

EIGENVALUE LOCALIZATION INEQUALITIES INVOLVING WEIGHTED EIGENVALUES FOR $N \times N$ COMPLEX MATRICES WITH $N \geq 3$

F. Alrimawi

Department of Allied Sciences, Al-Ahliyya Amman University,
Amman, Jordan
e-mail: f.rimawi@ammanu.edu.jo

Abstract. In this paper, we obtain some eigenvalue localization inequalities involving weighted eigenvalues for $n \times n$ complex matrices with $n \geq 3$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the algebra of all $n \times n$ complex matrices. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ denote the eigenvalues of the matrix $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ repeated according to their algebraic multiplicities. For $0 < p < \infty$, define $\|A\|_p$ by $(\text{tr } |A|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, where $|A| = (A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, this is the Schatten p -norm of A and it is the Hilbert–Schmidt norm when $p = 2$.

A matrix $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ can be presented by the Cartesian decomposition as $A = \text{Re}(A) + i \text{Im}(A)$, where $\text{Re}(A) = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^*)$ and $\text{Im}(A) = \frac{1}{2i}(A - A^*)$ are the real and imaginary parts of A , respectively. For more properties and recent results about the norms, the Cartesian decomposition, and Schatten p -norms, the reader may refer to [1], [3], [4], [5], [6] and [13].

The Gersgorin Disk Theorem (see [6, p. 244]) asserts that for a matrix $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ (where $A = [a_{ij}], i, j = 1, \dots, n$), the eigenvalues of A are included

⁰Received December 1, 2025. Revised March 17, 2026. Accepted March 20, 2026.

⁰2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A18, 15A42, 15A60.

⁰Keywords: Eigenvalue, location, elliptic region.

by the union of n small disks $\Gamma_i(A)$, that is

$$\Gamma(A) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Gamma_i(A) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - a_{ii}| \leq \sum_{i \neq j} |a_{ij}| \right\}.$$

For some improvements and related results of the Gersgorin Disk Theorem in determining the location of eigenvalues, we may refer the reader to [7], [8], [14], [16], [17], [18], [20], [21], [22], [23], [24] and references therein.

Motivated by the work in [15] and [24], the author in [12] proved the following results for any $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with eigenvalue $\lambda_l (l = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ with $S_l = \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{l\}$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$:

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \lambda_l - \frac{\operatorname{Re}(tr A)}{n} \right|^2 \leq \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\|\operatorname{Re} A\|_2^2 - \frac{|\operatorname{Re}(tr A)|^2}{n} - \frac{1}{2} k^2(A) \right) \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} \lambda_l - \frac{\operatorname{Im}(tr A)}{n} \right|^2 \leq \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\|\operatorname{Im} A\|_2^2 - \frac{|\operatorname{Im}(tr A)|^2}{n} - \frac{1}{2} h^2(A) \right), \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$k^2(A) = \min_{1 \leq l \leq n} \max_{j, k \in S_l} |\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j - \operatorname{Re} \lambda_k|^2$$

and

$$h^2(A) = \min_{1 \leq l \leq n} \max_{j, k \in S_l} |\operatorname{Im} \lambda_j - \operatorname{Im} \lambda_k|^2.$$

Also, he proved that all eigenvalues are located in the following elliptic region:

$$\frac{\left(x - \frac{\operatorname{Re}(tr A)}{n}\right)^2}{2M^2} + \frac{\left(y - \frac{\operatorname{Im}(tr A)}{n}\right)^2}{2N^2} = c \text{ for some } c \in (0, 1], \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$M = \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}} \left\| \operatorname{Re} A - \frac{\operatorname{Re}(tr A)}{n} I \right\|_2$$

and

$$N = \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}} \left\| \operatorname{Im} A - \frac{\operatorname{Im}(tr A)}{n} I \right\|_2.$$

Many authors used to study the estimation of matrix eigenvalues according to the importance of such studies in physics (especially in quantum mechanics, see, e.g., [10], [11] and [19]). In their work, mathematicians consider the case when the order of the matrix A is $n \geq 3$ since the range of the eigenvalues of a 2×2 matrix can be considered by direct calculation.

In Section 2, we give refinements of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 given in [24]. Also, we give generalizations of the inequalities (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) given in [12].

