



ON THE STABILITY OF THE ORTHOGONAL PEXIDERIZED QUARTIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS

S. Ostadbashi¹ and M. Soleimaninia²

¹Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science, University of Urmia, Iran
e-mail: s.ostadbashi@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science, University of Urmia, Iran
e-mail: m.soleymaninia@gmail.com

Abstract. In this paper, we consider orthogonal stability of 2-dimensional mixed type additive and quartic functional equation of the form

$$2f(2x + y) + 2f(2x - y) = 2g(x + y) + 2g(x - y) + 12g(x) - 3g(y),$$

with $x \perp y$, where \perp is orthogonality in the sense of Ratz.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1940, Ulam [8] proposed the general Ulam stability problem: *Let G_1 be a group, G_2 a metric group with the metric d . Given $\varepsilon > 0$, does there exist $\delta > 0$ such that if a function $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality*

$$d(h(xy) - h(x)h(y)) < \delta, \quad (x, y \in G_1),$$

then there is a homomorphism $H : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ with

$$d(h(x), H(x)) < \varepsilon, \quad (x \in G_1)?$$

Hyers [5] gave a partial affirmative answer to the question of Ulam in the context of Banach spaces. In 1950, a generalized version of Hyers' theorem for approximate additive mappings was given by Aoki [1]. In 1978, Rassias [6]

⁰Received March 10, 2015. Revised July 13, 2015.

⁰2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B52, 39B82, 47B48.

⁰Keywords: Hyers-Ulam-Aoki-Rassias stability, Ulam-Gavruta-Rassias stability, T. M. Rassias stability, Pexider functional equation, quartic functional equation, orthogonality space.

extended the theorem of Hyers by considering the unbounded cauchy difference inequality

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p), \quad (\varepsilon \geq 0, p \in [0, 1]). \quad (1.1)$$

Let us recall the orthogonality in the sense of Ratz.

Definition 1.1. ([7]) Suppose that X be a real vector space with $\dim X \geq 2$ and \perp is a binary relation on X with the following properties:

- (a) totality of \perp for zero: $x \perp 0, 0 \perp x$ for all $x \in X$;
- (b) independence: if $x, y \in X - \{0\}$, then x, y are linearly independent;
- (c) homogeneity: if $x, y \in X, x \perp y$, then $\alpha x \perp \beta y$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in X$;
- (d) the Thalesian property: Let P be a 2-dimensional subspace of X . If $x \in P$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then there exists $y_0 \in P$ such that $x \perp y_0$ and $x + y_0 \perp \lambda x - y_0$.

The pair (X, \perp) is called an orthogonality space. By an orthogonality normed space, we mean an orthogonality space equipped with a norm. Some examples of special interest are

- (i) the trivial orthogonality on a vector space X defined by (a), and for non-zero elements $x, y \in X, x \perp y$ if and only if x, y are linearly independent,
- (ii) the ordinary orthogonality on an inner product space $(X, (\cdot, \cdot))$ given by $x \perp y$ if and only if $(x, y) = 0$,
- (iii) the Birkhoff- James orthogonality on a normed space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ defined by $x \perp y$ if and only if $\|x + \lambda y\| \geq \|x\|$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

The relation \perp is called symmetric if $x \perp y$ implies that $y \perp x$ for all $x, y \in X$. Clearly conditions (i) and (ii) are symmetric but (iii) is not. It is remarkable to note, however, that a real normed space of dimension greater than or equal to 3 is an inner product space if and only if the Birkhoff-James orthogonality is symmetric.

The orthogonal Cauchy functional equation

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y), \quad (x, y \in A, x \perp y), \quad (1.2)$$

in which \perp is an abstract orthogonality was first investigated by Gudder and Strawther [4]. Ger and Sikkorska discussed the orthogonal stability of the equation (1.2) in [3]. Arunkumar and Hema Latha investigated the problem of the orthogonal stability, y of a generalized quartic functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} & 7[f(2x+y) + f(2x-y)] \\ & = 28[f(x+y) + f(x-y)] - 3[f(2y) - 2f(y)] + 14[f(2x) - 4f(x)], \end{aligned}$$

in Banach spaces [2].

