Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications Vol. 13, No. 1 (2008), pp. 135-145

http://nfaa.kyungnam.ac.kr/jour-nfaa.htm Copyright © 2008 Kyungnam University Press

ON MICCHELLI COMBINATION OF SZÃSZ MIRAKIAN-DURRMEYER OPERATORS

P. N. Agrawal¹ and Kareem J. Thamer²

¹Department of Mathematics, I.I.T Roorkee, Roorkee-247667 (U.K.), India e-mail: pna_iitr@yahoo.com

²Department of Mathematics, Sanáa University, Yemen e-mail: k_alabdullah2005@yahoo.com

Abstract. It is shown that the technique of iterative combinations introduced by Micchelli [9] can be used to improve the rate of convergence by Szãsz-Durrmeyer Operators.

1. INTRODUCTION

Mazhar and Totik [8] and independently Kasana et al. [6] proposed the following integral modification of Szãsz-Mirakian operators to approximate Lebesgue integrable functions on $[0,\infty)$

$$M_n(f(u),t) = n \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} p_{n,\nu}(t) \int_0^\infty p_{n,\nu}(u) f(u) \, du, \ f \in L_1[0,\infty)$$
(1.1)

where $p_{n,\nu}(t) = \frac{e^{-nt}(nt)^{\nu}}{\nu!}$. Alternatively, (1.1) may be written as

$$M_n(f(u),t) = \int_0^\infty W(n,t,u)f(u) \, du,$$

where $W(n, t, u) = n \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} p_{n,\nu}(t) p_{n,\nu}(u)$. In order to improve the rate of convergence $O(n^{-1})$ by these operators, the technique of linear combinations introduced by May [7] and Rathore [10] has

⁰ Received December 19, 2006. Revised April, 22. 2008.

⁰ 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 41A36, 41A25.

⁰ Keywords: Szãsz-Mirakian Durrmeyer operators, rate of convergence, iterative combinations.

been used (cf., e.g. [1]-[4]). There is yet another approach for improving the order of approximation, which was offered by Micchelli [9] by considering the iterative combinations $U_{n,k} = [I - (I - B_n)^k]$ of the Bernstein polynomials B_n . He proved some direct and saturation results for these operators $U_{n,k}$ using semi-group method.

In the present paper, we have considered the Micchelli combinations of the Szãsz-Mirakian Durrmeyer operators defined by (1.1) and proved some results concerning the degree of approximation.

We begin with the class Ω of all Lebesgue measurable functions on $[0,\infty)$ such that

$$\Omega[0,\infty) = \left\{ f: \int_0^\infty e^{-nt} |f(t)| \, dt < \infty \text{ for some positive integer } n \right\}.$$

Obviously $\Omega[0,\infty) \supset L_1[0,\infty)$ and hence Szsãz Mirakian-Durrmeyer operators M_n may be utilized for studying the approximation of a bigger class of functions.

Let M_n^r the *r*th iterate (superposition) of the operator M_n , be a mapping from $\Omega[0,\infty)$ into $C^{\infty}(-\infty,\infty)$ (the class of infinitely differentiable functions on the interval $(-\infty,\infty)$), then we define the operator $T_{n,k}: \Omega[0,\infty) \to C^{\infty}(-\infty,\infty)$ as

$$T_{n,k}(f(u);t) = \left(I - (I - M_n)^k\right)(f(u);t)$$

= $\sum_{r=1}^k (-1)^{r+1} \binom{k}{r} M_n^r(f(u);t).$

2. Preliminaries and auxiliary results

In the sequel, we shall require the following results.

