Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications Vol. 28, No. 4 (2023), pp. 865-876

ISSN: 1229-1595(print), 2466-0973(online)

https://doi.org/10.22771/nfaa.2023.28.04.01 http://nfaa.kyungnam.ac.kr/journal-nfaa Copyright © 2023 Kyungnam University Press



COCYCLE EQUATIONS VIA COCHAINS AND HYPERSTABILITY OF RELATED FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS

Young Whan Lee

Department of Information Security Daejeon University, Daejeon 300-716, Korea e-mail: ywlee@dju.kr

Abstract. This paper presents properties of the cocycle equations via cochains on a semi-group. And then we offer hyperstability results of related functional equations using the properties of cocycle equations via cochains. These results generalize hyperstability results of a class of linear functional equation by Maksa and Páles. The obtained results can be applied to obtain hyperstability of various functional equations such as Euler-Lagrange type quadratic equations.

1. Introduction

In 2001, Maksa and Páles [10] proved a new type of stability of a class of linear functional equation

$$f(s) + f(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(s\psi_i(t)), \qquad (s, t \in S),$$
(1.1)

where f is a functional on a semigroup $S := (S, \cdot)$ and $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n : S \to S$ pairwise distinct automorphisms of S such that the set $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n\}$ is a group with the operation of composition of mappings. More precisely, they proved that if the error bound for the difference of two sides of (1.1) satisfies a certain asymptotic property, then in fact, the two sides have to be equal. Such a phenomenon is called the *hyperstability* of the functional equation on S. Since then numerous papers on this subject have been published [1]-[9].

⁰Received January 15, 2023. Revised March 25, 2023. Accepted May 2, 2023.

⁰2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B82, 39B52.

⁰Keywords: Superstability, hyperstability, stability of functional equations.

In 2015, Sirouni and Kabbaj [12] investigated of the hyperstability of an Euler-Lagrange type quadratic functional equation:

$$f(x+y) + \frac{f(x-y) + f(y-x)}{2} = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$
 (1.2)

in class of functions from an abelian group into a Banach space. The general solution and stability of this equation is established by Rassias [11].

Let (G, +) denote a semigroup and X be a real normed space. Note that the function F satisfied with the equation:

$$F(x,y) + F(x+y,z) = F(x,y+z) + F(y,z), \quad (x,y,z \in G)$$
 (1.3)

is called a *cocycle* on $G \times G$ into X and the equation is called the *cocycle equation*. If F is the Cauchy difference, that is F(x,y) = f(x) + f(y) - f(x+y), then F satisfies the equation (1.3). It is well known that the cocycle equation plays an important role in the hyperstability. Note that every quadratic functional equation on G:

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$

has the cocycle equation-type identity

$$F(x,y) + \frac{F(x+y,z) + F(x-y,z)}{2} = F(y,z) + \frac{F(x,y+z) + F(x,y-z)}{2},$$

where
$$F(x,y)=f(x)+f(y)-\frac{f(x+y)}{2}-\frac{f(x-y)}{2}$$

In this paper, we introduce the concept of cocycles via a cochain and present that cocycles via a cochain play an important role in the hyperstability. As results, we obtain that if F is a cocycle via a cochain $\{\varphi_i\}$ on a semigroup then there is a generating function f such that F is a coboundary of f. That is, if f is a generating function such that

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_m) = f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_m) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_m)), \quad (1.4)$$

where $\{\varphi_i\}$ is a cochain, then F satisfies the cocycle equation via the cochain:

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_m) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n F(\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_m), y_1, \dots, y_{m-1})$$
 (1.5)

$$=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}F(x_{1},\cdots,x_{m-1},\varphi_{i}(x_{m},y_{1},\cdots,y_{m-1}))+F(x_{m},y_{1},\cdots,y_{m-1}).$$

Also we show that the hyperstability of the functional equation

$$f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_m) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_m)).$$
 (1.6)

This generalizes the results of a class of linear functional equation by Maksa and Páles [10]. Using the properties of cocycle equations via cochains we offer hyperstability results of related functional equations.

