



## FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR SIX MAPS IN G-METRIC SPACES

Shaban Sedghi<sup>1</sup> and Nabi Shobkolaei<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Qaemshahr Branch  
Islamic Azad University, Qaemshahr, Iran  
e-mail: [sedghi.gh@qaemshahriau.ac.ir](mailto:sedghi.gh@qaemshahriau.ac.ir)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Babol Branch  
Islamic Azad University, Babol, Iran  
e-mail: [nabi\\_shobe@yahoo.com](mailto:nabi_shobe@yahoo.com)

**Abstract.** In this paper we obtain a unique common fixed point theorem for six mappings in  $G$ -metric spaces.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1992 Dhage introduced a new class of generalized metric spaces called  $D$ -metric spaces [1-4] as a generalization of ordinary metric function  $(X, d)$  and went on to present several fixed point results for single and multivalued mappings. Mustafa and Sims [11] and Naidu et al. [7-9] demonstrated that most of the claims concerning the fundamental topological structure of  $D$ -metric space are incorrect, alternatively, Mustafa and Sims [12] introduce more appropriate notion of generalized metric space which called  $G$ -metric spaces, and obtained some topological properties. Later several authors like [5, 6, 10, 13-17] obtained some fixed point theorems for a single map in  $G$ -metric spaces.

In this paper, we obtain a unique common fixed point theorem for six mappings in  $G$ -metric spaces and obtain some theorems of [10] and [18] as corollaries to our theorem.

---

<sup>0</sup>Received January 30, 2013. Revised June 2, 2013.

<sup>0</sup>2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

<sup>0</sup>Keywords:  $G$ -metric, common fixed points, complete space.

First, we present some known definitions and propositions in  $G$ -metric spaces.

**Definition 1.1.** ([12]) Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and let  $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a function satisfying the following properties :

- ( $G_1$ )  $G(x, y, z) = 0$  if  $x = y = z$ ,
- ( $G_2$ )  $0 < G(x, x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ ,
- ( $G_3$ )  $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$  with  $y \neq z$ ,
- ( $G_4$ )  $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \dots$ , symmetry in all three variables,
- ( $G_5$ )  $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z, a \in X$ .

Then the function  $G$  is called a generalized metric or a  $G$ -metric on  $X$  and the pair  $(X, G)$  is called a  $G$ -metric space.

**Definition 1.2.** ([12]) Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ . A point  $x \in X$  is said to be limit of  $\{x_n\}$  if  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} G(x, x_n, x_m) = 0$ . In this case, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be  $G$ -convergent to  $x$ .

**Definition 1.3.** ([12]) Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ .  $\{x_n\}$  is called  $G$ -Cauchy if  $\lim_{n, m, l \rightarrow \infty} G(x_l, x_n, x_m) = 0$ . And  $(X, G)$  is called  $G$ -complete if every  $G$ -Cauchy sequence in  $(X, G)$  is  $G$ -convergent in  $(X, G)$ .

**Proposition 1.4.** ([12]) *In a  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$ , the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is  $G$ -Cauchy.*
- (2) *For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \varepsilon$ , for all  $n, m \geq N$ .*

**Proposition 1.5.** ([12]) *Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. Then the function  $G(x, y, z)$  is jointly continuous in all three of its variables.*

**Proposition 1.6.** ([12]) *Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. Then for any  $x, y, z, a \in X$ , it follows that*

- (i) *if  $G(x, y, z) = 0$  then  $x = y = z$ ,*
- (ii)  *$G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, x, y) + G(x, x, z)$  ,*
- (iii)  *$G(x, y, y) \leq 2G(x, x, y)$ ,*
- (iv)  *$G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, z) + G(a, y, z)$ ,*
- (v)  *$G(x, y, z) \leq \frac{2}{3}[G(x, a, a) + G(y, a, a) + G(z, a, a)]$ .*

**Proposition 1.7.** ([12]) *Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. Then for a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$  and a point  $x \in X$ , the following are equivalent*

- (i)  $\{x_n\}$  is  $G$ -convergent to  $x$ ,
- (ii)  $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- (iii)  $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- (iv)  $G(x_m, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Definition 1.8.** ([12]) Let  $(X, G)$  and  $(X', G')$  be two  $G$ -metric spaces, and let  $f : (X, G) \rightarrow (X', G')$  be a function. Then  $f$  is said to be  $G$ -continuous at a point  $a \in X$  if and only if, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $x, y \in X$ ; and  $G(a, x, y) < \delta$  implies  $G'(f(a), f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon$ . A function  $f$  is  $G$ -continuous at  $X$  if and only if it is  $G$ -continuous at all  $a \in X$ .

