

## GENERALIZED VECTOR $B$ -VARIATIONAL INEQUALITY PROBLEMS

Satya Narayan Mishra<sup>1</sup>, Prasanta Kumar Das<sup>2</sup>  
and Girish Chandra Nayak<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, School of Applied Sciences  
KIIT University, Bhubaneswar-751024, India  
e-mail: [snmishra000@gmail.com](mailto:snmishra000@gmail.com)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, School of Applied Sciences  
KIIT University, Bhubaneswar-751024, India  
e-mail: [dasprasantkumar@yahoo.co.in](mailto:dasprasantkumar@yahoo.co.in)

<sup>3</sup>Junior Lecturer (Mathematics)  
Govt. Jr. College, Phulbani, Kandhamal-762001, India  
e-mail: [girishchn@gmail.com](mailto:girishchn@gmail.com)

**Abstract.** In this paper, the concept of generalized vector  $B$ -variational inequality problems are introduced and are studied their existence theorems. The concept of pre  $b$ - $\eta$ -invex function and generalized  $T$ - $(\eta, \theta, \rho)$ - $B$ -invex are introduced and are used to prove the existence theorems of generalized vector  $B$ -variational inequality problems.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1964, the variational inequality problem (VIP) was introduced by Stampacchia [13]. To develop the VIP in vector spaces, Gianessi ([10], 1980) has introduced the vector variational inequality problems and has studied its existence theorems in finite dimensional vector spaces  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The theory of variational inequalities is applied to study various types of problems arises in physical sciences, engineering branches, economics, optimization etc. The variational inequality problems studied by Stampacchia [13] is defined as follows.

---

<sup>0</sup>Received July 1, 2016. Revised November 11, 2016.

<sup>0</sup>2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 90C33, 47J30, 65K10.

<sup>0</sup>Keywords: Generalized vector  $b$ -variational inequality problems,  $T$ - $(\eta, \theta, \rho)$ - $B$ -invex function,  $(b; \gamma)$ -semiinvex set,  $(b; \gamma)$ -semipreinvex.

Let  $K$  be nonempty closed and convex subset of a reflexive real Banach space  $X$  with dual  $X^*$  and  $T : K \rightarrow X^*$  a nonlinear map. Let the value of  $f \in X^*$  at  $x \in X$  be denoted by  $\langle f, x \rangle$ . The *Variational Inequality Problem (VIP)* is to:

find  $x_0 \in K$  such that for all  $x \in K$ ,

$$\langle T(x_0), x - x_0 \rangle \geq 0. \quad (VIP)$$

Earlier convexity property of a function has played an important role to study various types of results in optimization theory. Many significant results have been derived under convexity assumption. In 1981, Hanson [11] used the concept of generalized convex function as invex function in the place of convex and concave as follows: the differentiable function  $f$  from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  is invex if there exists a vector valued function  $\eta(x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$f(x) - f(u) \geq \nabla' f(u)(\eta(x, u))$$

for all  $x, u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $\nabla' f(u)$  stands for the transpose of the gradient of  $f$  at  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . But later Craven [6] coined the name as invex (invariant convex) function if any function  $f$  is satisfying the above equation and studied many duality theorems for functional programs using the functions. In 1988, Weir and Mond [14] have introduced the concept of  $\eta$ -invex set, pre-invex functions and have studied the multiple objective optimization problems. Inspired by the work of Hanson [11], the researchers have defined generalized variational inequalities replacing  $x - y$  by  $\eta(x, y)$  and have shown various useful existence theorems.

**1.1. Definition of the Problems.** For simplicity, we recall the following terminologies given by Behera and Das [3]. Let  $(Y, P)$  be an ordered topological vector space equipped with a closed convex pointed cone  $P$  such that  $\text{int}P \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $y \in Y$ . Then

- (a)  $y \notin -\text{int}P$  if and only if  $y \geq_P 0$ ;
- (b)  $y \in \text{int}P$  if and only if  $y >_P 0$ ;
- (c)  $y \notin \text{int}P$  if and only if  $y \leq_P 0$ ;
- (d)  $y \in -\text{int}P$  if and only if  $y <_P 0$ ;
- (e)  $y - z \notin -\text{int}P$  if and only if  $y - z \geq_P 0$  (i.e.,  $y \geq_P z$ );
- (f)  $y - z \notin \text{int}P$  if and only if  $y - z \leq_P 0$  (i.e.,  $y \leq_P z$ );
- (g)  $y - z \notin (-\text{int}P \cup \text{int}P)$  if and only if  $y - z =_P 0$ , (i.e.,  $y =_P z$ ).

