



## GENERALIZED FORM OF TRIPLED FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN PARTIALLY ORDERED METRIC SPACES

Deepa Karichery<sup>1</sup> and Shaini Pulickakunnel<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, School of Physical Sciences  
Central University of Kerala, India  
e-mail: [deepakarichery@gmail.com](mailto:deepakarichery@gmail.com)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, School of Physical Sciences  
Central University of Kerala, India  
e-mail: [shainipv@gmail.com](mailto:shainipv@gmail.com)

**Abstract.** In this paper, we introduce a new concept known as FGH-tripled fixed point and prove existence and uniqueness of fixed points in partially ordered complete metric spaces. This concept is a generalization of tripled fixed point and an extension of FG-coupled fixed point. Our results extends and generalizes several results in literature particularly the results of Berinde and Borcut[Vasile Berinde, Marine Borcut, Tripled fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 74 (2011), 4889-4897].

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The new trends in fixed point theory is to find multidimensional fixed point results. Guo and Lakshmikantham [11] initiated this idea through coupled fixed points in cone metric spaces. Later in 2006 Gnana Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [10] defined mixed monotone property and proved existence and uniqueness theorems for coupled fixed points in partially ordered metric spaces. Also as an application they discussed the existence of a unique solution to a

---

<sup>0</sup>Received September 29, 2016. Revised January 16, 2017.

<sup>0</sup>2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54F05, 47H09.

<sup>0</sup>Keywords: FGH-tripled fixed point, tripled fixed point, contractive mappings, partially ordered metric spaces.

periodic boundary value problem associated with a first order ordinary differential equation. From this background several authors have studied multi-dimensional fixed points and established numerous fixed point theorems. In [6]-[8] Berinde and Borcut extended coupled fixed point results to tripled fixed point results using mixed monotone property and thereafter a lots of studies have taken place in this field [1]-[5]. Similarly Karapinar and Loung [12] defined quadrupled fixed points and they proved some fixed point results in this area. As an extension of coupled fixed points, in a natural way Samet and Vetro [14] introduced the concept of fixed points of  $N$ -order. Instead of using mixed monotone property they defined  $F$ -invariant set and using this concept proved fixed point theorems of  $N$ -order.

In the above mentioned multidimensional fixed points the authors have considered fixed points on the finite Cartesian product of the space  $X$  with itself. But recently Prajisha and Shaini[13] introduced FG-coupled fixed points in partially ordered metric spaces, where they used the Cartesian product of different spaces as the ambient space. Using this concept Deepa and Shaini[9] proved existence and uniqueness theorems for FG-coupled fixed points on contractive and generalized quasi-contractive mappings.

In this paper, we define a new concept known as FGH-tripled fixed point which is a generalization of tripled fixed point and an extension of FG-coupled fixed point. Now we recall some basic definitions:

**Definition 1.1.** ([10]) Let  $X$  be a partially ordered metric space and  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ . An element  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  is said to be a coupled fixed point of  $F$  if  $F(x, y) = x$  and  $F(y, x) = y$ .

**Definition 1.2.** ([6]) Let  $X$  be a partially ordered metric space. An element  $(x, y, z) \in X \times X \times X$  is said to be tripled fixed point of  $F : X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$  if  $F(x, y, z) = x$ ,  $F(y, x, y) = y$  and  $F(z, y, x) = z$ .

**Definition 1.3.** ([13]) Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two partially ordered metric spaces,  $F : X \times Y \rightarrow X$  and  $G : Y \times X \rightarrow Y$  be two mappings. An element  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$  is said to be an FG-coupled fixed point if  $F(x, y) = x$  and  $G(y, x) = y$ .

In next section, we define FGH-tripled fixed point with an example. Also we prove the existence and uniqueness of FGH-tripled fixed point theorems for continuous and discontinuous mappings, which gives extension of the following theorems in [13].

**Theorem 1.4.** ([13, Theorem 2.4]) *Let  $(X, d_X, \leq_{P_1})$  and  $(Y, d_Y, \leq_{P_2})$  be two partially ordered complete metric spaces and  $F : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ ,  $G : Y \times X \rightarrow Y$  be two continuous mappings having the mixed monotone property. Assume that there exist  $k, l \in [0, 1]; k + l < 1$  with*

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(F(x, y), F(u, v)) &\leq k d_X(x, u) + l d_Y(y, v), \quad \forall x \geq_{P_1} u, y \leq_{P_2} v, \\ d_Y(G(y, x), G(v, u)) &\leq k d_Y(y, v) + l d_X(x, u), \quad \forall x \leq_{P_1} u, y \geq_{P_2} v. \end{aligned}$$

*If there exist  $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$  such that  $x_0 \leq_{P_1} F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $y_0 \geq_{P_2} G(y_0, x_0)$ , then there exist  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$  such that  $x = F(x, y)$  and  $y = G(y, x)$ .*

**Theorem 1.5.** ([13, Theorem 2.5]) *Let  $(X, d_X, \leq_{P_1})$  and  $(Y, d_Y, \leq_{P_2})$  be two partially ordered complete metric spaces and  $F : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ ,  $G : Y \times X \rightarrow Y$  be two continuous mappings having the mixed monotone property. For every  $(x, y), (x_1, y_1) \in X \times Y$  there exist a  $(z_1, z_2) \in X \times Y$  that is comparable to both  $(x, y)$  and  $(x_1, y_1)$ . Assume that there exist  $k, l \in [0, 1]; k + l < 1$  with*

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(F(x, y), F(u, v)) &\leq k d_X(x, u) + l d_Y(y, v), \quad \forall x \geq_{P_1} u, y \leq_{P_2} v, \\ d_Y(G(y, x), G(v, u)) &\leq k d_Y(y, v) + l d_X(x, u), \quad \forall x \leq_{P_1} u, y \geq_{P_2} v. \end{aligned}$$

*If there exist  $x_0 \leq_{P_1} F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $y_0 \geq_{P_2} G(y_0, x_0)$ , then there exist a unique FG- coupled fixed point.*

