



## COMMON FIXED POINT FOR GENERALIZED WEAKLY EXPANSIVE MAPPINGS IN METRIC SPACES

Saadia Mahideb<sup>1</sup>, Haitham Qawaqneh<sup>2</sup>, Habes Alsamir<sup>3</sup>  
and Said Beloul<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Higher Normal School of Constantine, Algeria  
e-mail: [saadiamahideb@gmail.com](mailto:saadiamahideb@gmail.com)

<sup>2</sup>Al-Zaytoonah University of Jordan, Amman 11733, Jordan  
e-mail: [h.alqawaqneh@zuj.edu.jo](mailto:h.alqawaqneh@zuj.edu.jo)

<sup>3</sup>Finance and Banking Department, Business Administration College,  
Dar Aluloom University, riyadh, Saudi Arabia  
e-mail: [habes@dau.edu.sa](mailto:habes@dau.edu.sa)

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics,e Faculty of Exact Sciences,  
University of El-Oued, P.O.Box789, El Oued39000, Algeria  
e-mail: [beloulsaid@gmail.com](mailto:beloulsaid@gmail.com)

**Abstract.** In this paper, we prove two common fixed point theorems for a pair of generalized weakly expansive self-maps by using compatibility of type (E) and weak reciprocal continuity in metric spaces. Two examples and an application of the existence of solution for an integral equation are given to illustrate our results.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The famous Banach principle has been generalized to various ways, and there are some results given under different type of contractive condition. On other hand the expansive mappings has been used and studied to obtain a fixed point or common fixed point, Wang [44] proved the existence of fixed point for expansive mappings, later Manro et al. [22] proved a common fixed point theorem for two self-mappings in a metric space by using the concept

---

<sup>0</sup>Received December 24, 2024. Revised April 14, 2025. Accepted April 16, 20215.

<sup>0</sup>2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H09, 47H10, 37C25.

<sup>0</sup>Keywords: Orbital contractions, fixed point, metric spaces, fractional calculus.

<sup>0</sup>Corresponding author: H. Qawaqneh([h.alqawaqneh@zuj.edu.jo](mailto:h.alqawaqneh@zuj.edu.jo)).

of weak reciprocal continuity with compatibility. Under the same conditions, Khan et al. [16] introduced the concept of  $\phi$ -weakly expansive maps and proved some common fixed point results.

Jungck [12] introduced the notion of compatible maps, the same author Jungck and Rhoades [13] weakened the concept of compatibility to the weak compatibility. Singh et al. [42, 43] introduced new type of compatibility, called compatibility of type (E), by using this concept they obtain some results of common fixed point. Pant [24] obtained some results for non-compatible maps by using new concept called reciprocally continuous maps, which has been weakened to weakly reciprocally continuous maps by Pant et al. [25]. Other authors had presented many generalized contraction (see [4, 21, 35, 36]).

In present paper, we will define the concept of generalized weakly expansive maps and prove two common fixed point theorems, in the first one we should use compatibility of type (E), in the second we combine two concepts, compatibility of type (E) with weak reciprocal continuity, our results generalize and improve the results of Manro et al. [22], results in paper [16, 20] and several others.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 2.1.** ([18]) A quasi-partial metric is a function  $q : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfying

- (1)  $q(x, x) \leq q(y, x)$  (small self-distances),
- (2)  $q(x, x) \leq q(x, y)$  (small self-distances),
- (3)  $x = y$  if and only if  $q(x, x) = q(x, y)$  and  $q(y, y) = q(y, x)$  (indistancy implies equality and vice versa),
- (4)  $q(x, z) + q(y, y) \leq q(x, y) + q(y, z)$  (triangularity),  
for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Then The pair  $(X, q)$  is called a quasi-partial metric space.

Karapinar et al. [19] have taken:

- (3') if  $0 \leq q(x, x) = q(x, y) = q(y, y)$ , then  $x = y$  (equality), in place of (3).