**Proposition 1.9.** ([12]) Let  $(X, G)$ , and  $(X', G')$  be two  $G$ -metric spaces. Then a function  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  is  $G$ -continuous at a point  $x \in X$  if and only if it is  $G$ -sequentially continuous at  $x$ ; that is, whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is  $G$ -convergent to  $x$  we have  $\{f(x_n)\}$  is  $G$ -convergent to  $f(x)$ .

We recall that two maps  $f$  and  $g$  are said to be weak compatible if they commute at their coincidence point, that is,  $fx = gx$  implies that  $fgx = gfx$ .

The main aim of this paper is to present a generalization of Theorem 2.1 in [18].

## 2. MAIN RESULT

Let  $\Phi$  denote the class of all functions  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\phi$  is non decreasing, continuous and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^n(t) < \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ . It is clear that  $\phi^n(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $t > 0$  and hence, we have  $\phi(t) < t$ , for all  $t > 0$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -Complete metric space and  $A, B, C, S, T, R : X \rightarrow X$  be satisfying:

- (i)  $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ ,  $B(X) \subseteq R(X)$ ,  $C(X) \subseteq S(X)$  and either one of  $T(X)$ ,  $R(X)$  or  $S(X)$  is a closed subset of  $X$ ,
- (ii) the pair  $(A, S)$ ,  $(B, T)$  and  $(C, R)$  are weakly compatible,
- (iii)

$$G(Ax, By, Cz) \leq \phi \left( \max \left\{ G(Sx, Ty, Rz), \frac{1}{2}G(Sx, Ax, By), \frac{1}{2}G(Ty, By, Cz), \frac{1}{2}G(Rz, Cz, Ax) \right\} \right) \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where  $\phi \in \Phi$ . Then the maps  $A, B, C, T, R$  and  $S$  have a unique common fixed point, say  $p \in X$  and if  $T, R$  and  $S$  are  $G$ -continuous at  $p$  then  $A, B$  and  $C$  are  $G$ -continuous at  $p$ .

*Proof.* Choose  $x_0 \in X$ . Define the sequence  $y_{3n} = Ax_{3n} = Tx_{3n+1}$ ,  $y_{3n+1} = Bx_{3n+1} = Rx_{3n+2}$ ,  $y_{3n+2} = Cx_{3n+2} = Sx_{3n+3}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Denote  $d_{n+1} = G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})$ . Then in general we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{3n+1} &= G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}) \\ &= G(Ax_{3n}, Bx_{3n+1}, Cx_{3n+2}) \\ &\leq \phi \left( \max \left\{ G(Sx_{3n}, Tx_{3n+1}, Rx_{3n+2}), \frac{1}{2}G(Sx_{3n}, Ax_{3n}, Bx_{3n+1}), \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \frac{1}{2}G(Tx_{3n+1}, Bx_{3n+1}, Cx_{3n+2}), \frac{1}{2}G(Rx_{3n+2}, Cx_{3n+2}, Ax_{3n}) \right\} \right) \\ &= \phi \left( \max \left\{ G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}), \frac{1}{2}G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}), \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \frac{1}{2}G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}), \frac{1}{2}G(y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n}) \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \phi \left( \max \left\{ d_{3n}, \frac{1}{2}d_{3n}, \frac{1}{2}d_{3n+1}, \frac{1}{2}d_{3n+1} \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we must have  $d_{3n+1} \leq \phi(d_{3n})$ . Similarly, we will have  $d_{3n+2} \leq \phi(d_{3n+1})$  and  $d_{3n+3} \leq \phi(d_{3n+2})$ . Thus  $d_{n+1} \leq \phi(d_n)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , so,

$$\begin{aligned} G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) &\leq \phi(G(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1})) \\ &\leq \phi^2(G(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}, y_n)) \\ &\quad \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \\ &\leq \phi^n(G(y_0, y_1, y_2)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

