

DOUBLE GAI SEQUENCE SPACES DEFINED BY A SEQUENCE OF MODULUS FUNCTIONS

Kuldip Raj¹, Seema Jamwal² and Sunil K. Sharma³

^{1,2}School of Mathematics
Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University
Katra-182320, J&K, INDIA
e-mail: kuldeepraj68@rediffmail.com

³Department of Mathematics
Model Institute of Engineering & Technology
Jammu-181122, J&K, INDIA
e-mail: sunilksharma42@yahoo.co.in

Abstract. In the present paper we introduce some double gai sequence spaces defined by a sequence of modulus functions $F = (f_{kl})$. We also study some topological properties and prove some inclusion relations between these spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

The initial work on double sequences is found in Bromwich [6]. Later on, it was studied by Hardy [8], Moricz [12], Moricz and Rhoades [13], Tripathy [28, 29], Başarır and Sonalcan [4] and many others. Hardy [8] introduced the notion of regular convergence for double sequences. Quite recently, Zeltser [31] in her Ph.D thesis has essentially studied both the theory of topological double sequence spaces and the theory of summability of double sequences. Mursaleen and Edely [16] have recently introduced the statistical convergence and Cauchy convergence for double sequences and given the relation between statistical convergent and strongly Cesaro summable double sequences. Nextly, Mursaleen [15] and Mursaleen and Edely [17] have defined the almost strong regularity of matrices for double sequences and applied these

⁰Received October 11, 2012. Revised January 25, 2013.

⁰2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 40A05, 40C05, 40D05.

⁰Keywords: Double sequence, difference sequence space, modulus function, solid, monotone.

matrices to establish a core theorem and introduced the M -core for double sequences and determined those four dimensional matrices transforming every bounded double sequences $x = (x_{kl})$ into one whose core is a subset of the M -core of x . By the convergence of a double sequence we mean the convergence in the Pringsheim sense i.e. a double sequence $x = (x_{kl})$ has Pringsheim limit L (denoted by $P - \lim x = L$) provided that given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_{kl} - L| < \epsilon$ whenever $k, l > n$ see [17]. We shall write more briefly as P -convergent. The four dimensional matrix transformation $(Ax)_{kl} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{kl}^{mn} x_{mn}$ was studied extensively by Robison [22]. In their work and throughout this paper, the four dimensional matrices and double sequences have real-valued entries unless specified otherwise. The double sequence $x = (x_{kl})$ is bounded if there exists a positive number M such that $|x_{kl}| < M$ for all k and l .

The notion of difference sequence spaces was introduced by Kızmaz [10], who studied the difference sequence spaces $l_{\infty}(\Delta)$, $c(\Delta)$ and $c_0(\Delta)$. The notion was further generalized by Et. and Çolak [7] by introducing the spaces $l_{\infty}(\Delta^n)$, $c(\Delta^n)$ and $c_0(\Delta^n)$. Let w be the space of all complex or real sequences $x = (x_k)$ and let r be non-negative integer, then for $Z = l_{\infty}, c, c_0$ we have sequence spaces

$$Z(\Delta^r) = \{x = (x_k) \in w : (\Delta^r x_k) \in Z\},$$

where $\Delta^r x = (\Delta^r x_k) = (\Delta^{r-1} x_k - \Delta^{r-1} x_{k+1})$ and $\Delta^0 x_k = x_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, which is equivalent to the following binomial representation

$$\Delta^r x_k = \sum_{w=0}^r (-1)^w \binom{r}{w} x_{k+w}.$$

Taking $r = 1$, we get the spaces which were introduced and studied by Kızmaz [10].

A modulus function is a function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

- (1) $f(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$,
- (2) $f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$,
- (3) f is increasing,
- (4) f is continuous from right at 0.

It follows that f must be continuous everywhere on $[0, \infty)$. The modulus function may be bounded or unbounded. For example, if we take $f(x) = \frac{x}{x+1}$, then $f(x)$ is bounded. If $f(x) = x^p, 0 < p < 1$, then the modulus $f(x)$ is unbounded. For more details about modulus function and sequence spaces we may refer to [2, 3, 11, 14, 19, 20, 21] and references therein.