If  $q$  satisfies all these conditions except possibly (1), then  $q$  is called a lopsided partial quasi-metric [18]. It is interesting to see here that for  $q(x, y) = q(y, x)$ ,  $(X, q)$  becomes a partial metric space. Also for a quasi-partial metric  $q$  on  $X$ , the function  $d_q : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  defined by

$$d_q(x, y) = q(x, y) + q(y, x) - q(x, x) - q(y, y)$$

is a (usual) metric on  $X$ .

**Example 2.2.** ([18]) The pair  $(\mathbb{R}^+, q)$  with

- (1)  $q(x, y) = |x - y| + |x|;$

$$(2) \quad q(x, y) = \max\{y - x, 0\} + x;$$

are quasi-partial metric spaces.

**Definition 2.3.** ([19]) Let  $(X, q)$  be a quasi-partial metric space.

- (1) A sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  in a quasi-partial metric space converges to a point  $x \in X$  if  $q(x, x) = \lim q(x, x_n) = \lim q(x_n, x)$ .
- (2) A subset  $E$  of a quasi-partial metric space  $(X, q)$  is closed if whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $E$  such that  $\{x_n\}$  converges to some  $x \in X$ , then  $x \in E$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** ([19]) Let  $(X, q)$  be a quasi-partial metric space. Then following hold:

- (1) If  $q(x, y) = 0$ , then  $x = y$ ;
- (2) If  $x \neq y$ , then  $q(x, y) > 0$  and  $q(y, x) > 0$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, a self-mapping  $T$  is called to be expansive if there exists  $h > 1$  such for all  $x, y \in X$  we have

$$d(Tx, Ty) \geq hd(x, y).$$

**Definition 2.6.** ([25]) Let  $(X, p)$  be a metric space and  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  two self-maps,  $(S, T)$  is said to be weakly reciprocally continuous if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} TSx_n = Tz$$

or

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} STx_n = Sz,$$

whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = z,$$

for some  $z \in X$ .

**Definition 2.7.** ([26]) Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  two self-maps,  $(S, T)$  is said to be  $T$  weakly reciprocally continuous if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} TSx_n = Tz$$

or

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^2x_n = Sz,$$

whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = z$$

for some  $z \in X$ .

**Example 2.8.** Let  $X = [0, 2]$  endowed with usual metric, define two maps  $S$  and  $T$  as follows:

$$Sx = \begin{cases} 1-x, & 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ 2, & 1 < x \leq 2, \end{cases} \quad Tx = \begin{cases} 1+x, & 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ 0, & 1 < x \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

Consider the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  which satisfying  $x_n \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $0 < x_n \leq 1$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = 1, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} TSx_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(1-x_n) = 2 = T1. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $(S, T)$  is  $T$ -weakly reciprocally continuous.

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(X, q)$  be a complete quasi-partial metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a self-mapping. Then  $T$  is said to be generalized weakly expansive, if

$$q(Tx, Ty) \geq m(x, y) + \phi(m(x, y)), \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a continuous function such that  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\phi(t) > t$  for all  $t > 0$  and

$$m(x, y) = \min\{q(Sx, Sy), q(Sx, Ty), q(Sy, Tx)\}.$$

**Example 3.2.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  endowed with quasi-partial metric  $q(x, y) = |x - y| + |x|$ , define  $T$  as  $Tx = 4x$  and  $\phi(x) = 2x$ . Then we have:

$$q(Tx, Ty) \geq 4|x - y| \geq |x - y| + 2|x - y|.$$

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $(X, q)$  be a complete metric space and  $T$  be a self-mapping on  $X$  such that (3.1) is satisfied. If the pair  $(S, T)$  is compatible of type (E), then  $S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$ , since  $SX \subseteq TX$  there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such  $Tx_1 = Sx_0$ , so by continuing in this manner we can construct a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  as follows:

$$y_n = Tx_{n+1} = Sx_n. \quad (3.2)$$

Suppose  $y_n \neq y_{n+1}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . If  $q(y_{n-1}, y_n) \leq q(y_n, y_{n+1})$ , by using (3.1) we get

$$q(y_{n-1}, y_n) = q(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \geq m(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \phi(m(x_n, x_{n+1})),$$

it implies that

$$\begin{aligned} q(y_{n-1}, y_n) &\geq q(y_{n-1}, y_n) + \phi(q(y_{n-1}, y_n)) \\ &> 2q(y_{n-1}, y_n), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, the sequence  $\{q(y_{n-1}, y_n)\}$  is decreasing and bounded at below, so it is convergent to  $r = \inf\{q(y_{n-1}, y_n)\}$ .