**Theorem 1.6.** ([13, Theorem 2.6]) *Let  $(X, d_X, \leq_{P_1})$  and  $(Y, d_Y, \leq_{P_2})$  be two partially ordered complete metric spaces. Assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  having the following properties*

- (i) *If a non-decreasing sequence  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$  then  $x_n \leq_{P_1} x, \forall n$ .*
- (ii) *If a non-increasing sequence  $\{y_n\} \rightarrow y$  then  $y \leq_{P_2} y_n, \forall n$ .*

*Let  $F : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ ,  $G : Y \times X \rightarrow Y$  be two mappings satisfying the mixed monotone property. Also assume that there exist  $k, l \in [0, 1)$  such that  $k+l < 1$  with*

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(F(x, y), F(u, v)) &\leq k d_X(x, u) + l d_Y(y, v), \quad \forall x \geq_{P_1} u, y \leq_{P_2} v, \\ d_Y(G(y, x), G(v, u)) &\leq k d_Y(y, v) + l d_X(x, u), \quad \forall x \leq_{P_1} u, y \geq_{P_2} v. \end{aligned}$$

*If there exist  $x_0 \leq_{P_1} F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $y_0 \geq_{P_2} G(y_0, x_0)$ , then there exist  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$  such that  $x = F(x, y)$  and  $y = G(y, x)$ .*

## 2. FGH-TRIPLED FIXED POINT THEOREMS

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(X, \leq_{P_1})$ ,  $(Y, \leq_{P_2})$ , and  $(Z, \leq_{P_3})$  be three partially ordered sets and  $F : X \times Y \times Z \rightarrow X$ ,  $G : Y \times X \times Y \rightarrow Y$  and  $H : Z \times Y \times X \rightarrow Z$  be three mappings. An element  $(x, y, z) \in X \times Y \times Z$  is said to be an FGH-tripled fixed point if  $F(x, y, z) = x, G(y, x, y) = y$ , and  $H(z, y, x) = z$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $(X, \leq_{P_1})$ ,  $(Y, \leq_{P_2})$ , and  $(Z, \leq_{P_3})$  be three partially ordered sets and  $F : X \times Y \times Z \rightarrow X$ ,  $G : Y \times X \times Y \rightarrow Y$  and  $H : Z \times Y \times X \rightarrow Z$ . We say that  $F, G$  and  $H$  have mixed monotone property if for any  $x \in X$ ,  $y, y' \in Y$  and  $z \in Z$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_1, x_2 \in X, \quad x_1 \leq_{P_1} x_2 &\Rightarrow F(x_1, y, z) \leq_{P_1} F(x_2, y, z), \\ &G(y, x_1, y') \geq_{P_2} G(y, x_2, y'), \\ &H(z, y, x_1) \leq_{P_3} H(z, y, x_2), \\ y_1, y_2 \in Y, \quad y_1 \leq_{P_2} y_2 &\Rightarrow F(x, y_1, z) \geq_{P_1} F(x, y_2, z), \\ &G(y_1, x, y') \leq_{P_2} G(y_2, x, y'), \\ &G(y, x, y_1) \leq_{P_2} G(y, x, y_2), \\ &H(z, y_1, x) \geq_{P_3} H(z, y_2, x), \\ z_1, z_2 \in Z, \quad z_1 \leq_{P_3} z_2 &\Rightarrow F(x, y, z_1) \leq_{P_1} F(x, y, z_2), \\ &H(z_1, y, x) \leq_{P_3} H(z_2, y, x). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.3.** Partial order  $\leq$  in  $X \times Y \times Z$  is defined by  $(x, y, z) \leq (u, v, w)$  implies that  $x \leq_{P_1} u$ ,  $y \geq_{P_2} v$  and  $z \leq_{P_3} w$ ; where  $\leq_{P_1}$ ,  $\leq_{P_2}$ ,  $\leq_{P_3}$  are partial orders in  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  respectively.

**Example 2.4.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$ ,  $Y = [1, 2]$  and  $Z = [2, 4]$  with usual metric and usual ordering. Define  $F : X \times Y \times Z \rightarrow X$  by  $F(x, y, z) = \frac{x + y + z + 1}{12}$ ,  $G : Y \times X \times Y \rightarrow Y$  by  $G(y, x, y) = \frac{x + y + 1}{2}$  and  $H : Z \times Y \times X \rightarrow Z$  by  $H(z, y, x) = \frac{z + y + x + 1}{2}$  for every  $(x, y, z) \in X \times Y \times Z$ . Then  $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, 3\right)$  is a FGH-tripled fixed point.

Throughout this paper we use the following notations to prove our results. For  $n \geq 1$  define

$$\begin{aligned} F^{n+1}(x, y, z) &= F(F^n(x, y, z), G^n(y, x, y), H^n(z, y, x)), \\ G^{n+1}(y, x, y) &= G(G^n(y, x, y), F^n(x, y, z), G^n(y, x, y)), \\ H^{n+1}(z, y, x) &= H(H^n(z, y, x), G^n(y, x, y), F^n(x, y, z)), \end{aligned}$$

for every  $(x, y, z) \in X \times Y \times Z$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $(X, \leq_{P_1}, d_X)$ ,  $(Y, \leq_{P_2}, d_Y)$  and  $(Z, \leq_{P_3}, d_Z)$  be three partially ordered complete metric spaces. Let  $F : X \times Y \times Z \rightarrow X$ ,  $G : Y \times X \times Y \rightarrow Y$  and  $H : Z \times Y \times X \rightarrow Z$  be three continuous functions having the mixed

monotone property. Assume that there exist constants  $j, k, l \in [0, 1)$  with  $j + k + l < 1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) \\ \leq j d_X(x, u) + k d_Y(y, v) + l d_Z(z, w); \quad \forall x \geq_{P_1} u, y \leq_{P_2} v, z \geq_{P_3} w, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_Y(G(y, x, y'), G(v, u, v')) \\ \leq j d_Y(y, v) + k d_X(x, u) + l d_Y(y', v'); \quad \forall y \geq_{P_2} v, x \leq_{P_1} u, y' \geq_{P_2} v', \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_Z(H(z, y, x), H(w, v, u)) \\ \leq j d_Z(z, w) + k d_Y(y, v) + l d_X(x, u); \quad \forall x \geq_{P_1} u, y \leq_{P_2} v, z \geq_{P_3} w. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

