Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications Vol. 28, No. 4 (2023), pp. 1115-1125

 $ISSN: 1229\text{-}1595 (print), \ 2466\text{-}0973 (online)$

https://doi.org/10.22771/nfaa.2023.28.04.17 http://nfaa.kyungnam.ac.kr/journal-nfaa Copyright © 2023 Kyungnam University Press



CONTROLLABILITY OF GENERALIZED FRACTIONAL DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

K. Balachandran

Department of Mathematics, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore 641 046, India e-mail: kb.maths.bu@gmail.com

Abstract. This paper deals with the controllability of linear and nonlinear generalized fractional dynamical systems in finite dimensional spaces. The results are obtained by using fractional calculus, Mittag-Leffler function and Schauder's fixed point theorem. Observability of linear system is also discussed. Examples are given to illustrate the theory.

1. Introduction

Fractional differential equations are encountered in various fields of science and engineering. Several authors have contributed to the development of this field. Rich theory has been available for these type of differential equations in the literature [33, 37]. In fact fractional differential equations are alternative models to nonlinear differential equations. During the last decades different concepts of fractional derivatives and integrals are being introduced and used by various researchers, for example, Riemann-Lioville, Caputo, Grunwald-Letnikov, Riesz and Hadamard derivatives and integrals. It is known that fractional derivatives essentially capture the non-local nature of the dynamics. However, while dealing with increasingly complex systems, different types of non-locality arise and researchers try to fit in by generalizing the existing fractional derivatives.

⁰Received July 12, 2023. Revised August 31, 2023. Accepted September 4, 2023.

⁰2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A33, 34A08, 93B05.

⁰Keywords: Generalized fractional derivative, controllability, Mittag-Leffler function, fixed point theorem.

Almeida et al. [1] introduced a generalized fractional integral and derivative that interpolates the Caputo and Caputo-Hadamard fractional derivatives. Here the kernel is generalized using a parameter ρ that helps in capturing a variety of non-local phenomena and some direct applications found in the literature are image encryption and quantum mechanics related to chaos problems arising in fractional dynamical systems. Due to ever increasing importance of this field various kinds of problems are discussed by many researchers. Among them controllability is one of the important problems of fractional dynamical systems.

The problem of controllability of linear and nonlinear dynamical systems in finite dimensional space has been studied by many authors [3, 4, 34]. Recently several researchers have extended the controllability results to fractional dynamical systems [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 31, 35, 36, 38, 39, 40, 41], fractional integrodifferential systems [5, 6, 7] and fractional delay dynamical systems [2, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30], neutral systems [8, 9, 24] and systems with delays in control variable [19, 21, 22]. Further the controllability of higher order systems [11, 12, 13] and numerical studies are discussed in [10, 25].

In this paper we study the controllability of linear and nonlinear fractional dynamical systems represented by generalized fractional differential equations in finite dimensional spaces. The results cover a large class of fractional dynamical systems.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some basic facts about fractional integral and derivative. Let $\alpha > 0$, $\rho > 0$ and [a, b] be an interval in \mathbb{R} .

Definition 2.1. ([32]) The generalized fractional integral of a function $f(t) \in$ $L_1(a,b)$ is defined as

$$I_{0+}^{\alpha,\rho}f(t) = \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{s^{\rho-1}}{(t^\rho - s^\rho)^{1-\alpha}} f(s) ds.$$

Definition 2.2. ([1]) The generalized fractional derivative of a function f(t)is defined as

$$^{C}D_{0+}^{\alpha,\rho}f(t) = \frac{\rho^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{(t^{\rho} - s^{\rho})^{\alpha}} f'(s) ds.$$

The fractional integral and derivative satisfy the following properties:

- $\begin{array}{ll} \text{(i)} \ \ I_{0^+}^{\alpha,\rho} \ \text{is linear and bounded}, \\ \text{(ii)} \ \ ^C\!\! D_{0^+}^{\alpha,\rho} I_{0^+}^{\alpha,\rho} f(t) = f(t), \\ \text{(iii)} \ \ I_{0^+}^{\alpha,\rho} \ ^C\!\! D_{0^+}^{\alpha,\rho} f(t) = f(t) f(0). \end{array}$

The two parameter Mittag-Leffler function is defined as [37]

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(k\alpha + \beta)}, \quad \alpha > 0, \beta > 0.$$

Consider the following fractional differential equation

$$\begin{cases} {}^{C}D_{0+}^{\alpha,\rho}x(t) = Ax(t) + f(t), \\ x(0) = x_{0}, \end{cases}$$
 (2.1)

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, A is an $n \times n$ matrix and f(t) is continuous on [0,T].

