



## FIXED POINTS FOR $S$ -CONTRACTIONS OF TYPE $E$ ON $S$ -METRIC SPACES

Thangjam Bimol<sup>1</sup>, N. Priyobarta<sup>2</sup>, Yumnam Rohen<sup>3</sup>  
and Kumam Anthony Singh<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Jadonang Memorial College,  
Noney, Manipur, India  
e-mail: btsalun29@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Dhanamanjuri University,  
Manipur, 795001, India  
e-mail: ningthoujampriyo9@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Manipur University,  
Canchipur, Manipur, 795003, India  
e-mail: ymnehor2008@yahoo.com

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics, Manipur University,  
Canchipur, Manipur, 795003, India  
e-mail: anthonykumam@manipuruniv.ac.in

**Abstract.** In this paper, we extend the concept of  $S$ -contractions of type  $E$  in an  $S$ -metric space. Further, by combining simulation function and  $S$ -contractions of type  $E$ , we examine the existence and uniqueness of fixed point in a complete  $S$ -metric space. Sufficient examples are provided and application to the solution of integral equation is also made.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The result of Banach fixed point [2] has been generalised in various directions in the last decades. Some of the important generalisations of Banach's result based on contraction condition are Kannan [9], Chatterjea [5], Alber

---

<sup>0</sup>Received August 25, 2023. Revised March 11, 2024. Accepted March 18, 2024.

<sup>0</sup>2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

<sup>0</sup>Keywords: Fixed point, simulation function,  $S$ -contraction of type  $E$ ,  $S$ -metric space.

<sup>0</sup>Corresponding author: N. Priyobarta(ningthoujampriyo9@gmail.com).

and Delabrier [1], etc. Recently, Fulga and Proca [7, 8] introduced the concept of  $E$ -contraction. The concept of  $E$ -contraction is further extended to  $S$ -contraction of type  $E$  by Fulga and Karapinar [6]. Sedghi et al. [15] introduced  $S$ -metric space by generalising metric space. Motivated by the results of [6] and [15], in this paper we introduce  $S$ -contractions of type  $E$  on  $S$ -metric spaces. Also, we use simulation function introduced by Khojasteh et al. [10] in order to obtain fixed points. For more information, one can see in [3, 4, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17].

**Definition 1.1.** ([10]) A function  $\sigma : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \times \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is referred to as a simulation function if it verifies the following criteria:

- (i)  $\sigma(0, 0) = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\sigma(x, y) < y - x$  for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,
- (iii) if  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  are two sequences defined on  $(0, \infty)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n > 0$ , then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(x_n, y_n) < 0. \quad (1.1)$$

The collection of all simulation functions will be represented as  $\mathcal{S}$ . It is evident, as a result of axiom (ii), that

$$\sigma(x, x) < 0, \quad \forall x > 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Consider  $\Phi$  as the set of continuous functions  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  that adhere to the following criterion:

$$\phi(t) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad t = 0.$$

Suppose  $(X, d)$  is a metric space, and  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}$  represents a simulation function. We define a function  $f : X \rightarrow X$  as an  $S$ -contraction with respect to  $\sigma$  (as defined in [10]) if the inequality

$$\sigma(d(f\theta, f\vartheta), d(\theta, \vartheta)) \geq 0 \quad \text{for every } \theta, \vartheta \in X \quad (1.3)$$

is satisfied.

**Remark 1.2.** Deriving from axiom (ii), it becomes apparent that

$$d(f\theta, f\vartheta) \neq d(\theta, \vartheta) \quad \text{holds true for all different } \theta, \vartheta \in X. \quad (1.4)$$

This implies that in cases where  $S$  functions as an  $S$ -contraction, it is not possible for  $S$  to be an isometry. Consequently, if a  $S$ -contraction  $S$  possesses a fixed point (when such a point exists), it is necessarily unique.

**Theorem 1.3.** ([10]) *In a complete metric space, each  $S$ -contraction has precisely one fixed point. Moreover, every sequence generated by the Picard iterative process converges, and its limit corresponds to the unique fixed point.*

In the year 2012, Sedghi and colleagues (Sedghi et al. [15]) presented the concept of  $S$ -metric space.

**Definition 1.4.** ([15]) Let  $X \neq \emptyset$ . An  $S$ -metric on  $X$  is a function  $S : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying:

- (i)  $S(\theta, \vartheta, \delta) = 0$  if and only if  $\theta = \vartheta = \delta$ ,
- (ii)  $S(\theta, \vartheta, z) \leq S(\theta, \theta, a) + S(\vartheta, \vartheta, a) + S(\delta, \delta, a)$  for all  $\theta, \vartheta, \delta, a \in X$ .