2. Cocycle equations by cochains

Throughout this paper, let (G, +) denote a semigroup and X be a real normed space. Also let \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} denote the sets of natural numbers, real numbers and complex numbers, respectively, and let $\mathbb{R}_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x > 0\}$ and $S_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Definition 2.1. Let $\varphi_i: G^m \longrightarrow G$ be a function for each $i \in S_n$. $\{\varphi_i | i \in S_n\}$ is called a cochain in m-variables if there exists a bijective function $\lambda: S_n \times S_n \longrightarrow S_n \times S_n$ such that if $\lambda(i,j) = (a_{ij},b_{ij})$ for any $i,j,a_{ij},b_{ij} \in S_n$, then

$$\varphi_{i}(\varphi_{j}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{m}), y_{1}, \dots, y_{m-1})
= \varphi_{a_{ij}}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{m-1}, \varphi_{b_{ij}}(x_{m}, y_{1}, \dots, y_{m-1})$$
(2.1)

for all $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_{m-1} \in G$.

Example 2.2. Consider the case m=2 of the above definition. Let $\varphi_i: G \times G \longrightarrow G$ be a function for each $i \in S_4$ defined by

$$\varphi_1(x,y) = x + y, \quad \varphi_2(x,y) = x - y,
\varphi_3(x,y) = -x + y, \quad \varphi_4(x,y) = -x - y$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Also we define a bijective function $\lambda: S_4 \times S_4 \to S_4 \times S_4$ by

$$\lambda(1,1) = (1,1), \quad \lambda(1,2) = (1,3), \quad \lambda(1,3) = (3,1), \quad \lambda(1,4) = (4,2),$$

$$\lambda(2,1) = (1,2), \quad \lambda(2,2) = (1,4), \quad \lambda(2,3) = (3,2), \quad \lambda(2,4) = (4,1),$$

$$\lambda(3,1) = (3,3), \quad \lambda(3,2) = (4,4), \quad \lambda(3,3) = (2,2), \quad \lambda(3,4) = (2,4),$$

$$\lambda(4,1) = (3,4), \quad \lambda(4,2) = (4,3), \quad \lambda(4,3) = (2,1), \quad \lambda(4,4) = (2,3).$$

If $\lambda(i,j) = (a_{ij},b_{ij})$ for any $i,j,a_{ij},b_{ij} \in S_4$, then we have the equation

$$\varphi_i(\varphi_j(x,y),z) = \varphi_{a_{ij}}(x,\varphi_{b_{ij}}(y,z))$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. Thus $\{\varphi_i | i \in S_4\}$ is a cochain in 2-variables.

Example 2.3. Consider the case m=3 of the above definition. Let $\varphi_i:G^3\longrightarrow G$ be a function for each $i\in S_8$ defined by

$$\varphi_1(x, y, z) = x + y + z, \quad \varphi_2(x, y, z) = x + y - z,$$

$$\varphi_3(x, y, z) = x - y + z, \quad \varphi_4(x, y, z) = -x + y + z,$$

$$\varphi_5(x, y, z) = -x - y - z, \quad \varphi_6(x, y, z) = -x - y + z,$$

$$\varphi_7(x, y, z) = -x + y - z, \quad \varphi_8(x, y, z) = x - y - z$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Then we can easily find a bijective function $\lambda : S_8 \times S_8 \to S_8 \times S_8$ such that if $\lambda(i, j) = (a_{ij}, b_{ij})$ for any $i, j, a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in S_8$ then

$$\varphi_i(\varphi_j(x,y,z),v,w) = \varphi_{a_{ij}}(x,y,\varphi_{b_{ij}}(z,v,w))$$

for all $x, y, z, v, w \in G$. Thus $\{\varphi_i | i \in S_8\}$ is a cochain in 3-variales.

Definition 2.4. Let $\{\varphi_i | \varphi_i : G^m \longrightarrow G \text{ is a function for each } i \in S_n\}$ be a cochain in m-variables. Then the function $F: G^m \longrightarrow X$ satisfied with the functional equation (1.5) is called a *cocycle via the cochain* $\{\varphi_i\}$ and the equation is called the a *cocycle equation via the cochain* $\{\varphi_i\}$.