Let X be a linear metric space. A function $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called paranorm, if

- (1) $p(x) \geq 0$, for all $x \in X$,
- (2) $p(-x) = p(x)$, for all $x \in X$,
- (3) $p(x + y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$, for all $x, y \in X$,
- (4) if (λ_n) is a sequence of scalars with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and (x_n) is a sequence of vectors with $p(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $p(\lambda_n x_n - \lambda x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

A paranorm p for which $p(x) = 0$ implies $x = 0$ is called total paranorm and the pair (X, p) is called a total paranormed space. It is well known that the metric of any linear metric space is given by some total paranorm (see [30] Theorem 10.4.2, P-183).

Definition 1.1. Let p, q be semi norms on a vector space X . Then p is said to be stronger than q if whenever (x_{mn}) is a sequence such that $p(x_{mn}) \rightarrow 0$, then $q(x_{mn}) \rightarrow 0$ also. If each is stronger than the others then p and q are said to be equivalent.

Lemma 1.2. Let p and q be semi norms on a linear space X . Then p is stronger than q if and only if there exists a constant M such that $q(x) \leq Mp(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 1.3. A sequence E is said to be solid or normal if $\alpha_{mn} x_{mn} \in E$ whenever $x_{mn} \in E$ and for all sequence of scalars α_{mn} with $|\alpha_{mn}| \leq 1$, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 1.4. A sequence space E is said to be monotone if it contains the canonical pre-images of all its step spaces.

Remark 1.5. From the above two definitions it is clear that a sequence space E is solid implies that E is monotone.

By the double gai sequence we mean the gai on the Pringsheim sense that is, a double sequence $x = (x_{mn}) \in E$ has Pringsheim limit 0 (denoted by $P - \lim x = 0$) if $((m+n)!|x_{mn}|)^{\frac{1}{m+n}} \rightarrow 0$, whenever $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. We shall denote the space of all P-gai sequences by χ^2 . The double sequence x is analytic if there exists a positive number M such that $|x_{mn}|^{\frac{1}{m+n}} < M$ for all m, n . We will denote the set of all analytic double sequences by Λ^2 .

Definition 1.6. Let $A = (a_{kl}^{mn})$ denote a four dimensional summability method that maps the complex double sequences x into the double sequences

Ax where the (k, l) term of Ax is as follows:

$$(Ax)_{kl} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) x^{mn}$$

such transformation is said to be non negative if (a_{kl}^{mn}) is non-negative.

The notion of regularity for two dimensional matrix transformations was presented by Silverman [26] and Toeplitz [27]. Follows Silverman and Toeplitz presented the following four dimensional analog of regularity for double sequences in which they both added an additional assumption of boundedness. This assumption was made because a double sequence which is P -convergent is not necessarily bounded.

Definition 1.7. The four dimensional matrix A is said to be RH -regular if it maps every bounded P -gai sequence into a P -gai sequence with the same P -limit.

In addition to this definition, Robison and Hamilton also presented the following Silverman-Toeplitz type multidimensional characterization of regularity in [21] and [9] respectively.

Theorem 1.8. *The four dimensional matrix A is RH -regular if and only if*

$$RH_1 : P - \lim_{k,l} a_{kl}^{mn} = 0 \text{ for each } m \text{ and } n;$$

$$RH_2 : P - \lim_{k,l} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{kl}^{mn} = 1;$$

$$RH_3 : P - \lim_{k,l} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |a_{kl}^{mn}| = 0 \text{ for each } n;$$

$$RH_4 : P - \lim_{k,l} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{kl}^{mn}| = 0 \text{ for each } m;$$

$$RH_5 : \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{kl}^{mn} \text{ is } P\text{-convergent; and}$$

$$RH_6 : \text{there exist positive numbers } M \text{ and } N \text{ such that } \sum_{m,n > N} |(a_{kl}^{mn})_{k,l}| < M.$$