From  $(G_3)$  and (2.2), we have

$$G(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq \phi^n(G(y_0, y_1, y_2)). \tag{2.3}$$

For  $m > n$ , since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^n(t) < \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &G(y_n, y_n, y_m) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} G(y_i, y_i, y_{i+1}) \\ &\leq \phi^n(G(y_0, y_1, y_2)) + \phi^{n+1}(G(y_0, y_1, y_2)) + \dots + \phi^{m-1}(G(y_0, y_1, y_2)) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \phi^i(G(y_0, y_1, y_2)) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\{y_n\}$  is a  $G$ -Cauchy sequence from Proposition 1.4. Since  $X$  is  $G$ -complete, there exists  $p \in X$  such that  $y_n \rightarrow p$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), that is,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_{3n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_{3n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Cx_{3n+2} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{3n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Rx_{3n+2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_{3n+3} = p. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $T(X)$  be a closed subset of  $X$ . Then there exists  $v \in X$  such that  $Tv = p$ . We prove that  $Bv = p$ . For

$$\begin{aligned} & G(Ax_{3n}, Bv, Cx_{3n+2}) \\ & \leq \phi \left( \max \left\{ G(Sx_{3n}, Tv, Rx_{3n+2}), \frac{1}{2}G(Sx_{3n}, Ax_{3n}, Bv), \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \frac{1}{2}G(Tv, Bv, Cx_{3n+2}), \frac{1}{2}G(Rx_{3n+2}, Cx_{3n+2}, Ax_{3n}) \right\} \right) \\ & = \phi \left( \max \left\{ G(y_{3n-1}, p, y_{3n+1}), \frac{1}{2}G(y_{3n-1}, y_{3n}, Bv), \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \frac{1}{2}G(p, Bv, y_{3n+2}), \frac{1}{2}G(y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}, y_{3n}) \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$G(p, Bv, p) \leq \phi \left( \max \left\{ 0, \frac{1}{2}G(p, p, Bv), \frac{1}{2}G(p, Bv, p), 0 \right\} \right),$$

and we have  $Bv = Tv = p$ , since  $\phi(t) < t$  for all  $t > 0$ . Since  $p = Bv \in B(X) \subseteq R(X)$ , there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $Rz = p$ . Similarly, putting  $x = x_{3n}, y = x_{3n+1}, z = p$  in (1) and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $Cz = p$ . Since  $p = Cz \in C(X) \subseteq S(X)$ , there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $Su = p$ .

Similarly, putting  $x = p, y = x_{3n+1}, z = x_{3n+2}$  in (1) and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $Au = p$ . By weak compatible the pair  $(A, S)$ , we have  $ASu = SAu$ , and  $Ap = Sp$ . Also,  $Bp = Tp$  and  $Rp = Cp$ . We prove that  $Ap = p$ . If  $Ap \neq p$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & G(Ap, Bx_{3n+1}, Cx_{3n+2}) \\ & \leq \phi \left( \max \left\{ G(Sp, Tx_{3n+1}, Rx_{3n+2}), \frac{1}{2}G(Sp, Ap, Bx_{3n+1}), \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \frac{1}{2}G(Tx_{3n+1}, Bx_{3n+1}, Cx_{3n+2}), \frac{1}{2}G(Rx_{3n+2}, Cx_{3n+2}, Ap) \right\} \right) \\ & = \phi \left( \max \left\{ G(Ap, y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}), \frac{1}{2}G(Ap, Ap, y_{3n+1}), \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \frac{1}{2}G(y_{3n}, y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+1}), \frac{1}{2}G(y_{3n+1}, y_{3n+2}, Ap) \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(Ap, p, p) & \leq \phi \left( \max \left\{ G(Ap, p, p), \frac{1}{2}G(Ap, Ap, p), 0, \frac{1}{2}G(p, p, Ap) \right\} \right) \\ & \leq \phi \left( \max \left\{ G(Ap, p, p), G(Ap, p, p), 0, \frac{1}{2}G(p, p, Ap) \right\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and we have  $Ap = p$ , since  $\phi(t) < t$  for all  $t > 0$ . Similarly, putting  $x = x_{3n}, y = p, z = x_{3n+2}$  and  $x = x_{3n}, y = x_{3n+1}, z = p$  in (1) and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $Bp = p$  and  $Cp = p$ , respectively. Thus  $p$  is a common fixed point of  $A, B, C, T, R$  and  $S$ .