Throughout this paper, the domain and functions are defined as follows. Let  $X$  be a topological vector space,  $K$  be any subset of  $X$  and  $(Y, P)$  be an ordered topological vector space equipped with a closed convex pointed cone  $P$  such that  $\text{int}P \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $L(X, Y)$  be the set of continuous linear functionals from  $X$  to  $Y$  and  $\eta : K \times K \rightarrow X$  and  $\theta : K \times K \rightarrow Y$  be the vector valued

functions. Let  $T : K \rightarrow L(X, Y)$  be any operator. Let  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$  be any real number.

We consider the following generalized vector variational inequalities.

(i) The *generalized primal vector variational inequality problems* is to:  
 find  $u \in K$  such that for all  $x \in K$ ,

$$\langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, u) \notin -intP. \tag{GPVVIP}$$

(ii) The *generalized dual vector variational inequality problems* is to:  
 find  $u \in K$  such that for all  $x \in K$ ,

$$\langle T(x), \eta(u, x) \rangle + \rho\theta(u, x) \notin -intP. \tag{GDVVIP}$$

(iii) The *generalized primal vector  $B$ -variational inequality problems* is to:  
 find  $u \in K$  such that for all  $x \in K$ ,  $\bar{b}(u, x) > 0$  and

$$\bar{b}(u, x) [\langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, u)] \notin -intP. \tag{GPVVIP}_b$$

(iv) The *generalized dual vector  $B$ -variational inequality problems* is to:  
 find  $u \in K$  such that for all  $x \in K$ ,  $\bar{b}(x, u) < 0$  and

$$\bar{b}(x, u) [\langle T(x), \eta(u, x) \rangle + \rho\theta(u, x)] \notin intP. \tag{GDVVIP}_b$$

**Remark 1.1.** (1) Let  $F : K \rightarrow Y$ . If  $\rho = 1$ ,  $b(x, u) = 1$  for all  $x, u \in K$  and  $\theta(x, u) = F(x) - F(u)$ , then (GPVVIP) coincides the  $F$ -GVIP studied by Behera and Das [3].

(2) Let  $\xi : K \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ . If  $\rho = 1$ ,  $b(x, u) = 1$  for all  $x, u \in K$  and  $\theta(x, u) = \langle \xi(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle$ , then (GPVVIP) coincides the generalized nonlinear variational inequality problems studied by Das and Kodamasingh [9].

2.  $\eta$ -SEMIINVEX SET,  $(\bar{b}, \eta)$ -SEMIPREINVEX FUNCTION  
 AND  $(\bar{b}, \eta)$ -MONOTONE FUNCTION

In fact, preinvexity is a generalization of invexity for nondifferentiable function. In 1992, Yang and Chen [15] presented a wide class of generalized convex set and functions, called  $\gamma$ -semiconnected set and semipreinvex functions as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** ([15]) Let  $K$  be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

(1)  $K$  is to satisfy  $\gamma$ -semiconnected property, if for all  $x, y \in K$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , there exists a vector path function

$$\gamma : K \times K \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

such that

$$y + t\gamma(x, y, t) \in K.$$

- (2) Assume that the set  $K$  satisfies  $\gamma$ -semiconnected property. A real valued function  $F : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be *semipreinvex* with respect to  $\gamma$  if for all  $x, y \in K$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$F(y + t\gamma(x, y, t)) \leq (1 - t)F(y) + tF(x)$$

holds and

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} t \gamma(x, y, t) = 0.$$

Let

$$B = \left\{ b : X \times X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \bar{b}(x, u) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} b(x, u, \lambda), |\bar{b}(x, u)| < \infty \right\} \\ \neq \emptyset.$$

For our need, we define  $\gamma$ -semiinvex set and  $(b; \gamma)$ -semipreinvex as follows.