If  $r > 0$ , by using (3.1), we get:

$$d(y_{n-1}, y_n) = d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \geq m(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \phi(m(x_n, x_{n+1})),$$

letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain:

$$r \geq r + \phi(r) > 2r,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{n-1}, y_n) = 0$ .

Now, we claim  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, if not so there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and positive integers  $n(k), m(k)$  such for  $m(k) \geq n(k) \geq k$  we have

$$d(y_n, y_m) > \varepsilon.$$

for each  $k$ . Let  $m(k)$  be the least integer such  $m(k) \geq n(k) \geq k$  and satisfying

$$d(y_n, y_m) > \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad d(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)-1}) \leq \varepsilon. \quad (3.3)$$

By using triangular inequality we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &< d(y_n, y_m) \\ &\leq d(y_{n(k)}, y_{n(k)-1}) + d(y_{n(k)-1}, y_{m(k)-1}) + d(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{m(k)}) \\ &\leq 2d(y_{n(k)}, y_{n(k)-1}) + \varepsilon + d(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{m(k)}), \end{aligned}$$

letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then we obtain:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)}) = \varepsilon.$$

By using (3.1), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, y_m) &= d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{m+1}) \\ &\geq m(x_{n+1}, x_{m+1}) + \phi(m(x_{n+1}, x_{m+1})), \end{aligned}$$

letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain:

$$\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon + \phi(\varepsilon) > 2\varepsilon,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, since  $X$  is a complete so the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  is convergent to some  $w \in X$ .

Since  $(S, T)$  is compatible of type (E), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^2x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} TSx_n = Sw \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^2x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} STx_n = Tw.$$

Now, we show  $Sw = Tw$ , if not, by using (3.1) we get;

$$d(Tz, TSx_n) \geq m(w, Sx_n) + \phi(m(z, Sx_n)),$$

letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get:  $m(z, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow d(Tw, Sw)$ , so we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tz, Sz) &\geq d(Tw, Sw) + \phi(d(Tw, Sw)) \\ &> 2d(Tw, Sw), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence  $Tw = Sw$ . Putting  $z = Tw = Sw$ , so we get,

$$Sz = STw = TS w = Tz.$$

We will prove that  $z$  is a common fixed point for  $S$  and  $T$ , if not by using (3.1) we get;

$$d(Tw, Tz) \geq m(w, z) + \phi(m(w, z)),$$

which implies that:

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tz, z) &\geq d(z, Tz) + \phi(d(Tz, z)) \\ &> 2d(Tz, z), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence  $z = Tz$ .

For the uniqueness, suppose that  $t$  is another common fixed point for  $S$  and  $T$ , by using (3.1) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, t) = d(Tz, Tt) &\geq m(z, t) + \phi(m(z, t)) \\ &= d(z, t) + \phi(d(z, t)) \\ &> d(Sz, Tt), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, the uniqueness is proved.  $\square$

We can get the following Corollary 3.4.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $S$  and  $T$  be two self-maps on  $X$ . If there exists  $q > 1$  such for all  $x, y \in X$  we have*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \geq q \min\{d(Sx, Sy), d(Sx, Ty), d(Sy, Tx)\}.$$

*Moreover if the pair  $(S, T)$  is compatible of type (E), then  $S$  and  $T$  have a common fixed point.*