The pair  $(X, S)$  is referred to as an  $S$ -metric space.

**Definition 1.5.** ([6]) A self-map  $S$  defined on a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  is classified as an  $S$ -contraction of type  $E$  with respect to  $\sigma$  if there exists  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}$  for which the following condition holds:

$$\sigma(d(S\theta, S\vartheta), E(\theta, \vartheta)) \geq 0 \quad \text{for every } \theta, \vartheta \in X,$$

where

$$E(\theta, \vartheta) = d(\theta, \vartheta) + |d(\theta, S\theta) - d(\vartheta, S\vartheta)|.$$

The set  $\mathcal{C}_E(X)$  represents the collection of  $S$ -contractions of type  $E$  with respect to  $\sigma$ , which are defined on  $X$ .

**Theorem 1.6.** ([6]) *There exists a fixed point for every  $S \in \mathcal{C}_E(X)$ .*

Here, we extend the concept of  $S$ -contractions of type  $E$  in an  $S$ -metric space.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

We will now present our primary findings. To achieve this, we initiate by introducing a new form of  $S$ -contraction.

**Definition 2.1.** A self-map denoted as  $f$  and defined on a complete  $S$ -metric space  $(X, S)$  is said to have  $S$ -contraction of type  $E_I$  with respect to  $\sigma$  if there exists  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}$  such that

$$\sigma(S(f\theta, f\vartheta, f\delta), E(\theta, \vartheta, \gamma)) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \theta, \vartheta, \gamma \in X, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$E(\theta, \vartheta, \gamma) = S(\theta, \vartheta, \gamma) + |S(\theta, \theta, f\theta) - S(\vartheta, \vartheta, f\vartheta)| \\ + |S(\vartheta, \vartheta, f\vartheta) - S(\gamma, \gamma, f\gamma)|. \quad (2.2)$$

**Definition 2.2.** A self-map denoted as  $f$  and defined on a complete  $S$ -metric space  $(X, S)$  is said to have  $S$ -contraction of type  $E_{II}$  with respect to  $\sigma$  if  $\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{S}$  such that

$$\sigma(S(f\theta, f\theta, f\vartheta), E(\theta, \theta, \vartheta)) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \theta, \vartheta \in X, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$E(\theta, \theta, \vartheta) = S(\theta, \theta, \vartheta) + |S(\theta, \theta, f\theta) - S(\vartheta, \vartheta, f\vartheta)|.$$

Let  $\mathcal{C}_E(X)$  denote the set of all  $S$ -contractions of type E with respect to  $\sigma$  defined on  $(X, S)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Every  $f \in \mathcal{C}_E(X)$  possesses at least one fixed point.*

*Proof.* For any arbitrary  $\theta_0$  from the set  $X$ , we consider the constructive sequence  $\theta_n$  contained within  $X$ . This sequence is defined as

$$\theta_{n+1} = f(\theta_n) = f^n(\theta_0)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let's make the assumption that  $\theta_{n+1} \neq \theta_n$  holds true for all natural numbers  $n$ . On the contrary, if the situation arises where  $\theta_{n_0} = \theta_{n_0+1}$  for a certain  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we have  $f\theta_{n_0} = \theta_{n_0}$ . This brings us to the conclusion of our proof, affirming that the point  $\theta_{n_0}$  is indeed a fixed point of the function  $f$ . Consequently,  $S(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+1}, \theta_n) > 0$  and from (2.1), it follows, for all  $n \geq 1$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \sigma(S(f\theta_n, f\theta_n, f\theta_{n-1}), E(\theta_n, \theta_n, \theta_{n-1})) \\ &= \sigma(S(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+1}, \theta_n), E(\theta_n, \theta_n, \theta_{n-1})) \\ &< E(\theta_n, \theta_n, \theta_{n-1}) - S(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+1}, \theta_n). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

In conclusion, for all  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , we have

$$S(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+1}, \theta_n) < E(\theta_n, \theta_n, \theta_{n-1}). \tag{2.5}$$

We take into account two situations in order to understand the inequality (2.5). For the first case, we assume that  $S(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+1}, \theta_n) \geq S(\theta_n, \theta_n, \theta_{n-1})$ . The inequality (2.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+1}, \theta_n) &< S(\theta_n, \theta_n, \theta_{n-1}) + |S(\theta_n, \theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) - S(\theta_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1}, \theta_n)| \\ &= S(\theta_n, \theta_n, \theta_{n-1}) + S(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+1}, \theta_n) - S(\theta_n, \theta_n, \theta_{n-1}) \\ &= S(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+1}, \theta_n). \end{aligned}$$