Now we want to find the solution representation of the equation (2.1). Multiplying $I_{0+}^{\alpha,\rho}$ on both sides of (2.1) we get

$$x(t) = x(0) + AI_{0+}^{\alpha,\rho}x(t) + I_{0+}^{\alpha,\rho}f(t).$$

To obtain the solution we apply the successive approximation technique. For that define

$$\begin{cases} x_0(t) = x_0, \\ x_n(t) = x_0 + AI_{0+}^{\alpha, \rho} x_{n-1}(t) + I_{0+}^{\alpha, \rho} f(t). \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$x_{1}(t) = x_{0} + AI_{0+}^{\alpha,\rho}x_{0} + I_{0+}^{\alpha,\rho}f(t)$$

$$= x_{0} + \frac{Ax_{0}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \left(\frac{t^{\rho}}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha} + I_{0+}^{\alpha,\rho}f(t)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{1} \frac{A^{k}x_{0}}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)} \left(\frac{t^{\rho}}{\rho}\right)^{k\alpha} + I_{0+}^{\alpha,\rho}f(t)$$

and

$$x_2(t) = \sum_{k=0}^2 \frac{A^k x_0}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)} \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{k\alpha} + A I_{0^+}^{2\alpha,\rho} f(t) + I_{0^+}^{\alpha,\rho} f(t)$$

and in general

$$x_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{A^k x_0}{\Gamma(\alpha k + 1)} \left(\frac{t^{\rho}}{\rho}\right)^{k\alpha} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A^k I_{0+}^{(k+1)\alpha,\rho} f(t)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{A^k x_0}{\Gamma(\alpha k + 1)} \left(\frac{t^{\rho}}{\rho}\right)^{k\alpha} + \int_0^t \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A^k \frac{\rho^{1-(k+1)\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \alpha)} \frac{s^{\rho-1} f(s)}{(t^{\rho} - s^{\rho})^{1-\alpha(k+1)}} ds$$

$$\begin{split} &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{A^k x_0}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)} \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{k\alpha} + \int_0^t \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{A^k s^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha k+\alpha)} \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha(k+1)-1} f(s) ds \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{A^k x_0}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)} \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{k\alpha} \\ &+ \int_0^t \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} s^{\rho-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{A^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k+\alpha)} \left(\frac{t^\rho - s^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha k} f(s) ds. \end{split}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we get

$$x(t) = E_{\alpha} \left(A \left(\frac{t^{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha} \right) x_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{t^{\rho} - s^{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha - 1} E_{\alpha, \alpha} \left(A \left(\frac{t^{\rho} - s^{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha} \right) s^{\rho - 1} f(s) ds.$$

$$(2.2)$$

The equation (2.2) is the solution representation of the generalized fractional differential equation (2.1).

3. Observability result

Consider the fractional order linear time invariant system

$$^{C}D_{0+}^{\alpha,\rho}x(t) = Ax(t), \ t \in [0,T],$$
 (3.1)

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and A is an $n \times n$ matrix. Along with (3.1) we have a linear observation

$$y(t) = Hx(t), (3.2)$$

where $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and H is an $m \times n$ matrix.

Definition 3.1. ([4]) The system (3.1), (3.2) is *observable* on an interval [0, T] if

$$y(t) = Hx(t) = 0, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

implies

$$x(t) = 0, t \in [0, T].$$

Theorem 3.2. The observed linear system (3.1), (3.2) is observable on [0,T] if and only if the observability Grammian matrix

$$M = \int_0^T E_\alpha \left(A^* \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\alpha \right) H^* H E_\alpha \left(A \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\alpha \right) dt \tag{3.3}$$

is positive definite, where the * denotes the matrix transpose.