Definition 1.9. A double sequence (x_{mn}) of complex numbers is said to be strongly A -summable to 0, if

$$P - \lim_{k,l} \sum_{mn} (a_{kl}^{mn}) ((m+n)! |x_{mn} - 0|)^{\frac{1}{m+n}} = 0.$$

Let σ be a one to one mapping of the set of positive integers into itself such that $\sigma^m(n) = \sigma(\sigma^{m-1}(n)), m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. A continuous linear functional ϕ is said to be an invariant mean or a σ -mean if and only if

(1) $\phi(x) \geq 0$ when the sequence $x = (x_{mn})$ has $x_{mn} \geq 0$ for all m, n .

(2) $\phi(e) = 1$, where $e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and

(3) $\phi(x_{\sigma(m)}, \sigma(n)) = \phi(x_{\sigma(m)})$ for all $x \in \Lambda^2$.

For certain kinds of mapping σ , every invariant mean ϕ extends the limit functional on the space C of all real convergent sequences in the sense that $\phi(x) = \lim x$ for all $x \in C$ consequently $C \subset V_\sigma$, where V_σ is the set of double analytic sequences for which σ -means are equal. If $x = (x_{mn})$, set

$$Tx = (Tx)^{\frac{1}{m+n}} = (x_{\sigma(m), \sigma(n)}).$$

It can be shown that

$$V_\sigma = \left\{ x \in \Lambda^2 : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t_{mn}(x_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = Le \text{ uniformly in } n, L = \sigma - \lim (x_{mn})^{\frac{1}{m+n}} \right\}$$

where

$$t_{mn}(x) = \frac{(x_n + Tx_n + \dots + T^m x_n)^{\frac{1}{m+n}}}{m+1}, \tag{1.1}$$

we say that a double analytic sequence $x = (x_{mn})$ is σ -convergent if and only if $x \in V_\sigma$.

Definition 1.10. A double analytic sequence $x = (x_{mn})$ of real numbers is said to be σ -convergent to zero provided that

$$P - \lim_{p,q} \frac{1}{pq} \sum_{m=1}^p \sum_{n=1}^q |x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^m(l)}|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^m(l)}} = 0,$$

uniformly in (k, l) .

In this case we write $\sigma_2 - \lim x = 0$. We shall also denote the set of all double σ -convergent sequences by V_σ^2 . Clearly $V_\sigma^2 \subset \Lambda^2$. One can see that in contrast to the case for single sequences, a P -convergent double sequence need not be σ -convergent. But, it is easy to see that every bounded P -convergent double sequence is convergent. In addition, if we let $\sigma(m) = m + 1$, and $\sigma(n) = n + 1$, in then σ -convergence of double sequences reduces to the almost convergence of double sequences.

The following inequality will be used throughout the paper. Let $p = (p_{mn})$ be a sequence of positive real numbers with $0 < p = (p_{mn}) < \sup p_{mn} = G$ and $D = \max(1, 2^{G-1})$. Then for $a_{mn}, b_{mn} \in \mathbb{C}$, the set of complex numbers and for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$|a_{mn} + b_{mn}|^{\frac{1}{m+n}} \leq D \left\{ |a_{mn}|^{\frac{1}{m+n}} + |b_{mn}|^{\frac{1}{m+n}} \right\}.$$

Let $F = (f_{kl})$ be a sequence of modulus functions and $A = (a_{kl}^{mn})$ be a non-negative RH-regular summability matrix method. Now, we define the following sequence spaces in this paper:

$$\chi^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r) = \left\{ x \in \chi^2 : P - \lim_{kl} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right] = 0 \right\}$$

and

$$\Lambda^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r) = \left\{ x \in \Lambda^2 : \sup_{kl} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(|u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right] < \infty \right\}.$$

