Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications Vol. 17, No. 1 (2012), pp. 119-130

http://nfaa.kyungnam.ac.kr/jour-nfaa.htm Copyright \bigodot 2012 Kyungnam University Press

AN L_p INEQUALITY FOR POLYNOMIALS

N. A. Rather¹ and M. A. Shah²

¹P.G. Department of Mathematics, Kashmir University Hazratbal, Srinagar-190006, India e-mail: dr.narather@gmail.com

²P.G. Department of Mathematics, Kashmir University Hazratbal, Srinagar-190006, India

Abstract. In this paper an L^p -inequality for the sth derivative of a polynomial not vanishing in |z| < k where $k \ge 1$ is obtained, which refines and generalizes some known polynomial inequalities.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $P_n(z)$ denote the space of all complex polynomials $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$ of degree at most n. For $P \in P_n$, define

$$\|P\|_{p} := \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| P(e^{i\theta}) \right|^{p} \right\}^{1/p}, \ 1 \le p < \infty,$$

$$\|P\|_{\infty} := \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \ and \ m := \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|.$$

If $P \in P_{n}$, then
$$\|P'\|_{\infty} \le n \|P\|_{\infty}$$
(1.1)

and

$$\|P'\|_p \le n \|P\|_p. \tag{1.2}$$

Inequality (1.1) is a well-known result of S. Bernstein (see [12] or [15]) whereas inequality (1.2) is due to Zygmund [16]. Arestov [1] proved that the inequality (1.2) remains true for $0 as well. Equality in (1.1) and (1.2) holds for <math>P(z) = az^n$, $a \neq 0$. If we let $p \to \infty$ in (1.2), we get inequality (1.1)

⁰Received August 13, 2011. Revised February 23, 2012.

⁰2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26D10, 41A17.

⁰Keywords: Polynomials, L^p inequalities, Complex domains.

If we restrict ourselves to the class of polynomials $P \in P_n$ having no zero in |z| < 1, then both the inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) can be improved. In fact, if $P \in P_n$ and $P(z) \neq 0$ for |z| < 1, then (1.1) and (1.2) can be, respectively, replaced by

$$\left\|P'\right\|_{\infty} \le \frac{n}{2} \left\|P\right\|_{\infty} \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$\left\|P'\right\|_{p} \le \frac{n}{\left\|1+z\right\|_{p}} \left\|P\right\|_{p}, \ p \ge 1.$$
 (1.4)

Inequality (1.3) was conjectured by P. Erdös and later verified by P. D. Lax [10] whereas the inequality (1.4) was found out by De Bruijn [6]. Rahman and Schmeisser [13] proved the inequality (1.4) remains true for $0 as well. Both the estimates are sharp and equality in (1.3) and (1.4) holds for <math>P(z) = az^n + b$, |a| = |b|.

As an improvement of inequality (1.3), Aziz and Dawood [2] proved that if $P \in P_n$ and $P(z) \neq 0$ for |z| < 1, then

$$\left\|P'\right\|_{\infty} \le \frac{n}{2} \left\{ \left\|P\right\|_{\infty} - \min_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \right\}.$$
 (1.5)

Malik [11] generalized inequality (1.3) by proving that if $P \in P_n$ and P(z) does not vanish in |z| < k where $k \ge 1$, then

$$||P'||_{\infty} \le \frac{n}{1+k} ||P||_{\infty}.$$
 (1.6)

Govil and Rahman [8] extended inequality (1.6) to L_p -norm by proving that if $P \in P_n$ and $P(z) \neq 0$ for |z| < k where $k \ge 1$, then

$$||P'||_p \le \frac{n}{||k+z||_p} ||P||_p, \ p \ge 1.$$
 (1.7)

It was shown by Gardner and Weems [7] and independently by Rather [14] that the inequality (1.7) remains true for $0 as well. As a refinement of inequality (1.6), Govil et al.[9] proved that if <math>P \in P_n$ and $P(z) \neq 0$ for $|z| < k, k \ge 1$, then

$$\left\|P'\right\|_{\infty} \le n \left\{\frac{n|a_0| + k^2|a_1|}{n|a_0|(1+k^2) + 2k^2|a_1|}\right\} \|P\|_{\infty}.$$
(1.8)