Suppose  $p'$  is another common fixed point of  $A, B, C, T, R$  and  $S$ . Then from (1), we have

$$G(Ap, Bp, Cp') \leq \phi \left( \max \left\{ G(p, p, p'), 0, \frac{1}{2}G(p, p, p'), \frac{1}{2}G(p', p', p) \right\} \right).$$

Thus,  $G(p, p, p') \leq \phi(G(p, p, p'))$  so that  $p = p'$ . Thus,  $p$  is the unique common fixed point of  $A, B, C, T, R$  and  $S$ . Let  $\{y_n\}$  be any sequence in  $X$

such that  $\{y_n\}$  is  $G$ -convergent to  $p$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(Ay_n, Ap, Ap) &= G(Ay_n, Bp, Cp) \\ &\leq \phi \left( \max \left\{ G(Sy_n, p, p), \frac{1}{2}G(Sy_n, Ay_n, p), \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. 0, \frac{1}{2}G(p, p, Ay_n) \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \phi \left( \max \left\{ G(Sy_n, p, p), \frac{1}{2}G(Sy_n, p, p) + \frac{1}{2}G(p, Ay_n, p), \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. 0, \frac{1}{2}G(p, p, Ay_n) \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then since  $S$  is  $G$ -continuous at  $p$  we get,  $\alpha \leq \phi(\frac{1}{2}\alpha)$ , where

$$\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(Ay_n, Ap, Ap).$$

This implies that  $\alpha = 0$ . Then from Proposition 1.9, we deduce that  $A$  is  $G$ -continuous at  $p$ . Similarly, we can show that  $B$  and  $C$  are also  $G$ -continuous at  $p$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** (Corollary 2.1 of [18]) *Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -Complete metric space and  $A, B, C : X \rightarrow X$  be satisfying:*

$$G(Ax, By, Cz) \leq \phi \left( \max \left\{ G(x, y, z), \frac{1}{2}G(x, Ax, By), \right. \right. \quad (2.4)$$

$$\left. \left. \frac{1}{2}G(y, By, Cz), \frac{1}{2}G(z, Cz, Ax) \right\} \right)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where  $\phi \in \Phi$ . Then the maps  $A, B, C$  have a unique common fixed point, say  $p \in X$  and  $A, B$  and  $C$  are  $G$ -continuous at  $p$ .

*Proof.* Take  $T = R = S = I$  identity map in Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -Complete metric space and  $A, B : X \rightarrow X$  be satisfying:*

- (i)  $A(X) \subseteq B(X)$ ,  $B(X)$  is a closed subset of  $X$  and the pair  $(A, B)$  is weakly compatible,
- (ii)

$$G(Ax, Ay, Az) \leq \phi \left( \max \left\{ G(Bx, By, Bz), \frac{1}{2}G(Bx, Ax, Ay), \right. \right. \quad (2.5)$$

$$\left. \left. \frac{1}{2}G(By, Ay, Az), \frac{1}{2}G(Bz, Az, Ax) \right\} \right)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where  $\phi \in \Phi$ . Then the maps  $A, B$  have a unique common fixed point, say  $p \in X$  and if  $B$  is  $G$ -continuous at  $p$  then  $A$  is  $G$ -continuous at  $p$ .

*Proof.* Take  $B = C = A$  and  $T = R = S = B$  in Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

The following example illustrates Theorem 2.1 with  $\phi(t) = \frac{t}{2}$ .