If there exist  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $y_0 \in Y$  and  $z_0 \in Z$  such that  $x_0 \leq_{P_1} F(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ ,  $y_0 \geq_{P_2} G(y_0, x_0, y_0)$  and  $z_0 \leq_{P_3} H(z_0, y_0, x_0)$ . Then there exist  $(x, y, z) \in X \times Y \times Z$  such that  $x = F(x, y, z)$ ,  $y = G(y, x, y)$  and  $z = H(z, y, x)$ .

*Proof.* We have  $x_0 \leq_{P_1} F(x_0, y_0, z_0) = x_1$  (say),  $y_0 \geq_{P_2} G(y_0, x_0, y_0) = y_1$  (say) and  $z_0 \leq_{P_3} H(z_0, y_0, x_0) = z_1$  (say).

For  $n \geq 1$ , denote

$$x_n = F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}), \quad y_n = G(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})$$

and

$$z_n = H(z_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}).$$

Then we get

$$F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0, z_0) = x_{n+1}, \quad G^{n+1}(y_0, x_0, y_0) = y_{n+1}$$

and

$$H^{n+1}(z_0, y_0, x_0) = z_{n+1}.$$

Due to the mixed monotone property, it is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 = F(x_1, y_1, z_1) &\geq_{P_1} F(x_0, y_1, z_1) \geq_{P_1} F(x_0, y_0, z_1) \geq_{P_1} F(x_0, y_0, z_0) = x_1, \\ y_2 = G(y_1, x_1, y_1) &\leq_{P_2} G(y_0, x_1, y_0) \leq_{P_2} G(y_0, x_0, y_0) = y_1, \\ z_2 = H(z_1, y_1, x_1) &\geq_{P_3} H(z_0, y_1, x_1) \geq_{P_3} H(z_0, y_0, x_1) \geq_{P_3} H(z_0, y_0, x_0) = z_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get three sequences as follows

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 \leq_{P_1} x_1 \leq_{P_1} x_2 \leq_{P_1} \cdots \leq_{P_1} x_n \leq_{P_1} \cdots, \\ y_0 \geq_{P_2} y_1 \geq_{P_2} y_2 \geq_{P_2} \cdots \geq_{P_2} y_n \geq_{P_2} \cdots, \\ z_0 \leq_{P_3} z_1 \leq_{P_3} z_2 \leq_{P_3} \cdots \leq_{P_3} z_n \leq_{P_3} \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$D_n^x = d_X(x_{n-1}, x_n), \quad D_n^y = d_Y(y_{n-1}, y_n), \quad D_n^z = d_Z(z_{n-1}, z_n).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_2^x &= d_X(x_1, x_2) \\
 &= d_X(F(x_0, y_0, z_0), F(x_1, y_1, z_1)) \\
 &\leq j d_X(x_0, x_1) + k d_Y(y_0, y_1) + l d_Z(z_0, z_1) \\
 &= j D_1^x + k D_1^y + l D_1^z.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_2^y &= d_Y(y_1, y_2) \\
 &= d_Y(G(y_0, x_0, y_0), G(y_1, x_1, y_1)) \\
 &\leq (j + l) d_Y(y_0, y_1) + k d_X(x_0, x_1) \\
 &= (j + l) D_1^y + k D_1^x
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_2^z &= d_Z(z_1, z_2) \\
 &= d_Z(H(z_0, y_0, x_0), H(z_1, y_1, x_1)) \\
 &\leq j d_Z(z_0, z_1) + k d_Y(y_0, y_1) + l d_X(x_0, x_1) \\
 &= j D_1^z + k D_1^y + l D_1^x.
 \end{aligned}$$

For the simplicity we will do the calculations by matrix method. Considering the coefficients of  $D_1^x$ ,  $D_1^y$ ,  $D_1^z$  from the above inequalities we construct A.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} j & k & l \\ k & j+l & 0 \\ l & k & j \end{pmatrix} \text{ denoted by } \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ d_1 & e_1 & f_1 \\ g_1 & h_1 & h_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $a_1 + b_1 + c_1 = d_1 + e_1 + f_1 = g_1 + h_1 + h_1 = j + k + l < 1$ . Therefore

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_2^x \\ D_2^y \\ D_2^z \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ d_1 & e_1 & f_1 \\ g_1 & h_1 & h_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_1^x \\ D_1^y \\ D_1^z \end{pmatrix}.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_3^x &= d_X(x_2, x_3) \\
 &= d_X(F(x_1, y_1, z_1), F(x_2, y_2, z_2)) \\
 &\leq j d_X(x_1, x_2) + k d_Y(y_1, y_2) + l d_Z(z_1, z_2) \\
 &= j D_2^x + k D_2^y + l D_2^z \\
 &\leq j [j D_1^x + k D_1^y + l D_1^z] + k [(j + l) D_1^y + k D_1^x] \\
 &\quad + l [j D_1^z + k D_1^y + l D_1^x] \\
 &= (j^2 + k^2 + l^2) D_1^x + (2jk + 2kl) D_1^y + 2jl D_1^z.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_3^y &= d_Y(y_2, y_3) \\
 &= d_Y(G(y_1, x_1, y_1), G(y_2, x_2, y_2)) \\
 &\leq (j+l) d_Y(y_1, y_2) + k d_X(x_1, x_2) \\
 &= (j+l) D_2^y + k D_2^x \\
 &\leq (j+l) [(j+l) D_1^y + k D_1^x] + k [j D_1^x + k D_1^y + l D_1^z] \\
 &= (2jk + kl) D_1^x + [(j+l)^2 + k^2] D_1^y + kl D_1^z,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_3^z &= d_Z(z_2, z_3) \\
 &= d_Z(H(z_1, y_1, x_1), H(z_2, y_2, x_2)) \\
 &\leq j d_Z(z_1, z_2) + k d_Y(y_1, y_2) + l d_X(x_1, x_2) \\
 &= j D_2^z + k D_2^y + l D_2^x \\
 &\leq j [j D_1^z + k D_1^y + l D_1^x] + k [(j+l) D_1^y + k D_1^x] \\
 &\quad + l [j D_1^x + k D_1^y + l D_1^z] \\
 &= (jl + jl + k^2) D_1^x + [jk + k(j+l) + kl] D_1^y + (j^2 + l^2) D_1^z \\
 &= (2jl + k^2) D_1^x + (2jk + 2kl) D_1^y + (j^2 + l^2) D_1^z.
 \end{aligned}$$