Proof. The solution x(t) of (3.1) corresponding to the initial condition $x(0) = x_0$ is given by

$$x(t) = E_{\alpha} \left(A \left(\frac{t^{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha} \right) x_0$$

and we have, for $y(t) = Hx(t) = HE_{\alpha} \left(A \left(\frac{t^{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha} \right) x_0$,

$$||y||^2 = \int_0^T y^*(t)y(t)dt$$

$$= x_0^* \int_0^T E_\alpha \left(A^* \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\alpha \right) H^* H E_\alpha \left(A \left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho} \right)^\alpha \right) dt \ x_0$$

$$= x_0^* M x_0,$$

a quadratic form in x_0 . Clearly M is an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix. If M is positive definite, then y=0 implies $x_0^*M\,x_0=0$. Therefore $x_0=0$. Hence (3.1), (3.2) is observable on [0,T]. If M is not positive definite, then there is some $x_0 \neq 0$ such that $x_0^*M\,x_0=0$. Then $x(t)=E_\alpha\left(A\left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^\alpha\right)x_0\neq 0$, for $t\in[0,T]$ but $\|y\|^2=0$, so y=0 and we conclude that (3.1), (3.2) is not observable on [0,T].

4. Controllability results

Consider the linear fractional dynamical system of the form

$$\begin{cases} {}^{C}D_{0+}^{\alpha,\rho}x(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), & t \in J = [0,T], \\ x(0) = x_{0}, & \end{cases}$$
(4.1)

where $0 < \alpha < 1, 0 < \rho \le 1, x(t), x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and A is an $n \times n$ matrix, $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and B is an $n \times m$ matrix. The solution of (4.1) is given by [23]

$$x(t) = E_{\alpha} \left(A \left(\frac{t^{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha} \right) x_{0}$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{t^{\rho} - s^{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha - 1} E_{\alpha, \alpha} \left(A \left(\frac{t^{\rho} - s^{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha} \right) s^{\rho - 1} Bu(s) ds. \tag{4.2}$$

Definition 4.1. ([4, 34]) System (4.1) is said to be controllable on J, if for every $x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a control u(t) such that the solution x(t) of (4.1) satisfies the conditions $x(0) = x_0$ and $x(T) = x_1$.

Define the controllability Grammian matrix as

$$W(0,t) = \int_0^t \left[E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(A \left(\frac{t^{\rho} - s^{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha} \right) B \right] \left[E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(A \left(\frac{t^{\rho} - s^{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha} \right) B \right]^* ds, \quad (4.3)$$

where the * denotes the matrix transpose.

Theorem 4.2. The linear system (4.1) is controllable if and only if the controllability Grammian W is positive definite.

Proof. If W is nonsingular then the control

$$u(t) = \left(\frac{T^{\rho} - t^{\rho}}{\rho}\right)^{1-\alpha} t^{1-\rho} \left[E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(A \left(\frac{T^{\rho} - t^{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha} \right) B \right]^{*}$$

$$\times W(0,T)^{-1} \left[x_{1} - E_{\alpha} \left(A \left(\frac{T^{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha} \right) x_{0} \right]$$

$$(4.4)$$

steers the system (4.1) from $x(0) = x_0$ to $x(T) = x_1$. Thus (4.1) is controllable on J. Conversely assume that (4.1) is controllable and prove that W is nonsingular. This can be established by using contra positive argument as in observability case.

Consider the nonlinear fractional dynamical system of the form

$$\begin{cases}
{}^{C}D_{0+}^{\alpha,\rho}x(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) + f(t,x(t)), & t \in J = [0,T], \\
x(0) = x_{0},
\end{cases}$$
(4.5)

where A, B, x, u are as before and the function $f: J \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous. The solution of (4.5) is given by [23]

$$x(t) = E_{\alpha} \left(A \left(\frac{t^{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha} \right) x_{0}$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{t^{\rho} - s^{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha - 1} E_{\alpha, \alpha} \left(A \left(\frac{t^{\rho} - s^{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha} \right) s^{\rho - 1} Bu(s) ds$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{t^{\rho} - s^{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha - 1} E_{\alpha, \alpha} \left(A \left(\frac{t^{\rho} - s^{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha} \right) s^{\rho - 1} f(s, x(s)) ds. \quad (4.6)$$

Theorem 4.3. If the linear system (4.1) is controllable and the nonlinear function f satisfies the condition

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \frac{|f(t, x)|}{|x|} = 0,$$

then the nonlinear system (4.5) is controllable on J.