If $f_{kl}(x) = x$, for all k, l then the sequence spaces defined above reduced to the following spaces:

$$\chi^2(A, u, \Delta^r) = \left\{ x \in \chi^2 : P - \lim_{kl} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} = 0 \right\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \Lambda^2(A, u, \Delta^r) \\ &= \left\{ x \in \Lambda^2 : \sup_{kl} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left(|u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $A = (C, 1, 1)$, the sequence space defined above reduced to following spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^2(F, u, \Delta^r) &= \left\{ x \in \chi^2 : P - \lim_{kl} \frac{1}{kl} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \sum_{n=0}^{l-1} \left[f_{kl} \left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right] = 0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \Lambda^2(F, u, \Delta^r) \\ &= \left\{ x \in \Lambda^2 : \sup_{kl} \frac{1}{kl} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \sum_{n=0}^{l-1} \left[f_{kl} \left(|u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right] < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $A = (C, 1, 1)$ and $f_{kl}(x) = x$, for all k, l we obtain the following spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^2(u, \Delta^r) = \left\{ x \in \chi^2 : P - \lim_{kl} \frac{1}{kl} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \sum_{n=0}^{l-1} \right. \\ \left. \left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} = 0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Lambda^2(u, \Delta^r) = \left\{ x \in \Lambda^2 : \sup_{kl} \frac{1}{kl} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \sum_{n=0}^{l-1} \left(|u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} < \infty \right\}.$$

The main purpose of this paper is to establish some new types of double P -gai sequence spaces defined by a sequence of modulus functions. We also make an efforts to study some topological properties and inclusion relations between $\chi^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r)$ and $\Lambda^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r)$ spaces in the second section of this paper.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. *Let $A = (a_{kl}^{mn})$ be a non-negative matrix, $F = (f_{kl})$ be a sequence of modulus functions and $u = (u_{kl})$ be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers. Then the spaces $\chi^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r)$ and $\Lambda^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r)$ are linear spaces over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} .*

Proof. Let $x, y \in \chi^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r)$ and for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ there exist integers M_α and N_β such that $|\alpha| < M_\alpha$ and $|\beta| < N_\beta$. Since $F = (f_{kl})$ is a sequence of modulus functions, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \\ & \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |\alpha u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)} + \beta u_{kl} \Delta^r y_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq M_\alpha \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] \\ &+ N_\beta \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r y_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\alpha x + \beta y \in \chi^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r)$ for all k, l . Hence $\chi^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r)$ is a linear space. Similarly we can prove that $\Lambda^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r)$ is a linear space. \square

Theorem 2.2. Let $A = (a_{kl}^{mn})$ be a non-negative matrix, $F = (f_{kl})$ be a sequence of modulus functions and $u = (u_{kl})$ be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers. Then the space $\chi^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r)$ is a complete linear topological space with the paranorm defined by

$$g(x) = \sup_{kl} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) f_{kl} \left(|u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}}.$$

Proof. Let $x \in \chi^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r)$. Then $g(x)$ exists. Clearly, $g(\theta) = 0$, where $\theta = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$, $g(-x) = g(x)$ and $g(x + y) \leq g(x) + g(y)$. Now we show that the scalar multiplication is continuous. We have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda x) &= \sup_{kl} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) f_{kl} \left(|\lambda u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \\ &\leq (1 + [\lambda])g(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $[\lambda]^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}}$ denotes the integral part of $|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}}$. In addition observe that $g(x)$ and λ approaches to 0 implies $g(\lambda x)$ approaches to 0. For fixed λ , if x approaches to 0 then $g(\lambda x)$ approaches to 0. We now show that for a fixed x , $g(\lambda x)$ approaches to 0 whenever λ approaches to 0. Since $x \in \chi^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r)$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} &P - \lim_{kl} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k)+\sigma^n(l)}} < 1$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |\lambda| |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k)+\sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] \\ & \leq \sum_{m \leq M} \sum_{n \leq M} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |\lambda| |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k)+\sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] \\ & \quad + \sum_{m \geq M} \sum_{n \geq M} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |\lambda| |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k)+\sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose N such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k)+\sigma^n(l)}} \right) \\ & < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