Lemma 1. ([6]) Let the function $\mu_{n,m}(t), m \in N^0$ (the set of all nonnegative integers) be defined by

$$\mu_{n,m}(t) = M_n \left((u-t)^m; t \right) = \int_0^\infty W(n,t,u) (u-t)^m \, du$$

Then, $\mu_{n,0}(t) = 1$, $\mu_{n,1}(t) = \frac{1}{n}$, $\mu_{n,2}(t) = \frac{2}{n}(t+\frac{1}{n})$ and the following recurrence relation holds

$$\mu_{n,m+1}(t) = t\mu'_{n,m}(t) + (m+1)\mu_{n,m}(t) + 2mt\mu_{n,m-1}(t), \ m \in N.$$
(2.1)

From the recurrence relation (2.1) we have the following consequences: (i) $\mu_{n,m}(t)$ is a polynomial in t of degree [m/2] and in n^{-1} of degree m, where $[\alpha]$ denotes the integral part of α . (ii) For every $t \in [0, \infty), \mu_{n,m}(t) = O\left(n^{-[(m+1)/2]}\right)$.

For every $m \in N^0$, the *m*th order moment $\mu_{n,m}^{[p]}(t)$ for the operator M_n^p is defined as

$$\mu_{n,m}^{[p]}(t) = M_n^p \left((u-t)^m; t \right).$$

From Lemma 1 it follows that $\mu_{n,m}^{[p]}(t)$ is a polynomial in t of degree [m/2]. We shall write $\mu_{n,m}(t)$ for $\mu_{n,m}^{[1]}(t)$.

Lemma 2. ([5]) Let γ and δ be two positive numbers and $[a, b] \subset [0, \infty)$. Then, for any m > 0 there exists a constant K_m such that

$$\left\| \int_{|u-t|\geq\delta} W(n,t,u)e^{\gamma u} \, du \right\|_{C[a,b]} \leq K_m n^{-m}.$$

Lemma 3. There holds the recurrence relation

$$\mu_{n,m}^{[p+1]}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} {m \choose j} \sum_{i=0}^{[(m-j)/2]} \frac{1}{i!} D^{i} \left(\mu_{n,m-j}^{[p]}(t)\right) \mu_{n,i+j}(t),$$

where D denotes the operator d/dt, $p \in N$ and $m \in N^0$.

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\mu_{n,m}^{[p+1]}(t) = M_n(M_n^p((u-t)^m;x);t)$$

= $M_n(M_n^p((u-x+x-t)^m;x);t)$
= $\sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} M_n\left((x-t)^j M_n^p\left((u-x)^{m-j};x\right);t\right).$

Since $M_n^p((u-x)^{m-j};x)$ is a polynomial in x of degree [(m-j)/2], by Taylor's expansion we may write it as

$$\sum_{i=0}^{[(m-j)/2]} \frac{(x-t)^i}{i!} D^i \left(\mu_{n,m-j}^{[r]}(t) \right)$$

which proves the lemma.

Lemma 4. For $p \in N, m \in N^0$ and every $t \in [0, \infty)$ we have

$$\mu_{n,m}^{[p]}(t) = O\left(n^{-[(m+1)/2]}\right).$$

Proof. We shall prove this result by mathematical induction on p. For p = 1, it follows from Lemma 1 Therefore, assume it for a certain p. Then

 $\mu_{n,m-j}^{[p]}(t) = O\left(n^{-[(m-j+1)/2]}\right).$ Since $\mu_{n,m-j}^{[p]}(t)$ is a polynomial in t of degree [(m-j)/2], we have

$$D^{i}\left(\mu_{n,m-j}^{[p]}(t)\right) = O\left(n^{-[(m-j+1)/2]}\right) \ \forall \ i, \ 0 \le i \le [(m-j)/2].$$

Now, applying Lemma 2 we get

$$\begin{split} \mu_{n,m}^{[p+1]}(t) &= \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{i=0}^{[(m-j)/2]} O\left(n^{-[(m-j+1)/2] + [(i+j+1)/2]}\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{i=0}^{[(m-j)/2]} O\left(n^{-[(m+i+1)/2]}\right) \\ &= O\left(n^{-[(m+1)/2]}\right). \end{split}$$

Lemma 5. For $k, l \in N$, and every $t \in [0, \infty)$ there holds

$$T_{n,k}\left((u-t)^l;t\right) = O(n^{-k}).$$

Proof. For k = 1, the result follows from Lemma 1. Now, suppose that it is true for some k then we shall prove it for k + 1.