Example 2.5. Consider the case m=2 of the above definition. Let $\{\varphi_i|\varphi_i:G\times G\longrightarrow G\text{ is a function for each }i\in S_n\}$ be a cochain in 2-variables. Then any solution $F:G\times G\longrightarrow X$ of the functional equation

$$F(x,y) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} F(\varphi_i(x,y), z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} F(x, \varphi_i(y,z)) + F(y,z), \ (x,y,z \in G)$$

is a cocycle via the cochain $\{\varphi_i\}$ and the equation is the a cocycle equation via the cochain $\{\varphi_i\}$.

Example 2.6. Consider the case m=3 of the above definition. Let $\{\varphi_i|\varphi_i:G^3\longrightarrow G\text{ is a function for each }i\in S_n\}$ be a cochain in 3-variables. Then any solution $F:G^3\longrightarrow X$ of the functional equation

$$F(x, y, z) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} F(\varphi_i(x, y, z), v, w)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} F(x, y, \varphi_i(z, v, w)) + F(z, v, w), (x, y, z, v, w \in G)$$

is a cocycle via the cochain $\{\varphi_i\}$ and the equation is the a cocycle equation via the cochain $\{\varphi_i\}$.

Theorem 2.7. Let $\{\varphi_i\}$ be a cochain in m-variables where $\varphi_i: G^m \longrightarrow G$ is a function for each $i \in S_n$. If there exists a function $f: G \longrightarrow X$ such that f generate a function $F: G^m \longrightarrow X$ by

$$F(x_1, \dots, y_m) := f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_m) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_m))$$
 (2.2)

for all $x_1, \dots, x_m \in G$, then F satisfies the cocycle equation via the cochain $\{\varphi_i\}$.

Proof. Suppose that F is generated by f. Since $\{\varphi_i|i\in S_n\}$ is a cochain, we have

$$\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n f(\varphi_j(\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_m), y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}))$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n f(\varphi_j(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, \varphi_i(x_m, y_1, \dots, y_{m-1})))$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_{m-1} \in G$. Then we have

$$F(x_{1}, \dots, x_{m}) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} F(\varphi_{i}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{m}), y_{1}, \dots, y_{m-1})$$

$$= f(x_{1}) + \dots + f(x_{m}) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\varphi_{i}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{m}))$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[f(\varphi_{i}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{m})) + f(y_{1}) + \dots + f(y_{m-1}) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(\varphi_{j}(\varphi_{i}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{m}), y_{1}, \dots, y_{m-1})) \right]$$

$$= f(x_{1}) + \dots + f(x_{m}) + f(y_{1}) + \dots + f(y_{m-1})$$

$$- \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\varphi_{j}(\varphi_{i}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{m}), y_{1}, \dots, y_{m-1}))$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} F(x_{1}, \dots, x_{m-1}, \varphi_{i}(x_{m}, y_{1}, \dots, y_{m-1})) + F(x_{m}, y_{1}, \dots, y_{m-1}).$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_{m-1} \in G$. Thus F satisfies the cocycle equation via the cochain.

Corollary 2.8. Let $\{\varphi_i\}$ be a cochain in 2-variables where $\varphi_i: G \times G \longrightarrow G$ is a function for each $i \in S_n$. If there exists a function $f: G \longrightarrow X$ such that

f generates a function $F: G \times G \longrightarrow X$ by

$$F(x,y) := f(x) + f(y) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\varphi_i(x,y))$$
 (2.3)

for all $x, y \in G$, then F satisfies the cocycle equation via the cochain $\{\varphi_i\}$.