Inequality (1.6) was further generalized by Govil and Rahman [8] to the sth derivative of P(z) by showing that if $P \in P_n$ and $P(z) \neq 0$ for $|z| < k, k \ge 1$, then

$$\left\|P^{(s)}\right\|_{\infty} \le \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{1+k^s} \,\|P\|_{\infty} \,. \tag{1.9}$$

Aziz and Shah [5] extended inequality (1.9) to L^p mean of |P(z)| by proving that if $P \in P_n$ and $P(z) \neq 0$ for $|z| < k, k \ge 1$, then for each p > 0,

$$\left\| P^{(s)} \right\|_p \le \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{\|k^s + z\|_p} \, \|P\|_p \,. \tag{1.10}$$

Recently Aziz and Rather [4] refined inequality (1.10) by showing that if $P \in P_n$ and $P(z) \neq 0$ for $|z| < k, k \ge 1$, then for each p > 0 and $1 \le s < n$,

$$\left\| P^{(s)} \right\|_{p} \leq \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{\left\| \delta_{k,s} + z \right\|_{p}} \left\| P \right\|_{p},$$
(1.11)

where $\delta_{k,s}$ is defined by

$$\delta_{k,s} = \left\{ \frac{C(n,s)|a_0|k^{s+1} + |a_s|k^{2s}}{C(n,s)|a_0| + |a_s|k^{s+1}} \right\}.$$
(1.12)

In this paper we present following result which includes not only a refinement of inequality (1.10) as a special case but also leads to some striking conclusion giving refinements and generalizations of other well known results.

Theorem 1.1. If $P \in P_n$ and P(z) does not vanish in |z| < k where $k \ge 1$, then for every real or complex number β with $|\beta| \le 1$, p > 0, $0 \le t \le 1$ and $1 \le s < n$,

$$\left\| P^{(s)} + \beta \frac{tn(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)m}{1+\phi(k,s,t)} \right\|_{p}$$

$$\leq \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{\|\phi(k,s,t)+z\|_{p}} \|P\|_{p}$$

$$(1.13)$$

where $\phi(k, s, t)$ is defined by

$$\phi(k,s,t) = k^s \left\{ \frac{k + \lambda(k,s,t)}{1 + k\lambda(k,s,t)} \right\}$$
(1.14)

and

$$\lambda(k, s, t) = \frac{|a_s|k^s}{C(n, s)(|a_0| - tm)} \le 1.$$
(1.15)

Remark 1.2. For t = 0, inequality (1.13) reduces to inequality (1.11).

Making use of inequalities (1.14) and (1.15) and the fact that

$$\|\phi(k,s,t) + z\|_{p} \ge \|k^{s} + z\|_{p}, \quad p > 0,$$

we immediately obtain the following refinement as well as generalization of inequality (1.10).

Corollary 1.3. If $P \in P_n$ and P(z) does not vanish in |z| < k where $k \ge 1$, then for every real or complex number β with $|\beta| \le 1$, p > 0, $0 \le t \le 1$ and $1 \le s < n$,

$$\left\| P^{(s)} + \beta \frac{tn(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)m}{1+\phi(k,s,t)} \right\|_{p}$$

$$\leq \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{\|k^{s}+z\|_{p}} \|P\|_{p}.$$
(1.16)

Letting $p \to \infty$ in (1.13) and choosing argument of β , with $|\beta| = 1$, suitably, we obtain the following interesting refinement of inequality (1.9).

Corollary 1.4. If $P \in P_n$ and P(z) does not vanish in |z| < k where $k \ge 1$, then for $0 \le t \le 1$ and $1 \le s < n$,

$$\left\|P^{(s)}\right\|_{\infty} \le \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{1+\phi(k,s,t)} \left\{\|P\|_{\infty} - tm(p,k)\right\}.$$
 (1.17)

Taking t = 0 in Corollary 2, we immediately get the following refinement of inequality (1.9).

