



## GROWTH OF A POLYNOMIAL NOT VANISHING INSIDE A DISK

N. A. Rather<sup>1</sup>, Suhail Gulzar<sup>2</sup> and K. A. Thakur<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>P. G. Department of Mathematics  
University of Kashmir, Hazratbal, Srinagar, India  
e-mail: dr.narather@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Computer Science and Engineering  
Islamic University of Science and Technology  
Awantipora, Kashmir, India  
e-mail: sgmattoo@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics  
S. P. College, Srinagar, India  
e-mail: thakurkhursheed@gmail.com

**Abstract.** Recently, Aziz and Aliya [2] proved that if polynomial  $P(z)$  of degree  $n$  does not vanish in the disk  $|z| < k$  where  $k > 0$ , then for every  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $0 \leq r < R \leq k$ ,

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P(Rz) - \beta P(rz)| \leq \left[ (|\beta| + |1 - \beta|) \left( \frac{R^\mu + k^\mu}{r^\mu + k^\mu} \right)^{\frac{n}{\mu}} - |\beta| \right] \max_{|z|=r} |P(z)| \\ - \left[ \left( \frac{R^\mu + k^\mu}{r^\mu + k^\mu} \right)^{\frac{n}{\mu}} - 1 \right] \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|.$$

In this paper, a refinement of above inequality and other related results are obtained.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $P(z)$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  and  $P'(z)$  be its derivative. Then concerning the estimate of the maximum of  $|P'(z)|$  on the unit circle  $|z| = 1$ , Serge Bernstien [4] proved that

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \leq n \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|. \quad (1.1)$$

The result is best possible and equality (1.1) holds for  $P(z) = \rho z^n$ ,  $\rho \neq 0$ .

<sup>0</sup>Received January 26, 2015. Revised May 21, 2015.

<sup>0</sup>2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30A10, 30C10, 30E10.

<sup>0</sup>Keywords: Growth, polynomials, inequalities, complex domain.

Where as, for the estimate of  $|P(z)|$  on a smaller circle  $|z| = r$ , where  $0 < r \leq 1$ , of a polynomial  $P(z)$  in terms of its degree  $n$  and the maximum modulus on the unit circle, we have the following inequality due to Zarantonello and Varga [12].

$$\max_{|z|=r} |P(z)| \geq r^n \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|. \quad (1.2)$$

The result is sharp and the extremal polynomial for (1.2) is  $P(z) = \rho z^n$ ,  $\rho \neq 0$ .

If we restrict ourselves to the class of polynomials having no zero in  $|z| < 1$ , then both the inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) can be sharpened and can be replaced by

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{2} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$\max_{|z|=r} |P(z)| \geq \left(\frac{r+1}{2}\right)^n \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|, \quad (1.4)$$

respectively. Inequality (1.3) was conjectured by Erdős and later verified by Lax [6]. Where as, inequality (1.3) is due to Rivlin [11].

As an extension of (1.3), Malik [7] proved that if  $P(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  such that  $P(z) \neq 0$  in  $|z| < k$  where  $k \geq 1$ , then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{1+k} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|. \quad (1.5)$$

As a generalization of (1.5), Qazi [8] proved if  $P(z) = a_0 + \sum_{\nu=\mu}^n a_\nu z^\nu$ ,  $1 \leq \mu \leq n$ , is a polynomial of degree  $n$  which does not vanish in the disk  $|z| < k$ ,  $k \geq 1$  then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{1+k^n \phi(\mu, k)} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|, \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$\phi(\mu, k) = \frac{k + \frac{\mu}{n} \left| \frac{a_\mu}{a_0} \right| k^\mu}{1 + \frac{\mu}{n} \left| \frac{a_\mu}{a_0} \right| k^{\mu+1}} \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$\frac{\mu}{n} \left| \frac{a_\mu}{a_0} \right| k^n \leq 1, \quad 1 \leq \mu \leq n. \quad (1.8)$$

By using inequality (1.6), Qazi [8] also proved that if  $P(z) = a_0 + \sum_{\nu=\mu}^n a_\nu z^\nu$ ,  $1 \leq \mu \leq n$ , is a polynomial of degree  $n$  which does not vanish in the disk  $|z| < 1$ , then for  $0 \leq r \leq 1$

$$\max_{|z|=R \geq 1} |P(z)| \leq n \left( \frac{1+R^\mu}{1+r^\mu} \right)^{n/\mu} \max_{|z|=r} |P(z)|. \quad (1.9)$$

In literature, there exists several extensions of these inequalities (e.g, see [1, 9, 10]).

