

ON THE SEQUENCE SPACE $l(p, s)$ AND SOME MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS

Neyaz Ahmad Sheikh¹ and Ab. Hamid Ganie²

¹Department of Mathematics
National Institute of Technology Srinagar, India
e-mail: neyaznit@yahoo.co.in

²Department of Mathematics
National Institute of Technology Srinagar, India
e-mail: ashameedg@gmail.com

Abstract. Bulut and Cakar [2] have defined the space $l(p, s)$ and determined some classes of matrix transformations $(l(p, s), l_\infty)$ and $(l(p, s), c)$. The object of this paper is to investigate some further classes of infinite matrices, *i.e.*, $(l(p, s), v^\sigma)$ and $(l(p, s), v_\infty^\sigma)$, where v^σ is the space of all bounded sequences all of whose σ -means are equal, v_∞^σ is the space of σ -bounded sequence.

1. PRELIMINARIES, BACKGROUND AND NOTATION

We denote the set of all sequences (real or complex) by ω . Any subspace of ω is called the sequence space. So the sequence space is the set of scalar sequences (real or complex) which is closed under co-ordinate wise addition and scalar multiplication. Throughout the paper \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} denotes the set of non-negative integers, the set of real numbers and the set of complex numbers, respectively. Let l_∞ , c and c_0 , respectively, denotes the space of all bounded sequences, the space of convergent sequences and the sequences converging to zero. Also, by cs , l_1 and $l(p)$ we denote the spaces of all convergent, absolutely and p -absolutely convergent series, respectively.

Let X, Y be two sequence spaces and let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix of real or complex numbers a_{nk} , where $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, the matrix A defines

⁰Received November 28, 2012. Revised February 4, 2013.

⁰2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 40A05, 40A45, 40C05.

⁰Keywords: Invariant means, infinite matrices, matrix transformations.

⁰Corresponding author: Ab. Hamid Ganie.

the A -transformation from X into Y , if for every sequence $x = (x_k) \in X$ the sequence $Ax = \{(Ax)_n\}$, the A -transform of x exists and is in Y ; where $(Ax)_n = \sum_k a_{nk}x_k$. For simplicity in notation, here and in what follows, the summation without limits runs from 0 to ∞ . By $A \in (X : Y)$ we mean the characterizations of matrices from X to Y i.e., $A : X \rightarrow Y$. A sequence x is said to be A -summable to l if Ax converges to l which is called as the A -limit of x .

Let σ be a mapping of the set of positive integers into itself. A continuous linear functional ϕ on l_∞ is said to be an invariant mean or a σ -mean if and only if

- (i) $\phi(x) \geq 0$, when the sequence $x = (x_n)$ has $x_n \geq 0$ for all n ;
- (ii) $\phi(e) = 1$, where $e = \{1, 1, 1, \dots\}$; and
- (iii) $\phi(x_{\sigma(n)}) = \phi(x)$ for all $x \in l_\infty$.

Through out this paper, we deal only with mappings σ as one to one and are such that $\sigma^m(n) \neq n$, for all positive integers n and m , where $\sigma^m(n)$ denotes the m th iterate of the mapping σ at n . If σ is the translation mapping $n \rightarrow n + 1$, a σ mean is often called a Banach limit (see, [1, 3-5]). If $x = (x_n)$, write $Tx = (Tx_n) = (x_{\sigma(n)})$. It can be shown (see, [12]) that

$$v^\sigma = \left\{ x \in l_\infty : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t_{mn}(x) = L \text{ uniformly in } n, L = \sigma - \lim x \right\},$$

where,

$$t_{mn}(x) = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^m T^j x_n, \quad T^j x_n = x_{\sigma^j(n)}, \quad t_{-1,n}(x) = 0.$$

We define v_∞^σ the space of σ -bounded sequences (see [9]) in the following:

$$v_\infty^\sigma = \{x \in w : \sup_{m,n} |\phi_{m,n}(x)| < \infty\},$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{m,n}(x) &= t_{m,n}(x) - t_{m-1,n}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{m(m+1)} \sum_{j=1}^m j(T^j x_n - T^{j-1} x_n). \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

If $\sigma(n) = n + 1$, then v_∞^σ is the set of almost bounded sequences f_∞ (see, [3, 8, 10-14]). The approach of constructing a new sequence space by means of matrix domain of a particular limitation method has been studied by several authors viz., (see, [1, 2, 7-9, 13]). In [2], Bulut and Cakar have defined the space