Corollary 1.5. If $P \in P_n$ and P(z) does not vanish in |z| < k where $k \ge 1$, then for $1 \le s < n$,

$$\left\|P^{(s)}\right\|_{\infty} \le \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{1+\phi(k,s,0)} \left\|P\right\|_{\infty}.$$
(1.18)

2. Lemmas

For the proof of the theorem, we need the following lemmas. The first lemma is due to Aziz and Rather [4].

Lemma 2.1. If $P \in P_n$ and P(z) does not vanish in |z| < k where $k \ge 1$ and $Q(z) = z^n \overline{P(1/\overline{z})}$, then for $1 \le s < n$,

$$\delta_{k,s}|P^{(s)}(z)| \le |Q^{(s)}(z)| \text{ for } |z| = 1,$$
(2.1)

where $\delta_{k,s}$ is defined by

$$\delta_{k,s} = \left\{ \frac{C(n,s)|a_0|k^{s+1} + |a_s|k^{2s}}{C(n,s)|a_0| + |a_s|k^{s+1}} \right\}.$$
(2.2)

Lemma 2.2. If $P \in P_n$ and P(z) has all its zeros in $|z| \leq \rho$ where $\rho \leq 1$, then for $1 \leq s < n$,

$$|P^{(s)}(z)| \ge \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{\rho^n} m(P,\rho) \ for \ |z| = 1.$$
 (2.3)

Proof. By hypothesis all the zeros of P(z) lie in $|z| \leq \rho$ where $\rho \leq 1$ and $m(P,\rho) = \underset{|z|=\rho}{Min} |P(z)|$, therefore, all the zeros of $F(z) = P(\rho z)$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$ and

This implies $m(P,\rho)|z|^n = m(P,\rho) \le |F(z)|$ for |z| = 1. We first show that the polynomial $G(z) = F(z) + \alpha m(P,\rho) z^n$ has all its zeros in $|z| \le 1$ for every real or complex number α with $|\alpha| < 1$. This is obvious if $m(P,\rho) = 0$, that is, if F(z) has a zero on |z| = 1. We now assume that all the zeros of F(z) lie in |z| < 1, so that $m(P,\rho) > 0$. This gives for |z| = 1 and $|\alpha| < 1$.

$$|m(p,\rho)\alpha z^n| = m(P,\rho)|\alpha||z|^n = m(P,\rho)|\alpha| < m(P,\rho) \le |F(z)|.$$

A direct application of Rouche's theorem shows that the polynomial $G(z) = F(z) + \alpha m(P, \rho) |z|^n$ has all its zeros in |z| < 1 for every real or complex number α with $|\alpha| < 1$. By repeated application of Gauss-Lucas theorem, it follows that all the zeros of $G^{(s)}(z) = F^{(s)}(z) + n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)\alpha m(P,\rho)z^{n-s}$ lie in |z| < 1 for every real or complex number α with $|\alpha| < 1$. This implies

$$|F^{(s)}(z)| \ge n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)m(P,\rho)|z|^{n-s} \text{ for } |z| \ge 1.$$
(2.4)

If the inequality (2.4) is not true, then there is a point $z = z_0$ with $|z_0| \ge 1$ such that

$$|F^{(s)}(z_0)| < n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)m(P,\rho)|z_0|^{n-s}$$

We choose

$$\alpha = -\frac{F^{(s)}(z_0)}{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)m(P,\rho)z_0^{n-s}},$$

then clearly $|\alpha| < 1$ and with this choice of α , we get $G^{(s)}(z_0) = 0$ where $|z_0| \ge 1$. This is a contradiction to the fact that all the zeros of $G^{(s)}(z)$ lie in |z| < 1. Hence the inequality (2.4) is established. Replacing F(z) by $P(\rho z)$ in (2.4), we obtain

$$\rho^{s}|P^{(s)}(\rho z)| \ge n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)m(P,\rho)|z|^{n-s} \text{ for } |z| \ge 1.$$