$$T_{n,k+1}\left((u-t)^{l};t\right) = \sum_{r=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{r+1} \binom{k+1}{r} \mu_{n,l}^{[r]}(t)$$

$$= \sum_{r=1}^{k} (-1)^{r+1} \binom{k}{r} \mu_{n,l}^{[r]}(t) + \sum_{r=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{r+1} \binom{k}{r-1} \mu_{n,l}^{[r]}(t)$$

$$= I_{1} + I_{2}, \text{say.}$$

We may write I_1 as

$$I_1 = T_{n,k} \left((u-t)^l; t \right).$$
 (2.2)

Next, by Lemma 3

$$I_{2} = \sum_{r=0}^{k} (-1)^{r+2} {k \choose r} \mu_{n,l}^{[r+1]}(t)$$

$$= -\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} {l \choose j} \sum_{i=0}^{[(l-j)/2]} \frac{1}{i!} \left[D^{i} T_{n,k} \left((u-t)^{l-j}; t \right) \right] \mu_{n,i+j}(t) \quad (2.3)$$

$$- T_{n,k} \left((u-t)^{l}; t \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{[l/2]} \frac{1}{i!} \left[D^{i} T_{n,k} \left((u-t)^{l}; t \right) \right] \mu_{n,i}(t)$$

Micchelli combination

Thus, combining (2.2) and (2.3)

$$\begin{split} T_{n,k+1}\left((u-t)^{l};t\right) &= -\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \binom{l}{j} \sum_{i=0}^{[(l-j)/2]} \frac{1}{i!} \left[D^{i} T_{n,k} \left((u-t)^{l-j};t\right) \right] \mu_{n,i+j}(t) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{[l/2]} \frac{1}{i!} \left[D^{i} T_{n,k} \left((u-t)^{l};t\right) \right] \mu_{n,i}(t) \\ &= O(n^{-(k+1)}). \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 6. ([6]) There exist polynomials $q_{i,j,r}(t)$ independent of n and ν such that

$$t^{r} \frac{d^{r}}{dt^{r}} \left(p_{n,\nu}(t) \right) = \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r\\i,j \geq 0}} n^{i} \left(\nu - nt \right)^{j} q_{i,j,r}(t) p_{n,\nu}(t).$$

3. Main results

First, we establish a Voronovskaja type asymptotic formula for the operators $T_{n,k}(.,t)$

Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in \Omega[0, \infty)$ be bounded on every finite subinterval of $[0, \infty)$ and $f(t) = O(e^{\alpha t})$ as $t \to \infty$ for some $\alpha > 0$. If $f^{(2k)}$ exists at a point $t \in [0, \infty)$ then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n^k \left[T_{n,k}(f;t) - f(t) \right] = \sum_{\nu=2}^{2k} \frac{f^{(\nu)}(t)}{\nu!} Q(\nu,k,t)$$
(3.1)

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n^k \left[T_{n,k+1}(f;t) - f(t) \right] = 0, \tag{3.2}$$

where $Q(\nu, k, t)$ are certain polynomials in t of degree at most $[\nu/2]$. Further the limits in (3.1-3.2) hold uniformly in $t \in [0, a]$ if $f^{(2k)} \in C[0, b), 0 < a < b$.

Proof. By Taylor's expansion of f, we have

$$f(u) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{2k} \frac{f^{(\nu)}(t)}{\nu!} (u-t)^{\nu} + \epsilon(u,t)(u-t)^{2k},$$

 $\epsilon(u,t) \to 0$ as $u \to t$ and $\epsilon(u,t) = O(e^{\alpha u})$ as $u \to \infty$. To prove this, let

$$\epsilon(u,t) = \frac{f(u) - \sum_{\nu=0}^{2k} \frac{f^{(\nu)}(t)}{\nu!} (u-t)^{\nu}}{(u-t)^{2k}}$$