**Definition 2.2.** A set  $K \subset X$  is said to be

- (a)  $\gamma$ -semiaffine set in  $X$  if for all  $x, u \in K$ , there exists a vector valued map  $\gamma : K \times K \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$  satisfying the condition  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \gamma(x, u, t) = 0$  such that  $u + t \gamma(x, u, t) \in K$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (b)  $\gamma$ -semiinvex set in  $X$  if for all  $x, u \in K$ , there exists a vector valued map  $\gamma : K \times K \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  satisfying the condition  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \gamma(x, u, t) = 0$  such that  $u + t \gamma(x, u, t) \in K$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .
- (c)  $\eta$ -limiting  $\gamma$ -semiaffine (or  $\eta$ -semiaffine) set in  $X$  if for all  $x, u \in K$ , there exists a vector valued map  $\gamma : K \times K \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$  satisfying the condition

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma(x, u, t) = \eta(x, u) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \gamma(x, u, t) = 0$$

such that  $u + t \gamma(x, u, t) \in K$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- (d)  $\eta$ -limiting  $\gamma$ -semiinvex (or  $\eta$ -semiinvex) set in  $X$  if for all  $x, u \in K$ , there exists a vector valued map  $\gamma : K \times K \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  satisfying the condition

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma(x, u, t) = \eta(x, u) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \gamma(x, u, t) = 0$$

such that  $u + t \gamma(x, u, t) \in K$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A map  $F : K \rightarrow Y$  is said to be

- (a)  $(b; \gamma)$ -semipreaaffine on  $K$  if there exists a vector valued map  $\gamma : K \times K \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$  satisfying the condition  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \gamma(x, u, t) = 0$  and a scalar

valued map  $b \in B$  with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} b(x, u, t) = \bar{b}(x, u)$  for all  $x, u \in K$  such that for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$tb(x, u, t)F(u) + (1 - t)b(u, x, t)F(x) - F(u + t\gamma(x, u, t)) =_P 0;$$

- (b)  $(b; \gamma)$ -semipreinvex on  $K$  if there exists a vector valued map  $\gamma : K \times K \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  satisfying the condition  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t\gamma(x, u, t) = 0$  and a scalar valued map  $b \in B$  with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} b(x, u, t) = \bar{b}(x, u)$  for all  $x, u \in K$  such that for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$tb(x, u, t)F(u) + (1 - t)b(u, x, t)F(x) - F(u + t\gamma(x, u, t)) \notin -intP;$$

- (c)  $(\bar{b}; \eta)$ -limiting  $(b; \gamma)$ -semipreaffine (or  $(\bar{b}; \eta)$ -semipreaffine) on  $K$  if there exists a vector valued map  $\gamma : K \times K \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$  satisfying the condition  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma(x, u, t) = \eta(x, u)$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t\gamma(x, u, t) = 0$  and a scalar valued map  $b \in B$  with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} b(x, u, t) = \bar{b}(x, u)$  for all  $x, u \in K$  such that for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$tb(x, u, t)F(u) + (1 - t)b(u, x, t)F(x) - F(u + t\gamma(x, u, t)) =_P 0;$$

- (d)  $(\bar{b}; \eta)$ -limiting  $(b; \gamma)$ -semipreinvex (or  $(\bar{b}; \eta)$ -semipreinvex) on  $K$  if there exists a vector valued map  $\gamma : K \times K \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  satisfying the condition  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma(x, u, t) = \eta(x, u)$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t\gamma(x, u, t) = 0$  and a scalar valued map  $b \in B$  with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} b(x, u, t) = \bar{b}(x, u)$  for all  $x, u \in K$  such that for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$tb(x, u, t)F(u) + (1 - t)b(u, x, t)F(x) - F(u + t\gamma(x, u, t)) \notin -intP.$$

Let there exists a map  $\gamma : K \times K \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  satisfying

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma(x, u, t) = \eta(x, u)$$

with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t\gamma(x, u, t) = 0$ , and a scalar valued map  $b \in B$  with

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} b(x, u, t) = \bar{b}(x, u)$$

for all  $x, u \in K$ . The concept of  $\bar{b}$ - $\eta$ -monotonicity of  $T$  is defined as follows.