In this paper, we deal with the next functional equation Pexider functions:

$$2f(2x + y) + 2f(2x - y) = 2g(x + y) + 2g(x - y) + 12g(x) - 3g(y), \quad (1.3)$$

for all $x, y \in A$, with $x \perp y$. We will use the following notation

$$D_{f,g}(x, y) = 2f(2x + y) + 2f(2x - y) - 2g(x + y) - 2g(x - y) - 12g(x) + 3g(y), \quad (1.4)$$

for all $x, y \in A$, with $x \perp y$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

In the class of real functionals $f, g : (X, \perp) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined on an orthogonality space in the sense of Ratz, let us consider the conditional equation (1.3). we describe its solutions first assuming that f, g are odd functionals, then even functionals, finally, using the decomposition of f, g into their even and odd parts, we describe the general solutions.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f, g : (X, \perp) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be odd real functionals satisfying (1.3), then the solutions of (1.3) are $f(x) = g(x) = 0$.*

Proof. Letting $x = 0$ in (1.3), oddness of f, g , we obtain $g(y) = 0$. Now by letting $y = 0$ in (1.3), we obtain $4f(2x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f, g : (X, \perp) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be real functionals satisfying (1.3), then the solutions of (1.3) are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= Q(x) - f(0), \\ g(x) &= 4Q(x) - g(0), \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where $Q : (X, \perp) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is orthogonality quartic functional.

Proof. According to Lemma (2.1), it is enough to assume $f, g : (X, \perp) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be even real functionals satisfying (1.3). In equation (1.3), by letting $(x, y) = (0, 0)$, we obtain

$$4f(0) - 13g(0) = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Replacing in (1.3), (x, y) by $(0, y)$, we obtain

$$4f(y) = g(y) + 12g(0). \quad (2.3)$$

By using (2.2) and (2.3), equation (1.3) may be rewritten as

$$f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = 4f(x + y) + 4f(x - y) + 24f(x) - 6f(y) - 24f(0).$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f(2x + y) - f(0) + f(2x - y) - f(0) \\ &= 4f(x + y) - 4f(0) + 4f(x - y) - 4f(0) \\ & \quad + 24f(x) - 24f(0) - 6f(y) + 6f(0). \end{aligned}$$

Now, setting $Q(x) = f(x) - f(0)$, we imply

$$Q(2x + y) + Q(2x - y) = 4Q(x + y) + 4Q(x - y) + 24Q(x) - 6Q(y).$$

Hence, Q is a quartic functional and we have

$$f(x) = Q(x) + f(0). \quad (2.4)$$

Also from (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$g(x) = 4f(x) - 12g(0) = 4Q(x) + 4f(0) - 12g(0). \quad (2.5)$$

By adding and subtracting $g(0)$ in (2.5), and by using (2.2), we have

$$g(x) = 4Q(x) + g(0).$$

□

Through out this paper, let (A, \perp) denote an orthogonality normed space with norm $\| \cdot \|_A$ and $(B, \| \cdot \|_B)$ is a Banach space.

In this section, we present the Hyers-Ulam-Aoki-Rassias stability of the orthogonal functional equation (1.3).

Theorem 2.3. *Let α and s , ($s < 1$) be nonnegative real numbers, and $f_o, g_o : A \rightarrow B$ are odd mappings satisfying*

$$\|D_{f_o, g_o}(x, y)\|_B \leq \alpha\{\|x\|_A^s + \|y\|_A^s\}, \quad (2.6)$$

for all $x, y \in A$, with $x \perp y$. Then there are unique orthogonally quartic mappings $\acute{Q}_{f_o} : A \rightarrow B$ and $\acute{Q}_{g_o} : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f_o(x) - \acute{Q}_{f_o}(x)\|_B \leq \frac{19\alpha}{12} \left(\frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{2^s} \right) \frac{1}{1 - 2^{s-4}} \|x\|_A^s, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\|g_o(x) - \acute{Q}_{g_o}(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\alpha}{3} \frac{1}{1 - 2^{s-4}} \|x\|_A^s, \quad (2.8)$$

for all $x \in A$. The functions $\acute{Q}_{f_o}, \acute{Q}_{g_o}$ are defined by

$$\acute{Q}_{f_o} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_o(2^n x)}{16^n}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\acute{Q}_{g_o} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_o(2^n x)}{16^n}, \quad (2.10)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. By letting $(x, y) = (x, 0)$ in (2.6), we obtain