Proof. Suppose that F is generated by f. Since $\{\varphi_i|i\in S_n\}$ is a cochain, we have

$$\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n f(\varphi_j(\varphi_i(x,y),z)) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n f(\varphi_j(x,\varphi_i(y,z)))$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. Then we have

$$F(x,y) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} F(\varphi_i(x,y), z))$$

$$= f(x) + f(y) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\varphi_i(x,y))$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[f(\varphi_i(x,y)) + f(z) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(\varphi_j(\varphi_i(x,y), z)) \right]$$

$$= f(x) + f(y) + f(z) - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(\varphi_j(\varphi_i(x,y), z))$$

$$= F(y,z) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} F(x, \varphi_i(y, z))$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. Thus F satisfies the cocycle equation via the cochain. \square

Theorem 2.9. Let $\{\varphi_i\}$ be a cochain, where $\varphi_i: G \times G \longrightarrow G$ is a function for each $i \in S_n$. Also let $\varepsilon: G \times G \to X$ be a function for which that there exists a sequence $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of G satisfying the following condition:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \varepsilon(\varphi_i(s_k, x), y) = 0, \qquad (x, y \in G, i \in S_n). \tag{2.4}$$

Assume that a function $f: G \to X$ satisfies the inequality

$$\left| \left| f(x) + f(y) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\varphi_i(x, y)) \right| \right| \le \varepsilon(x, y)$$
 (2.5)

for all $x, y \in G$. Then f is a solution of the equation (2.3).

Proof. For any $x, y \in G$, let

$$F(x,y) := f(x) + f(y) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\varphi_i(x,y)).$$

Note that $||F(x,y)|| \le \varepsilon(x,y)$ for all $x,y \in G$. By Theorem 2.7, we have

$$F(x,y) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} F(\varphi_i(x,y), z) = F(y,z) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} F(x, \varphi_i(y,z))$$

for any $x, y, z \in G$, and thus

$$\left| \left| F(y,z) \right| \right| \le \left| \left| F(x,y) \right| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \left| F(\varphi_i(x,y),z) \right| \right| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \left| F(x,\varphi_i(y,z)) \right| \right|.$$

Since $\{\varphi_i\}$ is a cochain, there is a bijective function λ on $S_n \times S_n$ such that $\lambda(i,j) = (a_{ij},b_{ij})$ and

$$\varphi_i(\varphi_j(s_k, x), y) = \varphi_{a_{ij}}(s_k, \varphi_{b_{ij}}(x, y))$$

for each $i, j, a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in S_n$. Letting x by $\varphi_j(s_k, x)$ for some $j \in S_n$,

$$\left| \left| F(y,z) \right| \right| \leq \left| \left| F(\varphi_{j}(s_{k},x),y) \right| \right| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \left| F(\varphi_{i}(\varphi_{j}(s_{k},x),y),z) \right| \right|$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \left| F(\varphi_{j}(s_{k},x),\varphi_{i}(y,z)) \right| \right|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon(\varphi_{j}(s_{k},x),y) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon(\varphi_{a_{ij}}(s_{k},b_{ij}(x,y)),z)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon(\varphi_{j}(s_{k},x),\varphi_{i}(y,z))$$

$$= 0$$

as $k \to \infty$.

Corollary 2.10. Let $\varphi_i: G \times G \longrightarrow G$ be a function for each $i \in S_4$ defined by

$$\varphi_1(x, y) = x + y, \quad \varphi_2(x, y) = x - y,
\varphi_3(x, y) = -x + y, \quad \varphi_4(x, y) = -x - y$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Also let $\varepsilon : G \times G \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function for which that there exists a sequence $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of G satisfying the following condition:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \varepsilon(\varphi_i(s_k, x), y) = 0, \quad (x, y \in G, i \in S_4).$$

Assume that a function $f: G \to X$ satisfies the stability inequality

$$\left| \left| f(x) + f(y) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4} f(\varphi_i(x, y)) \right| \right| \le \varepsilon(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Then

$$f(x) + f(y) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4} f(\varphi_i(x, y))$$

for all $x, y \in G$, and in the case of even function f, f is a solution of the equation (1.2).