Recently Aziz and Aliya [2] considered for a fixed  $\mu$ , the class of polynomials

$$\mathcal{P}_{n,\mu} := \left\{ P(z) = a_0 + \sum_{\nu=\mu}^n a_\nu z^\nu, \quad 1 \leq \mu \leq n \right\}$$

of degree at most  $n$  not vanishing in the disk  $|z| < k$  where  $k > 0$  and proved the following Theorem which provides an improvement as well as a generalization of the inequality (1.9).

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,\mu}$  and  $P(z)$  does not vanish in the disk  $|z| < k$  where  $k > 0$ , then for every  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $0 \leq r \leq R \leq k$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{|z|=1} |P(Rz) - \beta P(rz)| &\leq \left[ (|\beta| + |1 - \beta|) \left( \frac{R^\mu + k^\mu}{r^\mu + k^\mu} \right)^{\frac{n}{\mu}} - |\beta| \right] \max_{|z|=r} |P(z)| \\ &\quad - \left[ \left( \frac{R^\mu + k^\mu}{r^\mu + k^\mu} \right)^{\frac{n}{\mu}} - 1 \right] \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|. \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

If we take  $\beta = 0$  in (1.10), we obtain

$$\max_{|z|=R} |P(z)| \leq \left[ \frac{R^\mu + k^\mu}{r^\mu + k^\mu} \right]^{\frac{n}{\mu}} \max_{|z|=r} |P(z)| - \left[ \left( \frac{R^\mu + k^\mu}{r^\mu + k^\mu} \right)^{\frac{n}{\mu}} - 1 \right] \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|.$$

Which clearly is an improvement as well as generalization of (1.9).

They [2] also obtained the following result which not only extends and refines a result proved by Dewan and Bidkham [5] but, in particular, also includes a result due to Aziz and Shah [3] as a special case.

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,\mu}$  and  $P(z)$  does not vanish in the disk  $|z| < k$  where  $k > 0$ , then for  $\rho \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq r \leq R \leq k$*

$$\max_{|z|=R} |P(\rho z) - P(z)| \leq \frac{R^\mu(\rho^n - 1)}{r^\mu + k^\mu} \left[ \frac{R^\mu + k^\mu}{r^\mu + k^\mu} \right]^{\frac{n}{\mu}} \left\{ \max_{|z|=r} |P(z)| - m \right\}, \quad (1.11)$$

where  $m = \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$ .

## 2. LEMMAS

For the proofs of our main theorems we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $P(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  which does not vanish in  $|z| < k, k > 0$ , then*

$$|P(z)| \geq \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)| \quad \text{for } |z| \leq k. \tag{2.1}$$

This Lemma is a simple consequence of Minimum modulus theorem and next lemma is implicit in [2].

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,\mu}$  and  $P(z)$  does not vanish in  $|z| < k, k \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , then*

$$\frac{|a_\mu|k^\mu}{|a_0| - tm} \leq \frac{n}{\mu}, \tag{2.2}$$

where  $m = \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,\mu}$  and  $P(z)$  does not vanish in the disk  $|z| \leq k$  where  $k \geq 1$ , then for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  and  $|z| = 1$ ,*

$$|P'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{1 + k^{\mu+1}} \left\{ \frac{1 + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|k^{\mu-1}}{|a_0| - tm}}{1 + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|k^{\mu+1}}{|a_0| - tm}} \right\} \left\{ \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| - t \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)| \right\}, \tag{2.3}$$

where  $m = \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$ .

The above Lemma is due to Aziz and Aliya [2].