Taking, in particular, $z = e^{i\theta}/\rho$ where $\rho \leq 1$ so that $|z| = (1/\rho) \geq 1$, we get

$$\rho^{s}|P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| \ge \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{\rho^{n-s}}m(P,\rho), \ 0 \le \theta < 2\pi,$$

or equivalently,

$$|P^{(s)}(z)| \ge \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{\rho^n}m(P,\rho) \text{ for } |z| = 1.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.3. If $P \in P_n$ and P(z) does not vanish in |z| < k where $k \ge 1$ and $Q(z) = z^n \overline{P(1/\overline{z})}$, then for $0 \le t \le 1$ and $1 \le s < n$,

$$\phi(k,s,t)|P^{(s)}(z)| \le |Q^{(s)}(z)| - tn(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)m(P,k)$$
(2.5)

where $\phi(k, s, t)$ is defined by

$$\phi(k,s,t) = k^s \left\{ \frac{k + \lambda(k,s,t)}{1 + k\lambda(k,s,t)} \right\}$$
(2.6)

and

$$\lambda(k, s, t) = \frac{|a_s|k^s}{C(n, s)(|a_0| - tm)} \le 1.$$
(2.7)

Proof. By hypothesis, $P \in P_n$ has all its zeros in $|z| \ge k \ge 1$ and $m(P,k) = Min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$, therefore,

$$m(P,k) \le |P(z)| \text{ for } |z| = k.$$
 (2.8)

We first show that for every real or complex number α with $|\alpha| \leq 1$, the polynomial $f(z) = P(z) - \alpha m(P, k)$ has all its zeros in $|z| \geq k \geq 1$. This is clear if P(z) has a zero on |z| = k, for then m(P, k) = 0 and f(z) = P(z). In case P(z) has no zero on |z| = k, the clearly m(P, k) > 0. since m(P, k)/P(z) is not a constant, by the Maximum Modulus Principle, it follows from (2.8) that

$$m(P,k) < |P(z)| \quad for \quad |z| < k.$$
 (2.9)

Now if f(z) has a zero in |z| < k, say at $z = z_0$ with $|z_0| < k$, then $P(z_0) - \alpha m(P,k) = f(z_0) = 0$. This implies

$$|P(z_0)| = |\alpha m(P,k)| \le m(P,k) \text{ where } |z_0| < k,$$

which contradicts (2.9) and hence in any case $f(z) = P(z) - \alpha m(P,k)$ has all its zeros in $|z| \ge k \ge 1$ for every real or complex number α with $|\alpha| \le 1$. Applying Lemma 2.1 to the polynomial

$$f(z) = P(z) - \alpha m(P, k) = (a_0 - \alpha m(P, k)) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j z^j,$$

we get for every real or complex number α with $|\alpha| \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(k,s)|P^{(s)}(z)| \\ &\leq |Q^{(s)}(z) - \bar{\alpha}n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)m(P,k)z^{n-s}| \ for \ |z| = 1. \end{aligned}$$
(2.10)

where $\phi_1(k, s)$ is defined by

$$\phi_1(k,s) = k^s \left\{ \frac{k + \lambda_1(k,s)}{1 + k\lambda_1(k,s)} \right\}$$
(2.11)

and

•

$$\lambda_1(k,s) = \frac{|a_s|k^s}{C(n,s)|a_0 - \alpha m|} \le 1 \quad with \ m = m(P,k).$$
(2.12)

Using $|a_0| - |\alpha| m \le |a_0 - \alpha m|$ and $k \ge 1$, it can be easily verified that

$$\phi(k,s,|\alpha|) = k^{s} \left\{ \frac{k + \lambda(k,s,|\alpha|)}{1 + k\lambda(k,s,|\alpha|)} \right\}$$

$$= k^{s} \left\{ \frac{k + \frac{|a_{s}|k^{s}}{C(n,s)(|a_{0}| - |\alpha|m)}}{1 + k\frac{|a_{s}|k^{s}}{C(n,s)(|a_{0}| - |\alpha|m)}} \right\}$$

$$\leq k^{s} \left\{ \frac{k + \frac{|a_{s}|k^{s}}{C(n,s)|a_{0} - \alpha m|}}{1 + k\frac{|a_{s}|k^{s}}{C(n,s)|a_{0} - \alpha m|}} \right\}$$

$$= k^{s} \left\{ \frac{k + \lambda_{1}(k,s)}{1 + k\lambda_{1}(k,s)} \right\} = \phi_{1}(k,s). \quad (2.13)$$