$$\|4f_o(2x) - 16g_o(x)\|_B \leq \alpha \|x\|_A^s, \tag{2.11}$$

for all $x \in A$. Setting (x, y) by $(0, y)$ in (2.6), we get

$$\|3g_o(y)\|_B \leq \alpha \|y\|_A^s, \quad (y \in A) \tag{2.12}$$

or

$$\|g_o(y)\|_B \leq \frac{\alpha}{3} \|y\|_A^s, \quad (y \in A). \tag{2.13}$$

Using (2.13) and (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|4f_o(2x)\|_B &\leq \|4f_o(2x) - 16g_o(x)\|_B + \|16g_o(x)\|_B \\ &\leq \alpha \|x\|_A^s + \frac{16\alpha}{3} \|x\|_A^s = \frac{19\alpha}{3} \|x\|_A^s. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Then

$$\|f_o(2x)\|_B \leq \frac{19\alpha}{12} \|x\|_A^s, \quad (x \in A). \tag{2.15}$$

Replacing x by $\frac{x}{2}$ in (2.15), we get

$$\|f_o(x)\|_B \leq \frac{19\alpha}{12} \frac{1}{2^s} \|x\|_A^s, \tag{2.16}$$

for all $x \in A$. From (2.15) and (2.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{16} f_o(2x) - f_o(x) \right\|_B &\leq \left\| \frac{1}{16} f_o(2x) \right\|_B + \| - f_o(x) \|_B \\ &\leq \frac{1}{16} \frac{19\alpha}{12} \|x\|_A^s + \frac{19\alpha}{12} \frac{1}{2^s} \|x\|_A^s \\ &= \frac{19\alpha}{12} \left(\frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{2^s} \right) \|x\|_A^s. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Now replacing x by $2x$ and dividing by 16 in (2.17) and summing resulting inequality with (2.17), the following inequality is obtained

$$\left\| \frac{f_o(2^2x)}{16^2} - \frac{f_o(2x)}{16} \right\|_B \leq \frac{19\alpha}{12} \left(\frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{2^s} \right) 2^{s-4} \|x\|_A^s, \tag{2.18}$$

for all $x \in A$. In general, using induction on a positive integer n , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f_o(2^n x)}{16^n} - f_o(x) \right\|_B &\leq \frac{19\alpha}{12} \left(\frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{2^s} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^{k(s-4)} \|x\|_A^s \\ &\leq \frac{19\alpha}{16} \left(\frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{2^s} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k(s-4)} \|x\|_A^s, \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

for all $x \in A$. In order to prove the convergence of the sequence $\left\{ \frac{f_o(2^n x)}{16^n} \right\}$, by replacing x by $2^n x$ and dividing by 2^m in (2.19), for any $m, n > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f_o(2^m 2^n x)}{16^m 16^n} - \frac{f_o(2^m x)}{16^m} \right\|_B &= \frac{1}{16^m} \left\| \frac{f_o(2^m 2^n x)}{16^n} - f_o(2^m x) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \frac{19\alpha}{12} \left(\frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{2^s} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^{m(s-4)} 2^{k(s-4)} \|x\|_A^s \quad (2.20) \\ &= \frac{19\alpha}{12} \left(\frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{2^s} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^{(s-4)(m+k)} \|x\|_A^s, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in A$. For $s < 4$, right hand side of (2.20) tends to zero as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in A$. Thus $\left\{ \frac{f_o(2^n x)}{16^n} \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since B is complete, there exists a mapping $\acute{Q}_{f_o} : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\acute{Q}_{f_o}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_o(2^n x)}{16^n}, \quad (x \in A).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.13), implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_o(2^n x)}{16^n} - f_o(x) \right\|_B &\leq \frac{19\alpha}{12} \left(\frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{2^s} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k(s-4)} \|x\|_A^s \\ &= \frac{19\alpha}{12} \left(\frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{2^s} \right) \frac{1}{1 - 2^{s-4}} \|x\|_A^s. \end{aligned}$$