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,\mu}$  and  $P(z)$  does not vanish in the disk  $|z| \leq k$  where  $k > 0$ , then for  $0 < r \leq R \leq k$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,*

$$\exp \left\{ n \int_r^R \frac{\frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0| - tm} k^{\mu+1} \rho^{\mu-1} + \rho^\mu}{\rho^{\mu+1} + k^{\mu+1} + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0| - tm} (k^{\mu+1} \rho^\mu + k^{2\mu} \rho)} d\rho \right\} \leq \left( \frac{k^\mu + R^\mu}{k^\mu + r^\mu} \right)^{\frac{n}{\mu}}, \tag{2.4}$$

where  $m = \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$ .

*Proof.* Since  $P(z) \neq 0$  in  $|z| < k, k > 0$ , the polynomial  $F(z) = P(\rho z) \neq 0$  in  $|z| < k/\rho, k/\rho \geq 1$ , where  $0 < \rho \leq k$ . Hence applying Lemma 2.2 to  $F(z)$ , we get

$$\frac{|a_\mu| \rho^\mu}{|a_0| - tm'} \left( \frac{k}{\rho} \right)^\mu \leq \frac{n}{\mu}, \tag{2.5}$$

where  $m' = \min_{|z|=k/\rho} |F(z)| = \min_{|z|=k/\rho} |P(\rho z)| = m$ . Therefore, (2.5) becomes

$$\frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu| \rho^\mu}{|a_0| - tm} k^\mu \leq 1,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{\frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} k^{\mu+1} \rho^{\mu-1} + \rho^\mu}{\rho^{\mu+1} + k^{\mu+1} + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} (k^{\mu+1} \rho^\mu + k^{2\mu} \rho)} \leq \frac{\rho^{\mu-1}}{\rho^\mu + k^\mu}. \tag{2.6}$$

Integrating both sides of (2.6) with respect to  $\rho$  from  $r$  to  $R$  where  $0 < r \leq R \leq k$ , we get

$$\int_r^R \frac{\frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} k^{\mu+1} \rho^{\mu-1} + \rho^\mu}{\rho^{\mu+1} + k^{\mu+1} + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} (k^{\mu+1} \rho^\mu + k^{2\mu} \rho)} d\rho \leq \int_r^R \frac{\rho^{\mu-1}}{\rho^\mu + k^\mu} d\rho$$

or

$$n \int_r^R \frac{\frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} k^{\mu+1} \rho^{\mu-1} + \rho^\mu}{\rho^{\mu+1} + k^{\mu+1} + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} (k^{\mu+1} \rho^\mu + k^{2\mu} \rho)} d\rho \leq n \int_r^R \frac{\rho^{\mu-1}}{\rho^\mu + k^\mu} d\rho,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \left\{ n \int_r^R \frac{\frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} k^{\mu+1} \rho^{\mu-1} + \rho^\mu}{\rho^{\mu+1} + k^{\mu+1} + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} (k^{\mu+1} \rho^\mu + k^{2\mu} \rho)} d\rho \right\} \\ & \leq \exp \left\{ \frac{n}{\mu} \int_r^R \frac{\mu \rho^{\mu-1}}{\rho^\mu + k^\mu} d\rho \right\} = \left( \frac{k^\mu + R^\mu}{k^\mu + r^\mu} \right)^{\frac{n}{\mu}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma 2.4. □

The next lemma is also implicit in [2, Theorem 1].

**Lemma 2.5.** *If  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,\mu}$  and  $P(z)$  does not vanish in the disk  $|z| < k$  where  $k \geq 1$ , then for every  $R > r \geq 1$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  and  $|z| = 1$ ,*

$$|P(Rz) - P(rz)| \leq \left( \frac{R^n - 1}{1 + k^\mu} \right) \left( \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| - t \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)| \right). \tag{2.7}$$

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

In this paper, we first establish an improvement of Theorem 1.1 by involving some of the coefficients of a polynomial. More precisely, we prove:

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,\mu}$  and  $P(z)$  does not vanish in the disk  $|z| < k$  where  $k > 0$ , then for every  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $0 \leq r \leq R \leq k$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,*