$l(p, s)$ and characterized the classes $(l(p, s), l_\infty)$ and $(l(p, s), c)$. The object of this paper is to characterize the classes of matrices $(l(p, s), v^\sigma)$ and $(l(p, s), v_\infty^\sigma)$, where the space $l(p, s)$ is defined as follows:

$$l(p, s) = \left\{ x : \sum_k k^{-s} |x_k|^{p_k} < \infty, s \geq 0 \right\},$$

which is paranormed by

$$g(x) = \left(\sum_k k^{-s} |x_k|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M},$$

where $M = \max(1, \sup_k p_k)$. Further, $l(p, s)$ is a metric space with the metric defined by $d(x, y) = g(x - y)$. The Köthe-Toeplitz dual is the set

$$M(p, s) = \left\{ a = (a_k) : \sum_k k^{s(q_k-1)} N^{-\frac{q_k}{p_k}} |a_k|^{q_k} < \infty, s \geq 0, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some integer } N > 1 \right\}.$$

2. SOME MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS

We note that, if Ax is defined, then it follows from (1.1) that, for all integers $n, m \geq 0$

$$\phi_{m,n}(Ax) = \sum_k \alpha(n, k, m) x_k,$$

where

$$\alpha(n, k, m) = \frac{1}{m(m+1)} \sum_{j=1}^m j \{ a(\sigma^j(n), k) - a(\sigma^{j-1}(n), k) \}.$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let $1 < p_k \leq \sup_k p_k = H < \infty$ for every k , then $A \in (l(p, s), v_\infty^\sigma)$ if and only if there exists an integer $N > 1$ such that*

$$\sup_{m,n} \sum_k |\alpha(n, k, m)|^{q_k} k^{s(q_k-1)} N^{-q_k} < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Necessity: Let $A \in (l(p, s), v_\infty^\sigma)$ and that $x \in l(p, s)$. Put

$$q_n(x) = \sup_m |\phi_{mn}(Ax)|.$$

For $n > 0$, q_n is continuous semi-norm on $l(p, s)$ and (q_n) is pointwise bounded on $l(p, s)$. Suppose that (2.1) is not true. Then there exists $x \in l(p, s)$ with

$$\sup_n q_n(x) = \infty.$$

By the principle of condensation of singularities (see, [15]), the set

$$\left\{ x \in l(p, s) : \sup_n q_n(x) = \infty \right\}$$

is of second category in $l(p, s)$ and hence nonempty, *i.e.*, there is $x \in l(p, s)$ with $\sup_n q_n(x) = \infty$. But this contradicts the fact that q_n is pointwise bounded on $l(p, s)$. Now, by Banach-Steinhaus theorem, there is constant M such that

$$q_n(x) \leq Mg(x). \quad (2.2)$$

Applying (2.2) to the sequence $x = (x_k)$ defined as in [2, p. 42] by replacing a_{nk} by $a(n, k, m)$, we then obtain the necessity of (2.1).

Sufficiency: Let (2.1) holds and $x \in l(p, s)$. Using the following inequality

$$|ab| \leq C(|a|^q C^{-q} + |b|^p)$$

for $C > 0$ and a, b two complex numbers ($p > 1$ and $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$) (see [7, 15]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_{m,n}(Ax)| &= \left| \sum_k \alpha(n, k, m) x_k \right| \\ &\leq \sum_k |\alpha(n, k, m) x_k| \\ &\leq \sum_k N [|\alpha(n, k, m)|^{q_k} k^{s(q_k-1)} N^{-q_k} + |x_k|^{p_k} k^{-s}]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over m, n and using (2.2) we get $Ax \in v_\infty^\sigma$ for $x \in l(p, s)$, *i.e.*, $A \in (l(p, s), v_\infty^\sigma)$. This completes the proof of the Theorem 2.1. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let $1 < p_k \leq \sup_k p_k = H < \infty$ for every k , then $A \in (l(p, s), v^\sigma)$ if and only if there exists an integer $N > 1$ such that*

- (i) $\sup_{m,n} \sum_k |t(n, k, m)|^{q_k} k^{s(q_k-1)} N^{-q_k} < \infty$,
- (ii) $\lim_m t(n, k, m) = a_k$ uniformly in n , for every k .

