

SOME NEW DIFFERENCE SEQUENCE SPACES DEFINED ON ORLIZE FUNCTION

Ab. Hamid Ganie¹ and Neyaz Ahmad Sheikh²

¹Department of Mathematics,
National Institute of Technology Srinagar, INDIA
e-mail: ashameedg@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics,
National Institute of Technology Srinagar, INDIA
e-mail: neyaznit@yahoo.co.in

Abstract. In this paper we introduce $l_M(\Delta_u^m, M)$ and $l_M(\Delta_u^m, M, p)$ and study their general properties.

1. PRELIMINARIES, BACKGROUND AND NOTATION

A sequence space is defined to be a linear space of real or complex sequences. Throughout the paper \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} denotes the set of non-negative integers, the set of real numbers and the set of complex numbers respectively. Let ω denote the space of all sequences (real or complex); l_∞ and c respectively, denotes the space of all bounded sequences, the space of convergent sequences. Orlicz [9] used the idea of Orlicz function to construct the space (L^M) .

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [4] investigated Orlicz sequence spaces in more detail and they proved that every Orlicz sequence space l_M contains a subspace isomorphic to l_p ($1 \leq p < \infty$). Subsequently different classes of sequence spaces defined by Parashar and Choudhary [10], Mursaleen et al. [7], Bektas and Altin [1], Tripathy et al. [12], Rao and Subramanian [2] and many others.

⁰Received July 25, 2012. Revised August 23, 2012.

⁰2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 40A05, 40A45, 40C05.

⁰Keywords: Orlicz function; paranorm; difference sequences.

The difference sequence spaces, $X(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) : \Delta x \in X\}$, where $X = l_\infty, c$ and c_0 were studied by Kizmaz [3].

An Orlicz function is a function $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with $M(0) = 0$, $M(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ and $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ (for detail see [9]). If the convexity of Orlicz functions M is replaced by $M(x+y) \leq M(x) + M(y)$ then the function is called modulus function, introduced by Nakano [8] and further investigated by Maddox [5, 6] and many others.

Let ω denote the set of all complex sequences. An Orlicz function M is said to satisfy Δ_2 -condition for all values of u , if there exists a constant $K > 0$, such that

$$M(2u) \leq KM(u) \quad (u \geq 0).$$

The Δ_2 -condition is equivalent to $M(lu) \leq KLM(u)$ for all values of u and for $l > 1$. Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [4] used the idea of Orlicz function to construct Orlicz sequence space

$$l_M = \{x \in \omega : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0\}.$$

The space l_M with norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

becomes a Banach space which is called an Orlicz sequence space. For $M(t) = t^p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, the space l_M coincide with the classical sequence spaces l_p .

We define the following sequence space.

$$l_M(\Delta_u^m, M) = \{x \in \omega : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|u_k \Delta^m x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0\}.$$

If $u_k = e = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$ and $m = 1$ (fixed), it reduces to $l_M(\Delta, M)$ [11].

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. $l_M(\Delta_u^m, M)$ is a Banach Space with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|u_k \Delta^m x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $(x^{(i)})$ be a Cauchy sequence in $l_M(\Delta_u^m, M)$, where

$$(x^{(i)}) = (x_1^{(i)}, x_2^{(i)}, \dots) \in l_M(\Delta_u^m, M)$$

for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $r, x_0 > 0$ be fixed. Then for each $\frac{|u_k|\varepsilon}{rx_0} > 0$ there exists a positive integer N such that

$$\|x^{(i)} - x^{(j)}\| < \frac{\varepsilon |u_k|}{rx_0}, \forall i, j \geq N.$$

Using the definition of norm we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M \left(\frac{|u_k [\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)}]|}{x^{(i)} - x^{(j)}} \right) \leq 1, \forall i, j \geq N.$$

This gives,

$$M \left(\frac{|u_k [\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)}]|}{x^{(i)} - x^{(j)}} \right) \leq 1$$

for each $k \geq 1$ and $\forall i, j \geq N$. Hence we can find $r > 0$ with $M\left(\frac{rx_0}{k}\right) > 1$ such that

$$M \left(\frac{|u_k [\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)}]|}{x^{(i)} - x^{(j)}} \right) \leq M\left(\frac{rx_0}{k}\right).$$

This implies that

$$\left(\frac{|u_k [\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)}]|}{x^{(i)} - x^{(j)}} \right) \leq \left(\frac{rx_0}{k} \right),$$

that is,

$$|\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)}| \leq \left(\frac{rx_0}{k |u_k|} \right) \|x^{(i)} - x^{(j)}\|.$$