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P(Rz) - \beta P(rz)| \leq \left[ (|\beta| + |1 - \beta|) \Lambda(R, r, k, \mu) - |\beta| \right] \max_{|z|=r} |P(z)| - [\Lambda(R, r, k, \mu) - 1] tm, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\Lambda(R, r, k, \mu) = \exp \left\{ n \int_r^R \frac{\rho^\mu + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} k^{\mu+1} \rho^{\mu-1}}{k^{\mu+1} + \rho^{\mu+1} + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} (k^{2\mu} \rho + k^{\mu+1} \rho^\mu)} d\rho \right\} \tag{3.2}$$

and  $m = \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$ .

*Proof.* Since  $P(z)$  has no zero in  $|z| < k, k > 0$ , then for  $0 < \rho \leq k$ ,  $F(z) = P(\rho z)$  has no zero in  $|z| < k/\rho, k \geq \rho$ . thus by applying Lemma 2.3 to  $F(z)$ , we obtain for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,

$$\max_{|z|=1} |F'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{1 + \left(\frac{k}{\rho}\right)^{\mu+1} \left\{ \frac{1 + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu| \rho^\mu}{|a_0|^{-tm}} \left(\frac{k}{\rho}\right)^{\mu-1}}{1 + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu| \rho^\mu}{|a_0|^{-tm}} \left(\frac{k}{\rho}\right)^{\mu+1}} \right\}} \left\{ \max_{|z|=1} |F(z)| - t \min_{|z|=k/\rho} |F(z)| \right\}.$$

This implies

$$\max_{|z|=1} |\rho P'(\rho z)| \leq n \frac{\max_{|z|=1} |P(\rho z)| - t \min_{|z|=k/\rho} |P(\rho z)|}{1 + \left(\frac{k}{\rho}\right)^{\mu+1} \left\{ \frac{1 + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu| \rho^\mu}{|a_0|^{-tm}} \left(\frac{k}{\rho}\right)^{\mu-1}}{1 + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu| \rho^\mu}{|a_0|^{-tm}} \left(\frac{k}{\rho}\right)^{\mu+1}} \right\}},$$

which is clearly equivalent to

$$\max_{|z|=\rho} |P'(z)| \leq n \left\{ \frac{\rho^\mu + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} k^{\mu+1} \rho^{\mu-1}}{k^{\mu+1} + \rho^{\mu+1} + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} k^{2\mu} \rho + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} k^{\mu+1} \rho^\mu} \right\} \times \left\{ \max_{|z|=\rho} |P(z)| - t \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)| \right\}. \tag{3.3}$$

Now, for  $0 < r \leq R \leq k$  and  $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ , we have

$$P(Re^{i\theta}) - P(re^{i\theta}) = \int_r^R e^{i\theta} P'(\rho e^{i\theta}) d\rho,$$

which gives

$$P(Re^{i\theta}) - \beta P(re^{i\theta}) = (1 - \beta) P(re^{i\theta}) \int_r^R e^{i\theta} P'(\rho e^{i\theta}) d\rho,$$

where  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ . Hence for every  $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$  and  $0 \leq r \leq R \leq k$ ,

$$\left| P\left(Re^{i\theta}\right) - \beta P\left(re^{i\theta}\right) \right| \leq |1 - \beta| \left| P\left(re^{i\theta}\right) \right| + \int_r^R \left| P'\left(\rho e^{i\theta}\right) \right| d\rho,$$

from which it follows that

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P(Rz) - \beta P(rz)| \leq |1 - \beta| \max_{|z|=1} |P(rz)| + \int_r^R \max_{|z|=1} |P'(\rho z)| d\rho. \tag{3.4}$$