Proof. (Sketch of the proof) Define the nonlinear operator $P:C(J;\mathbb{R}^n)\to C(J;\mathbb{R}^n)$ as

$$Px(t) = E_{\alpha} \left(A \left(\frac{t^{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha} \right) x_{0}$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{t^{\rho} - s^{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha - 1} E_{\alpha, \alpha} \left(A \left(\frac{t^{\rho} - s^{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha} \right) s^{\rho - 1} Bu(s) ds$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{t^{\rho} - s^{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha - 1} E_{\alpha, \alpha} \left(A \left(\frac{t^{\rho} - s^{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha} \right) s^{\rho - 1} f(s, x(s)) ds, \quad (4.7)$$

where the control u(t) is given by

$$u(t) = \left(\frac{T^{\rho} - t^{\rho}}{\rho}\right)^{1-\alpha} t^{1-\rho} \left[E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(A\left(\frac{T^{\rho} - t^{\rho}}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha}\right) B\right]^{*} W(0,T)^{-1}$$

$$\times \left[x_{1} - E_{\alpha} \left(A\left(\frac{T^{\rho}}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha}\right) x_{0} - \int_{0}^{T} \left(\frac{T^{\rho} - s^{\rho}}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha-1} \right]$$

$$\times E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(A\left(\frac{T^{\rho} - s^{\rho}}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha}\right) s^{\rho-1} f(s,x(s)) ds.$$

$$(4.8)$$

Substituting (4.8) in to (4.7) we observe that $Px(T) = x_1$. If we are able to prove that the operator P has a fixed point then the nonlinear system (4.5) is controllable. That is, if $Q(r) = \{x \in C(J; \mathbb{R}^n) : ||x|| \le r\}$ (r depends on the bounds of $E_{\alpha}, x_0, E_{\alpha,\alpha}, f$) then it is easy to prove that P maps Q(r) into itself.

Further since f is continuous, this implies that the operator is continuous, and hence is completely continuous by the application of the Arzela-Ascoli theorem. Since Q(r) is closed, bounded and convex, the Schauder fixed point theorem guarantees that P has a fixed point $x \in Q(r)$ such that Px = x. This x satisfies the required conditions $x(0) = x_0$ and $x(T) = x_1$. Hence the system (4.5) is controllable [11, 20].

Remark 4.4. The above results can be extended to the following larger class of fractional dynamical systems

$$\begin{cases}
{}^{C}D_{0+}^{\alpha,\rho}x(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) + f(t,x(t),u(t)), & t \in J = [0,T], \\
x(0) = x_{0},
\end{cases}$$
(4.9)

where A, B, x, u are as before and the function $f: J \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous and the fractional integrodifferential systems

$$\begin{cases}
{}^{C}D_{0+}^{\alpha,\rho}x(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) + f(t,x(t), \int_{0}^{t} h(t,s,x(s))ds, u(t)), \\
x(0) = x_{0},
\end{cases}$$
(4.10)

where the functions $h: J \times J \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f: J \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ are continuous. Further if we change Bu(t) as the time varying delays $\sum_{i=0}^M B_i u(r_i(t))$ and the distributed delays $\int_{-h}^0 d_s B(t,s) u(t+s)$ with appropriate conditions on the delays in the equations (4.9) and (4.10) then the results of Theorem (4.2) and (4.3) can be proved with similar arguments.

5. Examples

Example 5.1. Consider the linear fractional control system

$$\begin{cases} {}^{C}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha,\rho}x(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), & t \in [0,T], \\ x(0) = x_{0}, \end{cases}$$
 (5.1)

where
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $0 < \alpha < 1, \ 0 < \rho \le 1$.

Take $\rho = 1$ and the fractional control system becomes

$$\begin{cases} {}^{C}D_{0+}^{\alpha,1}x(t) = {}^{C}D_{0+}^{\alpha}x(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), & t \in [0,T], \\ x(0) = x_{0}. \end{cases}$$
 (5.2)

For this the Mittag-Leffler matrix function of A is

$$E_{\alpha,\alpha}(At^{\alpha}) = \begin{bmatrix} E_{2\alpha,\alpha}(t^{2\alpha}) & t^{\alpha}E_{2\alpha,2\alpha}(t^{2\alpha}) \\ t^{\alpha}E_{2\alpha,2\alpha}(t^{2\alpha}) & E_{2\alpha,\alpha}(t^{2\alpha}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The controllability Grammian of this system is

$$W = \int_0^T E_{\alpha,\alpha} (A(T-\tau)^{\alpha}) BB^* E_{\alpha,\alpha} (A^*(T-\tau)^{\alpha}) d\tau.$$

Using matrix calculations, we can show that W is positive definite for T > 0. So the linear system (5.2) is controllable on [0,T]. By tedious matrix calculation the case $0 < \rho < 1$ can be established for the system (5.1). Further if B = 0 in (5.2) then the linear system is observable with observer y(t) = x(t) by Theorem (3.2).