for $k, l > N$. Also for each k, l with $1 \leq k, l \leq N$, we have

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k)+\sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] < \infty,$$

so that there exist an integer $(M_{k,l})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m > M_{k,l}} \sum_{n > M_{k,l}} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k)+\sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] \\ & < \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking

$$M = \inf_{1 \leq k \leq N \text{ (or) } 1 \leq l \leq N} \{M_{k,l}\}.$$

We have for each (k, l) with $1 \leq k \leq N$ (or) $1 \leq l \leq N$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m > M} \sum_{n > M} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k)+\sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] \\ & < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

for $k, l > N$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m > M} \sum_{n > M} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k)+\sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] \\ & < \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus M is an integer independent of (k, l) such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m>M} \sum_{n>M} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] \\ & < \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Further for $|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} < 1$ and for all (k, l)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |\lambda u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] \\ & \leq \sum_{m>M} \sum_{n>M} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |\lambda u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] \\ & \quad + \sum_{m \leq M} \sum_{n \leq M} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |\lambda u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

For each (k, l) and $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, we have the following

$$\sum_{m \leq M} \sum_{n \leq M} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |\lambda u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right].$$

Now choose $\delta < 1$ such that $|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} < \delta$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m \leq M} \sum_{n \leq M} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |\lambda u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] \\ & < \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |\lambda u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] < \epsilon$$

for all (k, l) . Thus $g(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. Therefore $\chi^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r)$ is a para-normed linear topological space.

Now let us show that $\chi^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r)$ is complete with respect to its para-normed topologies. Let (x_{mn}^i) be a sequence in $\chi^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r)$. Then, we

write $g(x^i - x^j) \rightarrow 0$ as $i, j \rightarrow \infty$, for all (k, l)

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}^i - \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}^j| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Thus for each fixed m and n as $i, j \rightarrow \infty$ we are granted

$$f_{kl} \left((m+n)! |x_{mn}^i - x_{mn}^j| \right) \rightarrow 0$$

and so (x_{mn}^i) is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{C} for each fixed m and n . Since \mathbb{C} is complete we have $x_{mn}^i \rightarrow x_{mn}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ for each (mn) . Now we have for $\epsilon > 0$ there exist a natural number N such that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} (\Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}^i - \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}^j)| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] < \epsilon$$

for (k, l) . Since for any fixed natural number M , we have from (2.1)

$$\sum_{m \leq Mn \leq M} \sum_{i, j > N} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}^i - \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}^j| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] < \epsilon$$

for all (k, l) , by letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ in the above expression we obtain

$$\sum_{m \leq Mn \leq M} \sum_{i > N} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}^i - \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] < \epsilon.$$

Since M is arbitrary. By letting $M \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} (\Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}^i - \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}|) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] < \epsilon$$

for all (k, l) . Thus $g(x^i - x) \rightarrow 0$ as $i, j \rightarrow 0$. Also (x^i) being a sequence in $\chi^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r)$ by definition of $\chi^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r)$ for each i with

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn})$$

$$\left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}^i - \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] \rightarrow 0$$

as $(k, l) \rightarrow 0$. Thus $x \in \chi^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r)$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.3. Let $A = (a_{kl}^{mn})$ be a non-negative matrix such that

$$\sup_{kl} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) < \infty$$

and let $F = (f_{kl})$ be a sequence of modulus functions, then $\chi^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r) \subset \Lambda^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r)$.

Proof. Let $x \in \chi^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r)$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] \\ & \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)} - L| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] \\ & \quad + f_{kl}(|L|) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}). \end{aligned}$$

There exist an integer N_p such that $|L| \leq N_p$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] \\ & \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)} - L| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] \\ & \quad + N_p f_{kl}(1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sup_{kl} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) < \infty$ and $x \in \chi^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r)$ and this implies that $x \in \Lambda^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r)$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 2.4. Let $A = (a_{kl}^{mn})$ be a non negative matrix such that

$$\sup_{kl} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) < \infty$$

and $F = (f_{kl})$ be a sequence of modulus functions. Then $\Lambda^2(A, u, \Delta^r) \subset \Lambda^2(A, F, u, \Delta^r)$.