Using (3.3) in (3.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{|z|=1} |P(Rz) - \beta P(rz)| \\ & \leq |1 - \beta| \max_{|z|=1} |P(rz)| \\ & \quad + n \int_r^R \frac{\rho^\mu + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0| - tm} k^{\mu+1} \rho^{\mu-1}}{k^{\mu+1} + \rho^{\mu+1} + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0| - tm} k^{2\mu} \rho + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0| - tm} k^{\mu+1} \rho^\mu} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \max_{|z|=\rho} |P(z)| - t \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)| \right\} d\rho. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{|z|=\rho} |P(z)| &= \max_{|z|=1} |P(\rho z) - \beta P(rz) + \beta P(rz)| \\ &\leq \max_{|z|=1} |P(\rho z) - \beta P(rz)| + |\beta| \max_{|z|=1} |P(rz)|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Also, the inequality (3.5) gives with the help of (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{|z|=1} |P(Rz) - \beta P(rz)| \\ & \leq |1 - \beta| \max_{|z|=1} |P(rz)| \\ & \quad + n \int_r^R \frac{\rho^\mu + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0| - tm} k^{\mu+1} \rho^{\mu-1}}{k^{\mu+1} + \rho^{\mu+1} + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0| - tm} k^{2\mu} \rho + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0| - tm} k^{\mu+1} \rho^\mu} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \max_{|z|=1} |P(\rho z) - \beta P(rz)| + |\beta| \max_{|z|=1} |P(rz)| - t \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)| \right\} d\rho. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

If we denote right hand side of (3.7) by  $\phi(R)$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'(R) = n & \left( \frac{R^\mu + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} k^{\mu+1} R^{\mu-1}}{k^{\mu+1} + R^{\mu+1} + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} k^{2\mu} R + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} k^{\mu+1} R^\mu} \right) \\ & \times \left\{ \max_{|z|=1} |P(Rz) - \beta P(rz)| + |\beta| \max_{|z|=1} |P(rz)| - t \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)| \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Also, (3.7) can be written as

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P(Rz) - \beta P(rz)| \leq \phi(R). \tag{3.9}$$

With the help of (3.9), the inequality (3.8) implies for  $0 < r \leq R \leq k$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'(R) - n & \left( \frac{R^\mu + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} k^{\mu+1} R^{\mu-1}}{k^{\mu+1} + R^{\mu+1} + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} k^{2\mu} R + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} k^{\mu+1} R^\mu} \right) \\ & \times \left\{ \phi(R) + |\beta| \max_{|z|=1} |P(rz)| - t \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)| \right\} \leq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Multiplying the two sides of (3.10) by

$$\exp \left\{ -n \int \frac{\frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} k^{\mu+1} R^{\mu-1} + R^\mu}{R^{\mu+1} + k^{\mu+1} + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} (k^{\mu+1} R^\mu + k^{2\mu} R)} dR \right\},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dR} \left[ \left\{ \phi(R) + |\beta| \max_{|z|=1} |P(rz)| - t \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)| \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \times \left. \exp \left\{ -n \int \frac{\frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} k^{\mu+1} R^{\mu-1} + R^\mu}{R^{\mu+1} + k^{\mu+1} + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} (k^{\mu+1} R^\mu + k^{2\mu} R)} dR \right\} \right] \\ & \leq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

for  $0 < r \leq R \leq k$ . Inequality (3.11) implies that the function

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(R) = & \left\{ \phi(R) + |\beta| \max_{|z|=1} |P(rz)| - t \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)| \right\} \\ & \times \exp \left\{ -n \int \frac{\frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} k^{\mu+1} R^{\mu-1} + R^\mu}{R^{\mu+1} + k^{\mu+1} + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0|^{-tm}} (k^{\mu+1} R^\mu + k^{2\mu} R)} dR \right\} \end{aligned}$$

is a non-increasing function of  $R$  in  $(0, k]$ . Hence for  $0 < r \leq R \leq k$ , we have