**Definition 2.4.** The mapping  $T$  is  $(\bar{b}; \eta)$ -monotone associated with  $(\rho, \theta)$  on  $K$  if for all  $x, u \in K$ , we have

$$\bar{b}(u, x) [\langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, u)] + \bar{b}(x, u) [\langle T(x), \eta(u, x) \rangle + \rho\theta(u, x)] \notin intP.$$

**Definition 2.5.** The mapping  $T$  is pseudo  $\bar{b}$ - $\eta$ -monotone associated with  $(\rho, \theta)$  on  $K$  if for all  $x, u \in K$ , we have

$$\bar{b}(u, x) [\langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, u)] \notin -intP,$$

implying

$$\bar{b}(x, u) [\langle T(x), \eta(u, x) \rangle + \rho\theta(u, x)] \notin intP.$$

**Definition 2.6.** The mapping  $T$  is quasi  $\bar{b}$ - $\eta$ -monotone associated with  $(\rho, \theta)$  on  $K$  if for all  $x, u \in K$ , we have

$$\bar{b}(x, u) [\langle T(x), \eta(u, x) \rangle + \rho\theta(u, x)] \notin intP,$$

implying

$$\bar{b}(u, x) [\langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, u)] \notin -intP.$$

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

The concept of  $\eta$ -hemicontinuous at any point  $x_0 \in K \subset X$  is defined as follows.

**Definition 3.1.** The mapping  $T : K \rightarrow L(X, Y)$  is  $\eta$ -hemicontinuous at  $x_0$  if for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converging to  $x_0$  along a line, the sequence  $\{T(x_n)\}$  weakly converges to  $T(x_0)$ , i.e., the map  $\lambda \mapsto T(y + \lambda v)$  of  $[0, 1]$  into  $Y$  is continuous for  $y \in K$ ,  $v \in M$ , where

$$M = \{z : z = \eta(x, y) \in X, x \in K\}$$

when  $Y$  is endowed with its weak topology.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $K$  be a  $\eta$ -semiinvex set in  $X$ . The problems (GPVVIP) and (GDVVIP) are equivalent under the following conditions:

- (a)  $\langle T(x), \eta(x, x) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, x) =_P 0$  for all  $x \in K$ ,
- (b)  $T$  is  $(\bar{b}; \eta)$ -monotone associated with  $(\rho, \theta)$  on  $K$ ,
- (c)  $\bar{b}$  is antisymmetric on  $K$  where  $\bar{b}$  satisfies  $\bar{b}(x, u) < 0$ ,
- (d) the mapping  $\langle T(u), \eta(-, u) \rangle : K \rightarrow Y$  is  $\eta$ -semipreinvex on  $K$ ,
- (e) the mapping  $\theta(-, u) : K \rightarrow Y$  is  $\eta$ -semipreinvex on  $K$ ,
- (f) for each  $u \in K$ , the mapping

$$u \mapsto \langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, u)$$

is  $\eta$ -hemicontinuous for all  $x \in K$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in K$  solves (GPVVIP), i.e.,

$$\langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, u) \notin -intP$$

for all  $x \in K$ . Since  $T$  is  $(\bar{b}; \eta)$ -monotone associated with  $(\rho, \theta)$  on  $K$ , *i.e.*, there exists a map  $\gamma : K \times K \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma(x, u, t) = \eta(x, u)$  with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \gamma(x, u, t) = 0$  and a scalar valued map  $b \in B$  with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} b(x, u, t) = \bar{b}(x, u)$  such that for all  $x \in K$ ,

$$\bar{b}(u, x) [\langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, u)] + \bar{b}(x, u) [\langle T(x), \eta(u, x) \rangle + \rho\theta(u, x)] \notin \text{int}P.$$