Proof. Let $x \in \Lambda^2(A, u, \Delta^r)$, so that

$$\sup_{kl} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} < \infty.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose δ with $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $f(t) < \epsilon$ for $0 \leq t \leq \delta$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(|u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{m=0, n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\infty \\ |\Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \leq \delta}} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(|u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] \\ &+ \sum_{m=0, n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\infty \\ |\Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} > \delta}} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(|u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0, n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\infty \\ |\Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \leq \delta}} (a_{kl}^{mn}) f_{kl} \left(|u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \\ & \leq \epsilon \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

For $|\Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} > \delta$, we use the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} &< \frac{|\Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}}}{\delta} \\ &< \left[1 + \left| \frac{|\Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}}}{\delta} \right| \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $[t]$ denoted the integer part of t and $F = (f_{kl})$ be a sequence of modulus functions we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{kl} \left(\left| \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)} \right|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) &\leq \left[1 + \left| \frac{\Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)} \right|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}}{\delta} \right] f_{kl}(1) \\ &\leq 2f_{kl}(1) \frac{\left| \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)} \right|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}}}{\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=0, n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\Delta^r x_{mn}|^{\frac{1}{m+n}} \leq \delta} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left| u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)} \right|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{2f_{kl}(1)}{\delta} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left| u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)} \right|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Which together with inequality (2.5) yield the following

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(\left| u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)} \right|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right] \\ &\leq \epsilon \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) + \frac{2f_{kl}(1)}{\delta} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left| u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)} \right|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\sup_{kl} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) < \infty$ and hence $x \in \Lambda^2(A, u, F, \Delta^r)$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

3. DOUBLE GAI SEQUENCE SPACES DEFINED BY SEMINORM AND A SEQUENCE OF MODULUS FUNCTIONS

In this section, we shall introduced double P -sequence spaces by using seminorm function q and a sequence of modulus functions $F = (f_{kl})$. We shall also establish some topological properties and inclusion relations between the sequence spaces $\chi^2(A, F, p, q, \Delta^r, u)$ and $\Lambda^2(A, F, p, q, \Delta^r, u)$.

Let (X, q) be a seminormed space over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers with the seminorm q and $F = (f_{kl})$ be a sequence of modulus functions. We define the following sequence spaces in this section :

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^2(A, F, p, q, \Delta^r, u) &= \left\{ x \in \chi^2 : P - \lim_{kl} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left[f_{kl} \left(q \left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)) \left| u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)} \right|^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right)^{p_{mn}} = 0 \right] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Lambda^2(A, F, p, q, \Delta^r, u) = \left\{ x \in \Lambda^2 : \sup_{kl} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(q \left(|u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right]^{p_{mn}} < \infty \right\}.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $F' = f'_{kl}$ and $F'' = f''_{kl}$ be two sequences of modulus functions. Then $\chi^2(A, F', p, q, \Delta^r, u) \cap \chi^2(A, F'', p, q, \Delta^r, u) \subseteq \chi^2(A, F' + F'', p, q, \Delta^r, u)$.*

Proof. The proof is easy so omitted. □

Proposition 3.2. *Let $F = (f_{kl})$ be a sequence of modulus functions q_1 and q_2 be two seminorm on X , we have*

- (i) $\chi^2(A, F, p, q_1, \Delta^r, u) \cap \chi^2(A, F, p, q_2, \Delta^r, u) \subseteq \chi^2(A, F, p, q_1 + q_2, \Delta^r, u)$.
- (ii) *If q_1 is stronger than q_2 then $\chi^2(A, F, p, q_1, \Delta^r, u) \subseteq \chi^2(A, F, p, q_2, \Delta^r, u)$.*
- (iii) *If q_1 is equivalent to q_2 then $\chi^2(A, F, p, q_1, \Delta^r, u) = \chi^2(A, F, p, q_2, \Delta^r, u)$.*