$$\psi(R) \leq \psi(r),$$



that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \phi(R) + |\beta| \max_{|z|=1} |P(rz)| - t \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)| \right\} \\ & \times \exp \left\{ -n \int \frac{\frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0| - tm} k^{\mu+1} R^{\mu-1} + R^\mu}{R^{\mu+1} + k^{\mu+1} + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0| - tm} (k^{\mu+1} R^\mu + k^{2\mu} R)} dR \right\} \\ & \leq \left\{ \phi(r) + |\beta| \max_{|z|=1} |P(rz)| - t \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)| \right\} \\ & \times \exp \left\{ -n \int \frac{\frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0| - tm} k^{\mu+1} r^{\mu-1} + r^\mu}{r^{\mu+1} + k^{\mu+1} + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0| - tm} (k^{\mu+1} r^\mu + k^{2\mu} r)} dr \right\}. \quad (3.12) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\phi(R) \geq \max_{|z|=1} |P(Rz) - \beta P(rz)|$  and  $\phi(r) = |1 - \beta| \max_{|z|=1} |P(rz)|$ , therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{|z|=1} |P(Rz) - \beta P(rz)| & \leq \left[ (|\beta| + |1 - \beta|) \Lambda(R, r, k, \mu) - |\beta| \right] \max_{|z|=r} |P(z)| \\ & \quad - [\Lambda(R, r, k, \mu) - 1] tm, \quad (3.13) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Lambda(R, r, k, \mu) = \exp \left\{ n \int_r^R \frac{\rho^\mu + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0| - tm} k^{\mu+1} \rho^{\mu-1}}{k^{\mu+1} + \rho^{\mu+1} + \frac{\mu}{n} \frac{|a_\mu|}{|a_0| - tm} (k^{2\mu} \rho + k^{\mu+1} \rho^\mu)} d\rho \right\}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

It is easy to verify that Theorem 3.1 provides a refinement of Theorem 1.1. To see this, we note that for every  $r \leq k$ , by Lemma 2.1,  $\max_{|z|=r} |P(z)| \geq \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$  and  $|\beta| + |1 - \beta| \geq 1$ , hence the function

$$S(x) = \left[ (|\beta| + |1 - \beta|) x - |\beta| \right] \max_{|z|=r} |P(z)| - [x - 1] tm$$

is a non-decreasing function of  $x$  for every  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . If we combine this fact with Lemma 2.4, it is easy to conclude that Theorem 3.1 is an improvement of Theorem 1.1.

If we take  $\beta = 1$  in (3.1), we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 3.2.** *If  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,\mu}$  and  $P(z)$  does not vanish in the disk  $|z| < k$  where  $k > 0$ , then for  $0 \leq r \leq R \leq k$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,*

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P(Rz) - P(rz)| \leq (\Lambda(R, r, k, \mu) - 1) \left\{ \max_{|z|=r} |P(z)| - tm \right\}, \quad (3.14)$$

where  $\Lambda(R, r, k, \mu)$  is given by (3.2) and  $m = \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$ .

By using triangle inequality, the following result follows immediately from Corollary 3.2.

**Corollary 3.3.** *If  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,\mu}$  and  $P(z)$  does not vanish in the disk  $|z| < k$  where  $k > 0$ , then for  $0 \leq r \leq R \leq k$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,*

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P(Rz)| \leq \Lambda(R, r, k, \mu) \max_{|z|=r} |P(z)| - (\Lambda(R, r, k, \mu) - 1) tm, \tag{3.15}$$

where  $\Lambda(R, r, k, \mu)$  is given by (3.2) and  $m = \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$ .

Next, as an improvement of Theorem 1.2, we present the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.4.** *If  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,\mu}$  and  $P(z)$  does not vanish in the disk  $|z| < k$  where  $k > 0$ , then for  $\rho > 1$ ,  $0 \leq r \leq R \leq k$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,*

$$\max_{|z|=R} |P(\rho z) - P(z)| \leq \frac{R^\mu(\rho^n - 1)}{r^\mu + k^\mu} \Lambda(R, r, k, \mu) \left\{ \max_{|z|=r} |P(z)| - tm \right\}, \tag{3.16}$$

where  $\Lambda(R, r, k, \mu)$  is given by (3.2) and  $m = \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$ .