This leads to a contradiction. Hence, the subsequent case arises:

$$S(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+1}, \theta_n) < S(\theta_n, \theta_n, \theta_{n-1}), \quad \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{2.6}$$

Thus, we can conclude that the sequence  $\{S(\theta_n, \theta_n, \theta_{n-1})\}$  exhibits a non-increasing pattern and bounded below by 0. Consequently, the sequence

$\{S(\theta_n, \theta_n, \theta_{n-1})\}$  converges to some  $S^* \geq 0$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\theta_n, \theta_n, \theta_{n-1}) & (2.7) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (S(\theta_n, \theta_n, \theta_{n-1}) + |S(\theta_n, \theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) - S(\theta_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1}, \theta_n)|) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (S(\theta_n, \theta_n, \theta_{n-1}) - S(\theta_n, \theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) + S(\theta_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1}, \theta_n)) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2S(\theta_n, \theta_n, \theta_{n-1}) - S(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+1}, \theta_n)) \\ &= S^*. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$S^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(\theta_n, \theta_n, \theta_{n-1}) = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Imagine, in contrast, that  $S^* > 0$ . In this scenario, if we define

$$t_n = S(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+1}, \theta_n)$$

and

$$s_n = E(\theta_n, \theta_n, \theta_{n-1}),$$

then we can deduce from the inequality (2.1) and condition (iii) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(S(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+1}, \theta_n), E(\theta_n, \theta_n, \theta_{n-1})) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t_n, s_n) & (2.9) \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

This inconsistency demonstrates that  $S^* = 0$ .

Next, we will prove that  $\{\theta_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Imagine, in contrast, that the sequence  $\{\theta_n\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence, then there exists subsequences  $\{\theta_{\alpha(n)}\}$  and  $\{\theta_{\beta(n)}\}$  of  $\{\theta_n\}$  and a positive number  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\alpha(n) > \beta(n) > n$  and

$$\begin{aligned} S(\theta_{\alpha(n)}, \theta_{\alpha(n)}, \theta_{\beta(n)}) & \geq \varepsilon, \\ S(\theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\beta(n)}) & < \varepsilon, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by triangular inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon & \leq S(\theta_{\alpha(n)}, \theta_{\alpha(n)}, \theta_{\beta(n)}) \\ & \leq 2S(\theta_{\alpha(n)}, \theta_{\alpha(n)}, \theta_{\alpha(n)-1}) + S(\theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\beta(n)}) \\ & < 2S(\theta_{\alpha(n)}, \theta_{\alpha(n)}, \theta_{\alpha(n)-1}) + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

and by (2.8), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(\theta_{\alpha(n)}, \theta_{\alpha(n)}, \theta_{\alpha(n)-1}) = \varepsilon. \tag{2.10}$$

On the other hand, we can easily show that

$$\begin{aligned} & |S(\theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\beta(n)-1}) - S(\theta_{\alpha(n)}, \theta_{\alpha(n)}, \theta_{\beta(n)})| \\ & \leq 2(S(\theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\alpha(n)}) + S(\theta_{\beta(n)-1}, \theta_{\beta(n)-1}, \theta_{\beta(n)})) \end{aligned}$$

and from (2.8), respectively (2.10)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(\theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\beta(n)-1}) = \varepsilon. \tag{2.11}$$

And from equations (2.2), (2.8) and (2.10), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\beta(n)-1}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{S(\theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\beta(n)-1}) \\ & \quad + |S(\theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, f\theta_{\beta(n)-1}) \\ & \quad - S(\theta_{\beta(n)-1}, \theta_{\beta(n)-1}, f\theta_{\beta(n)-1})|\} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{S(\theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\beta(n)-1}) \\ & \quad + |S(\theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\alpha(n)}) \\ & \quad - S(\theta_{\beta(n)-1}, \theta_{\beta(n)-1}, \theta_{\beta(n)})|\} \\ &= \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Letting  $t_n = S(\theta_{\alpha(n)}, \theta_{\alpha(n)}, \theta_{\beta(n)})$  and  $s_n = E(\theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\beta(n)-1})$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \varepsilon$  and combining with (iii)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(S(f\theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, f\theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, f\theta_{\beta(n)-1}), E(\theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\beta(n)-1})) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(S(\theta_{\alpha(n)}, \theta_{\alpha(n)}, \theta_{\beta(n)}), E(\theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\alpha(n)-1}, \theta_{\beta(n)-1})) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t_n, s_n) \\ &< 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