Then formula (2.9), is satisfied. In order to prove that \acute{Q}_{f_o} satisfies (1.3), we replace (x, y) by $(2^n x, 2^n y)$ in (2.6) and divide by 2^n , and so we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{16^n} \|f_o(2^n(2x + y)) + f_o(2^n(2x - y)) - 2f_o(2^n(x + y)) \\ &\quad - 2f_o(2^n(x - y)) - 12f_o(2^n(x)) + 3f_o(2^n(y))\| \\ &\leq 2 \times 2^{n(s-4)} \{\|x\|_A^s + \|y\|_A^s\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\acute{Q}_{f_o}(2x + y) + \acute{Q}_{f_o}(2x - y) \\ &= 2\acute{Q}_{f_o}(x + y) + 2\acute{Q}_{f_o}(x - y) - 12\acute{Q}_{f_o}(x) + 10\acute{Q}_{f_o}(y), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in A$ with $x \perp y$. Therefore $\acute{Q}_{f_o} : A \rightarrow B$ is an orthogonally quartic mapping that satisfies (1.3). To prove the uniqueness of \acute{Q}_{f_o} , let \check{Q}_{f_o} be another orthogonally quartic mapping satisfying (1.3) and inequality (2.8).

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{Q}_{f_o}(x) - \dot{Q}_{f_o}(2^n x)\| &= \frac{1}{16^n} \|\dot{Q}_{f_o}(2^n x) - \dot{Q}_{f_o}(2^{n+1} x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{16^n} (\|\dot{Q}_{f_o}(2^n x) - f_o(2^n x)\| + \|f_o(2^n x) - \dot{Q}_{f_o}(2^n x)\|) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{2^{n(4-s)}} \left(\frac{19\alpha}{12} \left(\frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{2^s} \right) \frac{1}{1 - 2^{s-4}} \|x\|_A^s \right), \end{aligned}$$

which left hand side tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in A$. Therefore \dot{Q}_{f_o} is unique. From (2.13), we have

$$\|g_o(2x)\|_B \leq \frac{2^s \alpha}{3} \|x\|_A^s, \quad (x \in A). \tag{2.21}$$

Now from (2.21) and (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{16} g_o(2x) - g_o(x) \right\|_B &\leq \left\| \frac{1}{16} g_o(2x) \right\|_B + \| -g_o(x) \|_B \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{3} (1 + 2^{s-4}) \|x\|_A^s. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

By replacing x by $2x$ and dividing by 16 in (2.22) and summing resulting inequality with (2.22), we have

$$\left\| \frac{g_o(2^2 x)}{16^2} - \frac{g_o(2x)}{16} \right\|_B \leq \frac{\alpha}{3} (1 + 2^{s-4}) \frac{2^s}{16} \|x\|_A^s, \tag{2.23}$$

for all $x \in A$. In general, using induction on a positive integer n , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{g_o(2^n x)}{16^n} - g_o(x) \right\|_B &\leq \frac{\alpha}{3} (1 + 2^{s-4}) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^{k(s-4)} \|x\|_A^s \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{3} (1 + 2^{s-4}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k(s-4)} \|x\|_A^s, \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

for all $x \in A$. Since $\left\{ \frac{g_o(2^n x)}{16^n} \right\}$ is Cauchy sequence (The proof is similar to the first part) and B is complete, there exists a mapping $\dot{Q}_{g_o} : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\dot{Q}_{g_o}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_o(2^n x)}{16^n}, \quad (x \in A).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.24), we arrive the formula (2.8) for all $x \in A$. To prove \dot{Q}_{g_o} satisfies (1.3) and is unique, the proof is similar to the first part. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let α and $s(s < 4)$ be nonnegative real number and $f_e, g_e : A \rightarrow B$ are even mappings satisfying*

$$\|D_{f_e, g_e}(x, y)\|_B \leq \alpha \{ \|x\|_A^s + \|y\|_A^s \}, \tag{2.25}$$

for all $x, y \in A$, with $x \perp y$. Then there are unique orthogonally quartic mappings $\acute{Q}_{f_e} : A \rightarrow B$ and $\acute{Q}_{g_e} : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f_e(x) - \acute{Q}_{f_e}(x)\|_B \leq \frac{17\alpha}{64} \left(\frac{1}{1 - 2^{s-4}} \right) \|x\|_A^s, \quad (2.26)$$

$$\|g_e(x) - \acute{Q}_{g_e}(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\alpha}{16} (1 - 2^s) \left(\frac{1}{1 - 2^{s-4}} \right) \|x\|_A^s, \quad (2.27)$$

for all $y \in A$. The functions $\acute{Q}_{f_e}, \acute{Q}_{g_e}$ are defined by

$$\acute{Q}_{f_e} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_e(2^n x)}{16^n}, \quad (2.28)$$

$$\acute{Q}_{g_e} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_e(2^n x)}{16^n}, \quad (2.29)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. By letting $y = 0$ in (2.25), we obtain