Proof. Necessity: Let $A \in (l(p, s), v^\sigma)$ and that $x \in l(p, s)$. Write $q_n(x) = \sup_m |t_{mn}(Ax)|$. It is easy to see that for $n \geq 0$, q_n is continuous semi-norm on $l(p, s)$ and q_n is pointwise bounded on $l(p, s)$. Suppose that (i) is not true. Then

there exists $x \in l(p, s)$ with $\sup_n q_n(x) = \infty$. By the principle of condensation of singularities [15], the set

$$\left\{ x \in l(p, s) : \sup_n q_n(x) = \infty \right\}$$

is of second category in $l(p, s)$ and hence non empty, *i.e.*, there is $x \in l(p, s)$ with $\sup_n q_n(x) = \infty$. But this contradicts the fact that (q_n) is pointwise bounded on $l(p, s)$. Now by Banach-Steinhaus theorem, there is constant M such that

$$q_n(x) \leq Mg(x). \quad (2.3)$$

Now define a sequence $x = (x_k)$ by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} (sgn t(n, k, m)) k^{\frac{s}{p_k}} N^{-\frac{q_k}{p_k}}, & 1 \leq k \leq k_0, \\ 0, & k > k_0. \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to see that $x \in l(p, s)$. Applying this sequence to (2.3), we get the condition (i). Since $e_k \in l(p, s)$, condition (ii) follows immediately by taking $x = e_k$.

Sufficiency: Let (i) and (ii) hold and $x \in l(p, s)$. For $j \geq 1$

$$\sum_{k=1}^j |t(n, k, m)|^{q_k} k^{s(q_k-1)} N^{-\frac{q_k}{p_k}} \leq \sup_m \sum_k |t(n, k, m)|^{q_k} k^{s(q_k-1)} N^{-\frac{q_k}{p_k}} < \infty$$

for every n . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k |\alpha_k|^{q_k} k^{s(q_k-1)} N^{-\frac{q_k}{p_k}} &= \lim_j \lim_m \sum_{k=1}^j |t(n, k, m)|^{q_k} k^{s(q_k-1)} N^{-\frac{q_k}{p_k}} \\ &\leq \sup_m \sum_k |t(n, k, m)|^{q_k} k^{s(q_k-1)} N^{-\frac{q_k}{p_k}} \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently the series $\sum_k t(n, k, m)x_k$ and $\sum_k \alpha_k x_k$ converges for every n, m and for every $x \in l(p, s)$. Now for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in l(p, s)$. Choose $k_0 \in N$ such that

$$\sum_{k \geq k_0+1} |x_k|^{p_k} k^{-s} < 1.$$

By condition (ii), there exists m_0 such that

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} [t(n, k, m) - \alpha_k] \right| < \infty$$

for every $m > m_0$. By condition (i), it follows that

$$\left| \sum_{k \geq k_0+1} [t(n, k, m) - \alpha_k] \right|$$

is arbitrarily small. Therefore

$$\lim_m \sum_k t(n, k, m)x_k = \sum_k \alpha_k x_k \text{ uniformly in } n.$$

Hence $A \in (l(p, s), v^\sigma)$. □

Corollary 2.3. *If we choose the mapping σ as the translation mapping, we get the results obtained by Mursaleen [8].*

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Banach, *Theorie des operations linearies*, Warszawa, 1932.
- [2] E. Bullet and O. Cakar, *The sequence space and related matrix transformations*, Comm. Fac. Sci. Univ. Aukara, **28** (1979), 33–44.
- [3] A.H. Ganie and N.A. Sheikh, *A note on almost convergent sequences and some matrix transformations*, Int. J. Mod. Math. Sci., **4** (2012), 126–132.
- [4] A.H. Ganie and N.A. Sheikh, *On the sequence space of nonabsolute type and matrix transformations* (accepted for publication in Jour. Egyptain Math. Society, ID-JOEMS-D-12-00105).
- [5] T. Jalal and A.H. Ganie, *Almost convergence and some matrix transformations*, Int. J. Math (Shakhar new series), **1(1)** (2009), 133–138.
- [6] G.G. Lorentz, *A contribution to the theory of divergent sequence*, Acta Math., **80** (1948), 167–190.
- [7] I.J. Maddox, *Continuous and Kothe-Toeplitz dual of certain sequence space*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **65** (1969), 431–435.
- [8] Mursaleen, *Infinite matrices and almost convergent sequences*, SEA Bul. Math., **19(1)** (1995), 45–48.
- [9] M. Mursaleen, *Some matrix transformtions on sequence spaces of invariant means*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat., **38** (2009), 259–264.
- [10] S. Nanda, *On some sequence spaces*, Math. student, **48(4)** (1980), 348–452.
- [11] G.M. Petersen. *Regular matrix transformations*. McGraw-Hill Publishing Co. Ltd., London-New York-Toronto, (1966).
- [12] P. Schaefer, *Infinite matrices and invariant means*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **36** (1972), 104–110.
- [13] N A. Sheikh, and A.H. Ganie, *Matrix transformations into new sequence spaces related to invariant means*, Chamchuri J. Math., **4** (2012), 71–77.
- [14] N.A. Sheikh and A.H. Ganie, *On the λ -convergent sequence and almost convergence*, Thai J. of Math. (2013), in press.
- [15] K. Yosida, *Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, New York, (1996).