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $S$  and  $T$  be two self-mappings on  $X$  such  $SX \subseteq TX$  and satisfying (3.1). Further if the pair  $(S, T)$  is  $S$ -compatible of type (E) and  $T$ -weakly reciprocally continuous, then  $S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* As in proof of Theorem 3.3, the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  which defined in (3.2) is convergent to some  $w$  in  $X$ . The pair  $(S, T)$  is  $S$  compatible of type (E) implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} STx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} TSx_n = Tw.$$

Firstly we shall show  $Sw = Tw$ , if not, by using (3.1) we get:

$$d(Tw, TSx_n) \geq m(w, Sx_n) + \phi(m(z, Sx_n)),$$

letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $m(w, Sx_n) = m(w, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow d(Tw, Sw)$ , so we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tw, Szw) &\geq d(Tw, Sw) + \phi(d(Tw, Sw)) \\ &> 2d(Tw, Sw), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Then  $Tw = Sw$ . The pair is  $T$ -weakly reciprocally continuous, if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} TSx_n = Tw,$$

so the pair  $(S, T)$  is compatible, therefore weakly compatible.  
or

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^2x_n = Sw,$$

we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(TSx_n, STx_n) = 0,$$

and so  $(S, T)$  is compatible, then weakly compatible.

For  $z = Sw = Tw$  implies  $Sz = Tz$  and the rest of proof is similar as in proof of Theorem 3.3.

If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^2x_n = Sw$ , then also  $(S, T)$  is compatible, then it is weakly compatible.  $\square$

We can get the following two corollaries.

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $T$  be a surjective and a generalized weakly expansive mapping, that is, for all  $x, y \in X$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) &\geq \min\{d(x, y), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\} \\ &\quad + \phi\left(\min\{d(x, y), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}\right), \end{aligned}$$

*then  $T$  has a fixed point.*

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $S$  and  $T$  be two-self mappings on  $X$  such that  $SX \subseteq TX$  and satisfying (3.1). If the pair  $(S, T)$  is compatible of type (E) and weakly reciprocally continuous, then  $S$  and  $T$  have a common fixed point in  $X$ .*

**Example 3.8.** Let  $X = [0, 2]$  endowed with usual metric, define two maps  $S$  and  $T$  as follows:

$$Sx = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ \frac{3}{4}, & 1 < x \leq 2, \end{cases} \quad Tx = \begin{cases} 2 - x, & 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ \frac{1}{4}, & 1 < x \leq 2, \end{cases}$$

Consider the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  which converges to 0 and  $0 < x_n \leq 1$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = 1, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^2x_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ST_n = T1 = 1, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^2x_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} TSx_n = S1 = 1.\end{aligned}$$

Then  $(S, T)$  is compatible of type (E).

For the inequality (3.1), we have the following cases:

(1) For  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$d(Tx, Ty) = |x - y| \geq \frac{9}{4}d(Sx, Sy) = 0.$$

(2) For  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $1 < y \leq 2$ , we have

$$d(Tx, Ty) = \left| \frac{7}{4} - x \right| \geq \frac{9}{16} = \frac{9}{4}d(Sx, Sy).$$

(3) For  $x \in (1, 2]$  and  $y \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$d(Tx, Ty) = \left| \frac{7}{4} - y \right| \geq \frac{9}{16} = \frac{9}{4}d(Sx, Sy).$$

(4) For  $x, y \in (1, 2]$ , we have

$$d(Tx, Ty) = 0 \geq \frac{9}{4}d(Sx, Sy) = 0.$$

So, it is obviously that (3.2) holds. Consequently all hypotheses of Theorem 3.3, with  $\phi(t) = \frac{5}{4}t$  are satisfied, therefore 1 is the unique common fixed for  $S$  and  $T$ .

**Example 3.9.** Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  endowed with usual metric, define two maps  $S$  and  $T$  as follows:

$$Sx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}x, & 0 \leq x \leq 2, \\ 3, & x > 2, \end{cases} \quad Tx = \begin{cases} 4x, & 0 \leq x \leq 2, \\ \frac{3}{8}, & x > 2. \end{cases}$$

Consider the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  such  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$  and  $0 < x_n \leq 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^2x_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ST_n = T0 = 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} TSx_n &= T0 = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Then  $(S, T)$  is compatible of type (E).