Proof. Define a function $F: G \times G \to X$ by

$$F(x,y) = f(x) + f(y) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4} f(\varphi_i(x,y)) \quad (x,y,z \in G).$$

Also we define a bijective function $\lambda: S_4 \times S_4 \to S_4 \times S_4$ by

$$\lambda(1,1) = (1,1), \quad \lambda(1,2) = (1,3), \quad \lambda(1,3) = (3,1), \quad \lambda(1,4) = (4,2),$$

$$\lambda(2,1)=(1,2),\quad \lambda(2,2)=(1,4),\quad \lambda(2,3)=(3,2),\quad \lambda(2,4)=(4,1),$$

$$\lambda(3,1) = (3,3), \quad \lambda(3,2) = (4,4), \quad \lambda(3,3) = (2,2), \quad \lambda(3,4) = (2,4),$$

$$\lambda(4,1) = (3,4), \quad \lambda(4,2) = (4,3), \quad \lambda(4,3) = (2,1), \quad \lambda(4,4) = (2,3).$$

Then, for the case of $\lambda(i, j) = (k, l)$

$$\varphi_i(\varphi_j(x,y),z) = \varphi_k(x,\varphi_l(y,z))$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. Thus $\{\varphi_i | i \in S_4\}$ is a cochain. By Theorem 2.9, the result holds.

Lemma 2.11. Let (S, \cdot) be a semigroup and for each $i \in S_n$ let $\psi_i : S \longrightarrow S$ be pairwise distinct automorphisms of S such that the set $\{\psi_i | i \in S_n\}$ is a group with respect to the composition as a group operation. If $\varphi_i : S \times S \longrightarrow S$ is a function defined by $\varphi_i(x,y) = x\psi_i(y)$ for all $x,y \in S$ and for each $i \in S_n$, then $\{\varphi_i | i \in S_n\}$ is a cochain.

Proof. Note that for any $x, y, z \in S$ and $i, j, k \in S_n$ we have

$$\varphi_i(\varphi_j(x,y),z) = x\psi_j(y)\psi_i(z),$$

$$\varphi_j(x,\varphi_k(y,z)) = x\psi_j(y)\psi_j\psi_k(z).$$

Since ψ_j is an automorphism for each $j \in S_n$ and $\{\psi_j \psi_i | i \in S_n\}$ is a permutation of $\{\psi_i | i \in S_n\}$, there is a unique k such that $\psi_j \psi_k = \psi_i$. Thus we can

define a bijective function λ by $\lambda(i,j) = (j,k)$ for $i,j,k \in S_n$ such that for any $x,y,z \in S$

$$\varphi_i(\varphi_j(x,y),z) = \varphi_j(x,\varphi_k(y,z)).$$

Theorem 2.12. Let (S, \cdot) be a semigroup and for each $i \in S_n$, let $\psi_i : S \longrightarrow S$ be pairwise distinct automorphosms of S such that the set $\{\psi_i | i \in S_n\}$ is a group with respect to the composition as a group operation. Also let $\varepsilon : S \times S \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function for which that there exists a sequence $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of G satisfying the following condition:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \varepsilon(s_k x, y) = 0, \quad (x, y \in S).$$

Assume that a function $f: S \to X$ satisfies the inequality

$$\left| \left| f(x) + f(y) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x\psi_i(y)) \right| \right| \le \varepsilon(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in S$. Then f is a solution of the equation (1.1).

Proof. Let $\varphi_i: S \times S \longrightarrow S$ be a function defined by $\varphi_i(x,y) = x\psi_i(y)$ for all $x,y \in S$ and for each $i \in S_n$. By Lemma 2.11, $\{\varphi_i|i \in S_n\}$ is a cochain. By Theorem 2.9, the result holds.

Remark 2.13. In Theorem 2.9, the inequality condition (2.2) can be replace by

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \varepsilon(x, \varphi_i(s_k, y)) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \varepsilon(\varphi_i(s, x), y) \le q\varepsilon(x, y) \quad (0 \le q < 1, i \in S_n).$$