So, we have

$$\left| \Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)} \right| \leq \left(\frac{rx_0}{k|u_k|} \right) \frac{\varepsilon |u_k|}{rx_0},$$

this implies that

$$\left| \Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)} \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{k}.$$

Therefore, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer N such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(\Delta^m x_1^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_1^{(j)} \right) + \dots + \left(\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)} \right) \right| \\ & \leq \left| \left(\Delta^m x_1^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_1^{(j)} \right) \right| + \dots + \left| \left(\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)} \right) \right| \\ & \leq k \frac{\varepsilon}{k} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

But,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)} \right) \right| \\ & \leq \left| \left(\Delta^m x_1^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_1^{(j)} \right) \right| + \dots + \left| \left(\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)} \right) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\left| \left(\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)} \right) \right| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall i, j \geq N.$$

Therefore, $\left(\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} \right)$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} , for each fixed k . Using the continuity of M , we can find that

$$\sum_{k=1}^N M \left(\frac{\left| u_k \left[\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Delta^m x_k^{(j)} \right] \right|}{\rho} \right) \leq 1.$$

That is,

$$\sum_{k=1}^N M \left(\frac{\left| u_k \left[\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k \right] \right|}{\rho} \right) \leq 1.$$

Taking infimum of such ρ 's, we get

$$\inf \left\{ \rho : \sum_{k=1}^N M \left(\frac{|u_k [\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k]|}{\rho} \right) \leq 1 \right\} < \varepsilon,$$

for all $i \geq N$ and $j \rightarrow \infty$. Since $(x^{(i)}) \in l_M(\Delta_u^m, M)$ and M is Orlicz function (hence continuous), $\Delta x \in l_M(\Delta_u^m, M)$. This completes the proof. \square

3. PARANORMED SEQUENCE SPACES

A linear topological space X over the field of real numbers \mathbb{R} is said to be a paranormed space if there is a subadditive function $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $h(\theta) = 0$, $h(-x) = h(x)$ and scalar multiplication is continuous, that is, $|\alpha_n - \alpha| \rightarrow 0$ and $h(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$ imply $h(\alpha_n x_n - \alpha x) \rightarrow 0$ for all α 's in \mathbb{R} and x 's in X , where θ is a zero vector in the linear space X .

Let $p = (p_k)$ be any sequence of positive real numbers. Then in the same way we can also define the following sequence spaces for an Orlicz function M as l were extended to $l(p)$.

$$l_M(\Delta_u^m, M, p) = \left\{ \rho > 0 : x = (x_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M \left(\frac{|u_k \Delta^m x_k|}{\rho} \right)^{p_k} < \infty \right\}.$$

If $(u_k) = (p_k) = e = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$, then $l_M(u, \Delta, M, p)$ reduces to $l_M(\Delta, M)$ [12].

The sequence spaces are paranormed spaces with

$$G^*(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pn}{H}} : \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M \left(\frac{|u_k \Delta^m x_k|}{\rho} \right)^{p_k} \right]^{\frac{1}{H}} \leq 1 \right\},$$

where $H = \text{Max} \left\{ 1, \sup_k p_k \right\}$.

Theorem 3.1. $l_M(\Delta_u^m, M, p)$ is a complete paranormed space with

$$G^*(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pn}{H}} : \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M \left(\frac{|u_k \Delta^m x_k|}{\rho} \right)^{p_k} \right]^{\frac{1}{H}} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $(x^{(i)})$ be a Cauchy sequence in $l_M(\Delta_u^m, M, p)$, where

$$(x^{(i)}) = (x_1^{(i)}, x_2^{(i)}, \dots) \in l(u, \Delta, M, p)$$

for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $r, x_0 > 0$ be fixed. Then for each $\frac{|u_k|\varepsilon}{rx_0} > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that

$$\|x^{(i)} - x^{(j)}\| < \frac{\varepsilon |u_k|}{rx_0}, \forall i, j \geq N.$$

Using the definition of paranorm, we get

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M \left(\frac{|u_k [\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)}]|}{G^*(x^{(i)} - x^{(j)})} \right)^{p_k} \right\}^{\frac{1}{H}} \leq 1, \forall i, j \geq N.$$

Since $1 \leq p_k < \infty$, it follows that

$$M \left(\frac{|u_k [\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)}]|}{G^*(x^{(i)} - x^{(j)})} \right) \leq 1$$

for each $k \geq 1$ and $\forall i, j \geq N$. Hence we can find $r > 0$ with $M\left(\frac{rx_0}{k}\right) > 1$ such that

$$M \left(\frac{|u_k [\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)}]|}{G^*(x^{(i)} - x^{(j)})} \right) \leq M \left(\frac{rx_0}{k} \right).$$