Example 5.2. Consider the nonlinear fractional control system

$$\begin{cases}
{}^{C}D_{0+}^{\alpha,\rho}x(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) + f(t,x), & t \in [0,T], \\
x(0) = x_{0},
\end{cases}$$
(5.3)

where A, B are as above, $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\rho = 1$ and $f(t, x) = \begin{pmatrix} \sin x_1 \\ \sin x_2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Since the controllability Grammian W is positive definite for T > 0 and so the linear part of the system is controllable on [0, T]. Further the nonlinear function f satisfies the hypothesis of the Theorem (4.3). Observe that the control defined by

$$u(t) = (T-t)^{1-\alpha} B^* E_{\alpha,\alpha} (A^* (T-t)^{\alpha}) W^{-1} \left[x_1 - E_{\alpha} (A(T)^{\alpha}) x_0 - \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} (A^* (T-t)^{\alpha}) f(s, x(s), u(s)) ds \right]$$

steers the system (5.3) from x_0 to x_1 and hence the nonlinear fractional control system (5.3) is controllable on [0, T].

References

- R. Almeida, A.B. Malinowska and T. Odzijewicz, Fractional differential equations with dependence on the Caputo-Katugampola derivative, J. Comput. Nonlinear Dyna., 11 (2016), 061017 (1-11).
- [2] K. Balachandran, Controllability of nonlinear fractional delay dynamical systems with multiple delays in control, in Theory and Applications of Non-integer Order Systems, Lecture Notes Elect. Eng., 407 (2017), 321–332.
- [3] K. Balachandran and J.P. Dauer, Controllability of nonlinear systems via fixed point theorems, J. Optimi.Theory Appl., 53 (1987), 345-352.
- [4] K. Balachandran and J.P. Dauer, Elements of Control Theory, New Delhi, 2012.
- [5] K. Balachandran and S. Divya, Controllability of nonlinear implicit fractional integrodifferential systems, Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci., 24 (2014), 713–722.
- [6] K. Balachandran and S. Divya, Relative controllability of nonlinear neutral fractional Volterra integrodifferential systems with multiple delays in control, Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci., 5 (2016), 147–160.
- [7] K. Balachandran and S. Divya, Controllability of nonlinear neutral fractional integrodifferential systems with infinite delay, J. Appl. Nonlinear Dyna., 6 (2017), 333–344.
- [8] K. Balachandran, S. Divya, L. Rodriguez-Germa and J.J. Trujillo, Relative controllability of nonlinear neutral fractional integrodifferential systems with distributed delays in control, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., 39 (2016), 214–224.
- [9] K. Balachandran, S. Divya and J.J. Trujillo, Controllability of nonlinear implicit neutral fractional Volterra integrodifferential system, J. Vibration Control., 22 (2016), 2165— 2172.
- [10] K. Balachandran and V. Govindaraj, Numerical controllability of fractional dynamical systems, Optimization, 63 (2014), 1267–1279.
- [11] K. Balachandran, V. Govindaraj, L. Rodriguez-Germa and J.J. Trujillo, Controllability results for nonlinear fractional order dynamical systems, J. Opti. Theory Appl., 156 (2013), 33–44.
- [12] K. Balachandran, V. Govindaraj, M. Rivero and J.J. Trujillo, Controllability of fractional damped dynamical systems, Appl. Math. Comput., 257 (2015), 66–73.
- [13] K. Balachandran, V. Govindaraj, L. Rodriguez-Germa and J.J. Trujillo, Controllability of nonlinear higher order fractional dynamical systems, Nonlinear Dynam., 71 (2013), 605–612.