Considering the coefficients of  $D_1^x$ ,  $D_1^y$ ,  $D_1^z$  above inequalities we get  $A^2$ .

$$A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} j^2 + k^2 + l^2 & 2jk + 2kl & 2jl \\ 2jk + kl & (j+l)^2 + k^2 & kl \\ 2jl + k^2 & 2jk + 2kl & j^2 + l^2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ denoted by } \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \\ d_2 & e_2 & f_2 \\ g_2 & b_2 & h_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $a_2 + b_2 + c_2 = d_2 + e_2 + f_2 = g_2 + b_2 + h_2 = (j+k+l)^2 < j+k+l < 1$ .  
Therefore

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_3^x \\ D_3^y \\ D_3^z \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \\ d_2 & e_2 & f_2 \\ g_2 & b_2 & h_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_1^x \\ D_1^y \\ D_1^z \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we have to prove by induction that

$$A^n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n & c_n \\ d_n & e_n & f_n \\ g_n & b_n & h_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $a_n + b_n + c_n = d_n + e_n + f_n = g_n + b_n + h_n = (j+k+l)^n < j+k+l < 1$ .  
We already have the result true for  $n = 1$ . Now we will assume that the result is true upto  $n = m$ .

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} A^{m+1} &= A^m \cdot A = \begin{pmatrix} a_m & b_m & c_m \\ d_m & e_m & f_m \\ g_m & b_m & h_m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j & k & l \\ k & j+l & 0 \\ l & k & j \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_m j + b_m k + c_m l & a_m k + b_m(j+l) + c_m k & a_m l + c_m j \\ d_m j + e_m k + f_m l & d_m k + e_m(j+l) + f_m k & d_m l + f_m j \\ g_m j + b_m k + h_m l & g_m k + b_m(j+l) + h_m k & g_m l + h_m j \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &a_{m+1} + b_{m+1} + c_{m+1} \\ &= a_m j + b_m k + c_m l + a_m k + b_m(j+l) + c_m k + a_m l + c_m j \\ &= a_m(j+k+l) + b_m(k+j+l) + c_m(l+k+j) \\ &= (a_m + b_m + c_m)(j+k+l) \\ &= (j+k+l)^{m+1} < j+k+l < 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &d_{m+1} + e_{m+1} + f_{m+1} \\ &= d_m j + e_m k + f_m l + d_m k + e_m(j+l) + f_m k + d_m l + f_m j \\ &= d_m(j+k+l) + e_m(k+j+l) + f_m(l+k+j) \\ &= (d_m + e_m + f_m)(j+k+l) \\ &= (j+k+l)^{m+1} < j+k+l < 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &g_{m+1} + b_{m+1} + h_{m+1} \\ &= g_m j + b_m k + h_m l + g_m k + b_m(j+l) + h_m k + g_m l + h_m j \\ &= g_m(j+k+l) + b_m(k+j+l) + h_m(l+k+j) \\ &= (g_m + b_m + h_m)(k+j+l) \\ &= (j+k+l)^{m+1} < j+k+l < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result is true for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, we will get

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_{n+1}^x \\ D_{n+1}^y \\ D_{n+1}^z \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n & c_n \\ d_n & e_n & f_n \\ g_n & b_n & h_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_1^x \\ D_1^y \\ D_1^z \end{pmatrix},$$

which implies that

$$D_{n+1}^x \leq a_n D_1^x + b_n D_1^y + c_n D_1^z, \quad (2.4)$$

$$D_{n+1}^y \leq d_n D_1^x + e_n D_1^y + f_n D_1^z, \quad (2.5)$$

$$D_{n+1}^z \leq g_n D_1^x + b_n D_1^y + h_n D_1^z. \quad (2.6)$$



Now we have to prove that  $\{F^n(x_0, y_0, z_0)\}$ ,  $\{G^n(y_0, x_0, y_0)\}$  and  $\{H^n(z_0, y_0, x_0)\}$  are Cauchy sequences in  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  respectively. For  $m > n$ , using (2.4) we will get

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(x_n, x_m) &\leq d_X(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d_X(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d_X(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &= D_{n+1}^x + D_{n+2}^x + \dots + D_m^x \\ &\leq a_n D_1^x + b_n D_1^y + c_n D_1^z + a_{n+1} D_1^x + b_{n+1} D_1^y + c_{n+1} D_1^z \\ &\quad + \dots + a_{m-1} D_1^x + b_{m-1} D_1^y + c_{m-1} D_1^z \\ &= (a_n + a_{n+1} + \dots + a_{m-1}) D_1^x + (b_n + b_{n+1} + \dots + b_{m-1}) D_1^y \\ &\quad + (c_n + c_{n+1} + \dots + c_{m-1}) D_1^z \\ &\leq (\alpha^n + \alpha^{n+1} + \dots + \alpha^{m-1}) D_1^x + (\alpha^n + \alpha^{n+1} + \dots + \alpha^{m-1}) D_1^y \\ &\quad + (\alpha^n + \alpha^{n+1} + \dots + \alpha^{m-1}) D_1^z \quad \text{where } \alpha = j + k + l < 1 \\ &= (\alpha^n + \alpha^{n+1} + \dots + \alpha^{m-1}) (D_1^x + D_1^y + D_1^z) \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha^n}{1 - \alpha} (D_1^x + D_1^y + D_1^z) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\{F^n(x_0, y_0, z_0)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Similarly using (2.5) and (2.6) we can prove that  $\{G^n(y_0, x_0, y_0)\}$  and  $\{H^n(z_0, y_0, x_0)\}$  are Cauchy sequences in  $Y$  and  $Z$  respectively. Since  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  are complete metric spaces, there exist  $(x, y, z) \in X \times Y \times Z$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(x_0, y_0, z_0) = x$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G^n(y_0, x_0, y_0) = y$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H^n(z_0, y_0, x_0) = z$ .