Let us go through the same procedure as in Theorem 2.9. If we define F by (2.1) then $\lim_{k\to\infty} F(x,\varphi_i(s_k,y)) = 0$ and eventually F(x,y) = 0 for all $x,y \in G$. If $\varepsilon(\varphi_i(s,x),y) \leq q\varepsilon(x,y)$ $(0 \leq q < 1, i \in S_n)$, then

$$\varepsilon(\varphi_i(\varphi_j(s,s),x),y) = \varepsilon(\varphi_{a_{ij}}(s,\varphi_{b_{ij}}(s,x)),y) \le q\varepsilon(\varphi_{b_{ij}}(s,x),y) \le q^2\varepsilon(x,y)$$

for all $i, j, a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in S_n$. Letting

$$\varphi_{j}(s,s) = s_{1}, \quad \varphi_{j}(\varphi_{j}(s,s),s) = s_{2},
\underbrace{\varphi_{j}(\varphi_{j}(\cdots\varphi_{j}(s,s),\cdots),s)}_{k} = s_{k},$$

we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \varepsilon(\varphi_i(s_k, x), y) = 0, \quad (x, y \in G, i \in S_n).$$

Therefore (2.2) is satisfied and the statement follows from Theorem 2.9. Now we extend to n-variables by using a permutation. For all $P = (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)$, $Q = (q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n) \in G^n$, let $\sigma_i : G^n \to G^n$ be a permutation given by

$$\sigma_{1}(p_{1}, p_{2}, \dots, p_{n}) = (p_{1}, p_{2}, \dots, p_{n}),
\sigma_{i}(p_{1}, p_{2}, \dots, p_{n}) = (p_{i}, \dots, p_{n}, p_{1}, p_{2}, \dots, p_{i-1}),
\sigma_{n+1}(P) = \sigma_{1}P,
\sigma_{n+i}(P) = \sigma_{i}P$$

for each $i \in S_n$ and $P + Q = (p_1 + q_1, p_2 + q_2, \dots, p_n + q_n)$.

Lemma 2.14. Let $i \in S_n$ and $\varphi_i : G^n \times G^n \to G^n$ be functions defined by

$$\varphi_i(P,Q) = P + \sigma_i(Q)$$

= $(p_1 + q_i, p_2 + q_{i+1}, \dots, p_{n-i+1} + q_n, \dots, p_n + q_{i-1})$

for all $P, Q \in G^n$. Then $\{\varphi_i | i \in S_n\}$ is a cochain.

Proof. It can be easily checked that for all $P, Q, W \in G^n, i, j \in S_n$

(a)
$$\varphi_i(\varphi_j(P,Q), W) = P + \sigma_j(Q) + \sigma_i(W),$$

(b)
$$\varphi_j(P,\varphi_i(Q,W)) = P + \sigma_j(Q) + \sigma_j\sigma_i(W) = P + \sigma_j(Q) + \sigma_{i+j-1}(W).$$

Define a bijective function $\lambda: S_n \times S_n \longrightarrow S_n \times S_n$ such that if $\lambda(i,j) = (a_{ij}, b_{ij})$ for any $i, j, a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in S_n$, where

$$a_{ij} = j - i + 1$$
 (if $j - i + 1$ is negative, $a_{ij} = n + j - i + 1$), $b_{ij} = i$.

Then

$$\varphi_i(\varphi_j(P,Q),W)=\varphi_{a_{ij}}(P,\varphi_{b_{ij}}(Q,W))$$
 for all $P,Q,W\in G^n.$ $\hfill\Box$

Lemma 2.15. Let $f: G^n \to X$ be an arbitrary function and $\varphi_i: G \times G \to G$ a function defined by Lemma 2.14 for each $i \in S_n$. Then the function $F: G^n \to X$ defined by

$$F(P,Q) = f(P) + f(Q) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\varphi_i(P,Q)), \quad (P,Q \in G^n)$$

satisfies the following functional equation

$$F(P,Q) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} F(\varphi_i(P,Q), W) = F(Q,W) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} F(P,\varphi_i(Q,W))$$

for all $P, Q, W \in G^n$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.14, $\{\varphi_i|i\in S_n\}$ is a cochain. By the same procedure of Theorem 2.9, we complete the proof.