For the inequality (3.1) we have the following cases:



(1) For  $x, y \in [0, 2]$ , we have

$$d(Tx, Ty) = 4|x - y| \geq \frac{3}{2}|x - y| = 3d(Sx, Sy).$$

(2) For  $x \in [0, 2]$  and  $y > 2$ , we have

$$d(Tx, Ty) = 4|x - \frac{3}{4}| \geq \frac{3}{2}|x - \frac{3}{4}| = d(Sx, Sy).$$

(3) For  $x \in (2, \infty)$  and  $y \in [0, 2]$ , we have

$$d(Tx, Ty) = 4|y - \frac{3}{4}| \geq \frac{3}{2}|y - \frac{3}{4}| = d(Sx, Sy).$$

(4) For  $x, y \in (2, \infty)$ , we have

$$d(Tx, Ty) = 0 \quad d(Sx, Sy) = 0.$$

So it is obviously (3.1) holds. Consequently all hypotheses of Theorem 3.5, with  $\phi(t) = 2t$  are satisfied, therefore 0 is the unique common fixed for  $S$  and  $T$ .

#### 4. APPLICATION

In this section, we focus on the existence of solutions of some nonlinear integral equations as an application to the results proved in the previous section. To delve further into these applications, we recommend consulting contemporary publications such as [3, 5, 9, 10, 17, 23, 32, 33, 34, 37, 38, 39]. We will utilize our results of Corollary 3.6 to prove the existence of solution for the following integral equation:

$$x(t) = f(t) + \int_0^1 K(t, s, x(s))ds, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $f \in X = C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  and  $K : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous function.

For  $x, y$  define a metric as follows:

$$d(x, y) = \|x - y\|_\infty = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x - y|.$$

Clearly  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Assume that:*

(1) *there exists a function  $\theta : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that*

$$|\int_0^1 K(t, s, x(s)) - K(t, s, y(s))ds| \geq \theta(t)|x(t) - y(t)|,$$

(2)  $\inf_{t \in [0, 1]} \theta(t) = \delta > 1$ .

*Then the equation (4.1) has a solution in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Define

$$Tx(t) = f(t) + \int_0^1 K(t, s, x(s))ds.$$

The equation (4.1) has a solution, if and only if the self mapping  $T$  has a fixed point in  $X$ . Since  $f, x \in X$  so  $T$  is a self-mapping from  $X$  into itself. We have also,

$$\begin{aligned} |Tx(t) - Ty(t)| &= \left| \int_0^1 K(t, s, x(s)) - K(t, s, y(s))ds \right| \\ &\geq \theta(t)|x(t) - y(t)| \\ &\geq \delta \min\{|x(t) - y(t)|, |Tx(t) - x(t)|, |y(t) - Ty(t)|, \\ &\quad |x(t), Ty(t)| + |y(t) - S_1|x(t)|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, all hypotheses of Corollary 3.6 are satisfied, then the equation (4.1) has a unique solution.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments:** The author thanks for the support of Al-Zaytoonah University of Jordan.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Akkouchi, *A Common Fixed Point Theorem for Expansive Mappings under Strict Implicit Conditions on b-Metric Spaces*, Acta Univ. Palacki. Olomuc., Fac. Rer. Nat., Math., **50**(1) (2011), 5–15.
- [2] M.A. Al-Thagafi and N. Shahzad, *Generalized I-nonexpansive selfmaps and invariant approximations*, Acta Math. Sinica, **24**(5) (2008), 867–876.
- [3] H. Alsamir, M.S.M. Noorani, W. Shatanawi, H. Aydi and H. Qawaqneh, *Fixed point results in metric-like spaces via  $\sigma$ -simulation functions*, Euro. J. Pure Appl. Math., **12**(1) (2019), 88–100.
- [4] H. Alsamir, H. Qawaqneh G. Al-Musanef and. Khalil, *Common Fixed Point of Generalized Berinde Type Contraction and an Application*, Euro. J. Pure Appl. Math., **17**(4) (2024), 2492–2504.
- [5] I. M. Batiha, S. A. Njadat, R. M. Batyha, A. Zraiqat, A. Dababneh and Sh. Momani, *Design Fractional-order PID Controllers for Single-Joint Robot Arm Model*. Int. J. Adv. Soft Comput. Appl., **14**(2) (2022), 96–114.
- [6] S. Beloul, *Common fixed point theorems for weakly subsequentially continuous generalized contractions with applications*, Appl. Math. E-Notes, **15** (2015), 173–186.
- [7] S. Beloul, *Some fixed point theorems for weakly subsequentially continuous and compatible of type (E) mappings with an application*, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl., **7**(1) (2015), 53–62.
- [8] A. Djoudi, *A unique common fixed point for compatible mappings of type (B) satisfying an implicit relation*, Demonstratio Math., **36** (2003), 763–770.
- [9] M. Elbes, T. Kanan, M. Alia and M. Ziad, *COVID-19 Detection Platform from X-ray Images using Deep Learning*, Int. J. Adv. Soft Comput. Appl., **14**(1) (2022).
- [10] T. Hamadneh, M. Ali and H. AL-Zoubi, *Linear Optimization of Polynomial Rational Functions: Applications for Positivity Analysis*, Mathematics, **2**(283) (2020).