This inconsistency demonstrates that  $\varepsilon = 0$ , hence  $\{\theta_n\}$  is Cauchy. Because of the completeness of the space  $(X, S)$ , a point  $\theta^*$  exists within the set  $X$ , such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_n = \theta^* = 0. \tag{2.14}$$

Our next task is to prove that  $\theta^* = f\theta^*$ . Adopting a proof by contradiction approach, let's suppose that  $S(\theta^*, \theta^*, f\theta^*) > 0$ . According to property (ii), for a sufficiently large  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \sigma(S(f\theta_r, f\theta_r, f\theta^*), E(\theta_r, \theta_r, \theta^*)) \\ &= \sigma(S(\theta_{r+1}, \theta_{r+1}, f\theta^*), E(\theta_r, \theta_r, \theta^*)) \\ &< E(\theta_r, \theta_r, \theta^*) - S(\theta_{r+1}, \theta_{r+1}, f\theta^*). \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Considering the sequences

$$t_r^* = S(\theta_{r+1}, \theta_{r+1}, f\theta^*)$$

and

$$s_r^* = E(\theta_r, \theta_r, \theta^*) = S(\theta_r, \theta_r, \theta^*) + |S(\theta_r, \theta_r, f\theta_r) - S(\theta^*, \theta^*, f\theta^*)|,$$

we find that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} t_r^* = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} s_r^* = S(\theta^*, \theta^*, f\theta^*) > 0, \tag{2.16}$$

which implies together with (2.15)

$$0 \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(S(f\theta_r, f\theta_r, f\theta^*), E(\theta_r, \theta_r, \theta^*)) < 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, we have  $S(\theta^*, \theta^*, f\theta^*) = 0$ , that is,  $f\theta^* = \theta^*$ . □

**Example 2.4.** Let  $X = [0, \frac{5}{3}] \cup \{2\}$  and  $S : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$S(\theta, \vartheta, \delta) = |\theta - \vartheta| + |\vartheta - \delta|.$$

Suppose that  $\sigma : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined as  $\sigma(s, t) = \frac{s}{2} - t$  and hence  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}$ . Define a map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  as follows

$$f(\theta) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \theta \in [0, \frac{5}{3}], \\ \frac{1}{3}, & \text{if } \theta = 2. \end{cases}$$

Notice that for  $\theta = \vartheta = 2$  and  $\delta = \frac{5}{3}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} S\left(2, 2, \frac{5}{3}\right) &= |2 - 2| + \left|2 - \frac{5}{3}\right| = \frac{1}{3}, \\ S\left(f2, f2, f\frac{5}{3}\right) &= S\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 1\right) = \left|\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3}\right| + \left|\frac{1}{3} - 1\right| = \frac{2}{3}, \end{aligned}$$

and for these values, there is no  $k_1 \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$S\left(f2, f2, f\frac{5}{3}\right) = \frac{2}{3} \leq k_1 \frac{1}{3} = k_1 S\left(2, 2, \frac{5}{3}\right).$$

Hence, the function  $f$  is not a contraction mapping. But, it exhibits  $S$ -contraction of type  $E_I$ . To validate our assertion, we must analyze two separate scenarios:

Case(i):  $\delta = 2, \theta = \vartheta < 1$ . Then we find that

$$S(\theta, \theta, 2) = 2 - \theta, S(\theta, \theta, f\theta) = 1 - \theta$$

and

$$S(2, 2, f2) = \left|2 - \frac{1}{3}\right| = \frac{5}{3}.$$

Also, we have

$$S(f\theta, f\theta, f2) = S\left(1, 1, \frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{2}{3}.$$

Since

$$E(\theta, \theta, 2) = 2 - \theta + |1 - \theta - \frac{5}{3}| = 2 - \theta + \frac{3\theta + 2}{3} = \frac{8}{3},$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(S(f\theta, f\theta, f2), E(\theta, \theta, 2)) &= \frac{1}{2}E(\theta, \theta, 2) - S(f\theta, f\theta, f2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{8}{3} - \frac{2}{3} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case(ii): If  $\delta = 2, \theta = \vartheta \geq 1$ , then

$$S(\theta, \theta, 2) = 2 - \theta, \quad S(\theta, \theta, f\theta) = \theta - 1$$