Now we have to prove the existence of FGH-tripled fixed points. Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} &d_X(F(x, y, z), x) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_X(F(F^n(x_0, y_0, z_0), G^n(y_0, x_0, y_0), H^n(z_0, y_0, x_0)), F^n(x_0, y_0, z_0)) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_X(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0, z_0), F^n(x_0, y_0, z_0)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $F(x, y, z) = x$ . Similarly we can prove

$$G(y, x, y) = y \quad \text{and} \quad H(z, y, x) = z.$$

□

Setting  $X = Y = Z$  and  $F = G = H$  in Theorem 2.5 we get the following theorem of Berinde and Borcut as a corollary to our result.

**Corollary 2.6.** ([6, Theorem 7]) *Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a metric  $d$  on  $X$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space.*

Let  $F : X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous mapping having the mixed monotone property on  $X$ . Assume that there exist constants  $j, k, l \in [0, 1)$  with  $j+k+l < 1$  for which

$$d(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) \leq j d(x, u) + k d(y, v) + l d(z, w);$$

for all  $x \geq u, y \leq v, z \geq w$ . If there exist  $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$  such that  $x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0, z_0), y_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0, y_0)$  and  $z_0 \leq F(z_0, y_0, x_0)$ , then there exist  $x, y, z \in X$  such that  $x = F(x, y, z), y = F(y, x, y)$  and  $z = F(z, y, x)$ .

In order to prove the next theorem we define a metric  $d$  on the Cartesian product  $X \times Y \times Z$  as follows:

$$d((x, y, z), (u, v, w)) = d_X(x, u) + d_Y(y, v) + d_Z(z, w)$$

for every  $(x, y, z), (u, v, w) \in X \times Y \times Z$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $(X, \leq_{P_1}, d_X), (Y, \leq_{P_2}, d_Y)$  and  $(Z, \leq_{P_3}, d_Z)$  be three partially ordered complete metric spaces. Let  $F : X \times Y \times Z \rightarrow X, G : Y \times X \times Y \rightarrow Y$  and  $H : Z \times Y \times X \rightarrow Z$  be three continuous functions having the mixed monotone property. Assume that there exist constants  $j, k, l \in [0, 1)$  with  $j+k+l < 1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & d_X(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) \\ & \leq j d_X(x, u) + k d_Y(y, v) + l d_Z(z, w); \quad \forall x \geq_{P_1} u, y \leq_{P_2} v, z \geq_{P_3} w, \\ & d_Y(G(y, x, y'), G(v, u, v')) \\ & \leq j d_Y(y, v) + k d_X(x, u) + l d_Y(y', v'); \quad \forall y \geq_{P_2} v, x \leq_{P_1} u, y' \geq_{P_2} v', \\ & d_Z(H(z, y, x), H(w, v, u)) \\ & \leq j d_Z(z, w) + k d_Y(y, v) + l d_X(x, u); \quad \forall x \geq_{P_1} u, y \leq_{P_2} v, z \geq_{P_3} w. \end{aligned}$$

If there exist  $(x_0, y_0, z_0) \in X \times Y \times Z$  such that  $x_0 \leq_{P_1} F(x_0, y_0, z_0), y_0 \geq_{P_2} G(y_0, x_0, y_0)$  and  $z_0 \leq_{P_3} H(z_0, y_0, x_0)$ , and for every  $(x, y, z), (x^*, y^*, z^*) \in X \times Y \times Z$  there exist a  $(u, v, w) \in X \times Y \times Z$  that is comparable to both  $(x, y, z)$  and  $(x^*, y^*, z^*)$ , then there exist a unique FGH-tripled fixed point.

*Proof.* Following the proof of Theorem 2.5 we get existence of FGH-tripled fixed point. If  $(x^*, y^*, z^*) \in X \times Y \times Z$  is another FGH-tripled fixed point, then we have to show that  $d((x, y, z), (x^*, y^*, z^*)) = 0$ , where

$$x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(x_0, y_0, z_0), \quad y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G^n(y_0, x_0, y_0)$$

and

$$z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H^n(z_0, y_0, x_0).$$

Consider two cases.

**Case 1:** If  $(x, y, z)$  is comparable to  $(x^*, y^*, z^*)$  with respect to the ordering in  $X \times Y \times Z$ , then for every  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $(F^n(x, y, z), G^n(y, x, y), H^n(z, y, x)) = (x, y, z)$  is comparable to

$$(F^n(x^*, y^*, z^*), G^n(y^*, x^*, y^*), H^n(z^*, y^*, x^*)) = (x^*, y^*, z^*).$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} d((x, y, z), (x^*, y^*, z^*)) &= d_X(x, x^*) + d_Y(y, y^*) + d_Z(z, z^*) \\ &= d_X(F^n(x, y, z), F^n(x^*, y^*, z^*)) + d_Y(G^n(y, x, y), G^n(y^*, x^*, y^*)) \\ &\quad + d_Z(H^n(z, y, x), H^n(z^*, y^*, x^*)) \\ &= D_n^x + D_n^y + D_n^z, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_n^x &= d_X(F^n(x, y, z), F^n(x^*, y^*, z^*)), \\ D_n^y &= d_Y(G^n(y, x, y), G^n(y^*, x^*, y^*)), \\ D_n^z &= d_Z(H^n(z, y, x), H^n(z^*, y^*, x^*)). \end{aligned}$$