$$\|4f_e(2x) - 16g_e(x)\|_B \leq \alpha \|x\|_A^s, \quad (2.30)$$

for all $x \in A$. Setting x by zero in (2.25), we have

$$\|4f_e(y) - g_e(y)\|_B \leq \alpha \|y\|_A^s, \quad (2.31)$$

for all $x \in A$. From (2.30) and (2.31), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{16} f_e(2x) - f_e(x) \right\|_B \\ & \leq \left\| \frac{1}{16} f_e(2x) - \frac{1}{4} g_e(x) \right\|_B + \left\| -f_e(y) + \frac{1}{4} g_e(y) \right\|_B \\ & \leq \frac{17\alpha}{64} \|x\|_A^s. \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

Now replacing x by $2x$ and dividing by 16 in (2.32) and summing resulting inequality with (2.32), also using induction on a positive integer n , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f_e(2^n x)}{16^n} - f_e(x) \right\|_B & \leq \frac{17\alpha}{64} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^{k(s-4)} \|x\|_A^s \\ & \leq \frac{17\alpha}{64} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k(s-4)} \|x\|_A^s, \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

for all $x \in A$. Since $\left\{ \frac{f_e(2^n x)}{16^n} \right\}$ is Cauchy sequence (The proof is similar to Theorem (2.3)) and B is complete, there exists a mapping $\acute{Q}_{f_e} : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\acute{Q}_{f_e}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_e(2^n x)}{16^n}, \quad (x \in A).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.33), the formula (2.26) is satisfied for all $x \in A$. To prove \acute{Q}_{f_e} satisfies (1.3) and is unique, the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem (2.3). From (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{16}g_e(2x) - g_e(x) \right\|_B \\ & \leq \left\| -\frac{1}{4}f_e(2x) + \frac{1}{16}g_e(2x) \right\|_B + \left\| -g_e(x) + \frac{1}{4}f_e(2x) \right\|_B \quad (2.34) \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha}{16}(1 + 2^s)\|x\|_A^s, \quad (x \in A). \end{aligned}$$

Now replacing x by $2x$ and dividing by 16 in (2.34) and summing resulting inequality with (2.34), and, using induction on a positive integer n , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{g_e(2^n x)}{16^n} - g_e(x) \right\|_B & \leq \frac{\alpha}{16}(1 + 2^{s-2}) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^{k(s)} \|x\|_A^s \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha}{16}(1 + 2^s) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k(s-4)} \|x\|_A^s, \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

for all $x \in A$. Since $\left\{ \frac{g_e(2^n x)}{16^n} \right\}$ is Cauchy sequence (The proof is similar to Theorem (3.1)) and B is complete, there exists a mapping $\acute{Q}_{g_e} : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\acute{Q}_{g_e}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_e(2^n x)}{16^n}, \quad (x \in A).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.35), the formula (2.27) is correct for all $x \in A$. To prove \acute{Q}_{g_e} satisfies (1.3) and is unique, the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem (3.1). □

Theorem 2.5. *Let α and $s(s < 4)$ be nonnegative real number and $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ are mappings satisfying*

$$\|D_{f,g}(x, y)\|_B \leq \alpha\{\|x\|_A^s + \|y\|_A^s\}, \quad (2.36)$$

for all $x, y \in A$, with $x \perp y$. Then there are unique orthogonally quartic mappings $Q_f : A \rightarrow B$ and $Q_g : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x) - Q_f(x)\|_B \\ & \leq \left\{ \frac{17\alpha}{64} \left(\frac{1}{1 - 2^{s-4}} \right) + \frac{19\alpha}{12} \left(\frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{2^s} \right) \left(\frac{1}{1 - 2^{s-4}} \right) \right\} \|x\|_A^s, \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

$$\|g(x) - Q_g(x)\|_B \leq \left\{ \frac{\alpha(1 + 2^s)}{16} \left(\frac{1}{1 - 2^{s-4}} \right) + \frac{\alpha}{3} \frac{1}{1 - 2^{s-4}} \right\} \|x\|_A^s, \quad (2.38)$$

for all $x \in A$. The functions Q_f, Q_g are defined by

$$Q_f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{16^n}, \quad (2.39)$$

$$Q_g(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(2^n x)}{16^n}, \quad (2.40)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. Define