and

$$S(2, 2, f2) = |2 - \frac{1}{3}| = \frac{5}{3}.$$

Also, we have

$$S(f\theta, f\theta, f2) = S(1, 1, \frac{1}{3}) = \frac{2}{3}.$$

As a result, we have

$$E(\theta, \theta, 2) = 2 - \theta + |\theta - 1 - \frac{5}{3}| = 2 - \theta + \frac{8 - 3\theta}{3} = \frac{14 - 6\theta}{3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(S(f\theta, f\theta, f2), E(\theta, \theta, 2)) &= \frac{1}{2}E(\theta, \theta, 2) - S(f\theta, f\theta, f2) \\ &= \frac{14 - 6\theta}{6} - \frac{2}{3} = \frac{5 - 3\theta}{3} \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Our deduction leads us to the conclusion that  $f$  is a  $S$ -contraction of type  $E_I$ . Moreover, all the criteria of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied and  $\theta = 1$  is a fixed point of  $f$ . Finally, it's worth noting that the uniqueness of the fixed point is a consequence of Remark 1.2.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $X = \{1, 3, 4, 5\}$  and  $S : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$S(\theta, \vartheta, \delta) = |\theta - \vartheta| + |\vartheta - \delta|.$$

Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be defined as  $f1 = f3 = f4 = 3, f5 = 1$  and  $\sigma(t, s) = \frac{1}{2}s - t$ . Then it can easily calculate that

$$S(3, 3, 4) = S(4, 4, 5) = 1, \quad S(3, 3, 5) = S(1, 1, 3) = 2,$$

$$S(1, 1, 4) = 3, \quad S(1, 1, 5) = 4,$$

$$S(f3, f3, f4) = S(f1, f1, f3) = S(f1, f1, f4) = 0,$$

$$S(f3, f3, f5) = S(f4, f4, f5) = S(f1, f1, f5) = 2.$$



Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(1, 1, 4) &= E(1, 1, 3) = E(4, 4, 5) = 4, \\ E(1, 1, 5) &= E(3, 3, 5) = 6 \text{ and } E(3, 3, 4) = 2. \end{aligned}$$

First of all we show that  $f$  is not a contraction mapping. This can be illustrated by considering the values  $\theta = \vartheta = 4$  and  $\delta = 5$ . In this scenario, it's impossible to identify a real constant  $k_2 \in [0, 1)$  that would satisfy the condition  $S(f4, f4, f5) = 2 \leq k_2 S(4, 4, 5)$ . As a result, the function  $f$  is not a contraction mapping.

In the following steps, we will establish that function  $f$  satisfies the conditions of being an  $S$ -contraction of type  $E_I$ . To achieve this, we will systematically analyze all possible cases:

For  $\theta = \vartheta = 1, \delta = 3$ , we have

$$\sigma(S(f1, f1, f3), E(1, 1, 3)) = \sigma(0, 4) = \frac{4}{2} - 0 = 2.$$

For  $\theta = \vartheta = 1, \delta = 4$ , we have

$$\sigma(S(f1, f1, f4), E(1, 1, 4)) = \sigma(0, 4) = \frac{4}{2} - 0 = 2.$$

For  $\theta = \vartheta = 1, \delta = 5$ , we have

$$\sigma(S(f1, f1, f5), E(1, 1, 5)) = \sigma(2, 6) = \frac{6}{2} - 2 = 1.$$

For  $\theta = \vartheta = 3, \delta = 4$ , we have

$$\sigma(S(f3, f3, f4), E(3, 3, 4)) = \sigma(0, 2) = \frac{2}{2} - 0 = 1.$$

For  $\theta = \vartheta = 3, \delta = 5$ , we have

$$\sigma(S(f3, f3, f5), E(3, 3, 5)) = \sigma(2, 4) = \frac{4}{2} - 2 = 0.$$

For  $\theta = \vartheta = 4, \delta = 5$ , we have

$$\sigma(S(f4, f4, f5), E(4, 4, 5)) = \sigma(2, 4) = \frac{4}{2} - 2 = 0.$$

Evidently,  $f \in C_E(X)$ .

Furthermore, all the requirements stated in Theorem 2.3 are attained, and  $\theta = 3$  is a fixed point of the function  $f$ . As demonstrated in the previous example, the uniqueness of the fixed point is derived from Remark 1.2.

**Example 2.6.** Let  $X = [0, \frac{1}{2}] \cup \{\frac{3}{4}\}$  and define

$$S(\theta, \vartheta, \delta) = \begin{cases} \max\{\theta, \vartheta, \delta\}, & \text{if not } \theta = \vartheta = \delta, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let

$$f(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\theta}{1+\theta}, & \text{if } \theta \in [0, \frac{1}{4}) \cup (\frac{1}{4}, 1], \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \theta = \frac{3}{4}. \end{cases}$$

Now, we will show that  $f$  is an  $S$ -contraction of type  $E_I$  for  $\sigma(t, s) = \frac{s}{s+1} - t$ .