2. INEQUALITIES INVOLVING GENERAL 2×2 BLOCK MATRICES

In this section, we need the following lemmas. For the first lemma we refer to [2], while we can find the second and third lemmas in [6] and [9], respectively. Throughout this paper, we use the symbol S_l for the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{l\}$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n be complex numbers and let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ be positive real numbers with $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$, where $n \geq 3$. Then*

$$\frac{\alpha_l}{1 - \alpha_l} \left| z_l - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j z_j \right|^2 + \sum_{j,k \in S_l} \frac{\alpha_j \alpha_k}{2(1 - \alpha_l)} |z_j - z_k|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j |z_j|^2 - \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j z_j \right|^2$$

for $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j)^2 \leq \|\operatorname{Re} A\|_2^2$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (\operatorname{Im} \lambda_j)^2 \leq \|\operatorname{Im} A\|_2^2.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n be complex numbers. Then*

$$\frac{n}{2} \max_{j,k=1,\dots,n} |z_j - z_k|^2 \leq \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} |z_j - z_k|^2.$$

Our first result in this section can be as follows:

Theorem 2.4. Let $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with $(n \geq 3)$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_l (l = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ and let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ be positive real numbers with $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_j = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \operatorname{Re} \lambda_l - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j) \right|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1 - \alpha_l}{\alpha_l} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j |\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j|^2 - \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \operatorname{Re} \lambda_j \right|^2 - \frac{\gamma(\alpha) k^2(A)}{2\alpha_l} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \operatorname{Im} \lambda_l - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (\operatorname{Im} \lambda_j) \right|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1 - \alpha_l}{\alpha_l} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j |\operatorname{Im} \lambda_j|^2 - \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \operatorname{Im} \lambda_j \right|^2 - \frac{\gamma(\alpha) h^2(A)}{2\alpha_l} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\gamma(\alpha) = \min_{1 \leq l \leq n} \min_{j, k \in S_l} \{\alpha_j \alpha_k\},$$

$$k^2(A) = \min_{1 \leq l \leq n} \max_{j, k \in S_l} |\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j - \operatorname{Re} \lambda_k|^2$$

and

$$h^2(A) = \min_{1 \leq l \leq n} \max_{j, k \in S_l} |\operatorname{Im} \lambda_j - \operatorname{Im} \lambda_k|^2.$$

Proof. Let λ_l be an arbitrary eigenvalue of A . By Lemma 2.1 then applying Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \operatorname{Re} \lambda_l - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j) \right|^2 &= \frac{1 - \alpha_l}{\alpha_l} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j |\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j|^2 - \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \operatorname{Re} \lambda_j \right|^2 \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j, k \in S_l} \frac{\alpha_j \alpha_k}{2\alpha_l} |\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j - \operatorname{Re} \lambda_k|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1 - \alpha_l}{\alpha_l} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j |\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j|^2 - \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \operatorname{Re} \lambda_j \right|^2 \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\gamma(\alpha) \sum_{j,k \in S_l} |\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j - \operatorname{Re} \lambda_k|^2}{2\alpha_l} \\ &\leq \frac{1 - \alpha_l}{\alpha_l} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j |\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j|^2 - \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \operatorname{Re} \lambda_j \right|^2 \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\gamma(\alpha) k^2(A)}{2\alpha_l}, \end{aligned}$$

this proves the inequality (2.1). Similarly, we can obtain the second inequality (2.2). \square

From Theorem 2.4 and using Lemma 2.2, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.5. *Let $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with $(n \geq 3)$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_l (l = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ and let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ be positive real numbers with $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_j = 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \operatorname{Re} \lambda_l - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j) \right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1 - \alpha_l}{\alpha_l} \left(\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \alpha_j \right) \|\operatorname{Re} A\|_2^2 - \left(\min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \alpha_j \right)^2 |\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{tr} A)|^2 - \frac{\gamma(\alpha) k^2(A)}{2\alpha_l} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \operatorname{Im} \lambda_l - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (\operatorname{Im} \lambda_j) \right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1 - \alpha_l}{\alpha_l} \left(\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \alpha_j \right) \|\operatorname{Im} A\|_2^2 - \left(\min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \alpha_j \right)^2 |\operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{tr} A)|^2 - \frac{\gamma(\alpha) h^2(A)}{2\alpha_l} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where $\gamma(\alpha)$, $k^2(A)$ and $h^2(A)$ are as defined in Theorem 2.4.

Remark 2.6. The following inequalities can be obtained by direct computations from Theorem 2.4 by taking $\alpha_j = \frac{1}{n}, 1 \leq j \leq n$:

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \lambda_l - \frac{\operatorname{Re}(trA)}{n} \right|^2 \leq \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\|\operatorname{Re} A\|_2^2 - \frac{|\operatorname{Re}(trA)|^2}{n} - \frac{1}{2}k^2(A) \right)$$

and

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} \lambda_l - \frac{\operatorname{Im}(trA)}{n} \right|^2 \leq \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\|\operatorname{Im} A\|_2^2 - \frac{|\operatorname{Im}(trA)|^2}{n} - \frac{1}{2}h^2(A) \right),$$

where $k^2(A)$ and $h^2(A)$ are as defined in Theorem 2.4. Which means that our result in Theorem 2.4 gives generalizations of the inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) given in [12]. Also, when $k^2(A) = h^2(A) = 0$, we get

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \lambda_l - \frac{\operatorname{Re}(trA)}{n} \right|^2 \leq \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\|\operatorname{Re} A\|_2^2 - \frac{|\operatorname{Re}(trA)|^2}{n} \right)$$

and

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} \lambda_l - \frac{\operatorname{Im}(trA)}{n} \right|^2 \leq \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\|\operatorname{Im} A\|_2^2 - \frac{|\operatorname{Im}(trA)|^2}{n} \right),$$

which implies that our result in Theorem 2.4 gives refinements of Theorem 2.2 given in [24].

