(x) |w^{(n)}|^{q-2} w^{(n)} \phi dx \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \int_{\Omega} f(w^{(n)}) \phi dx \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega} f(u_o) \phi dx \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega} g \phi dx \right| \right) dt \end{aligned}$$

for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(Q_T)$. From Lemma 3.5, we get

$$\left| \int_{Q_T} (w^{(n)} - u^{(n)}) \phi dx dt \right| \leq Ch \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This shows that $\rho = u$ a.e in Q_T . Therefore $f(w^{(n)}) \rightarrow f(u)$ a.e in Q_T due to the continuity of f . Also we have $\|f(w^{(n)})\|_{L^{p'}(Q_T)} < \infty$, by Lemma 3.5, so we get

$$f(w^{(n)}) \rightharpoonup f(u) \text{ weakly in } L^{p'}(Q_T).$$

From (3.10) and (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left\langle \frac{\partial u^{(n)}}{\partial t}, \phi \right\rangle dt \\ & \leq - \int_{Q_T} |\nabla w^{(n)}|^{p-2} \nabla w^{(n)} \nabla \phi dx dt - \int_{Q_T} a(x) |w^{(n)}|^{p-2} w^{(n)} \phi dx dt \\ & \quad - \int_{Q_T} |\nabla w^{(n)}|^{q-2} \nabla w^{(n)} \nabla \phi dx dt - \int_{Q_T} b(x) |w^{(n)}|^{q-2} w^{(n)} \phi dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_{Q_T} (f(w^{(n)}) + g) \phi dx dt + h \int_{\Omega} f(u_0) \phi dx - \int_{(n-1)h}^T \int_{\Omega} f(u_n) \phi dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(Q_T)$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \left\langle \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \phi \right\rangle dt & = - \int_{Q_T} \zeta \nabla \phi dx dt - \int_{Q_T} a(x) |u|^{p-2} u \phi dx dt \\ & \quad - \int_{Q_T} b(x) |u|^{q-2} u \phi dx dt + \int_{Q_T} f(u) \phi dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_{Q_T} g \phi dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Next we will show that $\zeta = |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u + |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u$. For that take $\phi = u$ as the test function in (3.4), to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h} \int_{\Omega} (u_k - u_{k-1}) u dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^{p-2} \nabla u_k \nabla u dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u_k|^{p-2} u_k u dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^{q-2} \nabla u_k \nabla u dx + \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_k|^{q-2} u_k u dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega} f(u_{k-1}) u dx + \int_{\Omega} g u dx. \end{aligned}$$

Multiply by $\chi_k(t)$, take summation on both sides over the limits $k = 1$ to n and use the definition of $w^{(n)}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h} \int_{Q_T} (w^{(n)} - u_0) u dx dt + \int_{Q_T} |\nabla w^{(n)}|^{p-2} \nabla w^{(n)} \nabla u dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_{Q_T} a(x) |w^{(n)}|^{p-2} w^{(n)} u dx dt + \int_{Q_T} |\nabla w^{(n)}|^{q-2} \nabla w^{(n)} \nabla u dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_{Q_T} b(x) |w^{(n)}|^{q-2} w^{(n)} u dx dt \\ & = \int_{Q_T} f(w^{(n)}) u dx dt + h \int_{\Omega} f(u_0) u_0 dx \end{aligned}$$

$$- \int_{(n-1)h}^T \int_{\Omega} f(u_n)u_n dxdt + \int_{Q_T} g u dxdt. \quad (3.11)$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u(x, T)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u_0|^2 dx + \int_{Q_T} \zeta \nabla u dxdt \\ & + \int_{Q_T} a(x)|u|^p dxdt + \int_{Q_T} b(x)|u|^q dxdt \\ & = \int_{Q_T} f(u)u dxdt + \int_{Q_T} g u dxdt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Putting $u = w^{(n)}$ in (3.11), one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |w^{(n)}(x, T)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u_0|^2 dx + \int_{Q_T} |\nabla w^{(n)}|^p dxdt \\ & + \int_{Q_T} a(x)|w^{(n)}|^p dxdt + \int_{Q_T} |\nabla w^{(n)}|^q dxdt + \int_{Q_T} b(x)|w^{(n)}|^q dxdt \\ & = \int_{Q_T} (f(w^{(n)}) + g)w^{(n)} dxdt + h \int_{\Omega} f(u_0)u_0 dx \\ & - \int_{(n-1)h}^T \int_{\Omega} f(u_n)u_n dxdt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Consider the elementary inequality [7] as follows:

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left[(|\alpha|^{p-2}\alpha - |\beta|^{p-2}\beta)(\alpha - \beta) + (|\gamma|^{q-2}\gamma - |\delta|^{q-2}\delta)(\gamma - \delta) \right] dxdt \geq 0,$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