Case(i): For  $0 \leq r \leq \vartheta \leq \theta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(\theta, \vartheta, \delta) &= \max\{\theta, \vartheta, \delta\} = \theta, \\ S(f\theta, f\vartheta, f\delta) &= \max\left\{\frac{\theta}{\theta+1}, \frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta+1}, \frac{\delta}{\delta+1}\right\} = \frac{\theta}{\theta+1}, \\ S(\theta, \theta, f\theta) &= \max\left\{\theta, \frac{\theta}{\theta+1}\right\} = \theta, \\ S(\vartheta, \vartheta, f\vartheta) &= \max\left\{\vartheta, \frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta+1}\right\} = \vartheta, \\ S(\delta, \delta, f\delta) &= \max\left\{\delta, \frac{\delta}{\delta+1}\right\} = \delta. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$E(\theta, \vartheta, \delta) = \theta + |\theta - \vartheta| + |\vartheta - \delta| = 2\theta - \delta$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(S(f\theta, f\vartheta, f\delta), E(\theta, \vartheta, \delta)) &= \frac{E(\theta, \vartheta, \delta)}{1 + E(\theta, \vartheta, \delta)} - S(f\theta, f\vartheta, f\delta) \\ &= \frac{2\theta - \delta}{1 + 2\theta - \delta} - \frac{\theta}{\theta + 1} \\ &= \frac{\theta - \delta}{(2\theta - \delta + 1)}(\theta + 1) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the above observation remains applicable in the cases where  $0 \leq \theta \leq \vartheta \leq \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $0 \leq \theta \leq \delta \leq \vartheta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

Case(ii): For  $0 \leq \theta \leq \vartheta \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\delta = \frac{3}{4}$ , we have

$$S(\theta, \vartheta, \delta) = \max\{\theta, \vartheta, \delta\} = \frac{3}{4}$$

and

$$S(f\theta, f\vartheta, f\delta) = \max\left\{\frac{\theta}{\theta+1}, \frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta+1}, \frac{1}{2}\right\} = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$S(\theta, \theta, f\theta) = \max\left\{\theta, \frac{\theta}{\theta+1}\right\} = \theta, \quad S(\vartheta, \vartheta, f\vartheta) = \max\left\{\vartheta, \frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta+1}\right\} = \vartheta$$

and

$$S(\delta, \delta, f\delta) = \max\left\{\delta, \frac{\delta}{\delta+1}\right\} = \delta = \frac{3}{4}.$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(\theta, \vartheta, \delta) &= S(\theta, \vartheta, \delta) + |S(\theta, \theta, f\theta) - S(\vartheta, \vartheta, f\vartheta)| \\ &\quad + |S(\vartheta, \vartheta, f\vartheta) - S(\delta, \delta, f\delta)| \\ &= \frac{3}{4} + |\theta - \vartheta| + \left| \vartheta - \frac{3}{4} \right| \\ &= \frac{3}{4} + \vartheta - \theta + \frac{3}{4} - \vartheta = \frac{3}{2} - \theta. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} - \theta\right) = \frac{\frac{3}{2} - \theta}{\frac{5}{2} - \theta} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1 - 2\theta}{5 - 2\theta} > 0.$$

Case(iii): For  $0 \leq \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\theta = \vartheta = \frac{3}{4}$ , it is similar to Case(ii).

In every scenario, it is evident that  $f$  belongs to the set  $C_E(X)$ . This concludes the demonstration, leading us to the deduction that  $f$  has a fixed point at  $\theta = 0$ . Referring to Remark 1.2, this fixed point of  $f$  is unique.

### 3. CONSEQUENCES AND APPLICATION

Within this section, we present a corollary and delve into an instance where the main outcome finds application, allowing for the depiction of a solution to an integral equation.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be defined on a complete  $S$ -metric space  $(X, S)$ . If there exist  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \Phi$  with  $\mu_1(s) < s \leq \mu_2(s)$  for all  $s > 0$ , such that for all  $\theta, \vartheta, \delta \in X$ , the following inequality is fulfilled*

$$\mu_2(S(f\theta, f\vartheta, f\delta)) \leq \mu_1(E(\theta, \vartheta, \delta)),$$

where

$$E(\theta, \vartheta, \delta) = S(\theta, \vartheta, \delta) + |S(\theta, \theta, f\theta) - S(\vartheta, \vartheta, f\vartheta)| + |S(\vartheta, \vartheta, f\vartheta) - S(\delta, \delta, f\delta)|.$$

Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point.