Our second result in this paper can be stated as follows:

Theorem 2.7. *Let $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with $(n \geq 3)$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ and let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ be positive real numbers with $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_j = 1$. Then all eigenvalues are located in elliptic region*

$$\frac{\left(x - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j) \right)^2}{2M^2} + \frac{\left(y - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j) \right)^2}{2N^2} = c$$

for some $c \in (0, 1]$, where

$$M = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \alpha_l}{\alpha_l} \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \alpha_j \right)} \left\| \operatorname{Re} A - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j) \right) I \right\|_2$$

and

$$N = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \alpha_l}{\alpha_l} \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \alpha_j \right)} \left\| \operatorname{Im} A - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j) \right) I \right\|_2.$$

Proof. We will discuss the case when $tr A = 0$. It follows from the inequalities (2.3) and (2.4) that

$$|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_l|^2 + \frac{1 - \alpha_l}{2\alpha_l^2} \gamma(\alpha) k^2(A) \leq \frac{1 - \alpha_l}{\alpha_l} \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \alpha_j \right) \|\operatorname{Re} A\|_2^2 \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$|\operatorname{Im} \lambda_l|^2 + \frac{1 - \alpha_l}{2\alpha_l^2} \gamma(\alpha) h^2(A) \leq \frac{1 - \alpha_l}{\alpha_l} \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \alpha_j \right) \|\operatorname{Im} A\|_2^2. \quad (2.6)$$

It follows from the inequalities (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$\frac{|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_l|^2 + \frac{1 - \alpha_l}{2\alpha_l^2} \gamma(\alpha) k^2(A)}{2 \frac{1 - \alpha_l}{\alpha_l} \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \alpha_j \right) \|\operatorname{Re} A\|_2^2} + \frac{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda_l|^2 + \frac{1 - \alpha_l}{2\alpha_l^2} \gamma(\alpha) h^2(A)}{2 \frac{1 - \alpha_l}{\alpha_l} \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \alpha_j \right) \|\operatorname{Im} A\|_2^2} \leq 1,$$

which means that the real parts and imaginary parts of the eigenvalues $\lambda_l (l = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ are satisfying

$$\frac{x^2 + \frac{1 - \alpha_l}{2\alpha_l^2} \gamma(\alpha) k^2(A)}{2 \frac{1 - \alpha_l}{\alpha_l} \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \alpha_j \right) \|\operatorname{Re} A\|_2^2} + \frac{y^2 + \frac{1 - \alpha_l}{2\alpha_l^2} \gamma(\alpha) h^2(A)}{2 \frac{1 - \alpha_l}{\alpha_l} \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \alpha_j \right) \|\operatorname{Im} A\|_2^2} \leq 1,$$

which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{x^2}{2 \frac{1 - \alpha_l}{\alpha_l} \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \alpha_j \right) \|\operatorname{Re} A\|_2^2} + \frac{y^2}{2 \frac{1 - \alpha_l}{\alpha_l} \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \alpha_j \right) \|\operatorname{Im} A\|_2^2} \\ & \leq 1 - \frac{\gamma(\alpha) k^2(A)}{4\alpha_l \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \alpha_j \right) \|\operatorname{Re} A\|_2^2} - \frac{\gamma(\alpha) h^2(A)}{4\alpha_l \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \alpha_j \right) \|\operatorname{Im} A\|_2^2}. \end{aligned}$$

For the general case, we set $A - \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j) \right) I \right]$ and repeat the above progress. So, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\left(x - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j) \right)^2}{2M^2} + \frac{\left(y - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j) \right)^2}{2N^2} \\ & \leq 1 - \left(\frac{1 - \alpha_l}{4\alpha_l^2} \right) \frac{\gamma(\alpha) k^2(A)}{M^2} - \left(\frac{1 - \alpha_l}{4\alpha_l^2} \right) \frac{\gamma(\alpha) h^2(A)}{N^2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.8. The following inequalities can be obtained by direct computations from Theorem 2.7 by taking $\alpha_j = \frac{1}{n}, 1 \leq j \leq n$:

$$\frac{\left(x - \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{tr}A)}{n}\right)^2}{2M^2} + \frac{\left(y - \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{tr}A)}{n}\right)^2}{2N^2} = c \text{ for some } c \in (0, 1],$$

where

$$M = \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}} \left\| \operatorname{Re} A - \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{tr}A)}{n} I \right\|_2$$

and

$$N = \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}} \left\| \operatorname{Im} A - \frac{\operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{tr}A)}{n} I \right\|_2.$$