In the above inequality, substitute $\alpha = \gamma = \nabla w^{(n)}$ and $\beta = \delta = \nabla(u - \epsilon\phi)$, to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_T} (|\nabla w^{(n)}|^{p-2}\nabla w^{(n)} - |\nabla(u - \epsilon\phi)|^{p-2}\nabla(u - \epsilon\phi))(\nabla w^{(n)} - \nabla(u - \epsilon\phi)) \\ & + (|\nabla w^{(n)}|^{q-2}\nabla w^{(n)} - |\nabla(u - \epsilon\phi)|^{q-2}\nabla(u - \epsilon\phi))(\nabla w^{(n)} - \nabla(u - \epsilon\phi)) dxdt \\ & \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Further, doing simple calculations and using (3.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |w^{(n)}(x, T)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u_0|^2 dx - \int_{Q_T} a(x) |w^{(n)}|^p dx dt \\
& - \int_{Q_T} b(x) |w^{(n)}|^q dx dt + \int_{Q_T} f(w^{(n)}) w^{(n)} dx dt + h \int_{\Omega} f(u_0) u_0 dx \\
& - \int_{(n-1)h}^T \int_{\Omega} f(u_n) u_n dx dt + \int_{Q_T} g w^{(n)} dx dt \\
& - \int_{Q_T} (|\nabla(u - \epsilon\phi)|^{p-2} \nabla(u - \epsilon\phi) + |\nabla(u - \epsilon\phi)|^{q-2} \nabla(u - \epsilon\phi)) \nabla w^{(n)} dx dt \\
& + \int_{Q_T} |\nabla(u - \epsilon\phi)|^p dx dt + \int_{Q_T} |\nabla(u - \epsilon\phi)|^q dx dt \\
& - \int_{Q_T} (|\nabla w^{(n)}|^{p-2} \nabla w^{(n)} + |\nabla w^{(n)}|^{q-2} \nabla w^{(n)}) \nabla(u - \epsilon\phi) dx dt \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, using (3.12) and simple calculation lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{Q_T} \zeta \nabla u dx dt - \int_{Q_T} |\nabla(u - \epsilon\phi)|^p dx dt - \int_{Q_T} |\nabla(u - \epsilon\phi)|^q dx dt \\
& + \int_{Q_T} |\nabla(u - \epsilon\phi)|^p dx dt + \int_{Q_T} |\nabla(u - \epsilon\phi)|^q dx dt \\
& + \epsilon \int_{Q_T} |\nabla(u - \epsilon\phi)|^{p-2} \nabla(u - \epsilon\phi) \nabla \phi dx dt + \int_{Q_T} \zeta \nabla u dx dt \\
& + \epsilon \int_{Q_T} |\nabla(u - \epsilon\phi)|^{q-2} \nabla(u - \epsilon\phi) \nabla \phi dx dt - \epsilon \int_{Q_T} \zeta \nabla \phi dx dt \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking ϵ sufficiently small and simplifying, we get

$$\int_{Q_T} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u + |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u - \zeta) \nabla \phi dx dt \leq 0.$$

For any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(Q_T)$, we get

$$|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u + |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u = \zeta \text{ a.e in } Q_T.$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] G.A. Afrouzi, S. Mahdavi and Z. Naghizadeh, *Existence of multiple solutions for a class of p - q Laplacian systems*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **72** (2010), 2243-2250.
- [2] G.A. Afrouzi and M. Mirzapour, *Existence results for a class of p - q Laplacian systems*, *Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control*, **15** (2010), 397-403.

- [3] G.A. Afrouzi and S.H. Rasouli, *A remark on the existence of multiple solutions to a multiparameter nonlinear elliptic system*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **71** (2009), 445-455.
- [4] C.O. Alves and D.G. Figureiredo, *Nonvariational elliptic systems via Galerkin methods, function spaces, differential operators and nonlinear analysis*, Birkhauser Berlag Base, **1** (2003), 475-489.
- [5] V. Bhuvaneswari, L. Shangerganesh and K. Balachandran, *Weak solutions for p -Laplacian equation*, *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.*, in press.
- [6] L. Cherfils and Y. Il'yasov, *On the stationary solutions of generalized reaction diffusion equation with p - q Laplacian*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Anal.*, **4** (2005), 9-22.
- [7] E. DiBenedetto, *Degenerate Parabolic Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [8] L.C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1998.
- [9] G.M. Figureiredo, *Existence of positive solutions for a class of p & q elliptic problems with critical growth on \mathbb{R}^n* , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **378** (2011), 507-518.
- [10] C. He and G. Li, *The existence of a nontrivial solution to the p - q Laplacian problem with nonlinearity asymptotic to u^{p-1} at infinity in \mathbb{R}^n* , *Nonlinear Anal.*, **68** (2008), 1100-1119.
- [11] C. Li and C.-L. Tang, *Three solutions for a class of quasilinear elliptic systems involving the p - q Laplacian*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **69** (2008), 3322-3329.
- [12] G. Li and X. Liang, *The existence of nontrivial solutions to nonlinear elliptic equation of p - q Laplacian type on \mathbb{R}^n* , *Nonlinear Anal.*, **71** (2009), 2316-2334.
- [13] G. Li and G. Zhang, *Multiple solutions for the p & q Laplacian problem with critical exponent*, *Acta Math. Sci.*, **29** (2009), 903-918.
- [14] L. Li and C.-L. Tang, *Existence of three solutions for p - q biharmonic systems*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **73** (2010), 796-805.
- [15] S.H. Rasouli, Z. Halimi and Z. Mashhadban, *A remark on the existence of positive weak solution for a class of p - q Laplacian nonlinear system with sign-changing weight*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **73** (2010), 385-389.
- [16] M. Renardy and R.C. Rogers, *An Introduction to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.
- [17] B. Liang and S. Zheng, *Existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions to a nonlinear parabolic equation of fourth order*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **348** (2008), 234-243.
- [18] M. Xu and S. Zhou, *Existence and uniqueness of weak solutions for a generalized thin film equation*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **60** (2005), 755-774.