139

Then

$$\begin{split} \lim_{u \to t} \epsilon(u, t) &= \lim_{u \to t} \frac{f(u) - \sum_{\nu=0}^{2k} \frac{f^{(\nu)}(t)}{\nu!} (u - t)^{\nu}}{(u - t)^{2k}}, \ \left(\frac{0}{0} \text{ form}\right) \\ &= \lim_{u \to t} \frac{f^{(2k-1)}(u) - (f^{(2k-1)}(t) + (u - t)f^{(2k)}(t))}{2k!(u - t)} \\ &\quad (\text{applying L'Hospital's rule successively}(2k - 1)\text{ times}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2k!} \lim_{u \to t} \frac{f^{(2k-1)}(u) - f^{(2k-1)}(t)}{(u - t)} - \frac{f^{(2k)}(t)}{2k!} \\ &= \frac{f^{(2k)}(t)}{2k!} - \frac{f^{(2k)}(t)}{2k!} = 0. \end{split}$$

Since $M_n(u,t) = t + n^{-1}$, it follows that $M_n^r(u,t) = t + rn^{-1}$ for every $r \in N$. As $M_n^r(1,t) = 1$ and M_n^r is a linear positive operator, we have $M_n^r((u-t),t) = rn^{-1}$. Consequently, $T_{n,k}((u-t),t) = n^{-1} \sum_{r=1}^k (-1)^{r+1} {k \choose r} r = 0$. By the Taylor's expansion of f(u) about u = t, we have

$$n^{k} [T_{n,k}(f;t) - f(t)] = n^{k} \sum_{\nu=1}^{2k} \frac{f^{(\nu)}(t)}{\nu!} T_{n,k} ((u-t)^{\nu};t) + n^{k} \sum_{r=1}^{k} (-1)^{r+1} {k \choose r} M_{n}^{r} \left(\epsilon(u,t)(u-t)^{2k};t\right) = I_{1} + I_{2}, \text{say},$$

where $\epsilon(u,t) \to 0$ as $u \to t$ and $\epsilon(u,t) = O(e^{\alpha u})$ as $u \to \infty$. Since $T_{n,k}(u;t) = t$ by Lemma 5

$$I_1 = \sum_{\nu=2}^{2k} \frac{f^{(\nu)}(t)}{\nu!} Q(\nu, k, t) + o(1),$$

where $Q(\nu, k, t)$ is the coefficient of n^{-k} in $T_{n,k}((u-t)^{\nu}; t)$. Hence, in order to prove (3.1) it is sufficient to show that $I_2 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. For a given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $|\epsilon(u, t)| < \epsilon$ whenever $0 < |u-t| < \delta$. For $|u-t| \ge \delta$, since f is bounded on every finite subinterval of $[0, \infty)$, we have $|\epsilon(u, t)| \le Me^{\alpha u}$ for some positive constant M.

Let $\chi_{\delta}(u)$ be the characteristic function of the interval $(t - \delta, t + \delta)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq n^k \sum_{r=1}^k \binom{k}{r} M_n^r \left(|\epsilon(u,t)| (u-t)^{2k} \chi_{\delta}(u); t \right) \\ &+ n^k \sum_{r=1}^k \binom{k}{r} M_n^r \left(|\epsilon(u,t)| (u-t)^{2k} (1-\chi_{\delta}(u)); t \right) \\ &= I_3 + I_4, \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4, we get

$$I_3 \le \epsilon \ n^k \left[\sum_{r=1}^k \binom{k}{r} \right] \max_{1 \le r \le k} M_n^r \left((u-t)^{2k}; t \right) = \epsilon \ O(1).$$

Next, applying Cauchy Schwarz inequality and Lemma 2, for an arbitrary s > 0, we have

$$I_4 \leq n^k \sum_{r=1}^k \binom{k}{r} M_n^r \left(M e^{\alpha u} (u-t)^{2k} (1-\chi_\delta(u)); t \right)$$

$$\leq K n^{-s}.$$

Thus, $I_4 = o(1)$ and therefore in view of the arbitrariness of $\epsilon > 0$. we have $|I_2| = o(1)$, as $n \to \infty$.