Also, since all the zeros $Q(z)=z^n\overline{P(1/\bar{z})}$ lie in $|z|\leq (1/k)\leq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&Min_{|z|=\frac{1}{k}}|Q(z)| = Min_{|z|=\frac{1}{k}}|z^{n}\overline{P(1/\bar{z})}| = Min_{|z|=1}|\frac{z^{n}}{k^{n}}\overline{P(k/\bar{z})}| = \frac{1}{k^{n}}Min_{|z|=k}|P(z)| = \frac{m(P,k)}{k^{n}},
\end{aligned}$$

we conclude by Lemma 2.2 (with P(z) replaced by Q(z) and ρ by 1/k) that

$$|Q^{(s)}(z)| \ge n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)k^n m(Q, \frac{1}{k})$$

= $n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)m(P,k)$ for $|z| = 1.$ (2.14)

Choosing argument of $\alpha, |\alpha| \leq 1$, on the right hand side of (2.10) such that for |z| = 1,

$$|Q^{(s)}(z) - \bar{\alpha}n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)m(P,k)z^{n-s}| = |Q^{(s)}(z)| - |\alpha|n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)m(P,k)$$

(which is possible by (2.14)), it follows from (2.10) by using (2.13) that for $|\beta| \le 1$ and |z| = 1,

$$\phi(k,s,|\alpha|)|P^{(s)}(z)| \le |Q^{(s)}(z)| - |\alpha|n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)m(P,k)$$
 (2.15)

where

$$\phi(k,s,|\alpha|) = k^s \left\{ \frac{k + \lambda(k,s,|\alpha|)}{1 + k\lambda(k,s,|\alpha|)} \right\}$$
(2.16)

and

$$\lambda(k,s,|\alpha|) = \frac{|a_s|k^s}{C(n,s)(|a_0| - |\alpha|m|)}$$

N. A. Rather and M. A. Shah

Since (2.11) is true for all α with $|\alpha| \leq 1$ and by (2.9), $m = m(p,k) < |P(0)| = |a_0|$, we can choose argument of α in (2.12) such that $|a_0 - \alpha m| = |a_0| - |\alpha|m$. For this choice of the argument of α , we get from (2.12) that

$$\lambda(k, s, |\alpha|) = \lambda_1(k, s) \le 1. \tag{2.17}$$

The inequalities (2.15),(2.16) and (2.17) are equivalent to (2.5),(2.6) and (2.7) respectively with $t = |\alpha|$ where $0 \le t \le 1$. This completes the proof of lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.4. If $P \in P_n$ and $Q(z) = z^n \overline{P(1/\overline{z})}$, then for each α , $0 \le \alpha < 2\pi$ and p > 0,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| P'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} Q'(e^{i\theta}) \right|^p d\theta d\alpha \le n^p \int_0^{2\pi} \left| P(e^{i\theta}) \right|^p d\theta.$$
(2.18)

Lemma 4 is due to Aziz and Rather [4].

Lemma 2.5. If A, B, C are non-negative real numbers such that $B + C \leq A$, then for every real α ,

 $\left| (A - C)e^{i\alpha} + (B + C) \right| \le \left| Ae^{i\alpha} + B \right|.$

Lemma 5 is also due to Aziz and Rather [3].

3. Proof of the theorem 1.1

Proof. By hypothesis $P \in P_n$ and P(z) does not vanish in $|z| < k, k \ge 1$, therefore, by Lemma 2.3, for each θ , $0 \le \theta < 2\pi$, we have

$$\phi(k,s,t)|P^{(s)}(z)| \le |Q^{(s)}(z)| - tn(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)m(P,k)$$

where $\phi(k, s, t)$ is defined by (2.6). This implies

$$\phi(k,s,t)\left\{|P^{(s)}(z)| + \frac{tn(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)m(P,k)}{1+\phi(k,s,t)}\right\} \le \left\{|Q^{(s)}(z)| - \frac{tn(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)m(P,k)}{1+\phi(k,s,t)}\right\}.$$
(3.1)