Since  $\bar{b}$  is antisymmetric on  $K$  with  $\bar{b}(x, u) < 0$ , we get  $\bar{b}(u, x) > 0$ . Thus

$$\bar{b}(u, x) [\langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, u)] \notin -\text{int}P$$

for all  $x \in K$ , implying

$$\bar{b}(x, u) [\langle T(x), \eta(u, x) \rangle + \rho\theta(u, x)] \notin \text{int}P$$

for all  $x \in K$ . Since  $\bar{b}(x, u) < 0$ , we get

$$\langle T(x), \eta(u, x) \rangle + \rho\theta(u, x) \notin -\text{int}P$$

for all  $x \in K$ . Hence  $u$  solves  $(GDVVIP)$ . Conversely, let  $u \in K$  solves  $(GDVVIP)$ , *i.e.*,

$$\langle T(x), \eta(u, x) \rangle + \rho\theta(u, x) \notin -\text{int}P$$

for all  $x \in K$ . Since

$$\langle T(x), \eta(x, x) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, x) =_P 0$$

for all  $x \in K$ . Since  $K$  is  $\eta$ -semiinvex,  $x_t = u + t\gamma(x, u, t) \in K$  for all  $x, u \in K$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $x_t$  in the above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= _P \langle T(x_t), \eta(x_t, x_t) \rangle + \rho\theta(x_t, x_t) \\ &\leq _P t \langle T(x_t), \eta(u, x_t) \rangle + (1 - t) \langle T(x_t), \eta(x, x_t) \rangle \\ &\quad + \rho [t\theta(u, x_t) + (1 - t)\theta(x, x_t)] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in K$ . Since  $T$  and  $\theta$  are  $\eta$ -hemicontinuous, taking limit as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , we get

$$0 \leq_P \langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, u)$$

for all  $x \in K$ , implying

$$\langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, u) \notin -\text{int}P$$

for all  $x \in K$ . This showing  $u$  solves  $(GPVVIP)$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $K$  be a  $\eta$ -semiinvex set in  $X$ . The problems  $(GPVVIP_b)$  and  $(GDVVIP_b)$  are equivalent under the following conditions:*

$$(a) \bar{b}(x, x) [\langle T(x), \eta(x, x) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, x)] =_P 0 \text{ for all } x \in K,$$

- (b)  $T$  is  $(\bar{b}; \eta)$ -monotone associated with  $(\rho, \theta)$  on  $K$ ,  
(c) the mapping  $\bar{b}(-, u)\langle T(u), \eta(-, u) \rangle : K \rightarrow Y$  is  $(\bar{b}; \eta)$ -semipreinvex on  $K$ ,  
(d) the mapping  $\bar{b}(-, u)\theta(-, u) : K \rightarrow Y$  is  $(\bar{b}; \eta)$ -semipreinvex on  $K$ ,  
(e) for each  $u \in K$ , the mapping

$$u \mapsto \bar{b}(x, u) [\langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, u)]$$

is  $\eta$ -hemicontinuous for all  $x \in K$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in K$  solves  $(GPVVIP_b)$ , i.e.,

$$\bar{b}(u, x) [\langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, u)] \notin -intP$$

for all  $x \in K$ . Since  $T$  is  $(\bar{b}; \eta)$ -monotone associated with  $(\rho, \theta)$  on  $K$ , i.e., there exists a map  $\gamma : K \times K \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma(x, u, t) = \eta(x, u)$  with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t\gamma(x, u, t) = 0$  and a scalar valued map  $b \in B$  with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} b(x, u, t) = \bar{b}(x, u)$  such that for all  $x \in K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{b}(u, x) [\langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, u)] + \bar{b}(x, u) [\langle T(x), \eta(u, x) \rangle + \rho\theta(u, x)] \\ & \notin intP. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\bar{b}(u, x) [\langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, u)] \notin -intP$$

for all  $x \in K$ , we get

$$\bar{b}(x, u) [\langle T(x), \eta(u, x) \rangle + \rho\theta(u, x)] \notin intP$$