Theorem 3.3. *Let $A = (a_{kl}^{mn})$ be a non-negative matrix, $F = (f_{kl})$ be a sequence of modulus functions, $u = (u_{kl})$ be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers, $0 \leq p_{mn} \leq w_{mn}$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\left\{ \frac{w_{mn}}{p_{mn}} \right\}$ be bounded. Then $\chi^2(A, F, w, q, \Delta^r, u) \subseteq \chi^2(A, F, p, q, \Delta^r, u)$.*

Proof. Suppose $x \in \chi^2(A, F, w, q, \Delta^r, u)$,

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(q \left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right]^{w_{mn}}. \quad (3.1)$$

Let

$$t_{mn} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(q \left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right]^{w_{mn}}, \quad (3.2)$$

we have $\gamma_{mn} = p_{mn}/w_{mn}$. Since $p_{mn} \leq w_{mn}$, we have $0 \leq \gamma_{mn} \leq 1$.

Let $0 < \gamma < \gamma_{mn}$. Then

$$u_{mn} = \begin{cases} t_{mn}, & \text{if } t_{mn} \geq 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } t_{mn} < 1, \end{cases}$$

$$v_{mn} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t_{mn} \geq 1 \\ t_{mn}, & \text{if } t_{mn} < 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

$t_{mn} = u_{mn} + v_{mn}$, $t_{mn}^{\gamma} = u_{mn}^{\gamma} + v_{mn}^{\gamma}$. Now, it follows that

$$u_{mn}^{\gamma} \leq u_{mn} \leq t_{mn}, \quad v_{mn}^{\gamma} \leq v_{mn}. \quad (3.4)$$

Since $t_{mn}^{\gamma} = u_{mn}^{\gamma} + v_{mn}^{\gamma}$, we have $t_{mn}^{\gamma} = t_{mn} + v_{mn}^{\gamma}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(q \left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right)^{w_{mn}} \right]^{\gamma_{mn}} \\ & \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(q \left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right)^{w_{mn}} \right] \\ & \implies \\ & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(q \left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right)^{w_{mn}} \right]^{\frac{p_{mn}}{w_{mn}}} \\ & \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(q \left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right)^{w_{mn}} \right] \\ & \implies \\ & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(q \left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right)^{p_{mn}} \right] \\ & \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(q \left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right)^{w_{mn}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} & P - \lim_{kl} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(q \left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right)^{w_{mn}} \right] \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P - \lim_{kl} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(q \left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right)^{p_{mn}} \right] \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x \in \chi^2(A, F, p, q, \Delta^r, u)$. We get

$$\chi^2(A, F, w, q, \Delta^r, u) \subset \chi^2(A, F, p, q, \Delta^r, u).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 3.4. *The space $\chi^2(A, F, p, q, \Delta^r, u)$ is solid and such are monotones.*