- [11] M. Imdad and T. I. Khan, *Fixed point theorems for some expansive mappings via implicit relations*, Nonlinear. Anal. Forum, **9**(2) (2004), 209–218.
- [12] G. Jungck, *Commuting mappings and fixed points*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **83**(4) (1976), 261–263.
- [13] G. Jungck, *Compatible mappings and common fixed points*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci, **9** (1986), 771–779.
- [14] G. Jungck, P.P. Murthy and Y.J. Cho, *Compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points*, Math. Japon., **38** (1993), 381–390.
- [15] G. Jungck and B.E. Rhoades, *Fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings*, Fixed Point Theory, **9** (2008) 383–384.
- [16] S.M. Kan, M. Kumar, P.Kumar and S.Kumar, *Fixed point theorems for  $\phi$ -weakly expansive mappings in metric spaces*, IJPAM, **90**(2) (2014), 143–152.
- [17] T. Kanan, M. Elbes, K. Abu Maria and M. Alia, *Exploring the Potential of IoT-Based Learning Environments in Education*, Int. J. Adv. Soft Comput. Appl., **15**(2) (2023).
- [18] H.P.A. Künzi, H. Pajoohesh and M.P. Schellekens, *Partial quasi-metrics*, Theoretical Comput. Sci., **365**(3) (2006).
- [19] E. Karapinar, I.M. Erhan and A. Ozturk *Fixed point theorems on quasi-partial metric spaces*, Math. Comput. Model., **57**(9) (2013), 2442–2448.
- [20] J.K. Kim, M. Kumar, Preeti, Poonam and W.H. Lim, *Common fixed point theorems for two self maps satisfying  $\xi$ -weakly expansive mappings in dislocated metric space*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **27**(2)(2022), 271–287.
- [21] M. Meneceur, H. Qawaqneh, H. Alsamir and G. Al-Musannef, *Common Fixed Point of Generalized Berinde Type Contraction and an Application*, Euro. J. Pure Appl. Math., **17**(4) (2024), 3093–3108.
- [22] S. Manro and P. Kumam, *Common fixed point theorems for expansion mappings in various abstract spaces using the concept of weak reciprocal continuity*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2012**(221) (2012), 12 pages.
- [23] M. Nazam, H. Aydi, M.S.M. Noorani and H. Qawaqneh, *Existence of Fixed Points of Four Maps for a New Generalized F-Contraction and an Application*, J. Function Spaces, **2019**, 5980312, (2019).
- [24] R.P. Pant, *A common fixed point theorem under a new condition*, Indian. J. Pure Appl. Math., **30**(2) (1999), 147–152.
- [25] R.P. Pant, R.K. Bisht and D. Arora, *Weak reciprocal continuity and fixed point theorems*, Ann. Univ. Ferrara, **57** (2011), 181–190.
- [26] V. pant and R.K. Bisht, *A new continuity condition and fixed point theorems with applications*, RCSAM, **108**(2) (2014), 653–668.
- [27] H.K. Pathak and M.S. Khan, *Compatible mappings of type (B) and common fixed point theorems of Gregus type*, Czechoslovak Math. J., **45**(120) (1995), 685–698.
- [28] H.K. Pathak, Y.J. Cho, S.M. Kang and B.S. Lee, *Fixed point theorems for compatible mappings of type (P) and application to dynamic programming*, Le Matematiche (Fasc. I), **50** (1995), 15–33.
- [29] H.K. Pathak, Y.J. Cho, S.M. Khan and B. Madharia, *Compatible mappings of type (C) and common fixed point theorems of Gregus type*, Demonstratio Math., **31**(3) (1998), 499–518.
- [30] H.K. Pathak, R.P. Lope and R.K. Verma, *A common fixed point theorem using implicit relation and property (E.A) in metric spaces*, Filomat, **21** (2007), 211234.
- [31] V. Popa, *A General fixed point theorem for expansive mappings under strict implicit conditions*, Stud. Cerc. St. Ser. Mat. Univ. Bacau, **17** (2007), 197–200.