for all  $x \in K$ . Hence  $u$  solves  $(GDVVIP_b)$ . Conversely, let  $u \in K$  solves  $(GDVVIP_b)$ , i.e.,

$$\bar{b}(x, u) [\langle T(x), \eta(u, x) \rangle + \rho\theta(u, x)] \notin intP$$

for all  $x \in K$ . Since

$$\bar{b}(x, x) [\langle T(x), \eta(x, x) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, x)] =_P 0$$

for all  $x \in K$ . Since  $K$  is  $\eta$ -semiinvex,  $x_t = u + t\gamma(x, u, t) \in K$  for all  $x, u \in K$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $x_t$  in the above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & =_P \bar{b}(x_t, x_t) [\langle T(x_t), \eta(x_t, x_t) \rangle + \rho\theta(x_t, x_t)] \\ & \leq_P tb(x, u, t)\langle T(x_t), \eta(u, x_t) \rangle + (1-t)b(u, x, t)\langle T(x_t), \eta(x, x_t) \rangle \\ & \quad + \rho[tb(x, u, t)\theta(u, x_t) + (1-t)b(u, x, t)\theta(x, x_t)] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in K$ . Since  $T$  and  $\theta$  are  $\eta$ -hemicontinuous, taking limit as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , we get

$$0 \leq_P \bar{b}(u, x)\langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle + \rho\bar{b}(u, x)\theta(x, u)$$

for all  $x \in K$ , implying

$$\bar{b}(u, x) [\langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, u)] \notin -intP$$



for all  $x \in K$ . This showing  $u$  solves  $(GPVVIP_b)$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

4.  $T$ - $(\eta, \theta, \rho)$ - $B$ -INVEX FUNCTION AND ITS ASSOCIATED GENERALIZED VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES

Kaul and Kaur [12] called these functions  $\eta$ -convex and defined  $\eta$ -pseudocconvex and  $\eta$ -quasiconvex functions. As an extension, the concept of  $\rho$ - $(\eta, \theta)$ -invexity was introduced by Zalmai [16] which is generalization of invexity.

The class of convex functions have also been further extended to the class of  $B$ -invex functions by Bector *et al.* [1, 2]. A class of pseudo  $B$ -invex and quasi  $B$ -invex functions are studied by Bector *et al.* [2], which are generalization of pseudoinvex and quasiinvex functions respectively. Bector *et al.* [2] have introduced the sufficient optimality conditions and duality results for a nonlinear programming problem using  $B$ -invex functions. Behera, Nahak and Nanda [5] introduced the concept of generalized  $(\rho, \theta)$ - $\eta$ - $B$ -bexity and generalized  $(\rho, \theta)$ - $\eta$ - $B$ -preivexity to study the optimality of the problems.

Behera and Das [3] have defined the  $T$ - $\eta$ -invex functions to study the variational inequality problems arises in ordered topological vector spaces. Later the extensions of  $T$ - $\eta$ -invex functions are studied by Behera and Das [4], Das and Sahu [8], Das and Behera [7].

In this section, we define the concept of  $T$ - $(\eta, \theta, \rho)$ - $B$ -semiinvex function and study the existence theorems of generalized variational inequalities associated with it. Let  $F : K \rightarrow Y$  be any map. Let there exists a map  $\gamma : K \times K \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma(x, u, t) = \eta(x, u)$  with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \gamma(x, u, t) = 0$  and a scalar valued map  $b \in B$  with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} b(x, u, t) = \bar{b}(x, u)$  for all  $x, u \in K$ . The concept of  $T$ - $\eta$ - $\theta$ -invex function relaxed with  $(\rho, \bar{b})$  of  $F$  is defined as follows.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\theta : K \times K \rightarrow Y \setminus (-int P)$  be any map and  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ . The mapping  $F : K \rightarrow Y$  is said to be  $T$ - $\eta$ - $\theta$ -invex relaxed with  $(\rho, \bar{b})$  (in short;  $T$ - $(\eta, \theta, \rho)$ - $B$ -invex) at  $u \in K$  if for all  $x \in K$ , we have

$$\bar{b}(x, u) [F(x) - F(u)] - \langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle - \rho \theta(x, u) \notin -int P.$$

- (1)  $F$  is weak  $T$ - $\eta$ - $\theta$ -invex relaxed with  $(\rho, \bar{b})$  if  $\rho < 0$ ,
- (2)  $F$  is strong  $T$ - $\eta$ - $\theta$ -invex relaxed with  $(\rho, \bar{b})$  if  $\rho > 0$ .