For  $n = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} D_1^x &= d_X(F(x, y, z), F(x^*, y^*, z^*)) \leq j d_X(x, x^*) + k d_Y(y, y^*) + l d_Z(z, z^*), \\ D_1^y &= d_Y(G(y, x, y), G(y^*, x^*, y^*)) \leq (j + l) d_Y(y, y^*) + k d_X(x, x^*), \\ D_1^z &= d_Z(H(z, y, x), H(z^*, y^*, x^*)) \leq j d_Z(z, z^*) + k d_Y(y, y^*) + l d_X(x, x^*). \end{aligned}$$

For the simplicity of calculations we use matrix method. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} j & k & l \\ k & j + l & 0 \\ l & k & j \end{pmatrix} \text{ and denote it by } \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ d_1 & e_1 & f_1 \\ g_1 & h_1 & h_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $a_1 + b_1 + c_1 = d_1 + e_1 + f_1 = g_1 + h_1 + h_1 = j + k + l < 1$ . Therefore

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_1^x \\ D_1^y \\ D_1^z \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} j & k & l \\ k & j + l & 0 \\ l & k & j \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_1^x \\ D_1^y \\ D_1^z \end{pmatrix}.$$

For  $n = 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} D_2^x &= d_X(F^2(x, y, z), F^2(x^*, y^*, z^*)) \\ &= d_X((F(F(x, y, z), G(y, x, y), H(z, y, x)), \\ &\quad F(F(x^*, y^*, z^*), G(y^*, x^*, y^*), H(z^*, y^*, x^*))) \\ &\leq j d_X(F(x, y, z), F(x^*, y^*, z^*)) + k d_Y(G(y, x, y), G(y^*, x^*, y^*)) \\ &\quad + l d_Z(H(z, y, x), H(z^*, y^*, x^*)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq j[j d_X(x, x^*) + k d_Y(y, y^*) + l d_Z(z, z^*)] \\
&\quad + k [(j+l) d_Y(y, y^*) + k d_X(x, x^*)] \\
&\quad + l [j d_Z(z, z^*) + k d_Y(y, y^*) + l d_X(x, x^*)] \\
&= (j^2 + k^2 + l^2) d_X(x, x^*) + (2kj + 2kl) d_Y(y, y^*) + 2jl d_Z(z, z^*),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_2^y &= d_Y(G^2(y, x, y), G^2(y^*, x^*, y^*)) \\
&= d_Y((G(G(y, x, y), F(x, y, z), G(y, x, y)), \\
&\quad G(G(y^*, x^*, y^*), F(x^*, y^*, z^*), G(y^*, x^*, y^*))) \\
&\leq (j+l) d_Y(G(y, x, y), G(y^*, x^*, y^*)) + k d_X(F(x, y, z), F(x^*, y^*, z^*)) \\
&\leq (j+l) [(j+l) d_Y(y, y^*) + k d_X(x, x^*)] \\
&\quad + k [j d_X(x, x^*) + k d_Y(y, y^*) + l d_Z(z, z^*)] \\
&= (2kj + lk) d_X(x, x^*) + [(j+l)^2 + k^2] d_Y(y, y^*) + kl d_Z(z, z^*),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_2^z &= d_Z(H^2(z, y, x), H^2(z^*, y^*, x^*)) \\
&= d_Z((H(H(z, y, x), G(y, x, y), F(x, y, z)), \\
&\quad H(H(z^*, y^*, x^*), G(y^*, x^*, y^*), F(x^*, y^*, z^*))) \\
&\leq j d_Z(H(z, y, x), H(z^*, y^*, x^*)) + k d_Y(G(y, x, y), G(y^*, x^*, y^*)) \\
&\quad + l d_X(F(x, y, z), F(x^*, y^*, z^*)) \\
&\leq j [j d_Z(z, z^*) + k d_Y(y, y^*) + l d_X(x, x^*)] \\
&\quad + k [(j+l) d_Y(y, y^*) + k d_X(x, x^*)] \\
&\quad + l [j d_X(x, x^*) + k d_Y(y, y^*) + l d_Z(z, z^*)] \\
&= (2jl + k^2) d_X(x, x^*) + (2jk + 2kl) d_Y(y, y^*) + (j^2 + l^2) d_Z(z, z^*).
\end{aligned}$$

So we get,

$$A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} j^2 + k^2 + l^2 & 2jk + 2kl & 2jl \\ 2kj + lk & (j+l)^2 + k^2 & kl \\ 2jl + k^2 & 2jk + 2kl & j^2 + l^2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ denoted by } \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \\ d_2 & e_2 & f_2 \\ g_2 & b_2 & h_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $a_2 + b_2 + c_2 = d_2 + e_2 + f_2 = g_2 + b_2 + h_2 = (j+k+l)^2 < j+k+l < 1$ .

Therefore

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_2^x \\ D_2^y \\ D_2^z \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} j & k & l \\ k & j+l & 0 \\ l & k & j \end{pmatrix}^2 \begin{pmatrix} D_1^x \\ D_1^y \\ D_1^z \end{pmatrix}.$$