- [32] H. Qawaqneh, *New contraction embedded with simulation function and cyclic  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -admissible in metric-like spaces*, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci., **15**(4) (2020), 1029–1044.
- [33] H. Qawaqneh, *Fractional analytic solutions and fixed point results with some applications*, Adv. Fixed Point Theory, **14**(1) (2024).
- [34] H. Qawaqneh, M.S.M. Noorani, H. Aydi, A. Zraiqat and A.H. Ansari, *On fixed point results in partial b-metric spaces*, J. Function Spaces, (2021).
- [35] H. Qawaqneh, M.S.M. Noorani and H. Aydi, *Some new characterizations and results for fuzzy contractions in fuzzy b-metric spaces and applications*, AIMS Mathematics, **8**(3) (2023), 6682–6696.
- [36] H. Qawaqneh, *New Functions For Fixed Point Results In Metric Spaces With Some Applications*, Indian J. Math., **66**(1) (2024), 55–84.
- [37] H. Qawaqneh and Y. Alrashedi, *Mathematical and Physical Analysis of Fractional Estevez Mansfield Clarkson Equation*, Fractal Fract, **8**(8), (2024), 467.
- [38] H. Qawaqneh, K.H. Hakami, A. Altalbe and M. Bayram, *The Discovery of Truncated M-Fractional Exact Solitons and a Qualitative Analysis of the Generalized Bretherton Model*, Mathematics, **12**(17), (2024), 2772.
- [39] H. Qawaqneh, H.A. Hammad and H. Hassen Aydi, *Exploring new geometric contraction mappings and their applications in fractional metric spaces*, AIMS Mathematics, **9**(1) (2024), 521–541.
- [40] S. Sessa, *On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations*, Publ. Inst. Math. Beograd, **32**(46) (1982), 149–153.
- [41] P. Shahi, J. Kaur and S.S. Bhatia, *Common Fixed Points of Expansive Mappings in Generalized Metric Spaces*, J. Appl. Resea. Tech., **12** (2014), 607–614.
- [42] M.R. Singh and Y. Mahendra Singh, *Compatible mappings of type (E) and common fixed point theorems of Meir-Keeler type*, Int. J. Math. Sci. Engg. Appl., **1**(2) (2007), 299–315.
- [43] M.R. Singh and Y. Mahendra Singh, *On various types of compatible maps and common fixed point theorems for non-continuous maps*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat., **40**(4) (2011), 503–513.
- [44] S.Z. Wang, B.Y. Li, Z.M. Gao and K. Iseki, *Some fixed point theorems on expansion mappings*, Math. Japon., **29** (1984), 631–636.