The assertion (3.2) follows similarly due to the fact that $T_{n,k+1}((u-t)^l,t) = O(n^{-(k+1)}), l \in N.$

The uniformity assertion follows due to the uniform continuity of $f^{(2k)}$ on [0, a] (enabling δ to become independent of $t \in [0, a]$ and the uniformness of o(1) term occurring in the estimate of I_1 (because, in fact it is a polynomial in n^{-1} and t).

In the next result we obtain an estimate of the degree of approximation by $T_{n,k}$ for smooth functions.

Theorem 3.2. Let $f \in \Omega[0, \infty)$ be bounded on every finite subinterval of $[0, \infty)$ and $f(t) = O(e^{\alpha t})$ as $t \to \infty$ for some $\alpha > 0$. If $f^{(p)}$ exists and is continuous on an interval $(a - \eta, b + \eta) \subset (0, \infty), \eta > 0$ then for all n sufficiently large there holds

$$||T_{n,k}(f) - f|| \le max \left\{ C_1 n^{-p/2} \omega \left(f^{(p)}; n^{-1/2} \right), C_2 n^{-k} \right\},$$

where $C_1 = C_1(k, p), C_2 = C_2(k, p, f), \ \omega(f^{(p)}; \delta)$ denotes the modulus of continuity of $f^{(p)}$ on $(a - \eta, b + \eta)$ and $\|.\|$ denotes the sup-norm on [a, b].

Proof. If $u \in (a - \eta, b + \eta)$ and $t \in [a, b]$, we have

$$f(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{p} \frac{f^{(i)}(t)}{i!} (u-t)^{i} + \frac{\left(f^{(p)}(\xi) - f^{(p)}(t)\right)}{p!} (u-t)^{p},$$

where ξ lies between u and t. Hence we can write

$$f(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{p} \frac{f^{(i)}(t)}{i!} (u-t)^{i} + \frac{\left(f^{(p)}(\xi) - f^{(p)}(t)\right)}{p!} (u-t)^{p} \chi(u) + F(u,t)(1-\chi(u)),$$
(3.3)

where $\chi(u)$ denotes the characteristic function of $(a - \eta, b + \eta)$ and

$$F(u,t) = f(u) - \sum_{i=0}^{p} \frac{f^{(i)}(t)}{i!} (u-t)^{i},$$

for all $u \in [0, \infty)$ and $t \in [a, b]$.

Now, operating by $T_{n,k}(;t)$ on (3.3) and breaking the right hand side into three parts I_1, I_2 and I_3 , say, corresponding to the three terms on the right hand side of (3.3), by Lemma 5 we have $I_1 = f(t) + O(n^{-k})$ uniformly in $t \in [a, b]$. Next, applying Schwarz inequality and Lemma 4 we get

$$|I_{2}| \leq \sum_{r=1}^{k} {k \choose r} M_{n}^{r} \left(\frac{|f^{(p)}(\xi) - f^{(p)}(t)|}{p!} |u - t|^{p} \chi(u); t \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{\omega \left(f^{(p)}; n^{-1/2} \right)}{p!} \sum_{r=1}^{k} {k \choose r} M_{n}^{r} \left(\left(|u - t|^{p} + n^{1/2} |u - t|^{p+1} \right); t \right)$$

$$= \omega \left(f^{(p)}; n^{-1/2} \right) O(n^{-p/2}),$$

uniformly in $t \in [a, b]$.

The function F(u,t) for $t \in [a,b]$ is bounded by $Me^{\alpha u}$ for some constant M > 0 hence using Lemma 2 we have $I_3 = o(n^{-s})$ uniformly in [a,b], for any s > 0. Choosing s > k, we obtain $I_3 = o(n^{-k})$ uniformly in $t \in [a,b]$. Combining the estimates of I_1, I_2 and I_3 , the required result follows. \Box

Finally in the following theorem we show that the derivative $T_{n,k}^{(p)}f$ is an approximation process for $f^{(p)}, p = 1, 2, 3, ...$

Theorem 3.3. Let $f \in \Omega[0, \infty)$ and be bounded on every finite subinterval of $[0, \infty)$ admitting a derivative of order p at a fixed point $t \in (0, \infty)$. Let $f(t) = O(e^{\alpha t})$ as $t \to \infty$ for some $\alpha > 0$, then we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} T_{n,k}^{(p)}(f;t) = f^{(p)}(t).$$
(3.4)

Further, if $f^{(p)}$ exists and is continuous on $(a - \eta, b + \eta) \subset (0, \infty), \eta > 0$ then (3.4) holds uniformly in $t \in [a, b]$.