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f_e(x) + f_o(x), \\ g(x) &= g_e(x) + g_o(x), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in A$. In (2.36), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f_e(2x+y) + f_o(2x+y) + 2f_e(2x-y) + 2f_o(2x-y) \\ & \quad - 12g_e(x) - 2g_e(x+y) - 2g_o(x+y) - 2g_e(x-y) \\ & \quad - 2g_o(x-y) - 12g_o(x) + 3g_e(y) + 3g_o(y)\| \\ & \leq \alpha\{\|x\|_A^s + \|y\|_A^s\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

Replacing (x, y) by $(-x, -y)$ in (2.41), and since $f_e(-x) = f_e(x)$, $f_o(-x) = -f_o(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f_e(2x+y) - f_o(2x+y) + 2f_e(2x-y) - 2f_o(2x-y) \\ & \quad - 12g_e(x) - 2g_e(x+y) + 2g_o(x+y) - 2g_e(x-y) \\ & \quad + 2g_o(x-y) + 12g_o(x) + 3g_e(y) - 3g_o(y)\| \\ & \leq \alpha\{\|x\|_A^s + \|y\|_A^s\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.42)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|-f_e(2x+y) + f_o(2x+y) - 2f_e(2x-y) + 2f_o(2x-y) \\ & \quad - 12g_o(x) + 12g_e(x) + 2g_e(x+y) - 2g_o(x+y) \\ & \quad + 2g_e(x-y) - 2g_o(x-y) - 3g_e(y) + 3g_o(y)\| \\ & \leq \alpha\{\|x\|_A^s + \|y\|_A^s\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

By summing (2.41) and (2.42), we get

$$\|D_{f_e, g_e}(x, y)\|_B \leq \alpha\{\|x\|_A^s + \|y\|_A^s\}.$$

By summing (2.41) and (2.43), we obtain

$$\|D_{f_o, g_o}(x, y)\|_B \leq \alpha\{\|x\|_A^s + \|y\|_A^s\}.$$

By Theorem (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\|f_e(x) - \acute{Q}_{f_e}(x)\|_B \leq \frac{17\alpha}{64} \left(\frac{1}{1-2^{s-4}} \right) \|x\|_A^s, \quad (2.44)$$

$$\|f_o(x) - \dot{Q}_{f_o}(x)\|_B \leq \frac{19\alpha}{12} \left(\frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{2^s} \right) \left(\frac{1}{1 - 2^{s-4}} \right) \|x\|_A^s \quad (2.45)$$

and

$$\|g_e(x) - \dot{Q}_{g_e}(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\alpha(1 + 2^s)}{16} \frac{1}{1 - 2^{s-4}} \|x\|_A^s, \quad (2.46)$$

$$\|g_o(x) - \dot{Q}_{g_o}(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\alpha}{3} \frac{1}{1 - 2^{s-4}} \|x\|_A^s. \quad (2.47)$$

From (2.44), (2.45), (2.46) and (2.47), we have

$$\|f(x) - Q_f(x)\|_B \leq \left\{ \frac{17\alpha}{64} \left(\frac{1}{1 - 2^{s-4}} \right) + \frac{19\alpha}{12} \left(\frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{2^s} \right) \left(\frac{1}{1 - 2^{s-4}} \right) \right\} \|x\|_A^s,$$

$$\|g(x) - Q_g(x)\|_B \leq \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{16} (1 - 2^{s-2}) \left(\frac{1}{1 - 2^s} \right) + \frac{\alpha}{3} \frac{1}{1 - 2^{s-4}} \right\} \|x\|_A^s,$$

for all $x \in A$. Hence the proof completes. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Aoki, *On the stability of linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **2** (1950), 64–66.
- [2] M. Arunkumar and S. Hema Latha, *Orthogonal stability of 2- dimensional mixed type additive and quartic functional equation*, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, (2010), 461–470.
- [3] R. Ger and J. Sikkorska, *Stability of the orthogonal additivity*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math., **43** (1995), 143–151.
- [4] S. Gudder and D. Strawther, *Orthogonally additive and orthogonally increasing function on vector spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **58** (1995), 427–436.
- [5] D.H. Hyers, *On the Stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [6] Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [7] J. Rätz, *On orthogonality of additive mapping*, Aequationes Math., **28** (1989), 73–85.
- [8] S.M. Ulam, *Problem in Modern Mathematics*, Science Editions, Wiley, New York, 1960.