This implies that

$$\left(\frac{|u_k [\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)}]|}{G^*(x^{(i)} - x^{(j)})} \right) \leq \left(\frac{rx_0}{k} \right),$$

that is,

$$|\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)}| \leq \left(\frac{rx_0}{k |u_k|} \right) G^*(x^{(i)} - x^{(j)}).$$

And hence

$$|\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)}| \leq \left(\frac{rx_0}{k |u_k|} \right) \frac{\varepsilon |u_k|}{rx_0}.$$

This implies that

$$|\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)}| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{k}.$$

Therefore, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer N such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(\Delta^m x_1^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_1^{(j)} \right) + \dots + \left(\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)} \right) \right| \\ & \leq \left| \left(\Delta^m x_1^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_1^{(j)} \right) \right| + \dots + \left| \left(\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)} \right) \right| \\ & \leq k \frac{\varepsilon}{k} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

But,

$$\left| \left(\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)} \right) \right| \leq \left\{ \left| \left(\Delta x_1^{(i)} - \Delta x_1^{(j)} \right) \right| + \dots + \left| \left(\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)} \right) \right| \right\},$$

that is,

$$\left| \left(\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k^{(j)} \right) \right| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall i, j \geq N.$$

Therefore, $\left(\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} \right)$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} , for each fixed k . Using the continuity of M , we can find that

$$\sum_{k=1}^N M \left(\frac{\left| u_k \left[\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Delta^m x_k^{(j)} \right] \right|}{\rho} \right) \leq 1.$$

That is,

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^N M \left(\frac{\left| u_k \left[\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k \right] \right|}{\rho} \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{H}} \leq 1.$$

Taking infimum of such ρ 's we get

$$\inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{p_n}{H}} : \sum_{k=1}^N \left(M \left(\frac{\left| u_k \left[\Delta^m x_k^{(i)} - \Delta^m x_k \right] \right|}{\rho} \right)^{p_k} \right) \leq 1 \right\} < \varepsilon,$$

for all $i \geq N$ and $j \rightarrow \infty$. Since $x^{(i)} \in l_M(u, \Delta^m, M, p)$ and M is Orlicz function (hence continuous), $\Delta x \in l_M(u, \Delta, M, p)$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.2. Let $0 < p_k < q_k < \infty$ for each k . Then we have

$$l_M(\Delta_u^m, M, p) \subseteq l_M(\Delta_u^m, M, q).$$

Proof. Let $x \in l_M(\Delta_u^m, M, p)$. Then there exists some $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(M \left(\frac{|u_k \Delta^m x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right)^{p_k} < \infty.$$

This implies that

$$M \left(\frac{|u_k \Delta^m x_k|}{\rho} \right) \leq 1,$$

for sufficiently large k . Since M is non-decreasing, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(M \left(\frac{|u_k \Delta^m x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right)^{q_k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(M \left(\frac{|u_k \Delta^m x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right)^{p_k}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(M \left(\frac{|u_k \Delta^m x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right)^{q_k} < \infty.$$

That is, $x \in l_M(\Delta_u^m, M, q)$. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bektas and Y. Altin, the sequence space $l_M(p, q, s)$ on semi normed spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 34(4)(2003), 529-534.
- [2] K. Chandrasekhara Rao and N. Subramanian, The Orlicz space of entire sequences, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 68(2004), 3755-3764.
- [3] H. Kizmaz, On certain sequence spaces, Canad Math. Bull., 24(2)(1981).
- [4] J. Lindenstrauss and L.Tzafirri, On Orlicz sequence spaces, Israel J. Math., 10(1971), 379-390.
- [5] I. J. Maddox, Sequence spaces defined by a modulus, Math. Proc. Cam. Philos. Soc., 100(1)(1986), 161-166.
- [6] I. J. Maddox and J. W. Roolles, Absolutely convexity in certain topological linear spaces, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 66(1969), 541-545.
- [7] M. Mursaleen, M.A. Khan and Qamaruddin, Difference sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions, Demonstratio Math., Vol. XXXII(1999).
- [8] Nakano, Concave modulars, J. Math. Soc. placecountry-region Japan, 5(1953), 29-49.
- [9] W. Orlicz, ber Raume (L^M) , Bull. Int. Acad. Plon. Sci. A, (1936).
- [10] S. D. Parashar and B. Choudhary, Sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions, Indian J. Pure appl. Math., 25(4)(1994), 419-428.
- [11] N. Subramanian, The Difference Sequence space Defined on Orlicz Function, Int. Journal of Math. Anal., 2(15)(2008), 721-729.
- [12] B. C. Tripathy, M. Et and Y. Altin, Generalized difference sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function in a locally convex space, J. Analysis and Applications, 1(3)(2003), 175-192.