Which means that our result in Theorem 2.7 gives generalizations of the inequality (1.3) given in [12]. Also, when $k^2(A) = h^2(A) = 0$, we get

$$\frac{\left(x - \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{tr}A)}{n}\right)^2}{2M^2} + \frac{\left(y - \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{tr}A)}{n}\right)^2}{2N^2} = 1,$$

where M and N are defined as above. Which implies that our result in Theorem 2.4 gives refinements of Theorem 2.3 given in [24].

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Alrimawi, F.A. Abushaheen and R. Alkhateeb, *Improved lower bounds for numerical radius via Cartesian decomposition*, J. Math. Comput. Sci., **33** (2024), 169–175.
- [2] F. Alrimawi, O. Hirzallah and F. Kittaneh, *Norm inequalities involving convex and concave functions of operators*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, **67** (2019), 1757–1772.
- [3] F. Alrimawi, O. Hirzallah and F. Kittaneh, *Norm inequalities involving the weighted numerical radii of operators*, Linear Algebra Appl., **657** (2023), 127–146.
- [4] F. Alrimawi, H. Kawariq and F.A. Abushaheen, *Generalized-weighted numerical radius inequalities for Schatten p -norms*, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci., **17**(3) (2022), 1463–2022.
- [5] F. Alrimawi and F. Kittaneh, *Refinements of some well-known numerical radius inequalities*, Filomat, **39**(14) (2025), 4613–4623.
- [6] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*, Springer, New York, 1997.
- [7] K. Fan, *Note on circular disks containing the eigenvalues of a matrix*, Duke Math. J., **25** (1958), 441–445.
- [8] D. Feingold and R. Varga, *Block diagonally dominant matrices and generalizations of the Gerschgorin circle theorem*, Pacific J. Math., **12** (1962), 1241–1250.
- [9] I.H. Gummus, O. Hirzallah and F. Kittaneh, *Eigenvalue localization for complex matrices*, Electron. J. Linear Algebra, (2014), 892–906.
- [10] S. Hassani, *Mathematical physics: a modern introduction to its foundations*, Springer, 2013.
- [11] R. Horn and C. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.

- [12] R. Ma and F. Zhang, *Eigenvalue localization inequalities for complex matrices with order $n \geq 3$* , Adv. Math. Phys., 2025 (2025), ID 6151487, <https://doi.org/10.1155/admp/6151487>.
- [13] H.R. Moradi, F. Alrimawi and M.Sababheh, *New norm and numerical radius bounds via advanced Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities*, Ann Univ. Ferrara, **72** (2026), 20.
- [14] N. Pullman, *Matrix Theory and Its Applications*, New York, Basel: Marcel Dekker, Inc., 197.
- [15] O. Rojo, R. Soto, H. Rojo and T. Avila, *Localization of eigenvalues in elliptic regions*, Comput. Math. Appl., **29** (1995), 3–11.
- [16] P. Sargolzaei and R. Rakhshanipur, *Improving the localization of eigenvalues for complex matrices*, Appl. Math. Sci., **5** (2011), 1857–1864.
- [17] A. Sluis, *Gerschgorin domains for partitioned matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl., **26** (1979), 265–280.
- [18] P. Tarazaga, *Eigenvalue estimates for symmetric matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl., **135** (1990), 171–179.
- [19] M. Vaughn, *Introduction to mathematical physics*, Wiley, 2008.
- [20] H. Wolkowicz and G. Styan, *Bounds for eigenvalues using traces*, Linear Algebra Appl., **29** (1980), 471–506.
- [21] H. Wolkowicz and G. Styan, *More bounds for eigenvalues using traces*, Linear Algebra Appl., **31** (1980), 1–17.
- [22] J. Wu, *Distribution and estimation for eigenvalues of real quaternion matrices*, Comput. Math. Appl., **55** (2008), 1988–2004.
- [23] J. Wu and Y. Zhang, *Estimate for the lower bound of rank and the upper bound of eigenvalues norms sum of given quaternion matrix*, Comput. Math. Appl., **59** (2010), 3160–3166.
- [24] J. Wu and J. Zhao, *A survey of the progress of locating methods of complex matrices eigenvalues and some new location theorem and their applications*, IMA J. Appl. Math., **80** (2015), 1273–1285.