Proof. To prove the theorem, it suffices to show that for each $r \in N$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} D^p \left(M_n^r(f; t) \right) = f^{(p)}(t),$$

and that it holds uniformly in the uniformity case. By the hypothesis, we have

$$f(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{p} \frac{f^{(i)}(t)}{i!} (u-t)^{i} + \epsilon(u,t)(u-t)^{p}, \qquad (3.5)$$

where $\epsilon(u,t) \to 0$ as $u \to t$ and $\epsilon(u,t) = O(e^{\alpha u})$ as $u \to \infty$. We can write

$$\begin{split} M_n^r(f(u);t) &= M_n(M_n^{r-1}(f(u);v);t) \\ &= \int_0^\infty W(n,t,v) M_n^{r-1}(f(u);v) \, dv. \end{split}$$

Hence, using (3.5) we get

$$\begin{split} \frac{d^p}{dt^p} M_n^r(f(u);t) &= \int_0^\infty W^{(p)}(n,t,v) M_n^{r-1}(f(u);v) \, dv \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^p \frac{f^{(i)}(t)}{i!} \int_0^\infty W^{(p)}(n,t,v) M_n^{r-1}((u-t)^i;v) \, dv \\ &+ \int_0^\infty W^{(p)}(n,t,v) M_n^{r-1}(\epsilon(u,t)(u-t)^p;v) \, dv \\ &= I_1 + I_2, \text{ say.} \end{split}$$

Let us estimate I_1 first.

$$I_{1} = \sum_{i=0}^{p} \frac{f^{(i)}(t)}{i!} \sum_{j=0}^{i} {i \choose j} (-t)^{i-j} \int_{0}^{\infty} W^{(p)}(n,t,v) M_{n}^{r-1}(u^{j};v) dv.$$

Since $\int_{0}^{\infty} W^{(p)}(n,t,v) M_{n}^{r-1}(u^{j};v) dv = \frac{d^{p}}{dt^{p}} M_{n}^{r}(u^{j};t)$, we have
$$I_{1} = \sum_{i=0}^{p} \frac{f^{(i)}(t)}{i!} \sum_{j=0}^{i} {i \choose j} (-t)^{i-j} \frac{d^{p}}{dt^{p}} M_{n}^{r}(u^{j};t).$$

By Lemma 1, it follows that $M_n(u^j;t)$ is a polynomial in t of degree j and the coefficient of t^j is 1. Consequently, $M_n^r(u^j;t), r \in N$ is also a polynomial in t of degree j and the coefficient of t^j is 1. Hence, as long as $0 \le j \le p-1, \frac{d^p}{dt^p} M_n^r(u^j; t) = 0$. Thus

$$I_{1} = \frac{f^{(p)}(t)}{p!} \frac{d^{p}}{dt^{p}} M_{n}^{r}(u^{p}; t)$$
$$= \frac{f^{(p)}(t)}{p!} (p!) = f^{(p)}(t).$$

To estimate I_2 , $\epsilon(u, t) \to 0$ as $u \to t$ implies that for a given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $|\epsilon(u, t)| < \epsilon$ whenever $0 < |u - t| < \delta$ and for $|u - t| \ge \delta$, $|\epsilon(u, t)||u - t|^p < Me^{\alpha u}$ for some M > 0. Hence, using Lemma 6