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $\theta : K \times K \rightarrow Y \setminus (-int P)$  be any map and  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ . The mapping  $F : K \rightarrow Y$  is said to be  $T$ - $\eta$ - $\theta$ -invex relaxed with  $(\rho, \bar{b})$  (in short;  $T$ - $(\eta, \theta, \rho)$ - $\bar{b}$ -invex) on  $K$  if for all  $x, u \in K$ , we have

$$\bar{b}(x, u) [F(x) - F(u)] - \langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle - \rho \theta(x, u) \notin -int P.$$

**Remark 4.3.** If  $\bar{b} = 1$  and  $\rho \geq 0$ , then Definition 4.2 coincides with the definition of  $T$ - $\eta$ -invexity of  $F$  introduced and studied by [3].

**Remark 4.4.** If  $\rho \geq 0$ , then Definition 4.2 coincides with the definition of  $T$ - $\eta$ -invexity of  $F$  of order  $\lambda > 0$  where  $\lambda = 1/\bar{b}$  introduced and studied by [8].

**Proposition 4.5.** Let  $b \in B$  with  $\bar{b} > 0$ . Let  $F$  be  $T$ - $(\eta, \theta, \rho)$ - $\bar{b}$ -invex on  $K$ , then  $T$  is  $(\bar{b}; \eta)$ -monotone associated with  $(\rho, \theta)$  on  $K$ .

*Proof.*  $F$  is  $T$ - $(\eta, \theta, \rho)$ - $\bar{b}$ -invex on  $K$ , i.e., there exists a map  $\gamma : K \times K \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma(x, u, t) = \eta(x, u)$  with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \gamma(x, u, t) = 0$  and a scalar valued map  $b \in B$  with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} b(x, u, t) = \bar{b}(x, u)$  for all  $x, u \in K$ , and

$$\bar{b}(x, u) [F(x) - F(u)] - \langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle - \rho\theta(x, u) \notin -intP,$$

i.e.,

$$F(x) - F(u) - \frac{\langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, u)}{\bar{b}(x, u)} \notin -intP.$$

Interchanging  $x$  and  $u$  in the above equation, we get

$$F(u) - F(x) - \frac{\langle T(x), \eta(u, x) \rangle + \rho\theta(u, x)}{\bar{b}(u, x)} \notin -intP.$$

Adding the above two equations, we obtain

$$\frac{\langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, u)}{\bar{b}(x, u)} + \frac{\langle T(x), \eta(u, x) \rangle + \rho\theta(u, x)}{\bar{b}(u, x)} \notin intP$$

for all  $x, u \in K$ . Since  $\bar{b}(x, u)\bar{b}(u, x) > 0$ , we have

$$\bar{b}(u, x) [\langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, u)] + \bar{b}(x, u) [\langle T(x), \eta(u, x) \rangle + \rho\theta(u, x)] \notin intP$$

for all  $x, u \in K$ . Hence  $T$  is  $(\bar{b}; \eta)$ -monotone associated with  $(\rho, \theta)$  on  $K$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $K$  be a  $\eta$ -semiinvex set in  $X$ . The problems  $(GPVVIP_b)$  and  $(GDVVIP_b)$  are equivalent under the following conditions:

- (a)  $\bar{b}(x, x) [\langle T(x), \eta(x, x) \rangle + \rho\theta(x, x)] =_P 0$  for all  $x \in K$ ,
- (b)  $F$  is  $T$ - $(\eta, \theta, \rho)$ - $\bar{b}$ -invex on  $K$ ,
- (c) the mapping  $\bar{b}(-, u) \langle T(u), \eta(-, u) \rangle : K \rightarrow Y$  is  $(\bar{b}; \eta)$ -semipreinvex on  $K$ ,
- (d) the mapping  $\bar{b}(-, u) \theta(-, u) : K \rightarrow Y$  is  $(\bar{b}; \eta)$ -semipreinvex on  $K$ ,