*Proof.* By hypothesis  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,\mu}$  and  $P(z) \neq 0$  for  $|z| < k$ , where  $k > 0$ , therefore the polynomial  $F(z) = P(Rz)$  does not vanish in  $|z| < \frac{k}{R}$ ,  $R > 0$  and  $F \in \mathcal{P}_{n,\mu}$ . Hence for  $0 < R \leq k$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , it follows by using Lemma 2.5 (with  $k$  replaced by  $\frac{k}{R} \geq 1$ ) that for every  $\rho \geq 1$ ,

$$\max_{|z|=1} |F(\rho z) - F(z)| \leq \frac{(\rho^n - 1)}{1 + \left(\frac{k}{R}\right)^\mu} \left\{ \max_{|z|=1} |F(z)| - t \min_{|z|=\frac{k}{R}} |F(z)| \right\}. \tag{3.17}$$

Replacing  $F(z)$  by  $P(Rz)$  and noting that

$$\max_{|z|=1} |F(z)| = \max_{|z|=1} |P(Rz)| = \max_{|z|=R} |P(z)|$$

and

$$\min_{|z|=\frac{k}{R}} |F(z)| = \min_{|z|=\frac{k}{R}} |P(Rz)| = \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|,$$

from (3.17) it follows that

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P(R\rho z) - P(Rz)| \leq \frac{R^\mu(\rho^n - 1)}{R^\mu + k^\mu} \left\{ \max_{|z|=R} |P(z)| - t \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)| \right\}, \tag{3.18}$$

for  $\rho \geq 1$  and  $0 < R \leq k$ . Now if  $0 \leq r \leq R \leq k$ , then by inequality (3.15), we have

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P(Rz)| \leq \Lambda(R, r, k, \mu) \left\{ \max_{|z|=r} |P(z)| - tm \right\} + tm, \tag{3.19}$$

where  $\Lambda(R, r, k, \mu)$  is given by (3.2) and  $m = \min|z| = k|P(z)|$ . Using (3.19) in (3.18), we obtain

$$\max_{|z|=R} |P(\rho z) - P(z)| \leq \frac{R^\mu(\rho^n - 1)}{r^\mu + k^\mu} \Lambda(R, r, k, \mu) \left\{ \max_{|z|=r} |P(z)| - tm \right\},$$

for  $0 \leq r \leq R \leq k$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  and  $\rho \geq 1$ , which is (3.16) and this completes the proof of Theorem 3.4.  $\square$

Again, by using Lemma 2.4, it can be easily verified that Theorem 3.4 is an improvement of Theorem 1.2.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R.N. Ahmad and S. Gulzar, *An  $L_p$  inequality for polynomials not vanishing inside a disk*, Int. J. Appl. Math., **26** (2013), 221–231.
- [2] A. Aziz and Q. Aliya, *Growth of polynomials not vanishing in a disk of prescribed radius*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., **41** (2007), 713–734.
- [3] A. Aziz and W.M. Shah, *Inequalities for polynomials and its derivative*, Math. Ineq. Appl., **7** (2004), 379–391.
- [4] S. Bernstein, *Lecons Sur les proprieles extremales et la meilleure approximation des fonctions analytiques d'une fonctions reele*, Paris, 1926.
- [5] K.K. Dewan and M. Bidkham, *Inequalities for a polynomial and its derivative*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **166** (1992), 319–324.
- [6] P.D. Lax, *Proof of a conjecture of P.Erdős on the derivative of a polynomial*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **50** (1944), 509–513.
- [7] M. Malik, *On the derivative of a polynomial*, J. London Math. Soc., **1** (1969), 57–60.
- [8] M.A. Qazi, *On the maximum modulus of polynomials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **115** (1992), 337–343.
- [9] N.A. Rather and S. Gulzar, *Certain compact generalizations of well-known polynomial inequalities*, Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **10** (2013), Article 6, 1–16.
- [10] N.A. Rather and S. Gulzar, *Rate of growth of polynomials not vanishing inside a disk*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **20** (2015), 97–107.
- [11] T.J. Rivlin, *On the maximum modulus of polynomials*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **67** (1960), 251–253.
- [12] R.S. Varga, *A comparison of the successive over relaxation method and semi-iterative methods using Chebyshev polynomials*, J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math., **5** (1957), 39–46.