$$\begin{split} I_2 &\leq C_1 \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq p \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^{i+1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} |\nu - nt|^j \Biggl\{ \epsilon \int_0^\infty p_{n,\nu}(v) M_n^{r-1} \left(|u - t|^p \chi_{\delta}(u); v \right) \, dv \\ &+ \int_0^\infty p_{n,\nu}(v) M_n^{r-1} \left(M e^{\alpha u} (1 - \chi_{\delta}(u)); v \right) \, dv \Biggr\} \\ &= I_3 + I_4, \, \text{say}, \end{split}$$

where, $C_1 = \sup_{\substack{2i+j \le p \\ i,j \ge 0 \\ i,j \ge 0}} \frac{|q_{i,j,p}(t)|}{|t|^p}$, M is a constant independent of u and $\chi_{\delta}(u)$ is

the characteristic function of $(t - \delta, t + \delta)$. Applying Cauchy Schwarz inequality three times, we get

$$I_{3} \leq \epsilon C_{1} \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq p \\ i,j \geq 0}} n^{i} \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} p_{n,\nu}(t) (\nu - nt)^{2j} \right)^{1/2} \times \left[n \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} p_{n,\nu}(t) \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} p_{n,\nu}(v) M_{n}^{r-1} \left((u-t)^{2p}; v \right) d\nu \right) \right]^{1/2},$$

in view of $\int_0^\infty p_{n,\nu}(u) \, du = n^{-1}$. It is known [6] that for each $t \in [0, \infty)$ and $m \in N^0$,

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} p_{n,\nu}(t) \left(\frac{\nu}{n} - t\right)^m = O\left(n^{-[(m+1)/2]}\right).$$
(3.6)

Consequently, using Lemma 4 we obtain

$$I_3 = \epsilon C_1 \sum_{\substack{2i+j \le p\\ i,j \ge 0}} n^i O\left(n^{j/2}\right) O\left(n^{-p/2}\right) = \epsilon O(1).$$

Now, again applying Cauchy Schwarz inequality, Lemma 2 and (3.6) it follows that

 $I_4 = O\left(n^{-(p-m)/2}\right)$ for any m > 0. Choosing m > p, we get $I_4 = o(1)$ and therefore in view of the arbitrariness of $\epsilon > 0$, we have $I_2 = o(1)$. Combining the estimates of I_1 and I_2 , we obtain (3.4). The second assertion follows as in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

References

- Vijay Gupta, P. N. Agrawal, A. Sahai and T. A. K. Sinha, L_p- approximation by combination of modified Szãsz-Mirakyan operators, Demonstratio Math. 23 (3) (1990), 577-591.
- [2] Vijay Gupta, G. S. Srivastava and T. A. K. Sinha, Inverse theorem in L_papproximation by modified Szãsz-Mirakyan operators, Demonstratio Math. 28 (2) (1995), 285-292.
- [3] Vijay Gupta and G. S. Srivastava, *Inverse theorem for Szãsz-Durrmeyer operators*, Bull. Inst. Math. Academia Sinica, 23 (2) (1995), 141-150.
- [4] Vijay Gupta and G. S. Srivastava, Saturation theorem for Szãsz-Durrmeyer operators, Demonstratio Math. 29 (1) (1996), 7-16.
- [5] H. S. Kasana, On approximation of unbounded functions by linear combinations of modified Szãsz-Mirakyan operators, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar 61 (3-4) (1993), 281-288.
- [6] H. S. Kasana, G. Prasad, P. N. Agrawal and A. Sahai, *Modified Szãsz operators*, Conf. on Math. Anal. and its Appl. Kuwait (1985), Pergamon Press, Oxford (1988), 29-41.
- [7] C. P. May, Saturation and inverse theorems for combinations of a class of exponential type operators, Canad. J. Math. 28 (1976), 1224-1250.
- [8] S. M. Mazhar and V. Totik, Approximation by modified Szãsz operators, Act. Sci. Math. 49 (1985), 257-269.
- Charles A. Micchelli, The saturation class and iterates of the Bernstein polynomials, J. Approx. Theory 8 (1973), 1-18.
- [10] R. K. S. Rathore, Linear combinations of linear positive operators and generating relations in special functions, Ph.D. Thesis, I.I.T. Delhi (India) (1973).