**Example 2.4.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $G(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Define  $A, B, C, T, R, S : X \rightarrow X$  as  $Ax = Cx = 1$ ,  $Bx = \frac{3+x}{4}$ ,  $Tx = x$ ,  $Sx = \frac{x^2+x}{2}$ ,  $Rx = \frac{2+x^2}{3}$  for all  $x \in X$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & G(Ax, By, Cz) \\ &= \frac{1-y}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}2(1-y) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}G(y, By, Cz) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}G(Ty, By, Cz)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{ G(Sx, Ty, Cz), \frac{1}{2}G(Sx, Ax, By), \frac{1}{2}G(Ty, By, Cz), \frac{1}{2}G(Rz, Cz, Ax) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, it is easy to see that  $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ ,  $B(X) \subseteq R(X)$ ,  $C(X) \subseteq S(X)$  and  $T(X) = X$  is a closed subset of  $X$ . Moreover, the pair  $(A, S)$ ,  $(B, T)$  and  $(C, R)$  are weakly compatible. Hence the all of conditions of Theorem 2.1 are hold and 1 is the unique common fixed point of  $A, B, C, T, R$  and  $S$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B.C. Dhage, *Generalised metric spaces and mappings with fixed point*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., **84(4)** (1992), 329–336.
- [2] B.C. Dhage, *On generalized metric spaces and topological structure II*, Pure. Appl. Math. Sci., **40(1-2)** (1994), 37–41.
- [3] B.C. Dhage, *A common fixed point principle in D-metric spaces*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., **91(6)** (1999), 475–480.
- [4] B.C. Dhage, *Generalized metric spaces and topological structure I*, Annalele Stiintifice ale Universitatii Al.I.Cuza, **46(1)** (2000), 3–24.
- [5] M. Abbas and B.E. Rhoades, *Common fixed point results for noncommuting mappings without continuity in generalized metric spaces*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **215** (2009), 262–269.
- [6] R. Chugh, T. Kadian, A. Rani and B.E. Rhoades, *Property P in G-metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, **2010** (2010), Article ID 401684, 12 Pages.
- [7] S.V.R. Naidu, K.P.R. Rao and N. Srinivasa Rao, *On the topology of D-metric spaces and the generation of D-metric spaces from metric spaces*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2004(51)** (2004), 2719–2740.
- [8] S.V.R. Naidu, K.P.R. Rao and N. Srinivasa Rao, *On the concepts of balls in a D-metric space*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., **2005(1)** (2005), 133–141.
- [9] S.V.R. Naidu, K.P.R. Rao and N. Srinivasa Rao, *On convergent sequences and fixed point theorems in D-Metric spaces*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., **2005(12)** (2005), 1969–1988.
- [10] W. Shatanawi, *Fixed point theory for contractive mappings satisfying  $\phi$ -maps in G-metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, **2010** (2010), Article ID 181650, 9 Pages.
- [11] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, *Some remarks concerning D-metric spaces*, Proceedings of the International Conferences on Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Valencia (Spain), July (2003), 189–198.
- [12] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, *A new approach to generalized metric spaces*, Journal of Non-linear and Convex Analysis, **7(2)** (2006), 289–297.

- [13] Z. Mustafa, H. Obiedat and F. Awawdeh, *Some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete  $G$ -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, **2008** (2008), Article ID 189870, 12 Pages.
- [14] Z. Mustafa, W. Shatanawi and M. Bataineh, *Existence of fixed point results in  $G$ -metric spaces*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci, **2009** (2009), Article ID 283028, 10 pages.
- [15] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, *Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete  $G$ -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, **2009** (2009), Article ID 917175, 10 Pages.
- [16] Z. Mustafa and H. Obiedat, *A fixed points theorem of Reich in  $G$ -metric spaces*, Cubo A Mathematics Journal, **12(1)** (2010), 83–93.
- [17] Z. Mustafa, F. Awawdeh and W. Shatanawi, *Fixed point theorem for expansive mappings in  $G$ -metric spaces*, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, **5(50)** (2010), 2463–2472.
- [18] K.P.R. Rao, K.B. Lakshmi, Z. Mustafa and V.C.C. Raju, *Fixed and Related Fixed Point Theorems for Three Maps in  $G$ -metric spaces*, Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology, **3(4)** (2012), 12–19.