As by the same lines of Theorem 2.5 we can prove

$$A^n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n & c_n \\ d_n & e_n & f_n \\ g_n & b_n & h_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $a_n + b_n + c_n = d_n + e_n + f_n = g_n + b_n + h_n = (j + k + l)^n < j + k + l < 1$ .  
Therefore we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_n^x \\ D_n^y \\ D_n^z \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} j & k & l \\ k & j+l & 0 \\ l & k & j \end{pmatrix}^n \begin{pmatrix} D_1^x \\ D_1^y \\ D_1^z \end{pmatrix},$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} D_n^x \\ D_n^y \\ D_n^z \end{pmatrix} &\leq \begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n & c_n \\ d_n & e_n & f_n \\ g_n & b_n & h_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_1^x \\ D_1^y \\ D_1^z \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_n D_1^x + b_n D_1^y + c_n D_1^z \\ d_n D_1^x + e_n D_1^y + f_n D_1^z \\ g_n D_1^x + b_n D_1^y + h_n D_1^z \end{pmatrix} \\ &\leq (a_n + b_n + c_n) \begin{pmatrix} D_1^x + D_1^y + D_1^z \\ D_1^x + D_1^y + D_1^z \\ D_1^x + D_1^y + D_1^z \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (j + k + l)^n \begin{pmatrix} D_1^x + D_1^y + D_1^z \\ D_1^x + D_1^y + D_1^z \\ D_1^x + D_1^y + D_1^z \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} D_n^x &\leq (j + k + l)^n (D_1^x + D_1^y + D_1^z), \\ D_n^y &\leq (j + k + l)^n (D_1^x + D_1^y + D_1^z), \\ D_n^z &\leq (j + k + l)^n (D_1^x + D_1^y + D_1^z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} D_n^x + D_n^y + D_n^z &\leq 3 (j + k + l)^n (D_1^x + D_1^y + D_1^z) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $d((x, y, z), (x^*, y^*, z^*)) = 0$ .

**Case 2:** If  $(x, y, z)$  are not comparable to  $(x^*, y^*, z^*)$  then there exist an upper bound or a lower bound  $(u, v, w) \in X \times Y \times Z$  of  $(x, y, z)$  and  $(x^*, y^*, z^*)$ . Then for every  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ,  $(F^n(u, v, w), G^n(v, u, v), H^n(w, v, u))$  is comparable to

$$(F^n(x, y, z), G^n(y, x, y), H^n(z, y, x)) = (x, y, z)$$

and to

$$(F^n(x^*, y^*, z^*), G^n(y^*, x^*, y^*), H^n(z^*, y^*, x^*)) = (x^*, y^*, z^*).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& d((x, y, z), (x^*, y^*, z^*)) \\
&= d((F^n(x, y, z), G^n(y, x, y), H^n(z, y, x)), \\
&\quad (F^n(x^*, y^*, z^*), G^n(y^*, x^*, y^*), H^n(z^*, y^*, x^*))) \\
&\leq d((F^n(x, y, z), G^n(y, x, y), H^n(z, y, x)), \\
&\quad (F^n(u, v, w), G^n(v, u, v), H^n(w, v, u))) \\
&\quad + d((F^n(u, v, w), G^n(v, u, v), H^n(w, v, u)), \\
&\quad (F^n(x^*, y^*, z^*), G^n(y^*, x^*, y^*), H^n(z^*, y^*, x^*))) \\
&= d_X((F^n(x, y, z), F^n(u, v, w)) + d_Y(G^n(y, x, y), G^n(v, u, v)) \\
&\quad + d_Z(H^n(z, y, x), H^n(w, v, u)) + d_X((F^n(u, v, w), F^n(x^*, y^*, z^*)) \\
&\quad + d_Y(G^n(v, u, v), G^n(y^*, x^*, y^*)) + d_Z(H^n(w, v, u), H^n(z^*, y^*, x^*))) \\
&\leq 3(j+k+l)^n [(d_X(x, u) + d_Y(y, v) + d_Z(z, w)) + d_X(u, x^*) \\
&\quad + d_Y(v, y^*) + d_Z(w, z^*)] \\
&\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $d((x, y, z), (x^*, y^*, z^*)) = 0$ . □

Setting  $X = Y = Z$  and  $F = G = H$  in Theorem 2.7 we get the following theorem of Berinde and Borcut as a corollary to our result.

**Corollary 2.8.** ([6, Theorem 9]) *Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a metric  $d$  on  $X$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Let  $F : X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous mapping having the mixed monotone mapping on  $X$ . Assume that there exist constants  $j, k, l \in [0, 1)$  with  $j+k+l < 1$  for which*

$$d(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) \leq j d(x, u) + k d(y, v) + l d(z, w);$$

for all  $x \geq u, y \leq v, z \geq w$ . If there exist  $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$  such that

$$x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0, z_0), \quad y_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0, y_0), \quad z_0 \leq F(z_0, y_0, x_0)$$

and for every  $(x, y, z), (x_1, y_1, z_1) \in X \times X \times X$ , there exist a  $(u, v, w) \in X \times X \times X$  that is comparable to  $(x, y, z)$  and  $(x_1, y_1, z_1)$  then we obtain a unique tripled fixed point of  $F$ .

**Remark 2.9.** We can replace the continuity of  $F, G$  and  $H$  in Theorem 2.5 by other properties in order to get the existence of FGH-tripled fixed point as we see in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $(X, \leq_{P_1}, d_X), (Y, \leq_{P_2}, d_Y)$  and  $(Z, \leq_{P_3}, d_Z)$  be three partially ordered complete metric spaces and  $F : X \times Y \times Z \rightarrow X, G : Y \times X \times Y \rightarrow$*

$Y$  and  $H : Z \times Y \times X \rightarrow Z$  be three mappings having the mixed monotone property on  $X$ . Assume that there exist constants  $j, k, l \in [0, 1)$  with  $j + k + l < 1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & d_X(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) \\ & \leq j d_X(x, u) + k d_Y(y, v) + l d_Z(z, w); \quad \forall x \geq_{P_1} u, y \leq_{P_2} v, z \geq_{P_3} w, \\ & d_Y(G(y, x, y'), G(v, u, v')) \\ & \leq j d_Y(y, v) + k d_X(x, u) + l d_Y(y', v'); \quad \forall y \geq_{P_2} v, x \leq_{P_1} u, y' \geq_{P_2} v', \\ & d_Z(H(z, y, x), H(w, v, u)) \\ & \leq j d_Z(z, w) + k d_Y(y, v) + l d_X(x, u); \quad \forall x \geq_{P_1} u, y \leq_{P_2} v, z \geq_{P_3} w. \end{aligned}$$

Further assume that  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  have the following properties:

- (i) if a non-decreasing sequence  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$ , then  $x_n \leq_{P_1} x$  for every  $n$ .
- (ii) if a non-increasing sequence  $\{y_n\} \rightarrow y$ , then  $y_n \geq_{P_2} y$  for every  $n$ .
- (iii) if a non-decreasing sequence  $\{z_n\} \rightarrow z$ , then  $z_n \leq_{P_3} z$  for every  $n$ .