- [14] K. Balachandran, V. Govindaraj, M. Ortigueira, M. Rivero and J.J. Trujillo, Observability and controllability of fractional linear dynamical systems, Proc. IFAC Confere. Grenoble, France, (2013), 893–898.
- [15] K. Balachandran and J. Kokila, On the controllability of fractional dynamical systems, Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci., 22 (2012), 523–531.
- [16] K. Balachandran and J. Kokila, Constrained controllability of fractional dynamical systems, Numerical Funct. Anal. Optimi., 34 (2013), 1187–1205.
- [17] K. Balachandran and J. Kokila, Controllability of fractional dynamical systems with prescribed controls, IET Control Theory & Appl., 7 (2013), 1242–1248.
- [18] K. Balachandran and J. Kokila, Controllability of nonlinear implicit fractional dynamical systems, IMA J. Appl. Math., 79 (2014), 562–570.
- [19] K. Balachandran, J. Kokila and J.J. Trujillo, Relative controllability of fractional dynamical systems with multiple delays in control, Comput. Math. Appl., 64 (2012), 3037– 3045.
- [20] K. Balachandran, J.Y. Park and J.J. Trujillo, Controllability of nonlinear fractional dynamical systems, Nonlinear Anal., 75 (2012), 1919–1926.
- [21] K. Balachandran, Y. Zhou and J. Kokila, Relative controllability of fractional dynamical systems with delays in control, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul., 17 (2012), 3508– 3520.
- [22] K. Balachandran, Y. Zhou and J. Kokila, Relative controllability of fractional dynamical systems with distributed delays in control, Comput. Math. Appl., 64 (2012), 3201–3209.
- [23] D. Boucenna, A. Ben Makhlouf, O. Naifar, A. Guezane-Lakoudi and M.A. Hammami, Linearized stability analysis of Caputo-Katugampola fractional-order nonlinear systems, J. Nonlinear Funct. Anal., 2018(27) (2018), 1-11.
- [24] S. Divya and K. Balachandran, Constrained controllability of nonlinear neutral fractional integrodifferential systems, J. Control Decision, 4 (2017), 81–99.
- [25] V. Govindaraj, K. Balachandran and R.K. George, Numerical approach for the controllability of composite fractional dynamical systems, J. Appl. Nonlinear Dyna., 7 (2018), 59–72.
- [26] R. Joice Nirmala and K. Balachandran, Controllability of nonlinear fractional delay integrodifferential systems, Disconti. Nonlinearity and Complexity, 5 (2016), 59–73.
- [27] R. Joice Nirmala and K. Balachandran, Relative controllability of nonlinear fractional delay integrodifferential systems with multiple delays in control, Kybernetika, 53 (2017), 161–178.
- [28] R. Joice Nirmala and K. Balachandran, The controllability of nonlinear implicit fractional delay dynamical systems, Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci., 27 (2017), 501–513.
- [29] R. Joice Nirmala, K. Balachandran, L. Rodriguez-Germa and J.J. Trujillo, Controllability of nonlinear fractional delay dynamical systems, Reports on Math. Phy., 77 (2016), 87–104.
- [30] R. Joice Nirmala, K. Balachandran and J.J. Trujillo, Null controllability of fractional dynamical systems with constrained control, Fractional Calculus and Appl. Anal., 20 (2017), 153–167.
- [31] T. Kaczorek, Selected Problems of Fractional Systems Theory, Springer, Berlin, 2011.
- [32] U.N. Katugampola, New approach to a generalized fractional integral, Appl. Math. Comput., 218 (2011), 860–865.
- [33] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava and J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [34] J. Klamka, Controllability of Dynamical Systems, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1993.

- [35] N. Limpanukorn, P. Sa Ngiamsunthorn, D. Songsanga and A. Suechoei, On the stability of differential systems involving ψ -Hilfer fractional derivative, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **27**(3) (2022), 513-532.
- [36] D. Matignon and B. d'Andrea-Novel, Some results on controllability and observability of finite-dimensional fractional differential systems, Comput. Eng. Syst. Appl., 2 (1996), 952–956.
- [37] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press, New York, 1999.
- [38] A.B. Shamarda and M.R.A. Moubarak, Controllability and observability of fractional control systems, J. Fractionl Calculus, 15 (1999), 25–34.
- [39] B. Sikora and J. Klamka, Constrained controllability of fractional linear systems with delays in control, Syst. Control Lett., 106 (2017), 9–15.
- [40] P. Sureshkumar, K. Balachandran and N. Annapoorani, Controllability of nonlinear fractional Langevin delay systems, Nonlinear Anal.: Model. Control, 23 (2018), 321– 340.
- [41] P. Sureshkumar, K. Balachandran and N. Annapoorani, Relative controllability of nonlinear fractional Langevin systems with delays in control, Vietnam J. Math., 48 (2020), 67–81.