By Lemma 2.14 and 2.15, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.16. Let $\varphi_i: G \times G \to G$ be a function defined by Lemma 2.14 for each $i \in S_n$ and $\varepsilon: G^n \times G^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be function for which there exists a sequence $\{W_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of G^n satisfying the following condition:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \varepsilon(\varphi_i(W_k, P), Q) = 0, \qquad (P, Q \in G^n, i \in S_n).$$

Assume also that a function $f: G^n \to X$ satisfies the inequality

$$\left| \left| f(P) + f(Q) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\varphi_i(W_k, P), Q) \right| \right| \le \varepsilon(P, Q)$$

for all $P, Q \in G^n$. Then f is a solution of the functional equation (1.5). That is, for all $P, Q \in G^n$

$$f(P) + f(Q) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\varphi_i(W_k, P), Q).$$

Example 2.17. Assume that a function $f: \mathbb{R}^3_+ \to X$ satisfies the inequality

$$\left| \left| f(p_1 + q_1, p_2 + q_2, p_3 + q_3) + f(p_1 + q_2, p_2 + q_3, p_3 + q_1) \right| + f(p_1 + q_3, p_2 + q_1, p_3 + q_2) - 3f(p_1, p_2, p_3) - 3f(q_1, q_2, q_3) \right| \right| \le \frac{g_1 q_2 q_3}{p_1 p_2 p_3}$$

for all $P=(p_1,p_2,p_3), Q=(q_1,q_2,q_3)\in\mathbb{R}^3_+$ and let $\varepsilon(P,Q)=\frac{g_1q_2q_3}{p_1p_2p_3}$ and $W_k=(k,k,k)$ for any $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Then by Theorem 2.16, we have

$$f(p_1 + q_1, p_2 + q_2, p_3 + q_3) + f(p_1 + q_2, p_2 + q_3, p_3 + q_1)$$

$$+ f(p_1 + q_3, p_2 + q_1, p_3 + q_2)$$

$$= 3f(p_1, p_2, p_3) + 3f(q_1, q_2, q_3)$$

for all $P = (p_1, p_2, p_3), Q = (q_1, q_2, q_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+$.

References

- A. Bahyrycz and M. Piszczek, Hyperstability of the Jensen functional equation, Acta Math. Hungar., 142(2) (2014), 353-365.
- [2] K.J. Brzdęk, Remarks on hyperstability of the the Cauchy equation, Aequationes Math., 86 (2013), 255-267.
- [3] K.J. Brzdęk, Hyperstability of the Cauchy equation on restricted domains, Acta Math. Hungar., 141(1-2) (2013), 58-67.
- [4] K.J. Brzdęk, A hyperstability result for the Cauchy equation, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 89(1) (2014), 33-40.
- [5] K.J. Brzdęk and K. Ciepliński, Hyperstability and superstability, Abst. Appl. Anal., Art., (2013), ID 401756.
- [6] Bruce R. Ebanks, Bounded solutions of n-cocycle and related equations on amenable semigroups, Result. Math., 35 (1999), 23-31.
- [7] H.H. Elfen, T. Riedel and P.K. Sahoo, A variant of the quadratic functional equation on groups an an application, Bull. Kor. Math. Soc., 6 (2017), 2165-3016.
- [8] Iz. EL-Fassi, Generalized hyerstability of a Drygas functional equation on a restricted domain using Brzdęs fixed point theorem, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 19 (2017) 2529-2540.
- [9] R. E. Ghali, S. Kabbaj and G. H. Kim, On the hyperstability of p-radical functional equation related to cubic mapping in Ultrametrique Banach space, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., 25(3) (2020), 473-489.
- [10] G. Maksa ans Z Páles, Hyperstability of a class of linear functional equations, Acta Math. Acade. Paedagogicae Nyiregyháziensis, 17(2) (2001), 107-112.
- [11] M.J. Rassias, J.M. Rassias product-sum stability of an Euler-Lagrange functional equation, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 3(4) (2010), 265-271.
- [12] M. Sirouni and S. Kabbaj, The ε-hyperstability of an Euler-lagrange type quadratic functional equations in Banach spaces, British J. Math., Comput. Sci., 6(6) (2015), 481-493.