*Proof.* Take  $\sigma(t, s) = \sigma_1(t, s)$  in Example 2.4 and apply Theorem 2.3.  $\square$

By opting the function  $\sigma$  provided in Example 2.4 and utilizing Theorem 2.3, we can derive additional corollaries similar to Corollary 3.1. So, we skip list of these corollaries through this analogy.

Consider the set  $X = C(I, \mathbb{R})$  represents the collection of all continuous functions on  $I = [0, 1]$  endowed with an  $S$ -metric

$$S(\theta, \vartheta, \gamma) = \|\theta - \vartheta\| + \|\vartheta - \gamma\| = \sup\{|\theta(s) - \vartheta(s)| + |\vartheta(s) - \gamma(s)| : s \in I\},$$

for all  $\theta, \vartheta, \gamma \in X$ . Consequently, the pair  $(X, S)$  establishes an  $S$ -metric space that is complete. Now, we will delve into the analysis of the integral equation.

$$\theta(s) = \xi(s) + \int_0^1 K(s, x)\eta(x, \theta(x))dx, \quad s \in [0, 1]. \tag{3.1}$$

Consider the continuous functions  $\eta : I \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\xi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , as well as a function  $K : I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $K(s, \cdot) \in L^1(I)$  for each  $s \in [0, 1]$ . We address the mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$ , which is defined as follows:

$$f(\theta)(s) = \xi(s) + \int_0^1 K(s, x)\eta(x, \theta(x))dx, \quad s \in [0, 1]. \tag{3.2}$$

**Theorem 3.2.** *The integral equation (3.1) possesses a unique solution within the set  $X$  when the subsequent conditions are satisfied:*

(a<sub>1</sub>) *there is a  $\mu \in \Phi$  such that for each  $s > 0$ ,  $\mu(s) < s$  satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq |\eta(x, \theta_1(x)) - \eta(x, \theta_2(x))| \\ &\leq \mu(|\theta_1(x) - \theta_2(x)| + ||\theta_1(x) - f(\theta_1)(x)| - |\theta_2(x) - f(\theta_2)(x)||) \end{aligned}$$

*for all  $x \in I$  and  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in X$ .*

(a<sub>2</sub>) *followed by*

$$\sup_{s \in I} \int_0^1 K(s, x)dx \leq 1.$$

*Proof.* It should be noted that any fixed point of (3.1) is also a solution for the (3.1). It can be deduced from (a<sub>1</sub>) and (a<sub>2</sub>) that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\theta_1)(s) - f(\theta_2)(s)| &= \left| \int_0^1 K(s, x)[\eta(x, \theta_1(x)) - \eta(x, \theta_2(x))]dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 K(s, x)|\eta(x, \theta_1(x)) - \eta(x, \theta_2(x))|dx \\ &\leq \int_0^1 K(s, x)\mu(|\theta_1(x) - \theta_2(x)| + ||\theta_1(x) - f(\theta_1)(x)| - |\theta_2(x) - f(\theta_2)(x)||)dx \\ &\leq \mu(E(\theta_1, \theta_1, \theta_2)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $E(\theta_1, \theta_1, \theta_2) = ||\theta_1 - \theta_2|| + |||\theta_1 - f\theta_1|| - ||\theta_2 - f\theta_2|||$ . Hence, we can deduce that

$$||f\theta_1 - f\theta_2|| \leq \mu(||\theta_1 - \theta_2|| + |||\theta_1 - f\theta_1|| - ||\theta_2 - f\theta_2|||).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sigma(S(f\theta_1, f\theta_1, f\theta_2), E(\theta_1, \theta_1, \theta_2)) = \mu(E(\theta_1, \theta_1, \theta_2)) - S(f\theta_1, f\theta_1, f\theta_2) \geq 0.$$

This leads to the inference that all the conditions stated in Corollary 3.1 are fulfilled, and consequently, so are the conditions of Theorem 2.3. As a result, the operator  $f$  possesses a unique fixed point, exclusively representing solution to integral equation (3.1) within the domain  $X$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.3.** To illustrate Theorem 3.2, we examine the following integral equation as an example:

$$\theta(x) = \frac{1}{1+s^4} + \frac{1}{3} \int_0^1 \frac{x \sin 2x}{12(1+s^2)} \frac{|\theta|}{1+|\theta|} dx, \quad s \in [0, 1]. \quad (3.3)$$

This equation is derived from equation (3.1) by selecting  $\xi(s) = \frac{1}{1+s^4}$ ,  $K(s, x) = \frac{x}{2(1+s^2)}$ , and  $\eta(s, \theta) = \frac{|\theta| \sin 2s}{6(1+|\theta|)}$ .