Taking

$$A = |Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})|, \ B = |P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| \ and \ C = \frac{tn(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)m(P,k)}{1+\phi(k,s,t)},$$

in Lamma 2.5 and noting by (3.1) that for $\phi(k, s, t) \ge 1$,

$$B + C \le \phi(k, s, t)(B + C) \le (A - C) \le A,$$

we get for every real α ,

$$\left| \left\{ |Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| - \frac{tn(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)m(P,k)}{1+\phi(k,s,t)} \right\} e^{i\alpha} + \left\{ |P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{tn(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)m(P,k)}{1+\phi(k,s,t)} \right\} \right|$$

$$\leq \left| |Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| e^{i\alpha} + |P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| \right|.$$

This implies for each p > 0,

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |G(\theta) + e^{i\alpha} F(\theta)|^p d\theta \le \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| |P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| + e^{i\alpha} |Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| \right|^p d\theta,$$
(3.2) where

where

$$F(\theta) = |Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| - \frac{tn(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)m(P,k)}{1+\phi(k,s,t)}|$$

and

$$G(\theta) = |P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{tn(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)m(P,k)}{1+\phi(k,s,t)}|$$

Integrating both sides of (3.2) with respect to α on $[0,2\pi]$, we get for each p > 0 and α real,

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |G(\theta) + e^{i\alpha} F(\theta)|^{p} d\theta d\alpha$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| |P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| + e^{i\alpha} |Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| \right|^{p} d\theta d\alpha.$$
(3.3)

Now for points $e^{i\theta}$, for which $P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) \neq 0$, we have

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha}Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) \right|^{p} d\alpha = \left| P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) \right|^{p} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \frac{Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})}{P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})} e^{i\alpha} + 1 \right|^{p} d\alpha$$
$$= \left| P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) \right|^{p} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \left| \frac{Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})}{P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})} \right| e^{i\alpha} + 1 \right|^{p} d\alpha$$
$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \left| P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) \right| + e^{i\alpha} \left| Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) \right| \right|^{p} d\alpha.$$

Since this inequality is trivially true for points $e^{i\theta}$ for which $P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) = 0$, it follows that

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| |P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| + e^{i\alpha} |Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| \right|^{p} d\alpha = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) \right|^{p} d\alpha. (3.4)$$

Let $f(z) = P(z) + e^{i\alpha}Q(z)$ where $Q(z) = z^n \overline{P(1/\overline{z})}$, then $f \in P_n$ and we have

$$f^{(s)}(z) = P^{(s)}(z) + e^{i\alpha}Q^{(s)}(z),$$

which is clearly a polynomial of degree at most n - s, $1 \le s < n$. Integrating the two sides of (3.4) with respect to θ from 0 to 2π , we obtain by repeated application of inequality (1.2), for each p > 0,

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| |P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| + e^{i\alpha} |Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| \right|^{p} d\theta d\alpha \\ &= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) \right|^{p} d\theta d\alpha \\ &\leq 2\pi (n-s+1)^{p} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| P^{(s-1)}(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} Q^{(s-1)}(e^{i\theta}) \right|^{p} d\theta d\alpha \\ &\leq 2\pi (n-s+1)^{p} (n-s+2)^{p} \cdots (n-1)^{p} \\ &\qquad \times \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| P'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} Q'(e^{i\theta}) \right|^{p} d\theta d\alpha \\ &\leq 2\pi (n-s+1)^{p} (n-s+2)^{p} \cdots (n-1)^{p} n^{p} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{p} d\theta. \end{split}$$
(3.5)

Combining (3.3) and (3.5), it follows for each p > 0,

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |F(\theta) + e^{i\alpha} G(\theta)|^{p} d\theta d\alpha$$

$$\leq 2\pi (n-s+1)^{p} (n-s+2)^{p} \cdots (n-1)^{p} n^{p} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{p} d\theta. \quad (3.6)$$

Now for every real α and $R \ge r \ge 1$, we have

$$|R+e^{i\alpha}|\geq |r+e^{i\alpha}|,$$

which implies for each p > 0,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |R + e^{i\alpha}|^p d\alpha \ge \int_0^{2\pi} |r + e^{i\alpha}|^p d\alpha.$$