Proof. Let $x = (x_{mn}) \in \chi^2(A, F, p, q, \Delta^r, u)$ and (α_{mn}) be a sequence of scalars such that $|\alpha_{mn}| \leq 1$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(q \left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right]^{p_{mn}} \\ & \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(q \left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right]^{p_{mn}} \\ & \implies \\ & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(q \left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right]^{p_{mn}} \\ & \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{kl}^{mn}) \left[f_{kl} \left(q \left((\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l))! |u_{kl} \Delta^r x_{\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(l)}| \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma^m(k) + \sigma^n(l)}} \right) \right]^{p_{mn}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Altay and F. Başar, *Some new spaces of double sequences*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **309** (2005), 70-90.
- [2] Y. Altin and M. ET, *Generalized difference sequence spaces defined by a modulus function in a locally convex space*, Soochow J. Math., **31** (2005), 233-243.
- [3] H. Altinok, Y. Altin and M. Isik, *The sequence space $Bv_{\sigma}(M, P, Q, S)$ on seminormed spaces*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **39** (2008), 49-58.
- [4] M. Başarır and O. Sonalcan, *On some double sequence spaces*, J. Indian Acad. Math., **21** (1999), 193-200.
- [5] F. Başar and Y. Sever, *The space \mathcal{L}_q of double sequences*, Math. J. Okayama Univ., **51** (2009), 149-157.
- [6] T.J. Bromwich, *An introduction to the theory of infinite series*, Macmillan and co. Ltd., New York (1965).
- [7] M. Et and R. Çolak, *On generalized difference sequence spaces*, Soochow J. Math. **21**(4) (1995), 377-386.
- [8] G.H. Hardy, *On the convergence of certain multiple series*, Proc. Camb. Phil., Soc., **19** (1917), 86-95.
- [9] H.J. Hamilton, *Transformation of multiple sequences*, Duke Math. J., **2** (1936), 29-60.
- [10] H. Kızmaz, *On certain sequence spaces*, Canad. Math-Bull., **24** (1981), 169-176.
- [11] E. Malkowsky and E. Savas, *Some λ -sequence spaces defined by a modulus*, Arch. Math., **36** (2000), 219-228.
- [12] F. Moricz, *Extension of the spaces c and c_0 from single to double sequences*, Acta Math. Hungarica, **57** (1991), 129-136.
- [13] F. Moricz and B.E. Rhoades, *Almost convergence of double sequences and strong regularity of summability matrices*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **104** (1988), 283-294.

- [14] M. Mursaleen, *On some new invariant matrix methods of summability*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, **34** (1983), 77 - 86.
- [15] M. Mursaleen, *Almost strongly regular matrices and a core theorem for double sequences*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **293**(2) (2004), 523-531.
- [16] M. Mursaleen and O.H.H. Edely, *Statistical convergence of double sequences*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **288**(1) (2003), 223-231.
- [17] M. Mursaleen and O.H.H. Edely, *Almost convergence and a core theorem for double sequences*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **293**(2) (2004), 532-540.
- [18] A. Pringsheim, *Zur Theori der zweifach unendlichen Zahlenfolgen*, Math. Ann. **53** (1900), 289-321.
- [19] K. Raj, S.K. Sharma and A.K. Sharma, *Some new sequence spaces defined by a sequence of modulus function in n -normed spaces*, Int. J. Math. Sci. Engg. Appl., **5** (2011), 395-403.
- [20] K. Raj and S.K. Sharma, *Difference sequence spaces defined by sequence of modulus function*, Proyecciones J. Math., **30** (2011), 189-199.
- [21] K. Raj and S.K. Sharma, *Some difference sequence spaces defined by sequence of modulus function*, Int. J. Math. Archive, **2** (2011), 236-240.
- [22] G.M. Robinson, *Divergent double sequences and series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (1926), pp. 50-73.
- [23] T. Salat, *On statistical convergent sequences of real numbers*, Math. Slovaca, **30** (1980), 139-150.
- [24] E. Savas, *On some generalized sequence spaces defined by a modulus*, Indian J. pure and Appl. Math., **30** (1999), 459-464.
- [25] E. Savas, *Strong almost convergence and almost λ -statistical convergence*, Hokkaido Math. J., **29** (2000), 531-566.
- [26] L.L. Silverman, *On the definition of the sum of a divergent series*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Missouri Studies, Mathematics Series, (1913).
- [27] O. Toeplitz, *Über allegenmeine linear Mittelbildungen*, Prace Mat.-Fiz (Warsaw) **22** (1913), 113-119.
- [28] B.C. Tripathy, *Generalized difference paranormed statistically convergent sequences defined by Orlicz function in a locally convex spaces*, Soochow J. Math., **30** (2004), 431-446.
- [29] B.C. Tripathy, *Statistically convergent double sequences*, Tamkang J. Math., **34** (2003), 231-237.
- [30] A. Wilansky, *Summability through Functional Analysis*, North- Holland Math. Stud. **85** (1984).
- [31] M. Zeltser, *Investigation of double sequence spaces by soft and hard analytical methods*, Diss. Math. Univ. Tartu. **25**, Tartu University Press, Univ. of Tartu, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Tartu (2001).