If there exist  $(x_0, y_0, z_0) \in X \times Y \times Z$  such that

$$x_0 \leq_{P_1} F(x_0, y_0, z_0), \quad y_0 \geq_{P_2} G(y_0, x_0, y_0) \quad \text{and} \quad z_0 \leq_{P_3} H(z_0, y_0, x_0),$$

then there exist  $FGH$ -tripled fixed point.

*Proof.* Following as in the proof of Theorem 2.5, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(x_0, y_0, z_0) = x, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G^n(y_0, x_0, y_0) = y \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H^n(z_0, y_0, x_0) = z.$$

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} & d_X(F(x, y, z), x) \\ & \leq d_X(F(x, y, z), F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0, z_0)) + d_X(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0, z_0), x) \\ & = d_X(F(x, y, z), F(F^n(x_0, y_0, z_0), G^n(y_0, x_0, y_0), H^n(z_0, y_0, x_0))) \\ & \quad + d_X(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0, z_0), x) \\ & \leq j d_X(x, F^n(x_0, y_0, z_0)) + k d_Y(y, G^n(y_0, x_0, y_0)) \\ & \quad + l d_Z(z, H^n(z_0, y_0, x_0)) + d_X(F^{n+1}(x_0, y_0, z_0), x) \\ & \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $F(x, y, z) = x$ . Similarly we can prove that

$$G(y, x, y) = y \quad \text{and} \quad H(z, y, x) = z.$$

□

Setting  $X = Y = Z$  and  $F = G = H$  in Theorem 2.10 we get following result as a corollary.

**Corollary 2.11.** ([6, Theorem 8]) *Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a metric  $d$  on  $X$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Let  $F : X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping having the mixed monotone mapping on  $X$ . Assume that there exist the constants  $j, k, l \in [0, 1)$  with  $j + k + l < 1$  for which*

$$d(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) \leq j d(x, u) + k d(y, v) + l d(z, w);$$

for all  $x \geq u, y \leq v, z \geq w$ . Assume that  $X$  has the following properties:

- (i) if a non-decreasing sequence  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$ , then  $x_n \leq x$  for every  $n$ .
- (ii) if a non-increasing sequence  $\{y_n\} \rightarrow y$ , then  $y_n \geq y$  for every  $n$ .

If there exist  $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$  such that

$$x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0, z_0), \quad y_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0, y_0) \quad \text{and} \quad z_0 \leq F(z_0, y_0, x_0),$$

then there exist  $x, y, z \in X$  such that

$$x = F(x, y, z), \quad y = F(y, x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad z = F(z, y, x).$$

**Remark 2.12.** By adding the following condition to Theorem 2.10 we get the uniqueness of FGH-tripled fixed point: “for every  $(x, y, z), (x^*, y^*, z^*) \in X \times Y \times Z$  there exist a  $(u, v, w) \in X \times Y \times Z$  that is comparable to both  $(x, y, z)$  and  $(x^*, y^*, z^*)$ ”.

**Acknowledgments:** The first author acknowledges financial support from Kerala State Council for Science, Technology and Environment(KSCSTE), in the form of fellowship with reference number 064/FSHP-MSS/2013/KSCSTE.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abbas, H. Aydi and E. Karapinar, *Triples fixed Points of multivalued nonlinear contraction mappings in partially ordered metric spaces*, Abstract and Applied Analysis, (2011), Article ID 812690, doi:10.1155/2011/812690.
- [2] S.M. Abusalim and M.S.M. Noorani, *Triples fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces under  $F$ -invariant set and  $c$ -distance*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., **8** (2015), 750–762.
- [3] H. Aydi, E. Karapinar and C. Vetro, *Meir-Keeler type contractions for triples fixed points*, Acta Mathematica Scientia, **32B**(6) (2012), 2119–2130.
- [4] H. Aydi, E. Karapinar and S. Radenovic, *Triples coincidence fixed point results for BoydWong and Matkowski type contractions*, RACSAM, doi:10.1007/s13398-012-0077-3.
- [5] H. Aydi, E. Karapinar and W. Shatanawi, *Triples coincidence point results for generalized contractions in ordered generalized metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, **2012**(101) (2012).
- [6] V. Berinde and M. Borcut, *Triples fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis, **74** (2011), 4889–4897.



- [7] M. Borcut and V. Berinde, *Tripled coincidence theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces*, Appl. Math. Comp., **218** (2012), 5929–5936.
- [8] M. Borcut, *Tripled coincidence theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces*, Appl. Math. Comp., **218** (2012), 7339–7346.
- [9] K. Deepa and P. Shaini, *FG-coupled fixed point theorems for contractive and generalized quasi-contractive mappings*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1604.02669>.
- [10] T.G. Bhasakar and V. Lakshmikantham, *Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA., **65**(7) (2006), 1379–1393.
- [11] D. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Coupled fixed points of non-linear operators with applications*, Nonlinear Analysis TMA., **11**(5) (1987), 623–632.
- [12] E. Karapnar and N.V. Luong, *Quadruple fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions*, Comp. Math. Appl., **64** (2012), 1839–1848
- [13] E. Prajisha and P. Shaini, *FG-coupled fixed point theorems for various contractions in partially ordered metric spaces*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1602.00543>.
- [14] B. Samet and C. Vetro, *Coupled fixed point, F-invariant set and fixed point of N-order*, Ann. Funct. Anal., **1**(2) (2010), 46–56.