If $G(\theta) \neq 0$, we take

$$R = |F(\theta)|/|G(\theta)| \text{ and } r = \phi(k, s, t),$$

then by (3.1), $R \ge r \ge 1$ and we get

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |G(\theta) + e^{i\alpha}F(\theta)|^{p}d\alpha = |G(\theta)|^{p} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \frac{F(\theta)}{G(\theta)} e^{i\alpha} + 1 \right|^{p}d\alpha$$
$$= |G(\theta)|^{p} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \left| \frac{F(\theta)}{G(\theta)} \right| e^{i\alpha} + 1 \right|^{p}d\alpha$$
$$= |G(\theta)|^{p} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \left| \frac{F(\theta)}{G(\theta)} \right| + e^{i\alpha} \right|^{p}d\alpha$$
$$\geq |G(\theta)|^{p} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \phi(k, s, t) + e^{i\alpha} \right|^{p}d\alpha.$$

For $G(\theta) = 0$, this inequality is trivially true. Using this in (3.6), we conclude that for each p > 0 and α real,

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \phi(k,s,t) + e^{i\alpha} \right|^{p} d\alpha \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left\{ |P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{tn(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)m(P,k)}{1+\phi(k,s,t)} \right\}^{p} d\theta$$

$$\leq 2\pi n^{p} (n-1)^{p} \cdots (n-s+1)^{p} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| P(e^{i\theta}) \right|^{p} d\theta.$$

This implies for every real or complex number β with $|\beta| \leq 1$, p > 0 and α real,

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \phi(k,s,t) + e^{i\alpha} \right|^{p} d\alpha \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) + \beta \frac{tn(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)m(P,k)}{1+\phi(k,s,t)} \right|^{p} d\theta$$

$$\leq 2\pi n^{p}(n-1)^{p}\cdots(n-s+1)^{p} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| P(e^{i\theta}) \right|^{p} d\theta,$$

which immediately leads to (1.13) and this completes the proof of the Theorem 1.1.

References

- V. V. Arestov, On integral inequalities for trigonometric polynimials and their derivatives, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 45 (1981, 3-22[in Russian]. English translation; Math. USSR-Izv., 18 (1982), 1-17.
- [2] A. Aziz and Q. M. Dawood, Inequalities for a polynomial and its derivative, J.Approx. Theory, 54 (1988), 306–313.
- [3] A. Aziz and N. A. Rather, New L^q inequalities for polynomials, Math. Ineq. Appl., 1 (1998), 177–191.
- [4] A. Aziz and N. A. Rather, Some Zygmund type L^q inequalities for polynomials, J. Math, Anal. Appl., 289 (2004), 14–29.
- [5] A. Aziz and W. M. Shah, L^p inequalities for polynomials with restricted zeros, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci., 108 (1998), 63–68.
- [6] N. G. de Bruijn, Inequalities concerning polynomials in the complex domain, Nederal. Akad. Wetensch. Proc., 50 (1947), 1265–1272.
- [7] R. Gardner and A. Weems, A Bernstein type L^p inequality for a certain class of polynomials, J. Math, Anal. Appl., 219 (1998), 472–478.
- [8] N. K. Govil and Q. I. Rahman, Functions of exponential type not vanishing in a half plane and related polynomials, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 37(1969), 501–517.
- [9] N. K. Govil, Q. I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, On the derivative of a polynomial, Illinois J. Math., 23 (1979), 319–330.
- [10] P. D. Lax, Proof of a conjecture of P.Erdös on the derivative of a polynomial, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 50 (1944), 509–513.
- [11] M. A. Malik, On the derivative of a polynomial, J. London Math. Soc., 91 (1969), 57–60.
- [12] G. V. Milovanovic, D. S. Mitrinovic and Th. M. Rassias, *Topics in Polynomials: Extremal Properties, Inequalities, Zeros*, World scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, (1994).
- [13] Q. I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, L^p inequalities for polynomials, J. Approx. Theory, 53 (1998), 26–32.

- [14] N. A. Rather, Extremal properties and location of the zeros of polynomials, Ph.D thesis, University of Kashmir, 1998.
- [15] A. C. Schaffer, Inequalities of A. Markoff and S. Bernstein for polynomials and related functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 47 (1941), 565–579.
 [16] A. Zygmund, A remark on conjugate series, Proc. London Math. Soc., 34 (1932), 292–
- 400.