(e) for each  $u \in K$ , the mapping

$$u \mapsto \bar{b}(x, u) [\langle T(u), \eta(x, u) \rangle + \rho \theta(x, u)]$$

is  $\eta$ -hemicontinuous for all  $x \in K$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.5,  $F$  is  $T$ - $(\eta, \theta, \rho)$ - $\bar{b}$ -invex on  $K$ , implying  $T$  is  $(\bar{b}; \eta)$ -monotone associated with  $(\rho, \theta)$  on  $K$  which is a condition in Theorem 3.3. Since all the conditions of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied, the problems  $(GPVVIP_b)$  and  $(GDVVIP_b)$  are equivalent. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C.R. Bector and C. Singh, *B-Vex Functions*, J. Optim. Theory Appl., **71** (1991), 237–257.
- [2] C.R. Bector, S.K. Suneja and C.S. Lalitha, *Generalized B-Vex Functions and Generalized B-Vex Programming*, J. Optim. Theory Appl., **76**(3) (1993), 561–576.
- [3] A. Behera and P.K. Das, *Variational Inequality Problems in H-spaces*, Int. Jour. Math. Math. Sci., **2006**, article 78545, 1–18.
- [4] A. Behera and P.K. Das, *Variational inequality problems in H-space Associated with T- $\eta$ -pseudoinvex function*, Nonl. Func. Anal. Appl., **14**(2) (2009), 233–244.
- [5] N. Behera, C. Nahak and S. Nanda, *Generalized  $(\rho, \theta)$ - $\eta$ -B-Convexity and Generalized  $(\rho, \theta)$ - $\eta$ -B-Preinvexity*, J. Math. Ineq. Appl., **10**(2) (2007), 437–446.
- [6] B.D. Craven, *Duality for generalized convex fractional programs in generalized concavity in optimization and economics*, (eds. S. Schaible and W. T. Ziemba), Academic Press, New Work (1981), 473–489.
- [7] P.K. Das and A. Behera, *An application of coincidence lifting index theorem in (GHVIP) and the variable step iterative method for  $(T_\eta; \xi_\theta)$ -invex function*, Advances in Nonlinear Variational Inequalities, **14**(1) (2011), 73–94.
- [8] P.K. Das and A.K. Sahu, *The Generalized Differential Dominated Vector Complementarity Problem of order  $\lambda$  (GDDVCP;  $\lambda$ ) and Generalized F-Minty's Lemma*, Int. Jour. Appl. Math. Comp., **3**(1) (2011), 35–59.
- [9] P.K. Das and B. Kodamasingh, *Generalized Nonlinear F-Variational inequality Problems and Equivalence Theorem*, Advances in Nonlinear Variational Inequalities, **17**(1) (2013), 1–22.
- [10] F. Giannessi, *Theorems of alternative, quadratic programs and complementarity problems*, In: Cottle, R.W., Giannessi, F., Lions, J.L. (eds.) Variational inequalities and complementarity problems, pp. 151-186. John Wiley and Sons, New York (1980).
- [11] M.A. Hanson, *On Sufficiency of the Kuhn-Tucker Conditions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **80** (1981), 545–550.
- [12] R.N. Kaul and S. Kaur, *Optimality Criteria in Nonlinear Programming Involving Nonconvex Functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **105** (1985), 104–112.
- [13] G. Stampachchia, *Formes bilineaires coercivites sur les ensembles convexes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **258**, (1964), 4413–4416.
- [14] T. Weir and B. Mond, *Pre-invex functions in multiple objective optimization*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **136** (1988), 29–38.
- [15] X.Q. Yang and G.Y. Chen, *A class of nonconvex functions and pre-variational inequalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **169** (1992), 359–373.

- [16] G.J. Zalmi, *Sufficiency Criteria and duality in nonlinear program involving n-set functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **149** (1990), 323–338.