Consider a self-mapping  $f$  defined as follows:

$$f(\theta)(s) = \xi(s) + \int_0^1 K(s, x) \eta(x, \theta(x)) dx, \quad s \in [0, 1], \quad (3.4)$$

taking  $\mu(s) = \frac{s}{2}$ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta(s, \theta_1) - \eta(s, \theta_2)| &= \left| \frac{\sin 2s}{6} \frac{|\theta_1|}{1+|\theta_1|} - \frac{\sin 2s}{6} \frac{|\theta_2|}{1+|\theta_2|} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6} |\theta_1 - \theta_2| \leq \mu (|\theta_1 - \theta_2| + |\theta_1 - \theta_2| - |\theta_1 - \theta_2|) \\ &= \mu(E(\theta_1, \theta_1, \theta_2)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\sup_{s \in I} \int_0^1 K(s, x) dx = \sup_{s \in I} \int_0^1 \frac{x}{2(1+s^2)} dx = \frac{1}{4} < 1.$$

Therefore, we can deduce that equation (3.3) possesses a unique solution within the set  $C(I, \mathbb{R})$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Ya I. Alber and S. Guerre-Delabrier, *Principles of weakly contractive maps in Hilbert spaces*, new results in operator theory, Adv. and Appl., Birkhauser Verlag, Basel **98** (1997), 7-22.
- [2] S. Banach, *Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrales*, Fundam. Math., **3** (1922), 133-181.
- [3] Kh. Bulbul, N. Priyobarta, Y. Rohren and Th. Indubala, *Remarks on  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -Admissible Mappings and Fixed Points under Z-Contraction Mappings*, J. Math., **2021** (2021), Article ID 6697739, 10 pages.
- [4] D. Chand and Y. Rohen, *Fixed points of  $\alpha_s$ - $\beta_s$ - $\psi$ -contraction mappings under simulation functions*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **28**(2) (2023), 571-587.
- [5] S.K. Chatterjea, *Fixed point theorems*, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci., **25** (1972), 727-730.

- [6] A. Fulga and E. Karapinar, *Some results on  $S$ -contractions of type  $E$* , Mathematics, **6**:195 (2018).
- [7] A. Fulga and A. Proca, *A new Generalisation of Wardowski Fixed Point Theorem in Complete Metric Spaces*, Adv. Theory Nonlinear Anal. Appl., **1** (2017), 57-63.
- [8] A. Fulga and A. Proca, *Fixed points for  $\varphi_E$ -Geraghty contractions*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., **10** (2017), 5125-5131.
- [9] R. Kannan, *Some results on fixed points*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., **60** (1968), 71-76.
- [10] F. Khjasteh, S. Shukla and S. Radenovic, *A new approach to the study of fixed point theorems for simulation functions*, Filomat 2015, **29**, 1189-1194.
- [11] S. Mishra, A.K. Dubey, U. Mishra and H.G. Hyun, *Some Fixed Point Theorems for Rational  $(\alpha, \beta, z)$ -Contraction Mappings under Simulation functions and Cyclic  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -admissibility*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **27**(4) (2022), 757-771.
- [12] M. Pradeep Singh, Y. Rohen, Naeem Saleem, K.H. Alam, K. Anthony Singh and A. Razzaque. *On Fixed-Point Equations Involving Geraghty-Type Contractions with Solution to Integral Equation*, Mathematics, **2023** (2023):11, 4882.
- [13] N. Priyobarta, Y. Rohen, Th. Stephen and S. Radenović, *Some remarks on  $\alpha$ -admissibility in  $S$ -metric spaces*, J. Ineq. Appl., (2022) 2022:**34**.
- [14] T. Qawasmeh,  *$H$ -Simulation Functions and  $\Omega_b$ -Distance Mappings in the Setting of  $G_b$ -Metric Spaces and Application*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **28**(2) (2023), 557-570.
- [15] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe and A. Aliouche, *A generalization of fixed point theorems in  $S$ -metric spaces*, Mat. Vesnik., **64**(3) (2012), 258-266.
- [16] D.P. Shukla and S.K. Tiwari, *Unique fixed point theorem for weakly  $S$ -contractive mappings*, Gen. Math. Notes, **4**(1) (2011), 28-34.
- [17] T. Stephen and Y. Rohen, *Fixed points of generalized rational  $(\alpha, \beta, Z)$ -contraction mappings under simulation functions*, J. Math. Comput., Sci., **24** (2022), 345-357.